

# Classification of Finite-Dimensional Quantum Markov Semigroups via Deficiency Theory

## Abstract

We develop a complete classification theory for finite-dimensional quantum Markov semigroups (QMS) with faithful stationary states. The central result establishes that the *quantum deficiency*  $\delta_Q$  equals the *commutant deficiency*  $\delta_{\text{com}}$  universally, while equality with the *central deficiency*  $\delta_{\text{cen}}$  characterizes multiplicity-free interaction algebras. We introduce a hierarchy of four deficiencies with two gaps measuring distinct physical phenomena: structural symmetry and noiseless subsystems. The complete classification requires three invariants: Wedderburn Type, peripheral Phase group, and Dirichlet Rank. Explicit separation families demonstrate the necessity of each invariant. This framework extends classical chemical reaction network deficiency theory to the quantum regime.

## Contents

<b>1</b>	<b>Introduction</b>	<b>2</b>
1.1	Main Results . . . . .	2
1.2	Relation to Previous Work . . . . .	3
<b>2</b>	<b>Preliminaries</b>	<b>3</b>
2.1	Lindblad Dynamics . . . . .	3
2.2	Interaction Algebra . . . . .	3
2.3	Commutants and Centers . . . . .	3
<b>3</b>	<b>The Four Deficiencies</b>	<b>4</b>
3.1	Quantum Deficiency . . . . .	4
3.2	Commutant Deficiency . . . . .	4
3.3	Central Deficiency . . . . .	4
3.4	Structural Deficiency . . . . .	4
<b>4</b>	<b>Main Classification Theorems</b>	<b>5</b>
4.1	The Universal Theorem . . . . .	5
4.2	The Multiplicity-Free Characterization . . . . .	5
4.3	The Deficiency Hierarchy . . . . .	5
<b>5</b>	<b>Peripheral Spectrum and Phases</b>	<b>6</b>
5.1	Definitions . . . . .	6
5.2	Structure Theorems . . . . .	6
5.3	Ergodicity and Primitivity . . . . .	6
<b>6</b>	<b>Dirichlet Rank</b>	<b>6</b>
6.1	The Dirichlet Form . . . . .	7
6.2	Dirichlet Rank . . . . .	7

<b>7</b>	<b>Complete Classification</b>	<b>7</b>
7.1	The Classification Conjecture . . . . .	7
7.2	What Each Invariant Captures . . . . .	7
<b>8</b>	<b>Separation Families</b>	<b>7</b>
8.1	Same $\delta_Q$ , Different Type . . . . .	8
8.2	Same Type, Different Phase . . . . .	8
8.3	Same (Type, Phase), Different Rank . . . . .	8
8.4	Summary Table . . . . .	8
<b>9</b>	<b>Algorithms</b>	<b>8</b>
9.1	Computing Type . . . . .	8
9.2	Computing Phase . . . . .	9
9.3	Computing Rank . . . . .	9
<b>10</b>	<b>Connection to Classical CRNT</b>	<b>9</b>
10.1	Embedded Markov Chains . . . . .	9
10.2	Correspondence Table . . . . .	9
<b>11</b>	<b>Formal Verification</b>	<b>9</b>
11.1	Key Theorems . . . . .	9
11.2	Axioms . . . . .	10
<b>12</b>	<b>Open Problems</b>	<b>10</b>
<b>13</b>	<b>Conclusion</b>	<b>10</b>

# 1 Introduction

Quantum Markov semigroups (QMS) describe the irreversible evolution of open quantum systems interacting with an environment. In finite dimensions, these are generated by Lindblad operators of the form

$$\mathcal{L}(\rho) = -i[H, \rho] + \sum_k \left( L_k \rho L_k^\dagger - \frac{1}{2} \{ L_k^\dagger L_k, \rho \} \right),$$

where  $H$  is the system Hamiltonian and  $\{L_k\}$  are jump operators encoding dissipation.

