

Optimality conditions for non-convex, non-coercive autonomous variational problems with constraints

Cristina Marcelli

Università Politecnica delle Marche (Ancona)

Week-end sul Calcolo delle Variazioni

Firenze, 4-6 November 2005

$$(P) \quad \text{minimize } F(v) := \int_a^b f(v(t), v'(t)) \, dt, \quad v \in \Omega$$

where

$$\Omega := \{v \in W^{1,1}(a, b) : v(a) = \alpha, v(b) = \beta, v'(t) \geq 0 \text{ a.e. in } (a, b)\}$$

$f : [\alpha, \beta] \times \mathbb{R}_0^+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a lower semicontinuous, non-negative integrand (not necessarily smooth, convex or coercive).

$$(P) \quad \text{minimize } F(v) := \int_a^b f(v(t), v'(t)) \, dt, \quad v \in \Omega$$

where

$$\Omega := \{v \in W^{1,1}(a, b) : v(a) = \alpha, v(b) = \beta, v'(t) \geq 0 \text{ a.e. in } (a, b)\}$$

$f : [\alpha, \beta] \times \mathbb{R}_0^+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a lower semicontinuous, non-negative integrand (not necessarily smooth, convex or coercive).

Aims:

$$(P) \quad \text{minimize } F(v) := \int_a^b f(v(t), v'(t)) \, dt, \quad v \in \Omega$$

where

$$\Omega := \{v \in W^{1,1}(a, b) : v(a) = \alpha, v(b) = \beta, v'(t) \geq 0 \text{ a.e. in } (a, b)\}$$

$f : [\alpha, \beta] \times \mathbb{R}_0^+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a lower semicontinuous, non-negative integrand (not necessarily smooth, convex or coercive).

Aims:

- necessary and sufficient conditions for the optimality of a function $v_0 \in \Omega$

$$(P) \quad \text{minimize } F(v) := \int_a^b f(v(t), v'(t)) \, dt, \quad v \in \Omega$$

where

$$\Omega := \{v \in W^{1,1}(a, b) : v(a) = \alpha, v(b) = \beta, v'(t) \geq 0 \text{ a.e. in } (a, b)\}$$

$f : [\alpha, \beta] \times \mathbb{R}_0^+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a lower semicontinuous, non-negative integrand (not necessarily smooth, convex or coercive).

Aims:

- necessary and sufficient conditions for the optimality of a function $v_0 \in \Omega$
- relaxation result

$$(P) \quad \text{minimize } F(v) := \int_a^b f(v(t), v'(t)) \, dt, \quad v \in \Omega$$

where

$$\Omega := \{v \in W^{1,1}(a, b) : v(a) = \alpha, v(b) = \beta, v'(t) \geq 0 \text{ a.e. in } (a, b)\}$$

$f : [\alpha, \beta] \times \mathbb{R}_0^+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a lower semicontinuous, non-negative integrand (not necessarily smooth, convex or coercive).

Aims:

- necessary and sufficient conditions for the optimality of a function $v_0 \in \Omega$
- relaxation result
- necessary and sufficient conditions for the existence of the minimum in terms of the prescribed slope $\xi_0 := \frac{\beta - \alpha}{b - a}$

- Botteron-Dacorogna (JDE 1990)
- Botteron-Marcellini (Ann. Poincaré 1991)
- Clarke (TAMS 1993)
- Mariconda (Nonlin. Anal. 1994)
- Reymond (JOTA 1994)
- Crasta (Nonlin. Anal. 1996)
- Cellina - Treu - Zagatti (JDE 1996)
- Fusco - Marcellini - Ornelas (Port. Math. 1998)
- Celada - Perrotta (SICON 2002)
- Cellina (TAMS 2003)

- Botteron-Dacorogna (JDE 1990)
- Botteron-Marcellini (Ann. Poincaré 1991)
- Clarke (TAMS 1993)
- Mariconda (Nonlin. Anal. 1994)
- Reymond (JOTA 1994)
- Crasta (Nonlin. Anal. 1996)
- Cellina - Treu - Zagatti (JDE 1996)
- Fusco - Marcellini - Ornelas (Port. Math. 1998)
- Celada - Perrotta (SICON 2002)
- Cellina (TAMS 2003)

$$\lim_{|z| \rightarrow +\infty} \sup_{|s| \leq R} \sup (f^{**}(s, z) - z \partial f^{**}(s, z)) = -\infty \quad \forall R \geq 0$$

(weaker than superlinearity, example: $f(z) = |z| - \sqrt{|z|}$)

Necessary and sufficient condition for optimality of $v_0 \in \Omega$

Necessary and sufficient condition for optimality of $v_0 \in \Omega$

- $\mu := \min_{s \in [\alpha, \beta]} f(s, 0)$; $A_{v_0} := \{t : v_0'(t) > 0\}$; $B_{v_0} := \{t : v_0'(t) = 0\}$
- $\partial f(s, z_0) := \{c \in \mathbb{R} : f(s, z) - f(s, z_0) \geq c(z - z_0) \ \forall z > 0\}$

