Fosco Loregian

Ph.D. at SISSA - Trieste IT (but advisor in Rome)
 Stable homotopy theory, ∞-categories, derived AG

- Ph.D. at SISSA Trieste IT (but advisor in Rome)
   Stable homotopy theory, ∞-categories, derived AG

- Ph.D. at SISSA Trieste IT (but advisor in Rome)
   Stable homotopy theory, ∞-categories, derived AG
- Masaryk University Brno CZ 

  Accessible categories, derivators, 2-categories

- Ph.D. at SISSA Trieste IT (but advisor in Rome)
   Stable homotopy theory, ∞-categories, derived AG
- Masaryk University Brno CZ 

  Accessible categories, derivators, 2-categories
- Max Planck Inst. für Math. Bonn D = 2-categories, derivators, applied category theory

- Ph.D. at SISSA Trieste IT (but advisor in Rome)
   Stable homotopy theory, ∞-categories, derived AG
- Masaryk University Brno CZ 

  Accessible categories, derivators, 2-categories
- Max Planck Inst. für Math. Bonn D = 2-categories, derivators, applied category theory
- Centro de Matemàtica Coimbra PT
   2-categories; finishing my first book

- Ph.D. at SISSA Trieste IT (but advisor in Rome)
   Stable homotopy theory, ∞-categories, derived AG
- Masaryk University Brno CZ 

  Accessible categories, derivators, 2-categories
- Max Planck Inst. für Math. Bonn D = 2-categories, derivators, applied category theory
- Centro de Matemàtica Coimbra PT
   2-categories; finishing my first book
- Tallinna Tehnikaülikooli Tallinn EE 
   2-categories; functorial semantics; categorical probability theory and its applications

# STABLE HOMOTOPY THEORY

∞-categories: a thickening of the notion of category, suitable for homotopy-coherent mathematics (math.AG, math.AT, math.LO, cs.PL...).

∞-categories: a thickening of the notion of category, suitable for homotopy-coherent mathematics (math.AG, math.AT, math.LO, cs.PL...).

Turns out some parts of Mathematics are easier if stated in these terms:

- homological algebra: the scary part of algebraic topology

   <u>Phigher algebra</u>: the linear algebra of ∞-categories
- 1-topos theory: a synthetic type theory

   \\_∞-topos theory: a synthetic homotopy theory of homotopy types

#### A stable ∞-category is an ∞-category

- with all finite limits and colimits
- such that a square is cartesian iff cocartesian
- The homotopy category of a stable ∞-cat is always triangulated.

#### A stable ∞-category is an ∞-category

- with all finite limits and colimits
- such that a square is cartesian iff cocartesian
- The homotopy category of a stable ∞-cat is always triangulated.
- Sending an abelian category A into its derived category has a nice and clear universal property stated in terms of the heart of a canonical t-structure.

#### A stable ∞-category is an ∞-category

- with all finite limits and colimits
- such that a square is cartesian iff cocartesian
- The homotopy category of a stable ∞-cat is always triangulated.
- Sending an abelian category A into its derived category has a
  nice and clear universal property stated in terms of the heart of
  a canonical t-structure.
- Stable, rational, p-adic, ... homotopy theory become pieces of the commutative algebra of ∞-categories.

A t-structure on a triangulated  $\overline{\mathcal{D}}$  is a pair of triangulated subcategories of  $\mathcal{D}$  such that every object X lies in a sequence

$$X_{\leq} \to X \to X_{\geq} \to X_{\leq}[1]$$

[FL14  $\[ \]$ ]: On stable ∞-categories a t-structure is a factorization system (E, M)

• such that E and M are 3-for-2 classes

A t-structure on a triangulated  $\mathcal{D}$  is a pair of triangulated subcategories of  $\mathcal{D}$  such that every object X lies in a sequence

$$\textit{X}_{\leq} \rightarrow \textit{X} \rightarrow \textit{X}_{\geq} \rightarrow \textit{X}_{\leq}[1]$$

- such that E and M are 3-for-2 classes
- thus the category of E-cofibrant objects is coreflective

A t-structure on a triangulated  $\mathcal{D}$  is a pair of triangulated subcategories of  $\mathcal{D}$  such that every object X lies in a sequence

$$X_{\leq} \to X \to X_{\geq} \to X_{\leq}[1]$$

- such that E and M are 3-for-2 classes
- thus the category of *E*-cofibrant objects is coreflective
- and the category of M-fibrant objects is reflective

A t-structure on a triangulated  $\mathcal D$  is a pair of triangulated subcategories of  $\mathcal D$  such that every object X lies in a sequence

$$\textit{X}_{\leq} \rightarrow \textit{X} \rightarrow \textit{X}_{\geq} \rightarrow \textit{X}_{\leq}[1]$$

