

# Diagnostic test evaluation with perfect reference test

---

Paolo Eusebi

16/09/2021

## Four phases in architecture of diagnostic research

- **Phase I** Do test results in patients with the target disorder differ from those in normal people?
- **Phase II** Are patients with certain test results more likely to have the target disorder than patients with other test results?
- **Phase III** Does the test result distinguish patients with and without the target disorder among patients in whom it is clinically reasonable to suspect that the disease is present?
- **Phase IV** Do patients who undergo this diagnostic test fare better (in their ultimate health outcomes) than similar patients who are not tested?

## Measures of diagnostic accuracy

	D+	D-
T+	TP	FP
T-	FN	TN

- TP = True Positives
- FP = False Positives
- TN = True Negatives
- FN = False Negatives

# Measures of diagnostic accuracy

	D+	D-
T+	TP	FP
T-	FN	TN

- Sensitivity =  $TP/D+$
- Specificity =  $TN/D-$
- PPV =  $TP/T+$
- NPV =  $TN/T-$

## Measures of diagnostic accuracy

- Sensitivity and specificity do not depend on the disease prevalence.
- PPV and NPV depend on the sensitivity, specificity, and the disease prevalence.

$$PPV = \frac{Se \cdot p}{Se \cdot p + (1 - Sp) \cdot (1 - p)}$$

$$NPV = \frac{Sp \cdot (1 - p)}{(1 - Se) \cdot p + Sp \cdot (1 - p)}$$

## Measures of diagnostic accuracy: Bayesian reasoning

Suppose a new HIV test has 95% Se and 98% Sp and is to be used in a population with a HIV prevalence of 1/1000.

We can simulate the diagnostic results in a population of 100,000 individuals.

	HIV+	HIV-
T+	95	1,998
T-	5	97,902

- $PPV = 95/(95+1,998) = 0.0045$  (Only 4.5%!)

Example from Spiegelhalter et al. *Bayesian approaches to clinical trials and health-care evaluation* John Wiley & Sons, 2004.

## Measures of diagnostic accuracy: Bayesian reasoning

$$P(\theta|Y) = \frac{P(\theta) \times P(Y|\theta)}{P(Y)}$$

$$\theta = \theta_0(HIV+), \theta_1(HIV-)$$

- Data probability

$$\begin{aligned} P(Y) &= P(\theta_0) \cdot P(Y|\theta_0) + P(\theta_1) \cdot P(Y|\theta_1) = \\ &= 0.001 \cdot 0.95 + 0.999 \cdot 0.02 = 0.02093 \end{aligned}$$

- Prior

$$P(\theta_0) = 1/1000 = 0.001$$

- Likelihood (95% Se)

$$P(Y|\theta_0) = 0.95$$

- Posterior

# Measures of diagnostic accuracy

- Frequencies

	D+	D-
T+	y[1]	y[3]
T-	y[2]	y[4]

- Probabilities

	D+	D-
T+	prob[1]	prob[3]
T-	prob[2]	prob[4]



## Measures of diagnostic accuracy

	D+	D-
T+	prob[1]	prob[3]
T-	prob[2]	prob[4]

We can define parameters as function of the cell probabilities:

- $p = \text{prob}[1] + \text{prob}[2]$
- $\text{Se} = \text{prob}[1] / (\text{prob}[1] + \text{prob}[2])$
- $\text{Sp} = \text{prob}[4] / (\text{prob}[3] + \text{prob}[4])$

Or cell probabilities in terms of parameters:

- $\text{prob}[1] = \text{Se} * p$
- $\text{prob}[2] = (1 - \text{Se}) * p$
- $\text{prob}[3] = \text{Sp} * (1 - p)$
- $\text{prob}[4] = \text{Sp} * (1 - p)$

# Bayesian model

```
"model {  
  
# likelihood  
y[1:4] ~ dmulti(prob[1:4], n)  
  
prob[1] <- p * Se  
prob[2] <- p * (1 - Se)  
prob[3] <- (1 - p) * (1 - Sp)  
prob[4] <- (1 - p) * Sp  
  
# priors  
p ~ dbeta(1, 1)  
Se ~ dbeta(1,1)  
Sp ~ dbeta(1,1)  
"
```

# Bayesian model

Let's code!