Necessary and sufficient condition for optimality of $v_0 \in \Omega$

- $\mu := \min_{s \in [\alpha, \beta]} f(s, 0)$; $A_{v_0} := \{t : v_0'(t) > 0\}$; $B_{v_0} := \{t : v_0'(t) = 0\}$
- $\partial f(s, z_0) := \{c \in \mathbb{R} : f(s, z) - f(s, z_0) \geq c(z - z_0) \ \forall z > 0\}$

Theorem 1. A function $v_0 \in \Omega$ is a minimizer for problem (P) if and only if $\partial f(v_0(t), v_0'(t)) \neq \emptyset$ for a.e. $t \in A_{v_0}$, and the following **DuBois-Reymond condition** (DBR) holds:

Necessary and sufficient condition for optimality of $v_0 \in \Omega$

- $\mu := \min_{s \in [\alpha, \beta]} f(s, 0)$; $A_{v_0} := \{t : v'_0(t) > 0\}$; $B_{v_0} := \{t : v'_0(t) = 0\}$
- $\partial f(s, z_0) := \{c \in \mathbb{R} : f(s, z) - f(s, z_0) \geq c(z - z_0) \ \forall z > 0\}$

Theorem 1. A function $v_0 \in \Omega$ is a minimizer for problem (P) if and only if $\partial f(v_0(t), v'_0(t)) \neq \emptyset$ for a.e. $t \in A_{v_0}$, and the following **DuBois-Reymond condition** (DBR) holds:

- (DBR)₁ (when $|B_{v_0}| = 0$): there exists $c \leq \mu$ such that

$$f(v_0(t), v'_0(t)) - c \in v'_0(t) \partial f(v_0(t), v'_0(t)) \quad \text{a.e. in } (a, b)$$

Necessary and sufficient condition for optimality of $v_0 \in \Omega$

- $\mu := \min_{s \in [\alpha, \beta]} f(s, 0)$; $A_{v_0} := \{t : v'_0(t) > 0\}$; $B_{v_0} := \{t : v'_0(t) = 0\}$
- $\partial f(s, z_0) := \{c \in \mathbb{R} : f(s, z) - f(s, z_0) \geq c(z - z_0) \ \forall z > 0\}$

Theorem 1. A function $v_0 \in \Omega$ is a minimizer for problem (P) if and only if $\partial f(v_0(t), v'_0(t)) \neq \emptyset$ for a.e. $t \in A_{v_0}$, and the following **DuBois-Reymond condition** (DBR) holds:

- (DBR)₁ (when $|B_{v_0}| = 0$): there exists $c \leq \mu$ such that

$$f(v_0(t), v'_0(t)) - c \in v'_0(t) \partial f(v_0(t), v'_0(t)) \quad \text{a.e. in } (a, b)$$

- (DBR)₂ (when $|B_{v_0}| > 0$): $f(v_0(t), 0) = \mu$ for a.e. $t \in B_{v_0}$ and

$$f(v_0(t), v'_0(t)) - \mu \in v'_0(t) \partial f(v_0(t), v'_0(t)) \quad \text{a.e. in } A_{v_0}$$



Approach: by means of an equivalent Bolza problem

Approach: by means of an equivalent Bolza problem

$$\tilde{F}(u) := \int_{\alpha}^{\beta} f\left(\tau, \frac{1}{u'(\tau)}\right) u'(\tau) d\tau + \mu(b - u(\beta)), \quad u \in \tilde{\Omega}$$

$$\tilde{\Omega} := \{u \in W^{1,1}(\alpha, \beta) : u(\alpha) = a, u(\beta) \leq b, u'(\tau) > 0 \text{ a.e. in } (\alpha, \beta)\}.$$

Approach: by means of an equivalent Bolza problem

$$\tilde{F}(u) := \int_{\alpha}^{\beta} f\left(\tau, \frac{1}{u'(\tau)}\right) u'(\tau) d\tau + \mu(b - u(\beta)), \quad u \in \tilde{\Omega}$$

$$\tilde{\Omega} := \{u \in W^{1,1}(\alpha, \beta) : u(\alpha) = a, u(\beta) \leq b, u'(\tau) > 0 \text{ a.e. in } (\alpha, \beta)\}.$$

Define two maps $\chi : \Omega \rightarrow \tilde{\Omega}$ and $\Psi : \tilde{\Omega} \rightarrow \Omega$ by

$$\chi_v(\tau) := a + \int_{\alpha}^{\tau} w'_v(s) ds \quad \text{where} \quad w_v(\tau) := \min\{t \in [a, b] : v(t) = \tau\}$$

$$\Psi_u(t) := \begin{cases} u^{-1}(t) & \text{if } a \leq t \leq u(s^*) \\ s^* & \text{if } u(s^*) \leq t \leq u(s^*) + b - u(\beta) \\ u^{-1}(t - b + u(\beta)) & \text{if } u(s^*) + b - u(\beta) \leq t \leq b \end{cases}$$

where $s^* := \min\{\tau \in [\alpha, \beta] : f(\tau, 0) = \min_{s \in [\alpha, \beta]} f(s, 0)\}.$

