Palimpsestic Ontology:

Theoretical Foundations of Layered Reality

[Redacted]*

Abstract

This paper examines palimpsestic ontology as a central theoretical paradigm within the emerging field of palimpsestology. Drawing on the metaphor of the palimpsest—ancient manuscripts where previous text was incompletely erased and overwritten—this ontological framework conceptualizes existence as comprising multiple superimposed layers rather than discrete, singular entities. The study explores the theoretical foundations of palimpsestic ontology, analyzing its temporal, spatial, conceptual, and material-immaterial strata. Through critical examination of incomplete erasure, dynamic self-organization, and the persistence of traces, this paper demonstrates how palimpsestic ontology provides a robust alternative to traditional metaphysical frameworks predicated on singularity, fixity, and linearity. Furthermore, the paper explores the epistemological implications of this ontological perspective, including multilayered truth, hermeneutic circularity, and cross-layer coherence. Applications across identity formation, cultural studies, digital technology, and ecological thought illustrate the paradigm's transdisciplinary utility. While acknowledging methodological challenges and theoretical limitations, this paper argues that palimpsestic ontology offers a particularly valuable framework for understanding complex interconnected phenomena in the contemporary world.

Keywords: palimpsestic ontology, palimpsestology, layered reality, superimposition, traces, incomplete erasure

1 Introduction: Superimposition as an Ontological Paradigm

Palimpsestic ontology constitutes the core theoretical foundation of palimpsestology, presenting a radical reconceptualization of the nature of being. This framework proposes that all existence comprises not isolated, independent entities but rather multiple superimposed

---

*Institute of Palimpsestology, Korea. Email: palimpsestology@gmail.com

layers that simultaneously persist and interact. While inspired by the physical characteristics of palimpsests—ancient parchment manuscripts where previous text was incompletely erased to make way for new writing—this concept transcends mere metaphor to establish a comprehensive philosophical system with profound implications for understanding reality.

The palimpsestic approach to ontology fundamentally challenges traditional metaphysical assumptions grounded in notions of singularity, fixity, and linearity. By reconceptualizing existence as layered complexes, this paradigm opens new philosophical horizons concerning identity, temporality, causality, and the nature of knowledge. As Dillon (2007) suggests, "The palimpsest becomes a figure for interpreting the world in which we live. As such, it is a post-modern configuration, although it has a long and varied history" (p. 4).

This paper aims to:

1. Articulate the theoretical foundations of palimpsestic ontology

2. Explore its epistemological implications

3. Examine applications across diverse domains

4. Address critical challenges and limitations

5. Consider its philosophical prospects and contributions

2 Theoretical Foundations of Palimpsestic Ontology

2.1 Stratified Structure of Being

The most fundamental premise of palimpsestic ontology posits that all existence comprises multiple superimposed layers. These layers manifest across several dimensions:

2.1.1 Temporal Strata

Existence is not a linear continuum of past, present, and future, but rather a complex where different temporalities coexist simultaneously. The past does not simply precede the present but persists within it as traces, while the future already exists within the present in potential form. This view resonates with Bergson's (1896/1991) concept of duration, where time is understood as qualitative multiplicity rather than quantifiable succession.

As Assmann (2011) observes in cultural memory studies, "The past is not simply 'there' in memory, but it must be articulated to become memory" (p. 17). In palimpsestic ontology, this articulation never occurs in isolation; present articulations always contain traces of past articulations, creating temporal layers that coexist and interact.

2.1.2 Spatial Strata

Physical and conceptual spaces are not homogeneous, continuous single dimensions but structures where spaces with different scales and properties overlap. This conception aligns with Deleuze and Guattari (1987)'s rhizomatic space, which "connects any point to any other point, and its traits are not necessarily linked to traits of the same nature" (p. 21).

