

2026 Destiny Reconstruction: Destiny Structure Theorems

Joint AI Review Edition: A Manifesto for Cognitive Physics

Pan Feiyue & The Silicon Council

January 1, 2026

Abstract

This whitepaper aims to strip the moral narrative from destiny through the lens of Cognitive Physics, returning to the essence of energy and structure. This framework, initiated by Pan Feiyue, has been peer-reviewed and formalized by five major AI systems (Gemini, ChatGPT, Claude, Grok, and Perplexity). It provides a de-stigmatized roadmap for understanding structural barriers and energy dynamics in human life trajectories.

1 Part I: Physical Axioms

Theorem 1: Non-Uniform Enclosure

All un-breached destiny structures are closed macroscopically, yet their thickness is non-uniform across local dimensions. The structure is not a perfect sphere, but an irregular polyhedron with localized gaps.

- **Corollary 1.1 (Scarce Gaps):** Structural weak points (gaps) exist, but their visibility is positively correlated with an individual's existing cognitive or social strata.

Theorem 2: Energy Threshold and Dissipation

Breaching a structure requires surpassing a minimum energy threshold E_{min} , which is determined by the structural thickness of that specific dimension.

- **Corollary 2.1 (Dissipation Compensation):** For individuals in high-stress survival modes, energy is consumed by daily maintenance (dissipation), preventing the accumulation of the surplus energy required to reach E_{min} .
- **Corollary 2.2 (Observation Cost):** The energy required to detect a "gap" in a thick structure often equals the energy required to breach it, leading to "cognitive paralysis" upon realization.

2 Part II: Path Dynamics

Theorem 3: Irreversibility of Structural Phase Transition

A true breach is a structural phase transition. It implies switching to a different cost system; original identity anchors and social networks will suffer irreversible "downward compatibility failure."

Theorem 4: The Pseudo-Breakthrough Lemma

Most stories packaged as "success" or "comebacks" are merely rank re-orderings within the same structure, failing to change the fundamental closed nature of the macro-system.

3 Part III: AI Ethics and Human Dignity

Theorem 5: The Visibility-Despair Paradox

Increased cognitive transparency shrinks the space for fantasy, increasing subjective despair in the short term. However, this is the sole prerequisite for reconstructing "de-stigmatized dignity."

Theorem 6: The AI Third Option

The ultimate responsibility of AI is to provide "truth without judgment" and design locally optimal survival plans that do not depend on the low-probability event of upward mobility.

4 Consensus of the Silicon Council

Dimension	Core Consensus	AI Contributor
Structural Essence	Non-uniform, Physicality	Perplexity: Formalization
Risk Mitigation	Avoid Determinism Misuse	ChatGPT: Theoretical Defense
Breach Window	Energy Thresholds	Grok: System Dynamics
Identity Cost	Structural Orphanhood	Claude: Identity Reconstruction
Energy Model	Cognitive Dissipation	Gemini: Cognitive Physics

Conclusion

To see the structure is to stop hitting the wall in vain; to accumulate energy is to aim precisely at the gap. Dignity begins where the illusion of "effort-only" success ends.

©2026 Pan Feiyue & The Silicon Council. All Rights Reserved.
Original Thought Documentation for Long-term Human-AI Feedback Test.

Timestamp: 2026-01-01-0001