Theorem 2. (Equivalence)

If $v \in \Omega$ is a minimizer for problem (P) then $\chi_v \in \tilde{\Omega}$ is a minimizer for problem (\tilde{P}) . Vice versa, if $u \in \tilde{\Omega}$ is a minimizer for problem (\tilde{P}) , then $\Psi_u \in \Omega$ is a minimizer for problem (P) .

Theorem 2. (Equivalence)

If $v \in \Omega$ is a minimizer for problem (P) then $\chi_v \in \tilde{\Omega}$ is a minimizer for problem (\tilde{P}) . Vice versa, if $u \in \tilde{\Omega}$ is a minimizer for problem (\tilde{P}) , then $\Psi_u \in \Omega$ is a minimizer for problem (P) .

Theorem 3.

A function $u_0 \in \tilde{\Omega}$ is a minimizer for problem (\tilde{P}) if and only if the following Euler-Lagrange condition (EL) holds:

Theorem 2. (Equivalence)

If $v \in \Omega$ is a minimizer for problem (P) then $\chi_v \in \tilde{\Omega}$ is a minimizer for problem (\tilde{P}) . Vice versa, if $u \in \tilde{\Omega}$ is a minimizer for problem (\tilde{P}) , then $\Psi_u \in \Omega$ is a minimizer for problem (P) .

Theorem 3.

A function $u_0 \in \tilde{\Omega}$ is a minimizer for problem (\tilde{P}) if and only if the following Euler-Lagrange condition (EL) holds:

$$(EL)_1 \quad (\text{when } u_0(\beta) = b) \quad \exists \, c \leq \mu : c \in \partial \tilde{f}(\tau, u'_0(\tau)) \quad \text{a.e. in } (\alpha, \beta)$$

Theorem 2. (Equivalence)

If $v \in \Omega$ is a minimizer for problem (P) then $\chi_v \in \tilde{\Omega}$ is a minimizer for problem (\tilde{P}) . Vice versa, if $u \in \tilde{\Omega}$ is a minimizer for problem (\tilde{P}) , then $\Psi_u \in \Omega$ is a minimizer for problem (P) .

Theorem 3.

A function $u_0 \in \tilde{\Omega}$ is a minimizer for problem (\tilde{P}) if and only if the following Euler-Lagrange condition (EL) holds:

$$(EL)_1 \quad (\text{when } u_0(\beta) = b) \quad \exists \, c \leq \mu : c \in \partial \tilde{f}(\tau, u'_0(\tau)) \quad \text{a.e. in } (\alpha, \beta)$$

$$(EL)_2 \quad (\text{when } u_0(\beta) < b) \quad \mu \in \partial \tilde{f}(\tau, u'_0(\tau)) \quad \text{a.e. in } (\alpha, \beta)$$

where $\partial \tilde{f}(\tau, u'_0(\tau))$ denotes the subdifferential of $\tilde{f}(\tau, \cdot)$ at $u'_0(\tau)$.

Theorem 4. Let $f(s, \cdot)$ be continuous at 0 for every $s \in [\alpha, \beta]$.

Then, problem (P) admits minimum if and only if problem (P^{**}) admits a minimizer $v_0 \in \Omega$ such that

$$v'_0(t) \in co(C_{v_0(t)}) \quad \text{for a.e. } t \in A_{v_0}$$

where:

- $C_s := \{z > 0 : f(s, z) = f^{**}(s, z)\}$ denotes the contact set
- $co(C_{v_0(t)})$ denotes the convex envelope of the set $C_{v_0(t)}$.

Theorem 4. Let $f(s, \cdot)$ be continuous at 0 for every $s \in [\alpha, \beta]$.

Then, problem (P) admits minimum if and only if problem (P^{**}) admits a minimizer $v_0 \in \Omega$ such that

$$v'_0(t) \in co(C_{v_0(t)}) \quad \text{for a.e. } t \in A_{v_0}$$

where:

- $C_s := \{z > 0 : f(s, z) = f^{**}(s, z)\}$ denotes the contact set
- $co(C_{v_0(t)})$ denotes the convex envelope of the set $C_{v_0(t)}$.

Corollary 5. Under the same assumptions above, if $co(C_s) = (0, +\infty)$ for every $x \in [\alpha, \beta]$, then problem (P) admits minimum if and only if problem (P^{**}) admits minimum.

