the replacement next

218050340

Albinar Dinesh Ssivalsa

westion (

- a. The scope of the application includes:

 To accept Looking request from costoness

 To she dose an appropriate parking spot in a curtain time slot

 To make smort parking areas that the system can know is occupied and that can restrict access.

 To make the smort parking area only colons

 the Looked individual.
 - project varing physical implications.
- c. Three reasons for choosing the prototyping model is:

 we can create a test environment

 we can create a proof of concept easily, allowing

 for independent seams to work on aspects simultaneosly

 we can show and lest the Pols directly with willing

 costoness
- d. I do not hind the note toll model appropriate due to

 the fixed requirements nature it needs.

 I to not find the PAT model appropriate due to

 this project having physical affects, which may

 affect we to mer satisfaction if not done properly

 I do not find the incremental model appropriate due to

 the independent nature of the tacks, which doesn't allow

 for good polotyping in this model.

ASSAINAN DINECTO STOPPING

overtion 2

a foor stakeholders are:

swdents, organisers, faculty, schedulers

6. Two requirements that satisfy all stakeholders are:

shown to and faculty can Look and receive of and iteneraries to ment into events

organisers and schedulers can wheat for validity and authorization by manning the Of wide.

c. Two emergent requirements are:

The system our st always be up during GravITas,
otherwise all entry and the thing will not work

The system must have an easy to navigate uses
interfact and scanning a life wide for authorisation
should be extremely task (Clsecond)

evertioning you have the young to show he we

A file is a unique methodology in that it promotes the tollowing:

to mis the give as were to mark our support sim of

It promotes the active and incremental developments

It promotes the citility in requirements

It promotes we homes collaboration and active

feedback input

It promotel team member collaboration

traditional techniques promote a more rigid system teran agile with little collaboration and feedback

	The following is on example of stept between
	traditional us. agile
680	
	Traditional
_	Requirements
-	Design
_	Perelopment
_	Terting and Debugging
	,
	Agile
-	Iteration 1
	- Requirements, Pesign, Development, Testing
_	Heration 2
	- FREdeack inclusion
-	Herotion 3
	- Additional feature)
	Due to agiles cooperation and teedback increments.
	it is always cheaper and taker less time than
	traditional approaches.