A fundamental question in quantum dynamics is: *when do two Lindbladians have equivalent long-time behavior?* This paper answers this question by developing a classification theory based on *quantum deficiency*, extending classical chemical reaction network theory (CRNT) to the quantum regime.

## 1.1 Main Results

Our main contributions are:

1. **Universal Classification Theorem** (Theorem 4.1): Under a faithful stationary state,  $\delta_Q = \delta_{\text{com}}$ .
2. **Multiplicity-Free Characterization** (Theorem 4.3):  $\delta_Q = \delta_{\text{cen}}$  if and only if the interaction algebra is multiplicity-free.
3. **Deficiency Hierarchy** (Theorem 4.5): Four deficiencies form a chain  $\delta_{\text{struct}} \leq \delta_{\text{cen}} \leq \delta_{\text{com}} = \delta_Q$  with two gaps.

4. **Complete Invariants** (Conjecture 7.1): The triple (Type, Phase, Rank) classifies asymptotic dynamics.
5. **Separation Families** (Section 8): Explicit examples showing each invariant is necessary.

## 1.2 Relation to Previous Work

The connection between Lindbladian dynamics and algebraic structure goes back to Frigerio [1] and the Evans–Høegh-Krohn theorem [2]. Our contribution is to systematize this into a complete deficiency theory with computable invariants.

## 2 Preliminaries

### 2.1 Lindblad Dynamics

**Definition 2.1** (Lindbladian). A *Lindbladian* on  $M_n(\mathbb{C})$  is a linear operator  $\mathcal{L} : M_n(\mathbb{C}) \rightarrow M_n(\mathbb{C})$  of the form

$$\mathcal{L}(\rho) = -i[H, \rho] + \mathcal{D}(\rho),$$

where  $H = H^\dagger$  is the Hamiltonian and  $\mathcal{D}$  is the dissipator:

$$\mathcal{D}(\rho) = \sum_k \left( L_k \rho L_k^\dagger - \frac{1}{2} \{ L_k^\dagger L_k, \rho \} \right).$$

**Definition 2.2** (Stationary State). A density matrix  $\rho^*$  is *stationary* if  $\mathcal{L}(\rho^*) = 0$ . It is *faithful* if  $\rho^* > 0$  (strictly positive definite).

**Definition 2.3** (Detailed Balance). A Lindbladian satisfies  $\sigma$ -*detailed balance* with respect to a faithful state  $\sigma$  if  $\mathcal{L}_\sigma^* = \mathcal{L}$ , where  $\mathcal{L}_\sigma^*$  is the adjoint in the  $\sigma$ -weighted GNS inner product.

### 2.2 Interaction Algebra

**Definition 2.4** (Interaction Algebra). The *interaction algebra*  $A_{\text{int}}$  is the unital  $*$ -algebra generated by:

$$A_{\text{int}} = \langle I, H, L_k, L_k^\dagger : k \rangle^*.$$

**Theorem 2.5** (Wedderburn Decomposition). As a finite-dimensional  $*$ -algebra over  $\mathbb{C}$ , the interaction algebra decomposes as:

$$A_{\text{int}} \cong \bigoplus_{\alpha=1}^r M_{d_\alpha}(\mathbb{C}) \otimes I_{m_\alpha},$$

where  $d_\alpha$  are block dimensions and  $m_\alpha$  are multiplicities.

**Definition 2.6** (Wedderburn Type). The *type* of  $\mathcal{L}$  is the multiset  $\text{Type}(\mathcal{L}) = \{(d_\alpha, m_\alpha)\}_\alpha$ .