**Necessary and sufficient conditions
for the existence of the minimum for (P^{**})**

Necessary and sufficient conditions for the existence of the minimum for (P^{**})

Notations: for every $(s, z) \in [\alpha, \beta] \times (0, +\infty)$ put

$$g^-(s, z) := f^{**}(s, z) - zf_-^{**}(s, z) \quad g^+(s, z) := f^{**}(s, z) - zf_+^{**}(s, z)$$

where $f_-^{**}(s, z)$, $f_+^{**}(s, z)$ respectively denote the left and right derivative of $f^{**}(s, \cdot)$ at the point z .

Necessary and sufficient conditions for the existence of the minimum for (P^{**})

Notations: for every $(s, z) \in [\alpha, \beta] \times (0, +\infty)$ put

$$g^-(s, z) := f^{**}(s, z) - zf_-^{**}(s, z) \quad g^+(s, z) := f^{**}(s, z) - zf_+^{**}(s, z)$$

where $f_-^{**}(s, z)$, $f_+^{**}(s, z)$ respectively denote the left and right derivative of $f^{**}(s, \cdot)$ at the point z .

$$\lambda(s) := \inf_{z>0} g^+(s, z) \geq -\infty \quad \Lambda(s) := \sup_{z>0} g^-(s, z) \leq +\infty$$

and for $\lambda(s) < y < \Lambda(s)$ put $\gamma(s, y) := \max\{z > 0 : g^-(s, z) \geq y\}$

Necessary and sufficient conditions for the existence of the minimum for (P^{**})

Notations: for every $(s, z) \in [\alpha, \beta] \times (0, +\infty)$ put

$$g^-(s, z) := f^{**}(s, z) - zf_-^{**}(s, z) \quad g^+(s, z) := f^{**}(s, z) - zf_+^{**}(s, z)$$

where $f_-^{**}(s, z)$, $f_+^{**}(s, z)$ respectively denote the left and right derivative of $f^{**}(s, \cdot)$ at the point z .

$$\lambda(s) := \inf_{z>0} g^+(s, z) \geq -\infty \quad \Lambda(s) := \sup_{z>0} g^-(s, z) \leq +\infty$$

and for $\lambda(s) < y < \Lambda(s)$ put $\gamma(s, y) := \max\{z > 0 : g^-(s, z) \geq y\}$

$$c_0 := \operatorname{ess\,sup}_{s \in [\alpha, \beta]} \lambda(s)$$

Theorem 6. Let f^{**} be continuous on $[\alpha, \beta] \times [0, +\infty)$ and assume that if $c_0 < \mu$ we have $1/\gamma(s, c) \in L^1(\alpha, \beta)$ for every $c \in (c_0, \mu)$.

Theorem 6. Let f^{**} be continuous on $[\alpha, \beta] \times [0, +\infty)$ and assume that if $c_0 < \mu$ we have $1/\gamma(s, c) \in L^1(\alpha, \beta)$ for every $c \in (c_0, \mu)$.

- (necessity) If problem (P^{**}) admits minimum then

$$\int_{\alpha}^{\beta} \frac{1}{\gamma(s, \hat{c})} ds \leq b - a \quad (1)$$

for some real constant $\hat{c} \in [c_0, \mu]$.

Theorem 6. Let f^{**} be continuous on $[\alpha, \beta] \times [0, +\infty)$ and assume that if $c_0 < \mu$ we have $1/\gamma(s, c) \in L^1(\alpha, \beta)$ for every $c \in (c_0, \mu)$.

- (necessity) If problem (P^{**}) admits minimum then

$$\int_{\alpha}^{\beta} \frac{1}{\gamma(s, \hat{c})} ds \leq b - a \quad (1)$$

for some real constant $\hat{c} \in [c_0, \mu]$.

- (sufficiency) Vice versa, if (1) holds for some constant $\hat{c} \in (c_0, \mu]$ then problem (P^{**}) admits minimum.

Theorem 6. Let f^{**} be continuous on $[\alpha, \beta] \times [0, +\infty)$ and assume that if $c_0 < \mu$ we have $1/\gamma(s, c) \in L^1(\alpha, \beta)$ for every $c \in (c_0, \mu)$.

- (necessity) If problem (P^{**}) admits minimum then

$$\int_{\alpha}^{\beta} \frac{1}{\gamma(s, \hat{c})} ds \leq b - a \quad (1)$$

for some real constant $\hat{c} \in [c_0, \mu]$.

- (sufficiency) Vice versa, if (1) holds for some constant $\hat{c} \in (c_0, \mu]$ then problem (P^{**}) admits minimum.

- (Lip-regularity) Moreover, if (1) holds for some constant $\hat{c} > \max_{s \in [\alpha, \beta]} \lambda(s)$, then the minimizers are Lipschitz continuous.

