# Imperfect Best-Response Mechanisms

#### Diodato Ferraioli

DIAG Sapienza Università di Roma

> joint work with Paolo Penna

# Best-response mechanisms [Nisan et al., 2011]

- ► At each time step, a subset of agents is adversarially chosen
- ► The selected agents adopt their best-response
- Repeat until the equilibrium has been reached
- Agents utilities/costs are only evaluated at the equilibrium

# Best-response mechanisms [Nisan et al., 2011]

- ► At each time step, a subset of agents is adversarially chosen
- ► The selected agents adopt their best-response
- Repeat until the equilibrium has been reached
- Agents utilities/costs are only evaluated at the equilibrium

#### **Examples**

- BGP
- some TCP variants
- GSP auctions
- ► Interns-Hospital Matching (IHM)

# Convergence & Incentive-Compatibility

## Convergence

▶ The dynamics will eventually converges to a Nash equilibrium

# Convergence & Incentive-Compatibility

# Convergence

The dynamics will eventually converges to a Nash equilibrium

#### Incentive Compatibility

- ▶ If a player does not play the best response whenever is selected, the dynamics will reach a different equilibrium
- ► The utility for this player at new equilibrium is lower than in the equilibrium reached by always playing the best response

# NBR-solvable games [Nisan et al., 2011]

# NBR-solvable game

- ▶ NBR strategy: a strategy that can never be a best-response
- ▶ A game solvable by iterated elimination of NBR strategies

# NBR-solvable games [Nisan et al., 2011]

# NBR-solvable game

- ▶ NBR strategy: a strategy that can never be a best-response
- A game solvable by iterated elimination of NBR strategies

#### Clear outcome

- ▶ A NBR solvable game has clear outcome if for each player i...
- ... there is a sequence of eliminations of NBR strategies...
- ▶ ... such that the equilibrium maximizes the utility of *i*...
- ▶ ... at the first time that *i* eliminate a strategy in this sequence

# NBR-solvable games [Nisan et al., 2011]

# NBR-solvable game

- ▶ NBR strategy: a strategy that can never be a best-response
- A game solvable by iterated elimination of NBR strategies

#### Clear outcome

- ▶ A NBR solvable game has clear outcome if for each player i...
- ... there is a sequence of eliminations of NBR strategies...
- ▶ ... such that the equilibrium maximizes the utility of i...
- ▶ ... at the first time that *i* eliminate a strategy in this sequence

BGP, TCP, GSP & IHM are NBR-solvable with clear outcomes

#### In this work...

# Theorem (Nisan et al., 2011)

- ► If a game is NBR-solvable, then the best-response mechanism converges
- ▶ If the NBR-solvable game has a clear outcome, then the best-response mechanism is also incentive-compatible

#### In this work...

## Theorem (Nisan et al., 2011)

- ► If a game is NBR-solvable, then the best-response mechanism converges
- ▶ If the NBR-solvable game has a clear outcome, then the best-response mechanism is also incentive-compatible

#### Our contribution

- ▶ What happen if an agent can sometimes take a wrong action?
- ▶ How resistant are these results to small perturbations?
- Are convergence and incentive-compatibility robust?

# Imperfect best-response mechanisms

# Best-response mechanism

- ► At each time step, a subset of agents is adversarially chosen
- ▶ The selected agents adopt their best-response
- Repeat until the equilibrium has been reached
- Agents utilities/costs are only evaluated at the equilibrium

# Imperfect best-response mechanisms

#### Best-response mechanism

- ► At each time step, a subset of agents is adversarially chosen
- ► The selected agents adopt their best-response
- Repeat until the equilibrium has been reached
- Agents utilities/costs are only evaluated at the equilibrium

#### *p*-imperfect best-response mechanism

- At each time step, a subset of agents is chosen by a non-adaptive adversary
- ► The selected agents adopt their best-response, except with probability *p*
- Repeat until the equilibrium has been reached
- Agents utilities/costs are only evaluated at the equilibrium

# Does the convergence result holds?

# Does the convergence result holds?

Obviously, if p is small...

# Does the convergence result holds?

## Obviously, if *p* is small...

#### WRONG!

- ▶ Even for *p* exponentially small in the number of players. . .
- ▶ there is a schedule of players such that for any t > 0...
- $\triangleright$  the p-imperfect mechanism is in the equilibrium at time t...
- ightharpoonup with probability at most arepsilon

#### The game

- ▶ n players with strategies  $s_0$  and  $s_1$
- lacktriangledown player i prefers strategy  $s_1$  only if  $1,\ldots,i-1$  are playing  $s_1$

#### The game

- ▶ n players with strategies  $s_0$  and  $s_1$
- lacktriangle player i prefers strategy  $s_1$  only if  $1,\ldots,i-1$  are playing  $s_1$

#### The *p*-imperfect mechanism

- ▶ if 1, ..., i-1 play  $s_1$ , player i gets wrong with probability p
- lacktriangle otherwise, she gets the wrong strategy with probability  $q \ll p$

#### The game

- ▶ n players with strategies  $s_0$  and  $s_1$
- lacktriangle player i prefers strategy  $s_1$  only if  $1,\ldots,i-1$  are playing  $s_1$

## The *p*-imperfect mechanism

- ▶ if 1, ..., i-1 play  $s_1$ , player i gets wrong with probability p
- lacktriangle otherwise, she gets the wrong strategy with probability  $q \ll p$
- ► The non-adaptive schedule repeat the following sequence: 12131214121312151213121412131216...

