## Data Informed VC

The objective of this research is to try to give subjective private company valuations an objective basis. The techniques below can be used to create an estimate for the performance of private companies in a specific remit, by assimilating private company valuations with public company valuations.

Sector drift and volatility ( $\mu_M$  and  $\sigma_M$ ) can be approximated and used under the assumption that at any given time t, a company can be valuated at  $V_t$  over continuous time. This can be used to probabilistically forecast company valuation in between funding rounds.

The model proposed below is entirely designed with the hope to minimise the number of companies required to make an informed approximation of sector drift and volatility.

The data required for these estimations is simply a big list of companies in a remit M, a post money valuation after a fundraising round, and a pre-money valuation before the next funding round, as well as the time in between the events.

## Model Core Assumptions

- 1.  $\sigma_M$  and  $\mu_M$  are time independent
- 2. Valuation is a continuous geometric brownian

The statistical approach below is aimed at building probability distributions for  $\sigma_M$  and  $\mu_M$ .

#### Stochastic model core

At the core of our model, we have the subjective-ish value of a business  $V_t$  in time-slices excluding the occasional dramatic fundraising/loss events ( $V_t$  may represent business value in stable operating periods).

$$dV_t pprox V_t (\mu_M dt + \sigma_M dW_t)$$

$$V_t = V_0 \exp \left[ \left( \mu_M - rac{1}{2} \sigma_M^2 
ight) \! t + \sigma_M W_t 
ight]$$

This gives us the time dependent distribution of  $V_t$ :

$$V_t \sim \mathbf{LogNormal}\left(\log V_0 + \left(\mu_M - rac{1}{2}\sigma_M^2
ight)\!t,\,\sigma_M t
ight)$$

So the probability density function is given by

$$p_V(v_0,v_t,t) = rac{1}{v_t\sigma\sqrt{2\pi}} \mathrm{exp} \left[ -rac{1}{2} \Biggl( rac{\log x - \log v_0 - rac{1}{2}t(\mu_M - rac{1}{2}\sigma_M^2)^2}{\sigma_M t} \Biggr) 
ight]$$

So our goal is to estimate parameters  $\mu_M$ ,  $\sigma_M$  from market data. Using Scaled Beta distributions, we create prior probability distributions for volatility and drift with fixed hyperparameters.

$$egin{aligned} \hat{\mu} &\sim \mathbf{Beta}_{I_{\mu}}(lpha_{\mu},eta_{\mu}) \ \hat{\sigma} &\sim \mathbf{Beta}_{I_{\sigma}}(lpha_{\sigma},eta_{\sigma}) \ \ &\hat{ heta} &= (\hat{\mu},\hat{\sigma}) \end{aligned}$$

The support of each beta distribution given in the subscript  $(I_{\mu} \text{ and } I_{\sigma})$  which are intervals on  $\mathbf{R}$ , which should be scaled relative to the time scale.

# Bayesian inference

These distribution choices are almost arbitrary, with comparably low kurtosis and obeying  $\hat{\sigma} \in I_{\sigma}$  and  $\hat{\mu} \in I_{\mu}$ 

Lets say we make observe some data  $\mathcal{D} = (v_0, v_\tau, \tau)$ , comprising of pre-money valuation  $v_\tau$  after time  $\tau$  from the previous post money valuation  $v_0$ . By Bayes' theorem, we may update our belief upon observing data  $\mathcal{D}$ :

$$\mathbf{P}(\theta \,|\, \mathcal{D}) = rac{\mathbf{P}(\mathcal{D}, heta)}{\mathbf{P}(\mathcal{D})} = rac{\mathbf{P}(\mathcal{D} \,|\, heta)\, \mathbf{P}( heta)}{\mathbf{P}(\mathcal{D})}$$

Where  $p(\mathcal{D})$  is given by

$$egin{aligned} \mathbf{P}(\mathcal{D}) &= \iint_{I_{\sigma} imes I_{\mu}} \mathbf{P}(\mathcal{D}\,|\,\mu,\sigma)\,p_{\hat{\mu}}(\mu)\,p_{\hat{\sigma}}(\sigma)\,d\mu\,d\sigma \ &= \iint_{I_{\sigma} imes I_{\mu}} p_{V}(v_{0},v_{ au}, au)\,p_{\hat{\mu}}(\mu)\,p_{\hat{\sigma}}(\sigma)\,d\mu\,d\sigma \end{aligned}$$

Which can be a difficult computation.

So for a series of observations of various companies we collect data  $\mathcal{D} = (\mathcal{D}_i)_{i=1}^n$  we can iteratively update our probability model.

$$\mathbf{P}( heta \,|\, \mathcal{D}_1,\, \dots,\, \mathcal{D}_n) = \mathbf{P}( heta) \prod_{i=1}^n rac{\mathbf{P}(\mathcal{D}_i \,|\, heta, \mathcal{D}_1,\, \dots,\, \mathcal{D}_{i-1})}{\mathbf{P}(\mathcal{D}_i \,|\, \mathcal{D}_1,\, \dots,\, \mathcal{D}_{i-1})}$$

So for each company, simply rinse and repeat the process: posterior becomes the prior and so on. By the end, we have a probability distribution for the drift and volatility in the valuations of private companies, which can be used to gauge how promising/popular a specific remit of companies is.

### Limitations and Issues

Of course this model requires good data. This involves supplying it with a representative sample of companies, including ones that go bust before being able to do another funding round.