- (strict monotonicity) Finally, if v_0 is a minimizer, we have

$$v_0'(t) > 0 \quad \text{a.e. in } (\alpha, \beta) \quad \Leftrightarrow \quad \int_{\alpha}^{\beta} \frac{1}{\gamma(s, \mu)} ds \geq b - a.$$

- (strict monotonicity) Finally, if v_0 is a minimizer, we have

$$v'_0(t) > 0 \text{ a.e. in } (\alpha, \beta) \quad \Leftrightarrow \quad \int_{\alpha}^{\beta} \frac{1}{\gamma(s, \mu)} ds \geq b - a.$$

Condition (1) is an upper limitation for the assigned mean slope ξ_0 :

$$\xi_0 \leq 1 / \int_{\alpha}^{\beta} \frac{1}{\gamma(s, \hat{c})} ds$$

Theorem 7. Under the same assumptions, if $\lambda(s) < c_0$ for a.e. $s \in (\alpha, \beta)$, then problem (P^{**}) admits minimum if and only if

Theorem 7. Under the same assumptions, if $\lambda(s) < c_0$ for a.e. $s \in (\alpha, \beta)$, then problem (P^{**}) admits minimum if and only if

$$c_0 \leq \mu \quad \text{and} \quad \int_{\alpha}^{\beta} \frac{1}{\gamma(s, c_0)} ds \leq b - a.$$

Theorem 7. Under the same assumptions, if $\lambda(s) < c_0$ for a.e. $s \in (\alpha, \beta)$, then problem (P^{**}) admits minimum if and only if

$$c_0 \leq \mu \quad \text{and} \quad \int_{\alpha}^{\beta} \frac{1}{\gamma(s, c_0)} ds \leq b - a.$$

Corollary 8. If $c_0 < \mu$ and $\lim_{c \rightarrow c_0^+} \int_{\alpha}^{\beta} \frac{1}{\gamma(s, c)} ds < b - a$, then problem (P^{**}) admits minimum.

Theorem 7. Under the same assumptions, if $\lambda(s) < c_0$ for a.e. $s \in (\alpha, \beta)$, then problem (P^{**}) admits minimum if and only if

$$c_0 \leq \mu \quad \text{and} \quad \int_{\alpha}^{\beta} \frac{1}{\gamma(s, c_0)} ds \leq b - a.$$

Corollary 8. If $c_0 < \mu$ and $\lim_{c \rightarrow c_0^+} \int_{\alpha}^{\beta} \frac{1}{\gamma(s, c)} ds < b - a$, then problem (P^{**}) admits minimum.

Instead, if $c_0 = -\infty$ and $\lim_{c \rightarrow -\infty} \int_{\alpha}^{\beta} \frac{1}{\gamma(s, c)} ds > b - a$ then (P^{**}) does not admit minimum.

Summarizing:

Summarizing:

- if $c_0 > \mu$ then (P^{**}) does not admit minimum for any $\xi_0 > 0$;

Summarizing:

- if $c_0 > \mu$ then (P^{**}) does not admit minimum for any $\xi_0 > 0$;
- if $c_0 < \mu$ then (P^{**}) admits minimum for ξ_0 small enough;

Summarizing:

- if $c_0 > \mu$ then (P^{**}) does not admit minimum for any $\xi_0 > 0$;
- if $c_0 < \mu$ then (P^{**}) admits minimum for ξ_0 small enough;
- if $c_0 < \mu$ and $\lim_{c \rightarrow c_0^+} \int_{\alpha}^{\beta} \frac{1}{\gamma(s, c)} ds = 0$, then the minimum exists for every $\xi_0 > 0$.

Summarizing:

- if $c_0 > \mu$ then (P^{**}) does not admit minimum for any $\xi_0 > 0$;
- if $c_0 < \mu$ then (P^{**}) admits minimum for ξ_0 small enough;
- if $c_0 < \mu$ and $\lim_{c \rightarrow c_0^+} \int_{\alpha}^{\beta} \frac{1}{\gamma(s, c)} ds = 0$, then the minimum exists for every $\xi_0 > 0$.

More in particular:

- If $c_0 > \lambda(s)$ a.e. in $[\alpha, \beta]$ then $\int_{\alpha}^{\beta} \frac{1}{\gamma(s, c_0)} ds > 0$ and the minimum exists if and only if $\xi_0 \leq 1 / \int_{\alpha}^{\beta} \frac{1}{\gamma(s, c_0)} ds$.

Summarizing:

- if $c_0 > \mu$ then (P^{**}) does not admit minimum for any $\xi_0 > 0$;
- if $c_0 < \mu$ then (P^{**}) admits minimum for ξ_0 small enough;
- if $c_0 < \mu$ and $\lim_{c \rightarrow c_0^+} \int_{\alpha}^{\beta} \frac{1}{\gamma(s, c)} ds = 0$, then the minimum exists for every $\xi_0 > 0$.