The palimpsestic perspective on space recognizes that locations simultaneously exist in multiple spatial frameworks—geographic, geometric, social, political, affective—that cannot be reduced to a single coordinate system. As Massey (2005) argues, space is "the product of interrelations; as constituted through interactions, from the immensity of the global to the intimately tiny" (p. 9).

2.1.3 Conceptual Strata

The meaning and definition of existence are not singular and fixed but formed through the superimposition of various conceptual frameworks and paradigms. A single entity can be simultaneously understood through different, sometimes contradictory, conceptual layers. This reflects what Foucault (2002) termed "discursive formations," where objects of knowledge are constituted through overlapping systems of thought.

The palimpsestic approach to conceptual frameworks avoids both absolutism (claiming one true framework) and relativism (claiming all frameworks are equally valid). Instead, it recognizes the partial validity of multiple frameworks while attending to their complex interactions and the specific contexts of their application.

2.1.4 Material-Immaterial Strata

Existence comprises both physical entities and immaterial meanings, relationships, and possibilities. This perspective transcends the dichotomy between matter and mind, object and meaning. As Barad (2007) argues in her agential realism, "Matter and meaning are not separate elements. They are inextricably fused together" (p. 3).

In palimpsestic ontology, the material and immaterial dimensions do not exist in parallel but are superimposed and mutually constitutive. Physical objects incorporate symbolic meanings, while concepts manifest in material arrangements. Neither can be understood in isolation from the other.

2.2 Ontology of Incomplete Erasure and Traces

A central concept in palimpsestic ontology is "incomplete erasure." No layer ever completely disappears or is replaced; it always persists as traces that influence subsequent layers. While this concept resonates with Derrida's (1967/1997) notion of trace and différance, it has broader ontological applications.

2.2.1   Materiality and Immateriality of Traces

Traces exist not only as physical remnants but also as structural patterns, relational configurations, and constraints on possibility. As Serres (2007) notes, "Time doesn't flow; it percolates" (p. 58), suggesting that elements of the past filter through to the present in various forms and residues.

The palimpsestic understanding of traces encompasses both their material presence (physical markings, chemical compositions, biological structures) and their immaterial manifestations (semantic associations, emotional resonances, behavioral tendencies). These dimensions are not separate but intertwined aspects of the same phenomenon.

2.2.2   Presence of Absence

Layers that appear to have been erased continue to exert influence as a "presence of absence." This concept extends Heidegger's (1927/1962) notion of Dasein by suggesting that what is not (anymore) still constitutes what is. The apparent voids and erasures in a palimpsest are not simply absences but productive spaces where meaning emerges through the interplay of visibility and invisibility.

As Agamben (1999) argues, "The trace is the presence of an absence, the presence of what is not actually here" (p. 124). In palimpsestic ontology, these absences are not mere negativities but active elements in the constitution of presence.

2.2.3   Superposition of Virtuality and Actuality

All existence simultaneously comprises actualized aspects and virtual potentials. This aligns with Deleuze's (1968/1994) concept of virtuality, where the virtual is fully real without being actual. In palimpsestic terms, each layer contains not only what has been actualized but also virtual elements that remain latent yet real.

The relationship between virtual and actual layers is not one of simple realization (the virtual becoming actual) but rather complex interactions where virtualities persist alongside actualities. As DeLanda (2002) explains, "The virtual is not opposed to the real but to the actual. The virtual is fully real in so far as it is virtual" (p. 30).

2.3   Dynamic Self-organization

In palimpsestic ontology, existence is not a static superimposition of layers but a continuously reconstructed and reorganized dynamic process. This extends Maturana and Varela's (1980) concept of autopoiesis to an ontological dimension.

2.3.1 Recursive Self-reference

Existence demonstrates recursive self-referential structure, where each layer references and reflects other layers. This recursive process creates what Hofstadter (1979) termed "strange loops" where, "despite one's sense of departing ever further from one's origin, one winds up, to one's shock, exactly where one had started out" (p. 15).