- such that E and M are 3-for-2 classes
- thus the category of E-cofibrant objects is coreflective
- and the category of M-fibrant objects is reflective
- cof/fib replacement =  $\pm$  truncation

A t-structure on a triangulated  $\mathcal D$  is a pair of triangulated subcategories of  $\mathcal D$  such that every object X lies in a sequence

$$\textit{X}_{\leq} \rightarrow \textit{X} \rightarrow \textit{X}_{\geq} \rightarrow \textit{X}_{\leq}[1]$$

- such that E and M are 3-for-2 classes
- thus the category of E-cofibrant objects is coreflective
- and the category of M-fibrant objects is reflective
- cof/fib replacement =  $\pm$  truncation

[FLM15 ☐] The set of t-structures has a natural choice of Z-action (Z = the integers); so, study Z-equivariant monotone maps from a poset P to TS(C). These are called slicings apply to: describe Bridgeland stability manifolds [L-PhD☐], and Postnikov towers on ∞-toposes.

- [FLM15 ☑] The set of t-structures has a natural choice of Z-action (Z = the integers); so, study Z-equivariant monotone maps from a poset P to TS(C). These are called slicings apply to: describe Bridgeland stability manifolds [L-PhD☑], and Postnikov towers on ∞-toposes.
- [FL15b ] Every stratified manifold (X, s) generates a pair of t-structure that can be glued together apply to: recollements, stratified schemes, representation of algebras

• The set of slicings on a stable ∞-category has a metrizable topology

- The set of slicings on a stable ∞-category has a metrizable topology
- The space

$$\{J \colon \mathbb{R} \to \mathit{TS}(\mathcal{C}) \mid J \text{ is Sorgenfrey continuous} \}$$

is an interesting set [L-PhD]

- The set of slicings on a stable ∞-category has a metrizable topology
- The space

$$\{J\colon \mathbb{R} o \mathit{TS}(\mathcal{C}) \mid J \text{ is Sorgenfrey continuous} \}$$

is an interesting set [L-PhD]

#### Conjecture


Study

$$\{J \colon \mathsf{Spec}(\mathbb{Z}) \to \mathit{TS}(\mathcal{D}(\mathsf{X}_p)) \mid J \text{ is Zariski continuous} \}$$

 $(X_p$  a variety in positive characteristic) to get something about motivic t-structure.




# Todo: Morse theory is a theory of FS



**Bord**(n) is the free ( $\infty$ ,n)-symmoncat on the point.


# Todo: Morse theory is a theory of FS



**Bord**(n) is the free ( $\infty$ ,n)-symmoncat on the point.

Tensor functors  $Z: Bord(n) \rightarrow Vect$  are completely classified.

# Todo: Morse theory is a theory of FS



**Bord**(n) is the free ( $\infty$ ,n)-symmoncat on the point.

Tensor functors  $Z: Bord(n) \rightarrow Vect$  are completely classified.

Morse theory is the theory of suitable factorization systems on Bord(n).

critical points of a Morse function correspond to critical values [L-PhD, Ch.7] of a certain slicing  $J : \mathbb{R} \to FS(Bord(n))$ .



A derivator is a strict 2-functor

$$\mathbb{D}: \textbf{Cat}^{\sf op} \to \textbf{CAT}$$

satisfying stacky conditions. They form the 2-category Der.

They subsume most of ∞-category theory; in particular, their stable homotopy.

A derivator is a strict 2-functor

$$\mathbb{D}:\mathsf{Cat}^\mathsf{op} o \mathsf{CAT}$$

satisfying stacky conditions. They form the 2-category Der.

They subsume most of ∞-category theory; in particular, their stable homotopy.

[LV17 : A t-structure on a stable derivator is still a certain kind of factorization system;

FS are still strict 2-algebras for the "squaring" 2-monad  $(\ \_)^2$ 

A derivator is a strict 2-functor

$$\mathbb{D}:\mathsf{Cat}^\mathsf{op} o \mathsf{CAT}$$

satisfying stacky conditions. They form the 2-category **Der**.

They subsume most of ∞-category theory; in particular, their stable homotopy.

[LV17]: A t-structure on a stable derivator is still a certain kind of factorization system;

FS are still strict 2-algebras for the "squaring" 2-monad (\_)<sup>2</sup>

[Lor18]: reflective subderivators correspond to reflective factorization systems, and to algebras for idempotent monads (the formal theory of monads [S80] still holds in **Der**)

There is a Yoneda structure<sup>1</sup> on the 2-category of derivators

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>A 2-categorical device to encode the calculus of pointwise Kan extensions.