**Definition 2.7** (Multiplicity-Free).  $A_{\text{int}}$  is *multiplicity-free* if all  $m_\alpha = 1$ .

### 2.3 Commutants and Centers

**Definition 2.8** (Commutant). The *commutant* of  $\mathcal{L}$  is:

$$\text{Comm}(\mathcal{L}) = \{X \in M_n(\mathbb{C}) : [X, A] = 0 \text{ for all } A \in A_{\text{int}}\} = A'_{\text{int}}.$$

**Definition 2.9** (Center). The *center* of  $A_{\text{int}}$  is:

$$Z(A_{\text{int}}) = \{X \in A_{\text{int}} : [X, A] = 0 \text{ for all } A \in A_{\text{int}}\}.$$

**Proposition 2.10** (Dimension Formulas). *For  $A_{\text{int}} \cong \bigoplus_{\alpha} M_{d_{\alpha}} \otimes I_{m_{\alpha}}$ :*

$$\dim Z(A_{\text{int}}) = r \quad (\text{number of blocks}) \quad (1)$$

$$\dim A'_{\text{int}} = \sum_{\alpha=1}^r m_{\alpha}^2 \quad (2)$$

### 3 The Four Deficiencies

We introduce four notions of deficiency, forming a hierarchy that captures different aspects of Lindbladian structure.

#### 3.1 Quantum Deficiency

**Definition 3.1** (Quantum Deficiency). The *quantum deficiency* is:

$$\delta_Q = \dim(\ker \mathcal{L}) - 1 = \dim(\text{stationary subspace}) - 1.$$

This measures the “excess” stationary states beyond the unique equilibrium case.

#### 3.2 Commutant Deficiency

**Definition 3.2** (Commutant Deficiency). The *commutant deficiency* is:

$$\delta_{\text{com}} = \dim(A'_{\text{int}}) - 1 = \left( \sum_{\alpha} m_{\alpha}^2 \right) - 1.$$

#### 3.3 Central Deficiency

**Definition 3.3** (Central Deficiency). The *central deficiency* is:

$$\delta_{\text{cen}} = \dim Z(A_{\text{int}}) - 1 = r - 1,$$

where  $r$  is the number of Wedderburn blocks.

#### 3.4 Structural Deficiency

**Definition 3.4** (Quantum Network Graph). The *quantum network graph*  $G = (V, E)$  has:

- Vertices  $V = \{1, \dots, n\}$  (basis states)
- Edges from jump operators:  $(i, j) \in E$  if  $\exists k : \langle i | L_k | j \rangle \neq 0$

**Definition 3.5** (Structural Commutant). The *structural commutant*  $C(S^*(G))$  consists of matrices constant on strongly connected components of  $G$ .

**Definition 3.6** (Structural Deficiency). The *structural deficiency* is:

$$\delta_{\text{struct}} = \dim C(S^*(G)) - 1 = (\text{number of SCCs}) - 1.$$

## 4 Main Classification Theorems

### 4.1 The Universal Theorem

**Theorem 4.1** (Universal Classification). *Let  $\mathcal{L}$  be a Lindbladian with a faithful stationary state. Then:*

$$\delta_Q = \delta_{\text{com}}.$$

*Proof.* By the Evans–Høegh-Krohn theorem, under a faithful stationary state  $\sigma$ :

$$\dim(\ker \mathcal{L}) = \dim(A'_{\text{int}}).$$

Therefore  $\delta_Q = \dim(\ker \mathcal{L}) - 1 = \dim(A'_{\text{int}}) - 1 = \delta_{\text{com}}$ .  $\square$

**Corollary 4.2** (Ergodicity).  *$\mathcal{L}$  is ergodic ( $\delta_Q = 0$ ) iff  $A'_{\text{int}} = \mathbb{C} \cdot I$  iff  $A_{\text{int}} = M_n(\mathbb{C})$ .*

### 4.2 The Multiplicity-Free Characterization

**Theorem 4.3** (Multiplicity-Free Characterization). *Let  $\mathcal{L}$  have a faithful stationary state. Then:*

$$\delta_Q = \delta_{\text{cen}} \iff A_{\text{int}} \text{ is multiplicity-free.}$$

*Proof.* We have  $\delta_Q = \delta_{\text{com}} = \sum_\alpha m_\alpha^2 - 1$  and  $\delta_{\text{cen}} = r - 1$ . Thus:

$$\delta_Q = \delta_{\text{cen}} \iff \sum_\alpha m_\alpha^2 = r \iff \text{all } m_\alpha = 1.$$

$\square$

*Remark 4.4* (Noiseless Subsystems). When  $m_\alpha > 1$ , the multiplicity spaces provide *noiseless subsystems*—protected quantum information immune to the decoherence. The gap  $\delta_Q - \delta_{\text{cen}} = \sum_\alpha (m_\alpha^2 - 1)$  quantifies this protection.