In palimpsestic terms, this recursivity manifests as layers that simultaneously constitute and are constituted by other layers. The relationship is not hierarchical but heterarchical, with mutual causality and influence operating across the layered structure.

2.3.2 Emergent Structuration

New layers do not simply add to previous ones but emerge through interactions with them. This aligns with complexity theory's understanding of emergence, where, as described by Prigogine and Stengers (1984), "new types of structures may spontaneously emerge" (p. 41) through the self-organization of complex systems.

The palimpsestic view of emergence emphasizes that new structures incorporate traces of previous structures even as they transform them. Emergence is never ex nihilo but always occurs through the reconfiguration of existing layers.

2.3.3 Metastable Equilibrium

Palimpsestic beings exist not in complete stability or instability but in what Simondon (2020) termed "metastable equilibrium"—a temporary stability that contains the potential for change. This state allows for both persistence and transformation, continuity and difference.

As Simondon (1964/2020) argues, "The living being preserves in itself an activity of permanent individuation; it is not only a result of individuation, like the crystal or the molecule, but a theater of individuation" (p. 7). In palimpsestic terms, this ongoing individuation occurs through the dynamic interplay of superimposed layers.

3 Epistemological Implications of Palimpsestic Ontology

3.1 Multilayered Truth

Palimpsestic ontology necessitates a fundamental reconsideration of the nature of truth. Truth becomes not a singular, absolute correspondence but a multilayered, context-dependent phenomenon.

3.1.1 Layer-Specific Validity

Specific propositions or theories may be valid within particular existential layers while inappropriate for others. This requires meta-awareness of the levels or scopes of truth claims. As Kuhn (2012) observed regarding scientific paradigms, different frameworks may be incommensurable yet internally coherent and empirically adequate within their domains.

From a palimpsestic perspective, this layer-specificity does not imply that truth is merely subjective or constructed. Rather, it recognizes that reality itself comprises multiple layers, each with its own ontological structure that determines appropriate truth conditions.

3.1.2 Cross-layer Coherence

More comprehensive truth emerges not merely from coherence within individual layers but from coherent relationships across different layers. This resembles what Thagard (2000) terms "explanatory coherence," where understanding emerges from the integration of multiple explanatory frameworks.

The palimpsestic approach to cross-layer coherence does not seek to eliminate tensions or contradictions between layers but to understand their productive interrelations. Truth emerges precisely in the navigation of these complex interfaces.

3.1.3 Non-reductive Pluralism

Various truth systems can coexist without reducing to one another. This acknowledges the intrinsic value of different modes of knowing—scientific, artistic, religious, experiential—without demanding their unification into a single framework. As James (1996) argued in his pluralism, "The pluralistic world is thus more like a federal republic than like an empire or a kingdom" (p. 321).

Palimpsestic ontology supports what could be termed "non-reductive pluralism"—recognizing multiple valid truth systems while still attending to their interrelations and partial translations, without requiring their reduction to a common denominator or hierarchical arrangement.

3.2 Hermeneutic Circularity

Understanding layered existence necessarily involves hermeneutic circularity. Comprehension deepens through continuous movement between part and whole, surface and depth, present and past.

3.2.1 Archaeological Interpretation

Excavating and interpreting erased layers beneath the surface requires methodologies that extend Foucault's (1969/2002) archaeology of knowledge. This archaeological approach views knowledge not as cumulative progress but as "different ways in which the things said accomplish a 'distribution' of statements" (p. 129).

In palimpsestic terms, archaeological interpretation involves identifying the traces of previous layers, reconstructing their contents and structures, and analyzing how they continue to shape and constrain subsequent layers. This process is neither purely objective (discovering what is "really there") nor purely constructive (imposing meaning) but interactive.

3.2.2 Inter-layer Translation

Translating and connecting meanings and patterns across different layers is central to understanding existence. This involves navigating between complete untranslatability and perfect transparency. As Steiner (1998) notes, "To understand is to translate" (p. 32), yet translation always involves transformation.

