Model Elliptical copula

Data structure

Assume we get data set with size n

$$\left[egin{array}{c} x_{11}, x_{12}, x_{13} \ x_{21}, x_{22}, x_{23} \ & \cdots \ x_{n1}, x_{n2}, x_{n3} \end{array}
ight]$$

After probability integral transformation

$$\left[egin{array}{c} u_{11},u_{12},u_{13}\ u_{21},u_{22},u_{23}\ & \cdots \ u_{n1},u_{n2},u_{n3} \end{array}
ight]$$

The likelihood is

$$egin{align} L(lpha,eta,\mathbf{w},A;\mathbf{U}) &= \prod_{i=1}^n c(u_{i1},u_{i2}) \ &= \prod_{i=1}^n |A|^{-1} (r_i^2)^{(1-p)/2} h((r_i^2)^{1/2}) \ &= \prod_{i=1}^n |A|^{-1} (r_i)^{(1-p)} h(r_i) \ &= \prod_{i=1}^n |A|^{-1} (r_i)^{(1-p)} \prod_{j=1}^k (w_j f(r_i | lpha_j,eta_j)^{z_{ij}}) \end{aligned}$$

where

$$egin{aligned} r_i^2 &= (\mathbf{x})' \Omega^{-1}(\mathbf{x}) \ &= (Q_{EC}(u_{i1}), Q_{EC}(u_{i2})) \Omega^{-1}(Q_{EC}(u_{i1}), Q_{EC}(u_{i2}))' \ X &= (Q_{EC}(u_{i1}), Q_{EC}(u_{i2})) \end{aligned}$$

In this likelihood, both h function and quantile function Q_{EC} contain parameters α , β as well as weights w.

Conditional likelihood

- $L(\alpha, \beta, w | \Omega, U, X, R)$
- $L(\Omega|\alpha,\beta,w,U,X,R)$

These two likelihood above need the whole pdf involved.

 α 's are not mutually independent. Every time we update α_j , R has to be updated to make sure next operations are conditioned on the current estimate of α_j . This requirement also applies on the estimation of β as well as weights.

Log likelihood

$$\begin{split} &L(\alpha,\beta,\mathbf{w},A;\mathbf{U}) \\ &= \prod_{i=1}^n c(u_{i1},u_{i2}) \\ &= \prod_{i=1}^n |A|^{-1}(r_i^2)^{(1-p)/2}h((r_i^2)^{1/2}) \\ &= \prod_{i=1}^n |A|^{-1}(r_i)^{(1-p)}h(r_i) \\ &= \prod_{i=1}^n |A|^{-1}(r_i)^{(1-p)} \prod_{j=1}^k (w_j f(r_i|\alpha_j,\beta_j))^{z_{ij}} \} \\ &= -nlog|A| + (1-p) \sum_{i=1}^n log(r_i) + \sum_{i=1}^n log \Big(\prod_{j=1}^k (w_j f(r_i|\alpha_j,\beta_j))^{z_{ij}} \Big) \\ &= -nlog|A| + (1-p) \sum_{i=1}^n log(r_i) + \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^k log \Big(w_j f(r_i|\alpha_j,\beta_j) \Big)^{z_{ij}} \\ &= -nlog|A| + (1-p) \sum_{i=1}^n log(r_i) + \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^k z_{ij} \Big(log(w_j) + log \Big(f(r_i|\alpha_j,\beta_j) \Big) \Big) \\ &= -nlog|A| + (1-p) \sum_{i=1}^n log(r_i) + \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^k \Big(z_{ij} log(w_j) + z_{ij} log \Big(f(r_i|\alpha_j,\beta_j) \Big) \Big) \\ &= -nlog|A| + (1-p) \sum_{i=1}^n log(r_i) + \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^k \Big(z_{ij} log(w_j) \Big) + \\ &\sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^k \Big(z_{ij} log \Big(f(r_i|\alpha_j,\beta_j) \Big) \Big) \end{split}$$

