Heuristic Analysis on different evaluation functions

Overview

This analysis is done on different evaluation functions used for (L-Shaped) Isolation Game AI Agent. Evaluation function calculates legal moves for current and opponent player.

| Technique/Method | custom_score_1 | custom_score_2 | custom_score_3 | | |
|----------------------|------------------------|----------------------|-----------------------|--|--|
| Various Strategy | The idea behind this | The idea behind this | The idea behind this | | |
| used for best | technique was to | technique was to | technique was to | | |
| available position | punished the | reward big to | play defensive and to | | |
| | opponent more than | yourself whenever | give chance to | | |
| | rewarding myself. | offensive attack is | opponent to play | | |
| | I took value of 2.0 | greater than | under the rules of | | |
| | towards the | opponent moves. | Nash equilibrium, | | |
| | punishment, which | Compared to other | which obviously | | |
| | can be tested on | Heuristics functions | didn't seem to work. | | |
| | various positive | this method was | Although playing | | |
| | range between 2 to | consistent winner | defensive did give me | | |
| | 3, after that it start | throughout the | chance to go for | | |
| | diminishing the | tournament with all | offensive strategies | | |
| | whole logic. | different types of | for other heuristics | | |
| | | opponent. | functions | | |
| | | | | | |
| Offensive/ Defensive | Offensive | Offensive | Defensive | | |
| Core logic | our_moves - (2.0 * | our_moves / | our_moves - | | |
| | opp_moves) | (opp_moves + | opp_moves | | |
| | | 0.0001) | | | |

Results

The results show below are from tournament played with a baseline agent and 3 custom agents played 10 matches with each agent in tournament of total 40 matches.

| ************************************** | | | | | | | | | | | |
|--|-----------------|-------------|------|-----------|------|-------------|------|-------------|------|--|--|
| Match # | Opponent | AB_Improved | | AB_Custom | | AB_Custom_2 | | AB_Custom_3 | | | |
| | | Won | Lost | Won | Lost | Won | Lost | Won | Lost | | |
| 1 | Random | 8 | 2 | 9 | 1 | 8 | 2 | 7 | 3 | | |
| 2 | MM_Open | 8 | 2 | 5 | 5 | 8 | 2 | 5 | 5 | | |
| 3 | MM Center | 8 | 2 | 9 | 1 | 9 | 1 | 10 | 0 | | |
| 4 | MM Improved | 8 | 2 | 7 | 3 | 5 | 5 | 7 | 3 | | |
| 5 | AB Open | 3 | 7 | 7 | 3 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | | |
| 6 | AB Center | 3 | 7 | 5 | 5 | 6 | 4 | 4 | 6 | | |
| 7 | AB_Improved | 7 | 3 | 7 | 3 | 8 | 2 | 5 | 5 | | |
| | Win Rate: 64.3% | | . 3% | 70.0% | | 70.0% | | 61.4% | | | |

Recommendation

I will conclude that Heuristic function # 2 as a winner, although it tied with Heuristic function #1, I will still recommend it for following reasons:

- Best-average win rate in tournament for all opponent types
- It counts for opponent wrong moves and reward you for best moves
- Simple beautiful logic.