

## 1. Introduction.

Let  $E$  be an elliptic curve over a finite field  $\mathbf{F}_q$ . Then  $E$  is a smooth cubic in  $\mathbf{P}^2$ . It can be given by a Weierstrass equation, an affine version of which is

$$Y^2 + a_1XY + a_3Y = X^3 + a_2X^2 + a_4X + a_6, \quad \text{with } a_1, a_2, a_3, a_4, a_6 \in \mathbf{F}_q.$$

The unique point at infinity is the neutral element of the group law. It is denoted by  $\infty$ . The zeta-function  $Z_E(T)$  of  $E$  is the power series defined by

$$Z_E(T) = \sum_{D \geq 0} T^{\deg D} \quad \text{in } \mathbf{Z}[[T]].$$

Here  $D$  runs over the effective divisors of  $E$  that are defined over  $\mathbf{F}_q$ . In this note we prove two theorems concerning  $Z_E(T)$ .

**Theorem 1.1.** *Let  $E$  be an elliptic curve over  $\mathbf{F}_q$ . Then the power series  $Z_E(T)$  is equal to the rational function*

$$Z_E(T) = \frac{1 - \tau T + qT^2}{(1 - T)(1 - qT)},$$

where  $\tau$  is given by the formula  $\#E(\mathbf{F}_q) = q + 1 - \tau$ .

And we prove Hasse's Theorem:

**Theorem 1.2.** *Let  $E$  be an elliptic curve over  $\mathbf{F}_q$ . Then the complex zeroes of the numerator  $1 - \tau T + qT^2$  of its zeta function have absolute value  $1/\sqrt{q}$ .*

Theorem 1.2 is the analogue of the Riemann Hypothesis for the curve  $E$ . It implies the inequalities

$$q + 1 - 2\sqrt{q} \leq \#E(\mathbf{F}_q) \leq q + 1 + 2\sqrt{q}.$$

It was proved by H. Hasse in 1933. Our approach is elementary and follows a method invented by S.A. Stepanov around 1969. We only make use of the Weierstrass equation and the group law.

## 2. Rationality of the zeta function.

In this section we prove Theorem 1.1. First we review some properties of elliptic curves. Let  $E$  be an elliptic curve given by a Weierstrass equation as in the introduction. The ring  $R$  of functions on  $E$  without poles outside  $\infty$  is the  $\mathbf{F}_q$ -algebra generated by the functions  $X$  and  $Y$ . Every element  $f \in R$  has the form  $g(X) + Yh(X)$  for unique polynomials  $g, h \in \mathbf{F}_q[X]$ . For every non-zero  $f \in R$ , let  $\deg f$  denote the order of the pole of  $f$  at  $\infty$ . Then  $\deg X = 2$  and  $\deg Y = 3$ . In general, for  $f = g(X) + Yh(X)$  with  $g, h \in \mathbf{F}_q[X]$  polynomials of degrees  $d, e$  respectively, one has  $\deg f = \max(2d, 3 + 2e)$ . In particular,  $R$  contains no functions of degree 1. We call  $f \in R$  *monic* if the coefficient of its highest degree term is equal to 1. Any  $f \in R$  has, counting multiplicities, precisely  $\deg f$  zeroes on  $E^0$ . Indeed, if  $f = g(X) + Yh(X)$  as above then the equation obtained by substituting  $Y = -g(X)/h(X)$  in the Weierstrass equation has degree  $\deg f$ .

For two divisors  $D, D'$  of  $E$  we write  $D \sim D'$  if  $D - D'$  is principal, i.e. if  $D - D'$  is the divisor of a function on  $E$ .

**Lemma 2.1.** *Let  $E$  be an elliptic curve and let  $P, Q$  be two points on  $E$ . Then*

$$P + Q \sim (P + Q) + \infty.$$

*Here the leftmost and rightmost plus signs indicate addition of divisors, while the one in the middle refers to the group law on  $E$ .*

**Proof.** The quotient of the equations of the chords or tangents used to add the points  $P$  and  $Q$  is a function  $g$  on  $E$  whose divisor is precisely  $P + Q - (P + Q) - \infty$ . Note that if  $P$  and  $Q$  are defined over  $\mathbf{F}_{q^d}$ , then so is  $g$ .

