

# Graphs and Social Networks

Why Social Graphs Are Different  
Communities  
Finding Triangles

Jeffrey D. Ullman  
Stanford University/Infolab



# Social Graphs

- Graphs can be either directed or undirected.
- **Example:** The Facebook “friends” graph (undirected).
  - Nodes = people; edges between friends.
- **Example:** Twitter followers (directed).
  - Nodes = people; arcs from a person to one they follow.
- **Example:** Phonecalls (directed, but could be considered undirected as well).
  - Nodes = phone numbers; arc from caller to callee, or edge between both.

# Properties of Social Graphs

1. *Locality* (edges are not randomly chosen, but tend to cluster in “communities”).
2. *Small-world property* (low *diameter* = maximum distance from any node to any other).

# Locality

- A graph exhibits *locality* if when there is an edge from  $x$  to  $y$  and an edge from  $y$  to  $z$ , then the probability of an edge from  $x$  to  $z$  is higher than one would expect given the number of nodes and edges in the graph.
- **Example:** On Facebook, if  $y$  is friends with  $x$  and  $z$ , then there is a good chance  $x$  and  $z$  are friends.
- *Community* = set of nodes with an unusually high density of edges.

# It's a Small World After All

- Many very large graphs have small *diameter* (maximum distance between two nodes).
  - Called the *small world* property.
- **Example:** 6 degrees of Kevin Bacon.
- **Example:** “Erdos numbers.”
- **Example:** Most pairs of Web pages are within 12 links of one another.
  - But study at Google found pairs of pages whose shortest path has a length about a thousand.

# Finding Triangles

Heavy Hitters

Two Kinds of Triangles

Optimal Algorithm

# Counting Triangles

- Why Care?
  1. Density of triangles measures maturity of a community.
    - As communities age, their members tend to connect.
  2. The algorithm is actually an example of a recent and powerful theory of optimal join computation.

# Needed Data Structures

- Assume that in  $O(1)$  time we can answer the question “is there an edge between nodes  $x$  and  $y$ ?”
  - Question for thought: What data structure works?
- Assume that if a node  $x$  has degree  $d$ , then in  $O(d)$  time we can find all the nodes adjacent to  $x$ .
  - Question for thought: What data structure works?



# First Observations

- Let the undirected graph have  $N$  nodes and  $M$  edges.
  - $N \leq M \leq N^2$ .
- **One approach**: Consider all  $N$ -choose-3 sets of nodes, and see if there are edges connecting all 3.
  - An  $O(N^3)$  algorithm.
- **Another approach**: consider all edges  $e$  and all nodes  $u$  and see if both ends of  $e$  have edges to  $u$ .
  - An  $O(MN)$  algorithm.
    - Note that can't be worse than  $O(N^3)$ .

# Heavy Hitters

- To find a better algorithm, we need to use the concept of a *heavy hitter* – a node with degree at least  $\sqrt{M}$ .
- **Note:** there can be no more than  $2\sqrt{M}$  heavy hitters, or the sum of the degrees of all nodes exceeds  $2M$ .
  - **Remember:** sum of node degrees = 2 times the number of edges.
- A *heavy-hitter triangle* is one whose three nodes are all heavy hitters.

# Finding Heavy-Hitter Triangles

- Consider all triples of heavy hitters and see if there are edges between each pair of the three.
- Takes time  $O(M^{1.5})$ , since there is a limit of  $2\sqrt{M}$  on the number of heavy hitters.

# Finding Other Triangles

- At least one node is not a heavy hitter.
- Consider each edge  $e$ .
  - If both ends are heavy hitters, ignore.
  - Otherwise, let end node  $u$  not be a heavy hitter.
  - For each of the at most  $\sqrt{M}$  nodes  $v$  connected to  $u$ , see whether  $v$  is connected to the other end of  $e$ .
- Takes time  $O(M^{1.5})$ .
  - $M$  edges, and at most  $O(\sqrt{M})$  work with each.

# Optimality of This Algorithm

- Both parts take  $O(M^{1.5})$  time and together find any triangle in the graph.
- For any  $N$  and  $M$ , you can find a graph with  $N$  nodes,  $M$  edges, and  $\Omega(M^{1.5})$  triangles, so no algorithm can do significantly better.
- Note that  $M^{1.5}$  can never be greater than the running times of the two obvious algorithms with which we began:  $N^3$  and  $MN$ .
  - And if  $M$  is strictly between  $N$  and  $N^2$ , then  $M^{1.5}$  is strictly better than either.