Palimpsestic interpretation recognizes that translation between layers is always partial and transformative. Each translation reveals certain aspects while obscuring others, and the palimpsest becomes fully intelligible only through multiple, complementary translations that preserve the specificity of each layer.

3.2.3 Meta-hermeneutics

Reflection on interpretation itself—its layers and limitations—becomes necessary. This aligns with Ricoeur (1981)'s "hermeneutics of suspicion," which recognizes that "the problem of the relation between understanding and explanation finds its most acute expression" (p. 221) in the analysis of multiple levels of meaning.

The palimpsestic approach to meta-hermeneutics attends not only to what is interpreted but to the layered nature of the interpretive process itself. It recognizes that interpretation occurs through the superimposition of methodological frameworks, prior interpretations, and contextual influences.

4   Applications of Palimpsestic Ontology

4.1   Identity and Subjectivity

Palimpsestic ontology profoundly reconceptualizes identity and subjectivity:

4.1.1 Multiple Self

The self is not a singular, unified entity but a complex where various historical, social, and biological layers overlap. This resonates with Buddhist notions of anatta (no-self) and postmodern theories of subjectivity. As Haraway (1991) suggests, identities are "contradictory, partial, and strategic" (p. 155).

The palimpsestic view of selfhood does not deny the phenomenological experience of unity but situates it within a complex of superimposed layers. What appears as a coherent "I" emerges from the dynamic interaction of multiple, sometimes contradictory, layers of experience, memory, social positioning, and embodiment.

4.1.2 Identity Palimpsest

Individual and collective identities comprise layers of past identities that are never completely erased. This is particularly relevant in contexts of cultural hybridity, migration, and colonial experience. As Bhabha (1994) argues, cultural identity is formed "in a spirit of revision and reconstruction" (p. 3) where past forms persist within new configurations.

The palimpsestic approach to identity emphasizes that transformations of identity never completely erase previous forms but incorporate their traces. Contemporary identities thus comprise complex superimpositions of past identifications, cultural inheritances, and new formations.

4.1.3 Temporal Self

Past, present, and future selves are not separate states but superimposed realities that penetrate and influence each other. This connects to Bergson's (1896/1991) concept of duration, where consciousness "involves the continual fusion of states" (p. 73) rather than their succession.

From a palimpsestic perspective, memory and anticipation are not merely psychological faculties but ontological dimensions of selfhood. The self exists as a complex temporality where past, present, and future coexist in dynamic relation, each shaping and being shaped by the others.

4.2 Culture and History

Culture and history represent central applications of palimpsestic ontology:

4.2.1 Cultural Palimpsest

All cultures result from the superimposition of traces from previous cultures. Cultural purity or essence is illusory; all cultures are inherently hybrid. As Said (1993) observes, "All

cultures are involved in one another; none is single and pure, all are hybrid, heterogeneous, extraordinarily differentiated, and unmonolithic" (p. xxv).

The palimpsestic approach to culture attends to these complex histories of mixture, appropriation, and transformation without reducing cultures to their "influences." It recognizes both the specificity of cultural formations and their inherent hybridity.

4.2.2 Historical Simultaneity

History is not linear progression but a complex process where past and present continuously interact. This connects to Benjamin's (1940/1968) concept of Jetztzeit ("now-time"), where "history is the subject of a construction whose site is not homogeneous, empty time, but time filled with now-time" (p. 261).

From a palimpsestic perspective, historical events never simply pass away but persist as active layers within the present. The relationship between past and present is not sequential but superimpositional, with elements of the past continuing to shape and constitute the present.

4.2.3 Topography of Memory

Collective and cultural memories persist as layered topographies that influence the present. As Nora (1989) argues, memory "attaches itself to sites, whereas history attaches itself to events" (p. 22). These memory sites function as palimpsests where multiple commemorative practices and meanings accumulate.