**Proposition 2.2.** *Let  $E$  be an elliptic curve over  $\mathbf{F}_q$  and let  $D$  be a divisor of  $E$  of degree  $d$ . Then we have  $D \sim (d - 1)\infty + P$  for a unique point  $P \in E(\mathbf{F}_q)$ . Moreover, if  $D$  is defined over  $\mathbf{F}_q$ , then  $D - (d - 1)\infty - P$  is the divisor of a function in  $\mathbf{F}_q(E)$ .*

**Proof.** Let  $D = \sum_Q n_Q Q$  for certain integers  $n_Q$ . We prove the first statement by induction with respect to  $w(D) = \sum |n_Q|$ . If  $w(D) = 0$ , then  $D = 0$  and we can take  $P = \infty$ . If  $w(D) = 1$ , then  $D = \pm Q$  for some point  $Q \in E(\mathbf{F}_q)$ . If  $D = Q$  we take  $P = Q$ . If  $D = -Q$ , then we take  $P = -Q$  where  $-Q$  denotes the inverse of  $Q$  with respect to the group law on  $E$ . Indeed, Lemma 2.1 implies then that  $-Q \sim -2\infty + P$ .

If  $w(D) > 1$ , we can write  $D = D' \pm Q$  with  $w(D')$  strictly smaller than  $w(D)$ . When  $D = D' + Q$ , we have by induction  $D \sim (d - 2)\infty + P + Q$  and hence  $D' \sim (d - 1)\infty + (P + Q)$  by Lemma 2.1. If  $D = D' - Q$ , we have  $D \sim d\infty + P + (-Q) \sim (d - 1)\infty + (P - Q)$ .

To see that the point  $P$  is unique, let  $D = (d - 1)\infty + P = (d - 1)\infty + P'$ . Then  $P \sim P'$  and Lemma 2.1 implies that  $P - P' \sim \infty$ . If  $P \neq P'$ , this implies that there is a function on  $E$  of degree 1, which is impossible. So  $P = P'$ . This proves the first statement.

For the second statement, suppose that  $D = \sum_Q n_Q Q$  is defined over  $\mathbf{F}_q$  and that  $D - (d - 1)\infty - P$  is the divisor of some function  $g$  on  $E$ . By the remark made in the proof of Lemma 2.1, the function  $g$  is contained in  $\mathbf{F}_{q^d}(E)$ , where  $d$  is so large that all points  $Q$  for which  $n_Q \neq 0$ , are defined over  $\mathbf{F}_{q^d}$ . Let  $\sigma$  be the Frobenius automorphism given by  $\sigma(t) = t^q$  for all  $t \in \mathbf{F}_{q^d}$ . Since  $D - (d - 1)\infty - P$  is defined over  $\mathbf{F}_q$ , the divisors of  $g$  and

$\sigma(g)$  are equal. Therefore  $\lambda = \sigma(g)/g$  is in  $\mathbf{F}_{q^d}^*$ . Since the norm of  $\lambda$  from  $\mathbf{F}_{q^d}$  to  $\mathbf{F}_q$  is 1, there exists an element  $\mu \in \mathbf{F}_{q^d}^*$  for which  $\lambda = \sigma(\mu)/\mu$ . This is “Hilbert 90”, which in this case follows easily from the fact that  $\mathbf{F}_{q^d}^*$  is a cyclic group.

It follows that  $f = g/\mu$  is invariant under  $\sigma$ . Therefore we have  $f \in \mathbf{F}_q(E)$ , as required.

**Example 2.3.** We first compute the zeta function of the projective line  $\mathbf{P}_1$  over  $\mathbf{F}_q$  and then deal in a similar way with zeta functions of elliptic curves  $E$ . The zeta function of  $\mathbf{P}^1$  over  $\mathbf{F}_q$  is defined by

$$Z_{\mathbf{P}^1}(T) = \sum_{D \geq 0} T^{\deg D} \quad \text{in } \mathbf{Z}[[T]],$$

where  $D$  runs over the effective divisors of  $\mathbf{P}^1$  that are defined over  $\mathbf{F}_q$ . Since every divisor is a sum of points, we have

$$Z_{\mathbf{P}^1}(T) = \prod_P \frac{1}{1 - T^{\deg P}}.$$

Here  $P$  runs over the conjugacy classes of points of  $\mathbf{P}^1$ . The zeta function of the affine line  $\mathbf{A}^1$  is obtained by omitting the factor  $1/(1 - T)$  corresponding to the point at infinity. So we have