#### The game

- ▶ n players with strategies  $s_0$  and  $s_1$
- lacktriangle player i prefers strategy  $s_1$  only if  $1,\ldots,i-1$  are playing  $s_1$

## The *p*-imperfect mechanism

- ▶ if 1, ..., i-1 play  $s_1$ , player i gets wrong with probability p
- lacktriangle otherwise, she gets the wrong strategy with probability  $q \ll p$
- The non-adaptive schedule repeat the following sequence: 12131214121312151213121412131216...
  - ▶ Between two consecutive occurrence of *i* always appears j > i

#### The game

- ▶ n players with strategies  $s_0$  and  $s_1$
- lacktriangle player i prefers strategy  $s_1$  only if  $1,\ldots,i-1$  are playing  $s_1$

## The *p*-imperfect mechanism

- ▶ if 1, ..., i-1 play  $s_1$ , player i gets wrong with probability p
- lacktriangle otherwise, she gets the wrong strategy with probability  $q \ll p$
- ► The non-adaptive schedule repeat the following sequence: 12131214121312151213121412131216...
  - ▶ Between two consecutive occurrence of *i* always appears j > i
  - ▶ The length of the sequence is  $2^{n-1}$

#### The game

- ▶ n players with strategies  $s_0$  and  $s_1$
- lacktriangle player i prefers strategy  $s_1$  only if  $1,\ldots,i-1$  are playing  $s_1$

#### The *p*-imperfect mechanism

- ▶ if 1, ..., i-1 play  $s_1$ , player i gets wrong with probability p
- lacktriangle otherwise, she gets the wrong strategy with probability  $q \ll p$
- ► The non-adaptive schedule repeat the following sequence: 12131214121312151213121412131216...
  - $\triangleright$  Between two consecutive occurrence of i always appears i > i
  - ▶ The length of the sequence is  $2^{n-1}$
  - n appears only at the end of the sequence

#### The game

- ▶ n players with strategies  $s_0$  and  $s_1$
- lacktriangle player i prefers strategy  $s_1$  only if  $1,\ldots,i-1$  are playing  $s_1$

#### The *p*-imperfect mechanism

- ▶ if 1, ..., i-1 play  $s_1$ , player i gets wrong with probability p
- lacktriangle otherwise, she gets the wrong strategy with probability  $q \ll p$
- ► The non-adaptive schedule repeat the following sequence: 12131214121312151213121412131216...
  - ▶ Between two consecutive occurrence of *i* always appears j > i
  - ▶ The length of the sequence is  $2^{n-1}$
  - n appears only at the end of the sequence
- lacksquare if  $p=\Omega\left(rac{1}{2^{n-1}}
  ight)$  and q o 0, then n always plays  $s_0$  w.h.p.

# Convergence: a positive result

#### Convergence is not robust

- For best-response mechanisms, convergence result holds regardless of the schedule
- ► For *p*-imperfect mechanism, convergence results must depend on the schedule

# Convergence: a positive result

## Convergence is not robust

- ► For best-response mechanisms, convergence result holds regardless of the schedule
- ▶ For p-imperfect mechanism, convergence results must depend on the schedule

#### A positive result

- ▶ If p is small enough and the game is NBR-solvable...
- ▶ then a *p*-imperfect mechanism converges...

# Convergence: a positive result

#### Convergence is not robust

- For best-response mechanisms, convergence result holds regardless of the schedule
- ▶ For p-imperfect mechanism, convergence results must depend on the schedule

#### A positive result

- $\triangleright$  If p is small enough and the game is NBR-solvable...
- ▶ then a p-imperfect mechanism converges...
- ▶ but the bound on p depends on the schedule

	left	right
top	2, 1	1,0
bottom	0,0	0, <i>c</i>

	leit	right
top	2, 1	1,0
bottom	0,0	0, <i>c</i>

▶ It is a NBR-solvable game with clear outcome

	Tert	right
top	2, 1	1,0
bottom	0,0	0, <i>c</i>

- ▶ It is a NBR-solvable game with clear outcome
- If the row player gets wrong with prob. p and  $c = \Omega(1/p)$ , then the column player prefers to play right

	leit	right
top	2, 1	1,0
bottom	0,0	0, <i>c</i>

- ▶ It is a NBR-solvable game with clear outcome
- If the row player gets wrong with prob. p and  $c = \Omega(1/p)$ , then the column player prefers to play right

We need a quantitative definition of clear outcome

#### Theorem

A p-imperfect mechanism is incentive-compatible if for each i

$$u_i(NE) \ge \frac{1}{1-2\delta} \left( 2\delta \cdot u_i^{\star} + u_i^k \right)$$

- $\delta = \delta(p) > 0$
- $\triangleright$   $u_i^k$ : max utility player i achieves at her first elimination
- $\triangleright$   $u_i^*$ : max utility player i achieves in the entire game

#### Proof idea.

- ▶ If the player follows the *p*-imperfect mechanism. . .
- $\blacktriangleright$  ... then she gets  $u_i(NE)$
- ▶ Otherwise she gets at most  $u_i^*$  with prob. depending on p...
- $\triangleright$  ... and she gets at most  $u_i^k$  with remaining probability

# What happens for larger classes of games?

Different behavior for different schedules

# What happens for larger classes of games?

Different behavior for different schedules

Different behavior for different best-response mechanisms

$$\begin{array}{c|cc} & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0,0 & 0,1 \\ 1 & 0,1 & 1,0 \end{array}$$

#### Other results

▶ We try to describe how *p*-imperfect mechanism behave

#### Other results

- ▶ We try to describe how *p*-imperfect mechanism behave
- ... with an application to PageRank games

# Thank you!