More in particular:

- If $c_0 > \lambda(s)$ a.e. in $[\alpha, \beta]$ then $\int_{\alpha}^{\beta} \frac{1}{\gamma(s, c_0)} ds > 0$ and the minimum exists if and only if $\xi_0 \leq 1 / \int_{\alpha}^{\beta} \frac{1}{\gamma(s, c_0)} ds$.
- If $c_0 = \lambda(s)$ a.e. in $[\alpha, \beta]$, then the minimum exists for every $\xi_0 > 0$.

Application to the case $f(s, z) = a(s) + h(z)$

Application to the case $f(s, z) = a(s) + h(z)$

with $a \in C[\alpha, \beta]$ and $h \in C^1[0, +\infty)$ convex (but not coercive).

Application to the case $f(s, z) = a(s) + h(z)$

with $a \in C[\alpha, \beta]$ and $h \in C^1[0, +\infty)$ convex (but not coercive).

$$M := \max_{s \in [\alpha, \beta]} a(s), \quad m := \min_{s \in [\alpha, \beta]} a(s)$$

$$\ell := \inf_{z > 0} (h(z) - zh'(z))$$

$$\gamma(y) = \max\{z > 0 : h(z) - zh'(z) \geq y\}.$$

Application to the case $f(s, z) = a(s) + h(z)$

with $a \in C[\alpha, \beta]$ and $h \in C^1[0, +\infty)$ convex (but not coercive).

$$M := \max_{s \in [\alpha, \beta]} a(s), \quad m := \min_{s \in [\alpha, \beta]} a(s)$$

$$\ell := \inf_{z > 0} (h(z) - zh'(z))$$

$$\gamma(y) = \max\{z > 0 : h(z) - zh'(z) \geq y\}.$$

- if $\ell = -\infty$, then the minimum exists for every slope $\xi_0 > 0$ with Lipschitz continuous minimizers;

- if $\ell > -\infty$ and $a(x) < M$ a.e. in (α, β) , then the minimum exists if and only if $M + \ell \leq m + h(0)$ and

$$\int_{\alpha}^{\beta} \frac{1}{\gamma(M + \ell - a(s))} ds \leq b - a.$$

- if $\ell > -\infty$ and $a(x) < M$ a.e. in (α, β) , then the minimum exists if and only if $M + \ell \leq m + h(0)$ and

$$\int_{\alpha}^{\beta} \frac{1}{\gamma(M + \ell - a(s))} ds \leq b - a.$$

Moreover, if $M + \ell < m + h(0)$ and

$$\lim_{c \rightarrow (M + \ell)^+} \int_{\alpha}^{\beta} \frac{1}{\gamma(c - a(s))} ds < b - a$$

then the minimizers are Lipschitz continuous.

Application to integrands with the structure $f(s, z) = a(s)h(z)$

Application to integrands with the structure $f(s, z) = a(s)h(z)$

- If $\ell = -\infty$ the minimum exists for every slope $\xi_0 > 0$; moreover, if $m > 0$ the minimizers are Lipschitz continuous.

Application to integrands with the structure $f(s, z) = a(s)h(z)$

- If $\ell = -\infty$ the minimum exists for every slope $\xi_0 > 0$; moreover, if $m > 0$ the minimizers are Lipschitz continuous.
- If $\ell = 0$, $m > 0$ and h is not affine in any half-line, then the minimum exists for every slope $\xi_0 > 0$ and the minimizers are Lipschitz continuous. Instead, if $\ell = 0$, $m = 0$ and h is strictly convex, then the minimum does not exist for any slope $\xi_0 > 0$.

Application to integrands with the structure $f(s, z) = a(s)h(z)$

- If $\ell = -\infty$ the minimum exists for every slope $\xi_0 > 0$; moreover, if $m > 0$ the minimizers are Lipschitz continuous.
- If $\ell = 0$, $m > 0$ and h is not affine in any half-line, then the minimum exists for every slope $\xi_0 > 0$ and the minimizers are Lipschitz continuous. Instead, if $\ell = 0$, $m = 0$ and h is strictly convex, then the minimum does not exist for any slope $\xi_0 > 0$.
- If $\ell > 0$ and $a(s) < M$ a.e. in (α, β) , then the minimum exists if and only if $M\ell \leq mh(0)$ and

$$\int_{\alpha}^{\beta} \frac{1}{\gamma(M\ell/a(s))} ds \leq b - a.$$

Moreover, if $M\ell < mh(0)$ and

$$\lim_{c \rightarrow (M\ell)^+} \int_{\alpha}^{\beta} \frac{1}{\gamma(c/a(s))} ds < b - a$$

then the minimizers are Lipschitz continuous.

Moreover, if $M\ell < mh(0)$ and

$$\lim_{c \rightarrow (M\ell)^+} \int_{\alpha}^{\beta} \frac{1}{\gamma(c/a(s))} ds < b - a$$

then the minimizers are Lipschitz continuous.