There is a Yoneda structure<sup>1</sup> on the 2-category of derivators

 notions of accessible and locally presentable derivator using the theory of LPAO in a Yoneda structure, done in [DLL182]; categorical logic for derivators (see Prest's treatment of definability for module categories); derivator topos theory?

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>A 2-categorical device to encode the calculus of pointwise Kan extensions.

# There is a Yoneda structure<sup>1</sup> on the 2-category of derivators

- notions of accessible and locally presentable derivator using the theory of LPAO in a Yoneda structure, done in [DLL182]; categorical logic for derivators (see Prest's treatment of definability for module categories); derivator topos theory?
- adjoint functor theorems for derivators;
   existence of a six-operation calculus. 2-categorical account of Grothendieck duality complicated diagrams (without multicategories)

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>A 2-categorical device to encode the calculus of pointwise Kan extensions.

# There is a Yoneda structure<sup>1</sup> on the 2-category of derivators


- notions of accessible and locally presentable derivator using the theory of LPAO in a Yoneda structure, done in [DLL182]; categorical logic for derivators (see Prest's treatment of definability for module categories); derivator topos theory?
- adjoint functor theorems for derivators;
   existence of a six-operation calculus. 2-categorical account of Grothendieck duality complicated diagrams (without multicategories)
- profunctors between derivators; fibered derivators;
   operads in derivator theory; applications in representation theory of algebras, stable homotopy, ...

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>A 2-categorical device to encode the calculus of pointwise Kan extensions.

# COENDS AND DG-STUFF

#### Coends


I have written a book on coend calculus, soon to appear under Cambridge LNSs:



 Coends ∫<sub>C</sub> T are universal objects associated to T : C<sup>op</sup> × C → D, treated as integrals (a "Fubini rule" is valid).

#### Coends


I have written a book on coend calculus, soon to appear under Cambridge LNSs:



- Coends ∫<sub>C</sub> T are universal objects associated to T : C<sup>op</sup> × C → D, treated as integrals (a "Fubini rule" is valid).
- They find application in (monoidal) category theory, algebraic topology, algebraic geometry, categorical logic, representation theory (see ch.7 for an application to DG-categories), functional programming...

#### Coends

I have written a book on coend calculus, soon to appear under Cambridge LNSs:



- Coends ∫<sub>C</sub> T are universal objects associated to T : C<sup>op</sup> × C → D, treated as integrals (a "Fubini rule" is valid).
- They find application in (monoidal) category theory, algebraic topology, algebraic geometry, categorical logic, representation theory (see ch.7 for an application to DG-categories), functional programming...
- The book is being extensively cited (45 citations on Scholar May 17, 2020)

#### **DG-stuff**

#### In [L20, 7.2.2]

that the coherent end

 $\mbox{For example: if $\mathcal{A}$ is any dg-category its identity profunctor $\mathcal{A} \leadsto \mathcal{A}$ is a functor $\mathcal{A}^{\rm op} \boxtimes \mathcal{A} \to \operatorname{Ch}(\mathbb{Z})$, so}$ 

$$\oint_{A} \mathcal{A}(A,A) \tag{7.82}$$

i.e. the object of derived natural transformations of the identity functor  $\mathrm{id}_{\mathcal{A}}$ , recovers the *Hochschild complex* of  $\mathcal{A}$ . Then, if  $\mathcal{A}$  is an associative algebra regarded as a one-object dg-category concentrated in degree zero, the object  $H^n(\int_* A)$  is the *Hochschild cohomology* of A, understood in the classical sense of, say, [Pie82, Ch. 11].

#### **DG-stuff**

In [L20, 7.2.2]

For example: if  $\mathcal{A}$  is any dg-category its identity profunctor  $\mathcal{A} \rightsquigarrow \mathcal{A}$  is a functor  $\mathcal{A}^{\mathrm{op}} \boxtimes \mathcal{A} \to \mathrm{Ch}(\mathbb{Z})$ , so that the coherent end

$$\oint_{A} \mathcal{A}(A,A) \tag{7.82}$$

i.e. the object of derived natural transformations of the identity functor  $\mathrm{id}_{\mathcal{A}}$ , recovers the *Hochschild complex* of  $\mathcal{A}$ . Then, if  $\mathcal{A}$  is an associative algebra regarded as a one-object dg-category concentrated in degree zero, the object  $H^n(\int_* A)$  is the *Hochschild cohomology* of A, understood in the classical sense of, say, [Pie82, Ch. 11].