$$Z_{\mathbf{A}^1}(T) = \sum_{D \geq 0} T^{\deg D} \quad \text{in } \mathbf{Z}[[T]],$$

where  $D$  runs over the effective divisors of  $\mathbf{A}^1$ . Since the ring  $\mathbf{F}_q[X]$  is a principal ideal domain, every divisor  $D \geq 0$  of  $\mathbf{A}^1$  that is defined over  $\mathbf{F}_q$  is the divisor of a unique monic polynomial  $f$  in  $\mathbf{F}_q[X]$ . Moreover, the degree of  $D$  is equal to the degree of  $f$ . We can therefore compute the zeta function of  $\mathbf{A}^1$  by counting polynomials. We find

$$Z_{\mathbf{A}^1}(T) = \sum_{d \geq 0} c_d T^d = \sum_{d \geq 0} q^d T^d = \frac{1}{1 - qT}.$$

Here  $c_d$  denotes the number of effective divisors of  $\mathbf{A}^1$  of degree  $d$ . Since the number of monic degree  $d$  polynomials in  $\mathbf{F}_q[X]$  is  $q^d$ , we have  $c_d = q^d$ . Going back to the projective line  $\mathbf{P}_1$ , we obtain the following formula for the zeta function of  $\mathbf{P}^1$  over  $\mathbf{F}_q$ .

$$Z_{\mathbf{P}^1}(T) = \frac{1}{(1 - T)(1 - qT)}.$$

This completes the computation of the zeta function of  $\mathbf{P}_1$ .

**Proof of Theorem 1.1.** We determine the zeta function of an elliptic curve  $E$  over  $\mathbf{F}_q$  in a similar way. Let  $E^0$  be the affine curve that is obtained by removing the point  $\infty$  from  $E$ . We first determine the zeta-function of  $E^0$ . This means that we must count effective divisors on  $E^0$  that are defined over  $\mathbf{F}_q$ . These are simply divisors on  $E$  of the form  $\sum_P n_P P$  with  $n_P \geq 0$  for all  $P$  in  $E$  and with  $n_\infty = 0$ . The only effective divisor of

$E^0$  of degree 0 is the divisor 0. The effective divisors over  $\mathbf{F}_q$  of degree 1 are precisely the points in  $E(\mathbf{F}_q) - \{\infty\}$ . Denoting  $\#E(\mathbf{F}_q)$  by  $h$ , there are  $h - 1$  of them.

Let  $D$  be an effective divisor on  $E^0$  of degree  $d > 1$ . By Proposition 2.2 there exists a unique point  $P \in E(\mathbf{F}_q)$  for which we have  $-D \sim (-d - 1)\infty + P$ . More precisely, there exists a function  $f \in E(\mathbf{F}_q)$  whose divisor is  $D + P - (d + 1)\infty$ . The function  $f$  is unique up to a non-zero constant. Since  $D$  is effective,  $f$  is contained in the ring  $R$ . It has degree  $d$  or  $d + 1$  depending on whether  $P = \infty$  or not. If  $P \neq \infty$ , the function  $f$  vanishes in  $P$ . Conversely, the divisor on  $E^0$  of any  $f \in R$  satisfying these properties is effective and has degree  $d$ . Therefore it suffices to count the functions  $f$  up to multiplication by non-zero constants. There are  $q^{d-1}$  monic  $f \in R$  of degree  $d$  and there are  $q^{d-1}$  monic  $f \in R$  of degree  $d + 1$  that vanish in a given point  $P \in E(\mathbf{F}_q) - \{\infty\}$ . Therefore there are  $q^{d-1} + (h - 1)q^{d-1} = hq^{d-1}$  effective divisors on  $E^0$  of degree  $d$ .

This computation shows that

$$Z_{E^0}(T) = 1 + (h - 1) + \sum_{d \geq 2} hq^{d-1}T^d = \frac{1 + (h - q - 1)T + qT^2}{1 - qT}.$$

The zeta function of  $E$  is obtained from the one of  $E^0$  in the same way the zeta function of  $\mathbf{P}_1$  is obtained from the one of  $\mathbf{A}^1$ . In order to take into account the point at infinity, we multiply  $Z_{E^0}(T)$  by the factor  $1/(1 - T)$ . This gives

$$Z_E(T) = \frac{1 - \tau T + qT^2}{(1 - T)(1 - qT)},$$

where  $\tau = q + 1 - h$ . This proves Theorem 1.1.