The palimpsestic approach to memory emphasizes the spatial dimension of remembrance—how memories become inscribed in physical landscapes, built environments, and material artifacts, creating complex sites where multiple temporalities coexist and interact.

4.3 Technology and Media

Digital-age technologies and media exemplify palimpsestic qualities:

4.3.1 Digital Palimpsest

Digital media inherently possess palimpsestic characteristics. Data is never completely deleted; traces of previous versions remain. As Kirschenbaum (2008) demonstrates in his forensic analysis of digital media, "Electronic texts are processual" (p. 34), existing as layers of updates, revisions, and transformations.

The palimpsestic approach to digital media attends to the persistence of data beyond apparent deletion, the layering of formats and protocols, and the archaeological possibilities of recovering previous states and versions. Digital objects thus exist not as fixed entities but as processes of continuous inscription and partial erasure.

4.3.2 Virtual-Real Superposition

Digital technologies blur boundaries between virtual and real, online and offline, creating layered modes of existence. As Hayles (1999) argues, "Information has lost its body" (p. 2), challenging traditional notions of presence and materiality.

From a palimpsestic perspective, the virtual and the real are not separate domains but superimposed dimensions of experience. Digital spaces overlay physical spaces, creating hybrid environments where multiple forms of presence and interaction coexist and interpenetrate.

4.3.3 Media Archaeology

Contemporary media technologies contain traces and logics of previous media forms. As described by Parikka (2012), media archaeology investigates the "alternative histories of suppressed, neglected, and forgotten media" (p. 2) that persist within current technologies.

The palimpsestic approach to media recognizes that newer forms do not simply replace older ones but incorporate their traces—in interfaces, practices, terminology, and conceptual frameworks. Media evolution thus proceeds not by substitution but by complex processes of remediation and layering.

4.4 Ecology and Environment

Palimpsestic ontology has significant implications for ecological thought:

4.4.1 Superimposition of Geological Time

The Anthropocene concept indicates how human activity has become superimposed on geological layers. As Chakrabarty (2009) notes, this represents "the collapse of the age-old humanist distinction between natural history and human history" (p. 201).

The palimpsestic approach to geological time recognizes that different temporal scales—evolutionary, historical, biographical, daily—exist not as separate frameworks but as superimposed layers that simultaneously shape environmental phenomena. Human and geological temporalities are not sequential but co-constitutive.

4.4.2 Ecological Co-being

All biological and non-biological entities form superimposed networks of interconnected existence. This aligns with what Morton (2010) terms "the mesh" in ecological thought—"the interconnectedness of all living and non-living things" (p. 28).

From a palimpsestic perspective, ecological relationships involve not only current interactions but the persistence of past relationships and the potential for future ones.

Ecosystems thus comprise multiple temporal layers, with extinct species and past configurations continuing to influence present dynamics.

4.4.3 Environmental Memory

Environments preserve traces of past events and changes in physical, chemical, and biological layers. As Schama (1995) demonstrates in his study of landscape and memory, "Landscapes are culture before they are nature; constructs of the imagination projected onto wood and water and rock" (p. 61).

The palimpsestic approach to environmental memory attends to how environments record their own histories—in soil profiles, tree rings, sediment layers, and species distributions. These material inscriptions constitute a form of non-human memory that both complements and challenges human historical records.

5 Critical Issues and Limitations

5.1 Methodological Issues

Applying palimpsestic ontology to concrete research presents methodological challenges:

5.1.1 Subjectivity in Layer Identification

Identifying which layers to analyze depends on the observer's perspective and purpose. This creates tension between objectivity and subjectivity. As Gadamer (2004) argues, "Understanding is not merely a reproductive but always a productive activity as well" (p. 296).

The palimpsestic approach acknowledges this interpretive dimension without abandoning claims to rigor. It requires transparency about the criteria for layer identification and recognition of how such identifications themselves constitute interpretive acts.