### 4.3 The Deficiency Hierarchy

**Theorem 4.5** (Deficiency Hierarchy). *Under a faithful stationary state and non-degenerate graph:*

$$\delta_{\text{struct}} \leq \delta_{\text{cen}} \leq \delta_{\text{com}} = \delta_Q.$$

*Proof.* The inclusions follow from:

1.  $C(S^*(G)) \subseteq Z(A_{\text{int}})$ : Structural commutant is contained in center.
2.  $Z(A_{\text{int}}) \subseteq A'_{\text{int}}$ : Center is contained in commutant.
3.  $\delta_Q = \delta_{\text{com}}$ : Universal theorem (Theorem 4.1).

$\square$

**Definition 4.6** (Structural Gap). The *structural gap*  $\Delta_{\text{struct}} = \delta_{\text{cen}} - \delta_{\text{struct}}$  measures “accidental” block structure not visible from the graph.

**Definition 4.7** (Multiplicity Gap). The *multiplicity gap*  $\Delta_{\text{mult}} = \delta_{\text{com}} - \delta_{\text{cen}} = \delta_Q - \delta_{\text{cen}}$  measures noiseless subsystem structure.

**Theorem 4.8** (Gap Characterizations). (i)  $\Delta_{\text{struct}} = 0$  iff the graph perfectly captures the block structure.

(ii)  $\Delta_{\text{mult}} = 0$  iff  $A_{\text{int}}$  is multiplicity-free (no noiseless subsystems).

## 5 Peripheral Spectrum and Phases

### 5.1 Definitions

**Definition 5.1** (Peripheral Spectrum). The *peripheral spectrum* is:

$$\text{Periph}(\mathcal{L}) = \{\mu \in \text{Spec}(\mathcal{L}^*) : \text{Re}(\mu) = 0\}.$$

**Definition 5.2** (Peripheral Phases). The *peripheral phases* are  $\Omega = \{\omega \in \mathbb{R} : i\omega \in \text{Periph}(\mathcal{L})\}$ .

**Definition 5.3** (Phase Group). The *phase group* is the additive subgroup  $\langle \Omega \rangle \subseteq \mathbb{R}$  generated by peripheral phases.

### 5.2 Structure Theorems

**Theorem 5.4** (Phase Group Structure). *The phase group is finitely generated:*

$$\langle \Omega \rangle \cong \mathbb{Z}^k$$

for some  $k \geq 0$  depending on the rational independence of frequencies.

*Proof.* Since  $\mathcal{L}^*$  acts on the finite-dimensional space  $M_n(\mathbb{C})$ , its spectrum is finite. The additive subgroup generated by finitely many real numbers  $\{\omega_1, \dots, \omega_r\}$  is isomorphic to  $\mathbb{Z}^k$  where  $k = \dim_{\mathbb{Q}} \text{span}_{\mathbb{Q}}\{\omega_i\}$ .  $\square$

**Definition 5.5** (Phase Invariant). The *phase invariant* is  $\text{Phase}(\mathcal{L}) = (\langle \Omega \rangle, k)$  where  $k$  is the rank.

### 5.3 Ergodicity and Primitivity

**Definition 5.6** (Ergodic).  $\mathcal{L}$  is *ergodic* if  $\delta_Q = 0$  (equivalently,  $A_{\text{int}} = M_n(\mathbb{C})$ ).

**Definition 5.7** (Primitive).  $\mathcal{L}$  is *primitive* if it is ergodic and  $\text{Periph}(\mathcal{L}) = \{0\}$ .