Applications to Kuznetsov-Lunts categorical resolutions of singularities: a smooth DG-category is a  $\mathcal{D}$  such that its identity profunctor  $h: \mathcal{D} \leadsto \mathcal{D}$  is a perfect object (read as: a variety is smooth if the diagonal map  $\Delta: X \to X \times X$  is smooth)

# TEACHING AND ORGANIZATIONAL

**ACTIVITIES** 

• 2015 A short course on model categories @unipv;

- 2015 A short course on model categories @unipv;
- 2016 "Elements of Finite Mathematics" @uwo (mostly statistics to kinesiologists).

- 2015 A short course on model categories @unipv;
- 2016 "Elements of Finite Mathematics" @uwo (mostly statistics to kinesiologists).
- 2016 Advisor of a BSc thesis @unibo, "Elementary aspects of adjoint functors". I enjoyed it!

- 2015 A short course on model categories @unipv;
- 2016 "Elements of Finite Mathematics" @uwo (mostly statistics to kinesiologists).
- 2016 Advisor of a BSc thesis @unibo, "Elementary aspects of adjoint functors". I enjoyed it!
- 2018 A short course on 2-category theory @unipd: monoidal and enriched, categories, the calculus of coends and Kan extensions, bicategories, monads...

- 2015 A short course on model categories @unipv;
- 2016 "Elements of Finite Mathematics" @uwo (mostly statistics to kinesiologists).
- 2016 Advisor of a BSc thesis @unibo, "Elementary aspects of adjoint functors". I enjoyed it!
- 2018 A short course on 2-category theory @unipd: monoidal and enriched, categories, the calculus of coends and Kan extensions, bicategories, monads...
- 2020 Category theory course Teacher @taltech. Mentoring activity for MSc students interested in category theory in CS.

2015 and 2019 Attendee and speaker at the Kan Seminar I
 a webinar on category theory

- 2015 and 2019 Attendee and speaker at the Kan Seminar I
   a webinar on category theory
- and Applied Category Theory 2019

   (a webinar on applied category theory, from which the paper [MLR<sup>+</sup>20]
   stemmed)

- 2015 and 2019 Attendee and speaker at the Kan Seminar I
   a webinar on category theory
- 2018 Organiser of the 103rd PSSL
   Peripathetic Seminar on Sheaves and Logic, Brno.

- 2015 and 2019 Attendee and speaker at the Kan Seminar I
   a webinar on category theory
- 2018 Organiser of the 103rd PSSL
   Peripathetic Seminar on Sheaves and Logic, Brno.
- 2019 and 2020 Among the organisers of ItaCa
   Italian Category theorists) in Milan and soon on zoom, due to COVID19.

- 2015 and 2019 Attendee and speaker at the Kan Seminar I
   a webinar on category theory
- 2018 Organiser of the 103rd PSSL
   Peripathetic Seminar on Sheaves and Logic, Brno.
- 2019 and 2020 Among the organisers of ItaCa
   Italian Category theorists) in Milan and soon on zoom, due to COVID19.
- · Reviewer for zbMath and AMS.

Homotopy theory and set theory: [DL18∑]
 no homotopy category of a model category is "concrete"; what about ∞-categories?

- Homotopy theory and set theory: [DL18☑]
   no homotopy category of a model category is "concrete"; what about ∞-categories?
- Functional programming and type theory:

HoTT, linear types, proof-checkers, categorical algebra in relational database architecture; natural language processing using category theory...

- Homotopy theory and set theory: [DL18☑]
   no homotopy category of a model category is "concrete"; what about ∞-categories?
- Functional programming and type theory:
   HoTT, linear types, proof-checkers, categorical algebra in relational database architecture; natural language processing using category theory...
- Categorical logic and foundations of mathematics;
   functorial semantics à la Lawvere, but sprinkled with operads and multicategories.

- Homotopy theory and set theory: [DL18☑]
   no homotopy category of a model category is "concrete"; what about ∞-categories?
- Functional programming and type theory:
   HoTT, linear types, proof-checkers, categorical algebra in relational database architecture; natural language processing using category theory...
- Categorical logic and foundations of mathematics;
   functorial semantics à la Lawvere, but sprinkled with operads and multicategories.
- more in detail, "2-semantics" of algebraic theories: profunctorial PROPs and theories, categorical algebra of cartesian bicategories...

#### Reach me out at my web page:



A human being should be able to change a diaper, plan an invasion, butcher a hog, conn a ship, design a building, write a sonnet, balance accounts, build a wall, set a bone, comfort the dying, take orders, give orders, cooperate, act alone, solve equations, analyze a new problem, pitch manure, program a computer, cook a tasty meal, fight efficiently, die gallantly. Specialization is for insects.

R. Heinlein