### 3. An upper bound.

In this section we obtain an upper bound for the number of points of an elliptic curve over a finite field. This is the key ingredient in the proof of Theorem 1.2. Our method is due to S.A. Stepanov.

We introduce some notation. Recall that  $E$  is given by a Weierstrass equation and that  $R$  is the  $\mathbf{F}_q$ -algebra generated by the functions  $X$  and  $Y$ . For  $a \geq 0$  let  $H_a$  denote the  $\mathbf{F}_q$ -vector space

$$H_a = \{f \in R : \deg f \leq a\}.$$

Since  $R$  does not contain any functions  $f \in R$  with  $\deg f = 1$ , the space  $H_a$  consists only of constant functions when  $a = 0$  or  $1$  and therefore has dimension 1. In general we have the following result.

**Lemma 3.1.** *For  $a \geq 1$ , the monomials  $X^i$  and  $YX^j$  with  $2i \leq a$  and  $2j + 3 \leq a$  are an  $\mathbf{F}_q$ -basis for  $H_a$ . In particular,  $H_a$  has  $\mathbf{F}_q$ -dimension  $a$ .*

**Proof.** The monomials certainly generate  $H_a$ . On the other hand, the orders of their poles at  $\infty$  are all distinct. Therefore the monomials are linearly independent and hence form a basis of  $H_a$ . One checks that there are precisely  $a$  distinct monomials of degree  $\leq a$ . This proves the lemma.

For  $a \geq 1$  the set  $H_a^q = \{f^q : f \in H_a\}$  is an  $\mathbf{F}_q$ -vector space of dimension  $a = \dim H_a$ . Indeed, the map  $f \mapsto f^q$  is a bijection  $H_a \leftrightarrow H_a^q$ .

**Lemma 3.2.** *Let  $a, b \geq 1$  and let  $H_a^q H_b$  denote the  $\mathbf{F}_q$ -vector space generated by the functions  $fg$  where  $f \in H_a^q$  and  $g \in H_b$ . If  $b < q$ , Then  $H_a^q H_b$  has  $\mathbf{F}_q$ -dimension  $ab$ .*

**Dimostrazione.** There exists a basis  $e_1, \dots, e_a$  of  $H_a$  and there exists a basis  $f_1, \dots, f_b$  di  $H_b$  of monomials as in Lemma 2. Clearly the functions  $e_i^q f_j$  with  $1 \leq i \leq a$  and  $1 \leq j \leq b$  generate  $H_a^q H_b$ . We have

$$\deg e_i^q f_j = q \deg e_i + \deg f_j.$$

Since  $\deg f_i \leq b < q$  the degrees  $\deg e_i^q f_j$  are all distinct. If an  $\mathbf{F}_q$ -linear combination  $\sum_{i,j} \lambda_{ij} e_i^q f_j$  is zero, then necessarily  $\lambda_{ij} = 0$  for every  $i, j$ . This proves that the functions  $e_i^q f_j$  are independent and form an  $\mathbf{F}_q$ -basis. Therefore the dimension of  $H_a^q H_b$  is  $ab$ . This proves the lemma.

From now on we assume that  $a, b \geq 1$  with  $b < q$ . Lemma 3.1 implies that the  $\mathbf{F}_q$ -linear map

$$\vartheta : H_a^q H_b \longrightarrow H_a H_b^q$$

given by

$$e_i^q f_j \mapsto e_i f_j^q, \quad \text{per } 1 \leq i \leq a \text{ e } 1 \leq j \leq b,$$

is well defined.

The following proposition is the key ingredient in the proof of Theorem 3.4.

**Proposition 3.3.** *Let  $a, b \geq 1$  with  $b < q$ . If the map  $\vartheta$  is not injective, then*

$$\#E(\mathbf{F}_{q^2}) \leq aq + b + 1.$$

**Proof.** Every function  $F \in \ker \vartheta$  vanishes on  $E(\mathbf{F}_{q^2}) - \{\infty\}$ . Indeed, let  $F = \sum \lambda_{ij} e_i^q f_j$  for certain  $\lambda_{ij} \in \mathbf{F}_q$  and let  $P \in E(\mathbf{F}_{q^2}) - \{\infty\}$ . Then

$$F(P)^q = \sum \lambda_{ij} e_i^{q^2}(P) f_j^q(P) = \sum \lambda_{ij} e_i(P) f_j^q(P) = \left( \sum \lambda_{ij} e_i f_j^q \right)(P) = \vartheta(F)(P) = 0,$$

which is zero when  $F \in \ker \vartheta$ . The second equality follows from the fact that  $P \in E(\mathbf{F}_{q^2})$  so that  $f^{q^2}(P) = f(P)$  for every function  $f \in R$ .