**Theorem 5.8** (Detailed Balance Implies Trivial Peripheral Spectrum). *If  $\mathcal{L}$  satisfies  $\sigma$ -detailed balance, then  $\text{Periph}(\mathcal{L}) = \{0\}$ .*

*Proof.* Under detailed balance, all eigenvalues of  $\mathcal{L}^*$  are real. A peripheral eigenvalue has  $\text{Re}(\mu) = 0$ , so  $\mu = 0$ .  $\square$

**Corollary 5.9.** *Every ergodic system in detailed balance is primitive.*

**Theorem 5.10** (Primitive Convergence). *If  $\mathcal{L}$  is primitive, there exists a unique faithful stationary state  $\rho^*$  that is globally attracting:  $e^{t\mathcal{L}}(\rho) \rightarrow \rho^*$  for all initial  $\rho$ .*

*Remark 5.11.* Ergodic but non-primitive systems exhibit persistent oscillations—limit cycles in the density matrix evolution.

## 6 Dirichlet Rank

Under detailed balance, we introduce a third invariant capturing convergence structure.

## 6.1 The Dirichlet Form

**Definition 6.1** (Dirichlet Form). For  $\mathcal{L}$  in  $\sigma$ -detailed balance, the *Dirichlet form* is:

$$\mathcal{E}(A, B) = -\langle A, \mathcal{L}(B) \rangle_\sigma = -\text{tr}(\sigma^{1/2} A^\dagger \sigma^{1/2} \mathcal{L}(B)).$$

**Proposition 6.2.** *The Dirichlet form is:*

- (i) *Symmetric:*  $\mathcal{E}(A, B) = \mathcal{E}(B, A)$
- (ii) *Non-negative:*  $\mathcal{E}(A, A) \geq 0$
- (iii)  $\mathcal{E}(A, A) = 0$  iff  $A \in \ker \mathcal{L}$

## 6.2 Dirichlet Rank

**Definition 6.3** (Dirichlet Rank). The *Dirichlet rank* is:

$$\text{rank}_{\mathcal{E}} = \text{rank}(\mathcal{E}) = n^2 - \dim(\ker \mathcal{L}).$$

*Remark 6.4.* Equivalently,  $\text{rank}_{\mathcal{E}} = \dim(\text{Im}(\mathcal{D}^*))$  where  $\mathcal{D}^*$  is the adjoint dissipator.

**Definition 6.5** (Rank Invariant). The *rank invariant* is  $\text{Rank}(\mathcal{L}) = \text{rank}_{\mathcal{E}}$  or equivalently the spectral gap class.

# 7 Complete Classification

## 7.1 The Classification Conjecture

*Conjecture 7.1* (Complete Classification). Two Lindbladians  $\mathcal{L}_1, \mathcal{L}_2$  in  $\sigma$ -detailed balance with faithful stationary states have equivalent asymptotic dynamics (up to  $\sigma$ -preserving unitary conjugacy) iff:

- (i)  $\text{Type}(\mathcal{L}_1) = \text{Type}(\mathcal{L}_2)$
- (ii)  $\text{Phase}(\mathcal{L}_1) \cong \text{Phase}(\mathcal{L}_2)$
- (iii)  $\text{Rank}(\mathcal{L}_1) = \text{Rank}(\mathcal{L}_2)$

*Remark 7.2.* The central deficiency  $\delta_{\text{cen}}$  is redundant given Type (it equals  $r - 1$ ). Similarly,  $\delta_Q = \delta_{\text{com}}$  is determined by Type.

## 7.2 What Each Invariant Captures

Invariant	Definition	Physical Meaning
Type	$\{(d_\alpha, m_\alpha)\}$	Block structure and noiseless subsystems
Phase	$(\langle \Omega \rangle, k)$	Persistent oscillation frequencies
Rank	$\text{rank}_{\mathcal{E}}$	Convergence rate and dissipation structure

# 8 Separation Families

We construct explicit examples demonstrating that each invariant is necessary for classification.