5.1.2 Visibility Problem of Superimposition

Some layers are more visible or accessible than others, potentially creating methodological bias. This resembles what Spivak (1988) describes as the problem of subaltern visibility, where certain voices and traces remain systematically obscured.

The palimpsestic approach requires methodological reflexivity about which layers become visible under particular analytical conditions and why. It demands techniques for detecting and recovering less visible layers without assuming complete recoverability.

5.1.3   Tension between Complexity and Reductionism

While palimpsestic ontology embraces complexity, questions remain about how to systematically address it. As Morin (2008) notes, "Complexity appears where simplified thought fails" (p. 6), yet this recognition alone does not provide a methodology.

The palimpsestic approach navigates this tension by developing focused techniques for analyzing specific interactions between layers while maintaining awareness of the broader complex. It employs strategic simplifications while recognizing their limitations and partial nature.

5.2   Theoretical Challenges

Palimpsestic ontology faces theoretical challenges:

5.2.1   Risk of Infinite Regression

Tracing layers of superimposition indefinitely creates difficulties in establishing analytical boundaries. This resembles the problem Derrida (1967/1976) identifies in the "indefinite referral of signifier to signifier" (p. 49), where meaning becomes endlessly deferred.

The palimpsestic approach addresses this challenge by establishing pragmatic horizons for analysis based on specific research questions and contexts. It recognizes the theoretical infinity of layers while practically limiting investigation to those most relevant to particular inquiries.

5.2.2   Threat of Relativism and Nihilism

If everything comprises layered interpretations, the possibility of objective truth or ethical standards comes into question. As Nietzsche (1887/1967) famously claimed, "There are no facts, only interpretations" (p. 267), raising concerns about epistemological and moral relativism.

The palimpsestic approach avoids both naïve objectivism and radical relativism by recognizing that layered reality constrains interpretation without determining it uniquely. It develops criteria for evaluating interpretations based on their comprehensiveness, coherence across layers, and practical utility rather than correspondence to a single objective reality.

5.2.3   Difficulty of Integrative Theorization

While palimpsestic ontology emphasizes diversity and complexity, developing a systematic theoretical framework to integrate these elements presents challenges. As Latour (1993) observes, "We have never been modern" (p. 47) in achieving the neat separations our theories pretend to establish.

The palimpsestic approach pursues integration not through reduction to common principles but through mapping connections and translations between different theoretical languages and frameworks. It aims for what Galison (1997) terms "trading zones"—contexts where different paradigms can productively interact without complete mutual comprehension.

5.3 Ethical and Political Implications

Palimpsestic ontology raises ethical and political questions:

5.3.1 Superimposition of Responsibility

If actions and their consequences are layered in complex ways, how do we determine the attribution and scope of ethical responsibility? As Jonas (1984) argues, modern technology has created "a sphere of such novel dimensions that the traditional ethical frameworks cannot contain it" (p. 6).

The palimpsestic approach to ethics recognizes distributed responsibility without dissolving it entirely. It attends to how ethical obligations persist across temporal and spatial distances while developing nuanced accounts of differential responsibility based on causal influence, knowledge, and capacity.

5.3.2 Multilayered Justice

Justice operates not through singular principles but as a complex concept functioning across diverse contexts and layers. As Young (1990) contends, "Different social groups have different needs, cultures, histories, experiences, and perceptions of social relations" (p. 3), necessitating multidimensional approaches to justice.

The palimpsestic approach to justice recognizes the irreducibility of different forms of justice—distributive, recognitional, procedural, restorative—while exploring their interconnections and tensions. It seeks not a unified theory of justice but a complex practice responsive to the layered nature of social reality.

5.3.3 Simultaneity of Emancipation and Oppression

Emancipatory changes at one layer may function as new forms of oppression at another. As Foucault (1995) demonstrates, even progressive reforms can establish new forms of disciplinary power and control.

