

# Final Deliverables Team Report

## Team: 6

Devansh Gandhi, Harshil Mavani, Pankaj Badgular, Bhargavi Padhya

**Repo URL:** <https://github.ccs.neu.edu/cs5500-fse/team-6-F19>

**Reviewers:** Michael Weintraub, Sibendu Dey, Vaibhav Shehhar Dave

**Score: 92.5**

The readme and reports should have been in master.

System install video: not sure why you showed a build failure because of sonar, but otherwise, it was good.

System demo video: starts with a what we think is a postman request, but it's not shown. The switching between screens is hard to follow, especially because they look so similar. Better would be to have multiple panes.

The report is good. One thing: it is unclear why even one code smell was allowed into master. There is usually no reason to ever submit as final work something with smells. For the future, always try to present the best job you can. Most smells are simple to resolve and give the impression that the work lacks attention to the details. In this project, the PR reviewer should have caught this and required these issues be addressed before approving the merge. While I agree with the report's position that code reviews should emphasize the big items the most, that shouldn't be interpreted that smaller details shouldn't be noted.

The presentation lacked focus and gave the impression that the team did not invest much time or planning into it. The flow wasn't evenly distributed among the team. Overall, it did a disservice to what appears to have been very good work.

During the presentation, there was a lot of talk of what was done, but very little concrete information was presented, save the sonar report. For example, the UI demo talked about elements being here and there in the pane, but it would have been better to have shown it. I could not tell what was accomplished and what wasn't.