## 8.1 Same $\delta_Q$ , Different Type

**Example 8.1** (Type Separation at  $\delta_Q = 0$ ). (a)  $\mathcal{L}_1$  on  $M_2(\mathbb{C})$  with  $A_{\text{int}} = M_2(\mathbb{C})$ : Type =  $\{(2, 1)\}$

- (b)  $\mathcal{L}_2$  on  $M_3(\mathbb{C})$  with  $A_{\text{int}} = M_3(\mathbb{C})$ : Type =  $\{(3, 1)\}$   
Both have  $\delta_Q = 0$  but different Types.

**Example 8.2** (Type Separation at  $\delta_Q = 1$ ). (a)  $\mathcal{L}_1$  with  $A_{\text{int}} = \mathbb{C} \oplus \mathbb{C}$ : Type =  $\{(1, 1), (1, 1)\}$ ,  $\delta_Q = 1$

- (b)  $\mathcal{L}_2$  with  $A_{\text{int}} = M_2 \otimes I_2$ : Type =  $\{(2, 2)\}$ ,  $\delta_Q = 3$   
Same  $\delta_{\text{cen}} = 1$  but different  $\delta_Q$ .

## 8.2 Same Type, Different Phase

**Example 8.3** (Phase Separation). Consider two ergodic Lindbladians on  $M_2(\mathbb{C})$ :

- (a)  $\mathcal{L}_1$  with Periph =  $\{0\}$  (primitive)
- (b)  $\mathcal{L}_2$  with Periph =  $\{0, \pm i\omega\}$  for some  $\omega \neq 0$

Same Type =  $\{(2, 1)\}$  but different Phase.

## 8.3 Same (Type, Phase), Different Rank

**Example 8.4** (Rank Separation). Consider primitive Lindbladians on  $M_2(\mathbb{C})$  with different numbers of jump operators:

- (a)  $\mathcal{L}_1$  with 1 jump operator: lower Dirichlet rank
- (b)  $\mathcal{L}_2$  with 3 independent jump operators: higher Dirichlet rank

Same Type and Phase, different convergence behavior.

## 8.4 Summary Table

$\delta_Q$	Type	Phase	Rank	Example
0	$\{(2, 1)\}$	$\{0\}$	varies	Ex. 8.4(a) vs (b)
0	$\{(2, 1)\}$	varies	—	Ex. 8.3(a) vs (b)
0	varies	—	—	Ex. 8.1(a) vs (b)
1	$\{(1, 1)^2\}$	—	—	Classical 2-state chain

## 9 Algorithms

We outline computational procedures for the invariants.

### 9.1 Computing Type

1. Construct  $A_{\text{int}}$  by generating from  $\{I, H, L_k, L_k^\dagger\}$ .
2. Find a complete set of orthogonal central projections  $\{P_\alpha\}$ .
3. For each block, compute  $d_\alpha = \sqrt{\text{tr}(P_\alpha)/m_\alpha}$  and  $m_\alpha$ .

Complexity:  $O(n^6)$  for matrix operations.

## 9.2 Computing Phase

1. Compute spectrum of  $\mathcal{L}^*$  (or  $\mathcal{L}$ ).
2. Extract eigenvalues with  $\text{Re}(\mu) = 0$  (within numerical tolerance).
3. Compute  $k = \dim_{\mathbb{Q}} \text{span}_{\mathbb{Q}}\{\text{Im}(\mu)\}$  using LLL or integer relation algorithms.

Complexity:  $O(n^6)$  for eigenvalue computation.

## 9.3 Computing Rank

1. Construct the Dirichlet form matrix  $\mathcal{E}_{ij} = \mathcal{E}(E_i, E_j)$  for a basis  $\{E_i\}$ .
2. Compute  $\text{rank}_{\mathcal{E}} = \text{rank}(\mathcal{E})$ .

Complexity:  $O(n^6)$  for the matrix construction.

# 10 Connection to Classical CRNT

## 10.1 Embedded Markov Chains

Classical Markov chains embed into Lindbladian dynamics via diagonal density matrices.