Priors

For α 's

$$rac{c}{lpha_j^{c+1}}$$

For β 's

$$rac{b^a}{\Gamma(a)}eta_j^{a-1}e^{-beta_j}$$

For v's

$$\gamma (1-v_j)^{\gamma-1}$$

For γ

$$\gamma^{\eta_1-1}e^{-\eta_2\gamma}$$

For ρ 's

$$\mathbf{1}_{(-1 \leq
ho \leq 1)}$$

Log priors

$$egin{aligned} \sum_{j=1}^k ig(log(c) - (c+1)log(lpha_j)ig) \ + \sum_{j=1}^k ig(alog(b) + (a-1)log(eta_j) - beta_j - logamma(a)ig) \ + \sum_{j=1}^{k-1} ig(log(\gamma) + (\gamma-1)log(1-v_j)ig) \ + (\eta_1-1)log(\gamma) - \eta_2\gamma \end{aligned}$$

Conditional log posteriors for MCMC process

 α from different components are not mutually independent any more. and β 's and weights are also like this. This cause sampling much more difficult and time consuming.

• for α_j

$$(1-p)\sum_{i=1}^n log(r_i) + \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^k \Bigl(z_{ij}logig(f(r_i|lpha_j,eta_j)ig)\Bigr) + \sum_{j=1}^k (c+1)log(lpha_j)$$

• for β_i

$$egin{aligned} &(1-p)\sum_{i=1}^n log(r_i) + \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^k \Bigl(z_{ij}logigl(f(r_i|lpha_j,eta_j)igr)\Bigr) + \ &\sum_{j=1}^k igl((a-1)log(eta_j) - beta_jigr) \end{aligned}$$

• for v_i

$$egin{aligned} &(1-p)\sum_{i=1}^n log(r_i) + \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^k \Bigl(z_{ij}log(w_j)\Bigr) + \ &\sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^k \Bigl(z_{ij}logig(f(r_i|lpha_j,eta_j)ig)\Bigr) + \sum_{j=1}^{k-1} ig((\gamma-1)log(1-v_j)ig) \end{aligned}$$

• for θ

$$|-nlog|A| + (1-p)\sum_{i=1}^n log(r_i) + \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^k \Bigl(z_{ij}logigl(f(r_i|lpha_j,eta_j)igr)\Bigr)$$

Using Metropolis or HMC to draw samples from these log posterior pdfs.

Conditional posterior distributions for latent variable

• for latent variables Z

$$egin{aligned} P(\mathbf{Z}|lpha,eta,\mathbf{V},\gamma,
ho,\mathbf{R}) &= rac{P(\mathbf{R}|lpha,eta,\mathbf{V},\gamma,
ho,\mathbf{Z}) imes P(\mathbf{Z})}{P(\mathbf{R}|lpha,eta,\mathbf{V},\gamma,
ho)} \ &= (\mathbf{z})'\Omega^{-1}(\mathbf{x}) \ &= (Q_{EC}(u_{i1}),Q_{EC}(u_{i2}))\Omega^{-1}(Q_{EC}(u_{i1}),Q_{EC}(u_{i2}))' \ &= Q_{EC}(\mathbf{U}) \end{aligned}$$

In this case, Z_i 's are independent, of course, since they only depend on the corresponding X_i , thus the joint posterior distribution of \mathbf{Z} is actually a set of independent univariate multinomial distributions.

In our case, the latent variable is defined as

$$Z_{ji} = 1$$
, if r_i is from component j

we will have $30 \times n$ matrix

$$\begin{bmatrix} 101 \cdots 0 \\ 010 \cdots 0 \\ \vdots \ddots \vdots \\ 000 \cdots 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

which only has a single 1 in its each column. Each column is a multinomial distribution.

$$P(Z_{ji}=1|lpha,eta,\mathbf{V},\gamma,
ho,\mathbf{X})=rac{P(\mathbf{X}|lpha,eta,\mathbf{V},\gamma,
ho,Z_{ji}=1) imes P(Z_{ji}=1)}{P(\mathbf{X}|lpha,eta,\mathbf{V},\gamma,
ho)}$$