



IN THE SUPREME COURT OF INDIA
CRIMINAL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

Criminal Appeal No(s) . 4676/2025
@SLP (Crl) No. 13554/2025

RAVI PRAKASH @ VICKY

Appellant(s)

VERSUS

STATE GOVT. OF NCT OF DELHI

Respondent(s)

O R D E R

- 1. Leave granted.**
- 2. Heard learned counsel for the parties.**
- 3. This appeal arises from an order dated 24.07.2025 passed by the High Court of Delhi at New Delhi rejecting the regular bail prayer of the appellant in connection with FIR No. 104/2023.**
- 4. According to the prosecution case, information was received by the Enforcement**

Agency that co-accused was carrying contraband. On receiving such information, according to the prosecution, the co-accused as well as the appellant were arrested and 1 kg of heroin was recovered from each of the two accused.

5. The submission of the learned Counsel for the appellant is that there is nothing on record to demonstrate that appellant was in touch with the other co-accused; CDR records have not been collected; and there is no public witness of the recovery even though recovery is said to have been made from a public place. It has also been contended that appellant has no previous criminal antecedents and he has suffered incarceration since 19.04.2023 whereas trial is nowhere near completion in as much as only 4 out of 18 witnesses have been examined thus far.

6. The learned Counsel for the respondent has opposed the prayer for bail and submitted that the contraband is much above the commercial quantity and since the correctness of the allegations would have to be tested in

trial it is not a fit case for grant of bail.

7. Having regard to the rival submissions, without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case, taking into account that appellant has suffered pending trial incarceration since 19.04.2023 and the trial is nowhere near completion, we are of the view that appellant has been able to make out a case for grant of bail at this stage, pending trial.

8. Consequently, the appeal is allowed. The order passed by the High Court rejecting the regular bail prayer of the appellant is set aside.

9. The appellant shall be released on bail on such terms and conditions as the trial court may deem fit to impose in the facts and circumstances of the case including a condition that the appellant shall co-operate in the trial and shall make himself available on each and every date fixed in the trial unless exemption for his appearance is granted by the court concerned.

10. Pending application(s), if any, shall stand disposed of.

..... J
[MANOJ MISRA]

..... J
[UJJAL BHUYAN]

New Delhi
October 30, 2025

ITEM NO.5

COURT NO.13

SECTION II-D

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A
RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

Criminal Appeal No(s). 4676/2025
@SLP (Crl) No. 13554/2025

RAVI PRAKASH @ VICKY

Appellant(s)

VERSUS

STATE GOVT. OF NCT OF DELHI

Respondent(s)

IA No. 219020/2025 - EXEMPTION FROM FILING C/C OF THE IMPUGNED
JUDGMENT

IA No. 219018/2025 - EXEMPTION FROM FILING O.T.

Date : 30-10-2025 This matter was called on for hearing today.

CORAM :

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE MANOJ MISRA
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE UJJAL BHUYAN

For Appellant(s) :

Mr. Aditya Aggarwal, Adv.
Mr. Shakeel Ahmed, AOR
Ms. Shivani Sharma, Adv.
Mr. Shivam Yadav, Adv.
Mr. Nakul Choudhary, Adv.
Mr. Naveen Panwar, Adv.

For Respondent(s) :

Mr. Satya Darshi Sanjay, A.S.G.
Mr. Mukesh Kumar Maroria, AOR
Mr. Khushal Kolwar, Adv.
Mr. Piyush Beriwal, Adv.
Mr. Sudhakar Kulwant, Adv.
Mr. Udit Dediya, Adv.
Mr. Raman Yadav, Adv.
Mr Shubh Sharma, Adv.
Ms Medha Trivedi, Adv.

UPON hearing the counsel the Court made the following
O R D E R

1. Leave granted.

2. The appeal is allowed in terms of the

signed order which is placed on the file.

3. Pending application(s), if any, shall stand disposed of.

(CHETAN ARORA)
ASTT. REGISTRAR-cum-PS

(SAPNA BANSAL)
COURT MASTER (NSH)