MFE230Q: In-Class Quiz # 1

ankaj_kumar@berkeley.edu - way 41 4 1. Consider the following one-period, two-state economy:

$$\mathbf{s}^0 = (2,2)^T, \qquad \mathbf{D} = \begin{bmatrix} 3 & 1 \\ 2.5 & 2.5 \end{bmatrix}$$

What is the price of a put option on asset 1, with a strike price of 2.5?

2. A forward contract on an underlying stock specifies an agreement where the buyer gets to purchase the stock at a pre-specified price, F, in the future. In contrast to a call option, the buyer must buy the stock. The forward price, F is set so that the value of the contract today is zero.

Consider a stock that does not pay dividends, with current price S. The one-period risk-free rate is r, and the gross rate is then R = 1 + r. The market admits no arbitrage. Consider a contract that states:

"The buyer of this contract shall buy one unit of the stock at price F one period from now.

Derive the forward price, F, that makes the current value of this contract zero.

3. In the HW, you will show that it is never optimal to exercise a call option early on a stock that pays no dividends. However, there are times when it is optimal to exercise a put early, whether or not the firm pays dividends. Intuitively, the difference is that, for a call, you owe K if you exercise, and due to the time value of money, it is better to pay later than pay now. In contrast, for a put, you are owed K, and due to the time value of money, it is better to receive now than receive later.

To price American securities, we start off exactly as we do for European securities; namely, we start at the last date and work backwards, where for each possible event we replicate the CF's of the derivative we would like to price. What is new in pricing American options is that, after we determine the so-called **Continuation Value** of the derivative, we then check whether immediate exercise is more valuable than not exercising immediately. Thus, for example, suppose we were examining the value of a put option assuming that the down-state occurs, and we have determined the continuation value $P_{cont,\,\downarrow}$. The value of the American put will be

$$P_{\downarrow} = \max \left[P_{(cont, \downarrow)}, (K - S_{\downarrow}) \right]. \tag{1}$$

We then proceed working back through the tree as normal. 7.30:31 AM PDT

30:31 AMF

alou edu - Ma

(a) With that in mind, assume a 2-period, 3-date model as below.

S(0), B(0), V(0)
$$uS(0), B(0)(1+R), V(\uparrow)$$

$$uS(0), B(0)(1+R), V(\uparrow)$$

$$udS(0), B(0)(1+R)^2, CF(\uparrow\downarrow)$$

$$udS(0), B(0)(1+R)^2, CF(\uparrow\downarrow)$$

$$dS(0), B(0)(1+R), V(\downarrow)$$

$$d^2S(0), B(0)(1+R)^2, CF(\downarrow\downarrow)$$
Assume $S(0) = 100, B(0) = 100, u = 1.1, d = .9, R_F = .02$. Determine the price of the **European** put option with strike $K = 101$ and $T = 2$ using the risk-neutral pricing

the **European** put option with strike K = 101 and T = 2 using the risk-neutral pricing formula:

$$C(0) = \left(\frac{1}{1 + R_F}\right)^2 \mathcal{E}_0^Q \left[C(2)\right]. \tag{2}$$

alou edu - Ma

- Determine the price of an **American** put option with strike K=101 and maturity T=2.
- 4. Consider a two-period market, $t \in T = \{0, 1, 2\}$, and the following probability space $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{P})$, where $\Omega = \{\omega_1, \omega_2, \omega_3\}, \mathbb{P}(\{\omega_1\}) = \mathbb{P}(\{\omega_2\}) = \mathbb{P}(\{\omega_3\}) = \frac{1}{3}, \text{ and }$

$$\mathcal{F} = \sigma(\{\omega_1\}, \{\omega_2\}, \{\omega_3\})$$

$$= \{\emptyset, \{\omega_1\}, \{\omega_2\}, \{\omega_3\}, \{\omega_1, \omega_2\}, \{\omega_1, \omega_3\}, \{\omega_2, \omega_3\}, \Omega\},$$

with the filtration

30:31 AM PDT

$$\mathcal{F}_0 = \{\emptyset, \Omega\},\$$

$$\mathcal{F}_1 = \{\emptyset, \{\omega_1\}, \{\omega_2, \omega_3\}, \Omega\},\$$

$$\mathcal{F}_2 = \mathcal{F}.$$

edu-May 2, 2022, he-There are two assets in the economy, and their dynamics are summarized by the price dividend pair (δ, \mathbf{s}) . Here $\delta = (\delta_1, \delta_2)^T$ and $\mathbf{s} = (s_1, s_2)^T$, and the functions $\delta_i : T \times \Omega \to \mathbb{R}$, $s_i : T \times \Omega \to \mathbb{R}$ Musico Januaro \mathbb{R} , i = 1, 2, are defined by

	s_1	t = 0	t = 1	t=2	δ_1	t = 0	t = 1	t=2
	ω_1	1	10	P	ω_1	0	1	0
	ω_2	1	0.5	1	ω_2	0	0	0
	ω_3	1	0.5	0.5	ω_3	0	0	0
1:30:31 AM PD	\			2				
0221								

	eley.	3du						
abelli	s_2	t = 0	t = 1	t=2	δ_2	t = 0	t = 1	t=2
- arcor	ω_1	1	1.1	1.1	ω_1	0	0	0
MIMIC	ω_2	1	1.1	1.21	ω_2	0	0	0
1/3/-	ω_3	1	1.1	1.21	ω_3	0	0	0
Here, the elem	ent on th	ie ith ro	w and j	th colur	nn rej	presents		ue of th

Here, the element on the ith row and jth column represents the value of the function at t = jMay 2, 2022 in state ω_i .

- (a) Is the price dividend pair adapted?
- (b) How would this economy be represented in the more familiar "tree" view?
- (c) Derive the short-term risk-free process.
- (d) Is the market complete?

30:31 AM PDT

7.30:31 AM PDT

- (e) Is the market arbitrage free?
- (f) Derive the stochastic discount factor (SDF) process.
- Derive the equivalent martingale measure (EMM).
- (h) Consider the trading strategy $\mathbf{h} = (h_1, h_2)^T$, defined by

arbitra	ige free!							
chastic	discour	nt facto	r (SDF)	proc	ess.			21 A
ivalen	t martin	ngale me	easure (EMM	().			2022, 1:30:31 1
rading	strateg	y h = ($(h_1, h_2)^T$, defin	ned by			20221
h_1	t = 0	t = 1	t=2	h_2	t = 0	t=1	t = 2	21
ω_1	2.2	3.3	0	ω_1	-1	0 /	/ (0)	
ω_2	2.2	0	0	ω_2	-1 A	170,	0	
ω_3	2.2	0	0	ω_3	J-PC	0	0	

pankaj_kumar@berkeley.edu - May 2, 2022,

alou adu - Ma

- What is the dividend process, $\delta^{\mathbf{h}}$?
- Is this process consistent with the equivalent martingale measure? pankaj kumal