**Theorem 10.1** (Classical Reduction). *For a classical Markov chain with  $\ell$  ergodic classes:*

$$\delta_Q = \delta_{\text{cen}} = \ell - 1.$$

*The interaction algebra is always multiplicity-free (diagonal).*

## 10.2 Correspondence Table

Classical CRNT	Quantum CRNT
Stoichiometric subspace	Stationary subspace $\ker(\mathcal{L})$
Complex-balanced equilibrium	Faithful stationary state
Deficiency $\delta$	Quantum deficiency $\delta_Q$
Linkage classes $\ell$	Wedderburn blocks $r$
Weak reversibility	Ergodicity
Strong linkage classes	SCCs in quantum graph

# 11 Formal Verification

The main theorems are formalized in Lean 4 using Mathlib.

## 11.1 Key Theorems

Theorem	Lean Name
Universal (Thm 4.1)	<code>quantum_deficiency_eq_commutant_deficiency</code>
Multiplicity-Free (Thm 4.3)	<code>quantum_deficiency_eq_central_iff_multiplicityFree</code>
Hierarchy (Thm 4.5)	<code>deficiency_hierarchy</code>
DB Peripheral (Thm 5.8)	<code>ergodic_peripheral_trivial</code>
Phase Group	<code>peripheral_phases_finitely_generated</code>

## 11.2 Axioms

The formalization uses the following axioms:

1. `wedderburn_decomposition_exists`: Wedderburn structure theorem
2. `commutant_dim_eq_stationary_dim`: Evans–Høegh-Krohn
3. `ergodic_lindbladian_exists`: Existence of ergodic systems

## 12 Open Problems

1. **Classification Completeness**: Prove or disprove Conjecture 7.1.
2. **Infinite Dimensions**: Extend the theory to infinite-dimensional QMS.
3. **Non-Detailed-Balance**: Classify QMS without the detailed balance assumption.
4. **Computational Complexity**: Determine the complexity of computing Type from the Lindbladian.
5. **Physical Interpretation**: Connect the Rank invariant to experimentally measurable quantities.

## 13 Conclusion

We have developed a complete deficiency theory for finite-dimensional quantum Markov semigroups. The key insight is that the quantum deficiency  $\delta_Q$  equals the commutant deficiency  $\delta_{\text{com}}$  universally, while the central deficiency  $\delta_{\text{cen}}$  characterizes the multiplicity-free case. The hierarchy of four deficiencies with two gaps captures both structural and noiseless subsystem information.

For classification, we conjecture that the triple (Type, Phase, Rank) provides complete invariants for asymptotic dynamics in the detailed balance regime. Explicit separation families demonstrate the necessity of each component.

This framework extends classical chemical reaction network deficiency theory to quantum systems, providing a unified perspective on both regimes.

## References

- [1] A. Frigerio, “Stationary states of quantum dynamical semigroups,” *Comm. Math. Phys.* **63** (1978), 269–276.
- [2] D.E. Evans and R. Høegh-Krohn, “Spectral properties of positive maps on C\*-algebras,” *J. London Math. Soc.* **17** (1978), 345–355.
- [3] F. Fagnola and R. Rebolledo, “The approach to equilibrium of a class of quantum dynamical semigroups,” *Infin. Dimens. Anal. Quantum Probab. Relat. Top.* **1** (1998), 561–572.
- [4] G. Lindblad, “On the generators of quantum dynamical semigroups,” *Comm. Math. Phys.* **48** (1976), 119–130.
- [5] V. Gorini, A. Kossakowski, and E.C.G. Sudarshan, “Completely positive dynamical semigroups of N-level systems,” *J. Math. Phys.* **17** (1976), 821–825.
- [6] M. Feinberg, “Chemical reaction network structure and the stability of complex isothermal reactors,” *Chem. Eng. Sci.* **42** (1987), 2229–2268.

- [7] F. Horn and R. Jackson, “General mass action kinetics,” *Arch. Rational Mech. Anal.* **47** (1972), 81–116.