Since  $\vartheta$  is not injective, there exists a non-zero  $F$  in  $\ker \vartheta$ . Therefore we obtain the following estimate.

$$\#E(\mathbf{F}_{q^2}) - 1 \leq \#\{\text{zeroes of } F\} = \#\{\text{poles of } F\} = \deg(F) \leq aq + b.$$

The rightmost inequality follows from the fact that  $F \in H_a^q H_b \subset H_{aq+b}$ . This proves the proposition.

**Theorem 3.4.** *Let  $E$  be an elliptic curve defined over a finite field  $\mathbf{F}_q$ . Then we have*

$$\#E(\mathbf{F}_{q^2}) \leq q^2 + 3q.$$

**Proof.** The map  $\vartheta$  defined above cannot be injective if  $a, b \geq 1$  have the property that

$$\dim H_a^q H_b > \dim H_a H_b^q.$$

Since  $b < q$ , Lemma 3.2 implies that  $H_a^q H_b$  has dimension  $ab$ . Lemma 3.2 cannot be applied to  $H_a H_b^q$ . In some sense this is the point of the proof. But we still know that  $H_a H_b^q$  is a subspace of  $H_{a+bq}$  and hence has dimension  $\leq a + bq$ . Therefore the map  $\vartheta$  is *not* injective when

$$ab > a + bq.$$

In order to deduce a sharp estimate from Proposition 3.3, we choose  $a$  as small as possible. Since the inequality  $ab > a + bq$  must be satisfied, the minimal choice for  $a$  is  $a = q + 2$ . Once  $a$  is chosen, we can take  $b = q - 1$ , at least for  $q \geq 5$ . With these choices the quantity  $aq + b + 1$  in Proposition 3.3 becomes  $(q + 2)q + q - 1 + 1 = q^2 + 3q$ , as required.

#### 4. The Riemann Hypothesis.

Let  $E$  be an elliptic curve over  $\mathbf{F}_q$ . In this section we prove that the complex zeroes of the numerator of its zeta function have absolute value  $1/\sqrt{q}$ . The key ingredient is the inequality of Theorem 3.4. First we use the proof of Theorem 3.4 to obtain a lower bound for  $\#E(\mathbf{F}_{q^2})$ .

**Proposition 4.1.** *Let  $E$  be an elliptic curve over  $\mathbf{F}_q$  and suppose that  $q \geq 5$ . Then we have*

$$\#E(\mathbf{F}_{q^2}) \geq q^2 - 3q - 3$$

**Proof.** The set  $\Omega$  of points  $(x, y)$  of  $E^0$  for which  $x \in \mathbf{F}_q$  admits two commuting involutions. The elliptic involution switches  $(x, y)$  and  $(x, \bar{y})$ , where  $\bar{y}$  denotes  $-y - a_1x - a_3$ . The automorphism  $\sigma$  of  $\mathbf{F}_{q^4}$  given by  $\sigma(t) = t^{q^2}$  also acts on  $\Omega$ . It maps a point  $(x, y) \in \Omega$  to  $(\sigma(x), \sigma(y)) = (x, y^{q^2})$ . Since for a given point  $(x, y)$  there is at most one other point on  $E$  with  $X$ -coordinate equal to  $x$ , namely  $(x, \bar{y})$ , we either have  $\sigma(y) = y$  or  $\sigma(y) = \bar{y}$ .

The subset  $\{(x, y) \in \Omega : \sigma(y) = y\}$  is the set  $E(\mathbf{F}_{q^2}) - \{\infty\}$ . Theorem 3.4 provides an estimate for its size. In this section we estimate the size of the set

$$W = \{(x, y) \in \Omega : \sigma(y) = \bar{y}\}$$

with the method of section 3. Let  $a, b$  be as in the proof of Theorem 3.4. Note that the spaces  $H_a$  and  $H_b$  are preserved by the automorphism of  $R$  given by  $f(X, Y) \mapsto (X, -Y - a_1X - a_3)$ . Consider the  $\mathbf{F}_q$ -linear map

$$\vartheta' : H_a^q H_b \longrightarrow H_a H_b^q$$

defined by

$$e_i^q f_j \mapsto \bar{e}_i f_j^q.$$

Every function  $F \in \ker \vartheta$  vanishes on the set  $W$ . Indeed, let  $F = \sum \lambda_{ij} e_i^q f_j$  for certain  $\lambda_{ij} \in \mathbf{F}_q$  and let  $P \in W$ .