- If $-\infty < \ell < 0$ and $a(s) > m$ a.e. in (α, β) , then the minimum exists if and only if

$$\int_{\alpha}^{\beta} \frac{1}{\gamma(m\ell/a(s))} ds \leq b - a.$$

Moreover, if $M\ell < mh(0)$ and

$$\lim_{c \rightarrow (M\ell)^+} \int_{\alpha}^{\beta} \frac{1}{\gamma(c/a(s))} ds < b - a$$

then the minimizers are Lipschitz continuous.

- If $-\infty < \ell < 0$ and $a(s) > m$ a.e. in (α, β) , then the minimum exists if and only if

$$\int_{\alpha}^{\beta} \frac{1}{\gamma(m\ell/a(s))} ds \leq b - a.$$

Moreover, if $m > 0$ and $\lim_{c \rightarrow (m\ell)^+} \int_{\alpha}^{\beta} \frac{1}{\gamma(c/a(s))} ds < b - a$ then the minimizers are Lipschitz continuous.

Example 1. $f(s, z) = a(s) \left(\sqrt{1 + z^2} + k \right)$, $k \geq 0$. We have $\ell = k$.

Example 1. $f(s, z) = a(s) \left(\sqrt{1 + z^2} + k \right)$, $k \geq 0$. We have $\ell = k$.

- case $k = 0$: if $m > 0$ then problem (P) admits minimum for every $\xi_0 > 0$; if $m = 0$, then the minimum does not exist for any positive slope ξ_0 .

Example 1. $f(s, z) = a(s) \left(\sqrt{1 + z^2} + k \right)$, $k \geq 0$. We have $\ell = k$.

- case $k = 0$: if $m > 0$ then problem (P) admits minimum for every $\xi_0 > 0$; if $m = 0$, then the minimum does not exist for any positive slope ξ_0 .
- case $k > 0$: the minimum exists if and only if $Mk \leq m(k + 1)$ and

$$b - a \geq \int_{\alpha}^{\beta} k \frac{M - a(s)}{\sqrt{a^2(s) - k^2(M - a(s))^2}} dx.$$

Example 1. $f(s, z) = a(s) \left(\sqrt{1 + z^2} + k \right)$, $k \geq 0$. We have $\ell = k$.

- case $k = 0$: if $m > 0$ then problem (P) admits minimum for every $\xi_0 > 0$; if $m = 0$, then the minimum does not exist for any positive slope ξ_0 .
- case $k > 0$: the minimum exists if and only if $Mk \leq m(k + 1)$ and

$$b - a \geq \int_{\alpha}^{\beta} k \frac{M - a(s)}{\sqrt{a^2(s) - k^2(M - a(s))^2}} dx.$$

For instance, take $[\alpha, \beta] = [0, 1]$ and $a(s) = s + 1$. Then, $k \leq 1$ is a necessary condition for the existence of the minimum. Moreover, for $k = 1$ the minimum exists if and only if $b - a \geq 2/3$.

Example 2. (Newton's problem)

$$F(v) := \int_0^1 v(t) \frac{(v'(t))^3}{1 + (v'(t))^2} dt$$

in $\Omega := \{v \in W^{1,1}(0,1) : v(0) = 0, v(1) = \beta, v'(t) \geq 0 \text{ a.e.}\}.$

Example 2. (Newton's problem)

$$F(v) := \int_0^1 v(t) \frac{(v'(t))^3}{1 + (v'(t))^2} dt$$

in $\Omega := \{v \in W^{1,1}(0,1) : v(0) = 0, v(1) = \beta, v'(t) \geq 0 \text{ a.e.}\}.$

Put $h(z) = \frac{z^3}{1+z^2}$, we have $h^{**}(z) = h(z)$ if $0 \leq z \leq 1$, $h^{**}(z) = z - \frac{1}{2}$ if $z > 1$. Hence, $\ell = -\frac{1}{2}$, $m = 0$, so $c_0 = \mu = 0$ and $\gamma(0) = 0$.

Example 2. (Newton's problem)

$$F(v) := \int_0^1 v(t) \frac{(v'(t))^3}{1 + (v'(t))^2} dt$$

in $\Omega := \{v \in W^{1,1}(0,1) : v(0) = 0, v(1) = \beta, v'(t) \geq 0 \text{ a.e.}\}$.

Put $h(z) = \frac{z^3}{1+z^2}$, we have $h^{**}(z) = h(z)$ if $0 \leq z \leq 1$, $h^{**}(z) = z - \frac{1}{2}$ if $z > 1$. Hence, $\ell = -\frac{1}{2}$, $m = 0$, so $c_0 = \mu = 0$ and $\gamma(0) = 0$.

Then, the problem does not admit minimum for any $\beta > 0$.

Example 2. (Newton's problem)

$$F(v) := \int_0^1 v(t) \frac{(v'(t))^3}{1 + (v'(t))^2} dt$$

in $\Omega := \{v \in W^{1,1}(0,1) : v(0) = 0, v(1) = \beta, v'(t) \geq 0 \text{ a.e.}\}$.

Put $h(z) = \frac{z^3}{1+z^2}$, we have $h^{**}(z) = h(z)$ if $0 \leq z \leq 1$, $h^{**}(z) = z - \frac{1}{2}$ if $z > 1$. Hence, $\ell = -\frac{1}{2}$, $m = 0$, so $c_0 = \mu = 0$ and $\gamma(0) = 0$.

Then, the problem does not admit minimum for any $\beta > 0$.

Indeed, the functional

$$\int_0^1 v(t) \frac{(v'(t))^3}{1 + (v'(t))^2} dt + \frac{1}{2}(v(0))^2$$

in the class $\bar{\Omega} := \{v \in W^{1,1}(0,1) : v(0) \geq 0, v(1) = \beta, v'(t) \geq 0 \text{ a.e.}\}$ admits minimum attained at a function satisfying $v(0) > 0$.

Example 3. Consider $f(s, z) = a(s)e^{-z}$.

Then $\ell = 0$ and the minimum exists provided if $m > 0$.

Example 3. Consider $f(s, z) = a(s)e^{-z}$.

Then $\ell = 0$ and the minimum exists provided if $m > 0$.

Instead, for $f(s, z) = a(s) + e^{-z}$, if $M - m > 1$, the minimum does not exist for any $\xi_0 > 0$; while, if $0 < M - m \leq 1$ then the minimum exists if and only if the slope ξ_0 is sufficiently small.

Example 3. Consider $f(s, z) = a(s)e^{-z}$.

Then $\ell = 0$ and the minimum exists provided if $m > 0$.

Instead, for $f(s, z) = a(s) + e^{-z}$, if $M - m > 1$, the minimum does not exist for any $\xi_0 > 0$; while, if $0 < M - m \leq 1$ then the minimum exists if and only if the slope ξ_0 is sufficiently small.

Example 4. Take $f(s, z) = (s^p + k)z^q$, $(s, z) \in [0, \beta] \times [0, +\infty)$, with $k \geq 0$, $p > 0$ and $q > 1$.

Example 3. Consider $f(s, z) = a(s)e^{-z}$.

Then $\ell = 0$ and the minimum exists provided if $m > 0$.

Instead, for $f(s, z) = a(s) + e^{-z}$, if $M - m > 1$, the minimum does not exist for any $\xi_0 > 0$; while, if $0 < M - m \leq 1$ then the minimum exists if and only if the slope ξ_0 is sufficiently small.

Example 4. Take $f(s, z) = (s^p + k)z^q$, $(s, z) \in [0, \beta] \times [0, +\infty)$, with $k \geq 0$, $p > 0$ and $q > 1$.

In this case $\ell = -\infty$ and the minimum exists for every slope $\xi_0 > 0$, but the minimizer is Lipschitz continuous only if $k > 0$.

Example 3. Consider $f(s, z) = a(s)e^{-z}$.

Then $\ell = 0$ and the minimum exists provided if $m > 0$.

Instead, for $f(s, z) = a(s) + e^{-z}$, if $M - m > 1$, the minimum does not exist for any $\xi_0 > 0$; while, if $0 < M - m \leq 1$ then the minimum exists if and only if the slope ξ_0 is sufficiently small.

Example 4. Take $f(s, z) = (s^p + k)z^q$, $(s, z) \in [0, \beta] \times [0, +\infty)$, with $k \geq 0$, $p > 0$ and $q > 1$.

In this case $\ell = -\infty$ and the minimum exists for every slope $\xi_0 > 0$, but the minimizer is Lipschitz continuous only if $k > 0$.

Indeed, when $k = 0$, the minimizer is $v_0(t) = \beta t^{q/(p+q)}$ which is not Lipschitz continuous.

$$\lambda(s) = -\infty \quad \forall s \in [\alpha, \beta] \quad \Rightarrow \quad c_0 = -\infty$$

$$\lambda(s) = -\infty \quad \forall s \in [\alpha, \beta] \quad \Rightarrow \quad c_0 = -\infty$$

When $f(s, z) = a(s)h(z)$, with $\ell = \inf_{z>0} (h(z) - zh^-(z)) = -\infty$, the conditions coincide only when $m = \min_{s \in [\alpha, \beta]} a(s) > 0$.

$$\lambda(s) = -\infty \quad \forall s \in [\alpha, \beta] \quad \Rightarrow \quad c_0 = -\infty$$

When $f(s, z) = a(s)h(z)$, with $\ell = \inf_{z>0} (h(z) - zh^-(z)) = -\infty$, the conditions coincide only when $m = \min_{s \in [\alpha, \beta]} a(s) > 0$.

Nevertheless, when $m = 0$ and $a(s) > 0$ a.e. in (α, β) we have $c_0 = -\infty$ and the existence results can be applied.