$$F(P)^q = \sum \lambda_{ij} e_i^{q^2}(P) f_j^q(P) = \sum \lambda_{ij} \bar{e}_i(P) f_j^q(P) = (\sum \lambda_{ij} \bar{e}_i f_j^q)(P) = \vartheta'(F)(P) = 0,$$

and hence  $F(P) = 0$ . Therefore we can draw the same conclusion as in the previous section. We have

$$\#W \leq q^2 + 3q.$$

Since the Weierstrass equation is cubic, there are at most three points  $(x, y) \in \Omega$  with  $y = \bar{y}$ . Therefore we have  $\#\Omega \geq 2q^2 - 3$ . Since  $\Omega = W \cup E(\mathbf{F}_{q^2}) - \{\infty\}$ , we find

$$\#E(\mathbf{F}_{q^2}) \geq \#\Omega - \#W \leq 2q^2 - 3 - (q^2 + 3q) = q^2 - 3q - 3$$

as required.

Let  $1 - \tau T + qT^2$  be the numerator of the zeta function of  $E$  and let  $\pi$  and  $\pi'$  be the complex zeroes of the reciprocal polynomial  $T^2 - \tau T + q$ .

**Lemma 4.2.** *For every  $d \geq 1$ , we have*

$$\#E(\mathbf{F}_{q^d}) = q^d + 1 - \pi^d - \pi'^d.$$

**Proof.** By Theorem 1.1 we have

$$Z_E(T) = \frac{1 - \tau T + qT^2}{(1 - T)(1 - qT)}.$$

Combining this with the identity

$$Z_E(T) = \sum_{D \geq 0} T^{\deg D} = \prod_P \frac{1}{1 - T^{\deg P}},$$

we obtain

$$\frac{(1 - \pi T)(1 - \pi' T)}{(1 - T)(1 - qT)} = \prod_{d \geq 1} (1 - T^d)^{-a_d}.$$

For  $d \geq 1$  we write here  $a_d$  for the number of points on  $E$  of degree  $d$  up to conjugacy. For every  $e \geq 1$  we have  $\#E(\mathbf{F}_{q^e}) = \sum_{d|e} da_d$ . Taking the logarithmic derivative of this identity, expanding the geometric series and comparing coefficients shows that we have  $q^e + 1 - \pi^e - \pi'^e = \sum_{d|e} da_d$  for every  $d \geq 1$ . This proves the Lemma.

**Theorem 4.3.** *The complex zeroes  $\pi$  and  $\pi'$  of the polynomial  $T^2 - \tau T + q$  have absolute value  $\sqrt{q}$ . In particular  $\pi' = \bar{\pi}$ .*

**Proof.** Lemma 4.2, Theorem 3.4 and Proposition 4.1 provide us with the inequalities

$$q^d - 3q^{d/2} - 3 \leq q^d + 1 - \pi^d - \pi'^d \leq q^d + 3q^{d/2}, \quad \text{for even } d \geq 0.$$

Therefore we have

$$|\pi^d + \pi'^d| \leq 3q^{d/2} + 3, \quad \text{for even } d \geq 0.$$

This implies that the power series  $\sum_{d \geq 0, \text{ even}} (\pi^d + \pi'^d) t^d$  converges for  $t \in \mathbf{C}$  of absolute value  $< 1/\sqrt{q}$ . On the other hand, summing the geometric series we obtain an expression with denominators equal to  $1 - (\pi t)^2$  and  $1 - (\pi' t)^2$ . Therefore  $|\pi^2|, |\pi'^2| \leq q$ . Since the product of  $\pi$  and  $\pi'$  is  $q$ , we find that  $|\pi| = |\pi'| = \sqrt{q}$  as required.

The inequalities of Theorem 3.4 and Proposition 4.1 have only been proved for  $q \geq 5$ . However, when  $q < 5$ , we have  $q^d > 5$  for  $d \geq 3$ . This implies that we still have the inequality for even degrees  $d \geq 6$ . Therefore the convergence radius of the series is not affected and the conclusion is the same for  $q < 5$ . This proves the theorem.