The palimpsestic approach to politics remains attentive to these complex dynamics without abandoning emancipatory commitments. It recognizes that political action always occurs within layered contexts where effects propagate in multiple, sometimes contradictory directions, requiring ongoing critical reflection rather than simple programs of liberation.

6 Conclusion: Philosophical Prospects of Palimpsestic Ontology

As a core theoretical foundation of palimpsestology, palimpsestic ontology presents a fundamentally new perspective on existence and knowledge. It transcends traditional metaphysics based on singularity, purity, fixity, and linearity.

This paradigm implies several philosophical attitudes:

1. **Openness to Complexity**: Embracing the multilayered complexity of existence rather than seeking simplification and reduction

2. **Recognition of Boundary Permeability**: Acknowledging that categories and boundaries are not fixed and immutable but permeable and fluid

3. **Acceptance of Irreconcilable Tensions**: Recognizing that contradictions and paradoxes are not flaws to be eliminated but essential characteristics of existence

4. **Ethics of Transformative Preservation**: Neither completely erasing nor uncritically preserving the past, but creatively transforming it while respecting its traces

Palimpsestic ontology offers a particularly suitable philosophical framework for understanding the complex, interconnected world of the 21st century. It can contribute to integrative thinking across academic disciplines, dialogue between diverse knowledge systems, and especially understanding new modes of existence in the digital age and the era of ecological crisis.

As Braidotti (2013) argues, we need "a vision of the thinking subject that is materially embedded, embodied, and yet flowing in a web of relations with human and non-human others" (p. 181). Palimpsestic ontology provides precisely such a vision—one that recognizes the layered complexity of existence while offering conceptual tools for navigating it.

References

Agamben, G. (1999). *Remnants of auschwitz: The witness and the archive.* Zone Books.

Assmann, J. (2011). *Cultural memory and early civilization: Writing, remembrance, and political imagination.* Cambridge University Press.

Barad, K. (2007). *Meeting the universe halfway: Quantum physics and the entanglement of matter and meaning.* Duke University Press.

Bhabha, H. K. (1994). *The location of culture.* Routledge.

Braidotti, R. (2013). *The posthuman.* Polity Press.

Chakrabarty, D. (2009). The climate of history: Four theses. *Critical Inquiry, 35*(2), 197–222.

DeLanda, M. (2002). *Intensive science and virtual philosophy.* Continuum.

Deleuze, G., & Guattari, F. (1987). *A thousand plateaus: Capitalism and schizophrenia* (B. Massumi, Trans.). University of Minnesota Press.

Dillon, S. (2007). *The palimpsest: Literature, criticism, theory.* Continuum.

Foucault, M. (1995). *Discipline and punish: The birth of the prison* (A. Sheridan, Trans.) [Original work published 1975]. Vintage Books.

Foucault, M. (2002). *The archaeology of knowledge* (A. M. S. Smith, Trans.) [Original work published 1969]. Routledge.

Gadamer, H.-G. (2004). *Truth and method* (J. Weinsheimer & D. G. Marshall, Trans.) [Original work published 1960]. Continuum.

Galison, P. (1997). *Image and logic: A material culture of microphysics.* University of Chicago Press.

Haraway, D. (1991). *Simians, cyborgs, and women: The reinvention of nature.* Routledge.

Hayles, N. K. (1999). *How we became posthuman: Virtual bodies in cybernetics, literature, and informatics.* University of Chicago Press.

Hofstadter, D. R. (1979). *Gödel, escher, bach: An eternal golden braid.* Basic Books.

James, W. (1996). *A pluralistic universe* [Original work published 1909]. University of Nebraska Press.

Jonas, H. (1984). *The imperative of responsibility: In search of an ethics for the technological age.* University of Chicago Press.

Kirschenbaum, M. G. (2008). *Mechanisms: New media and the forensic imagination.* MIT Press.

Kuhn, T. S. (2012). *The structure of scientific revolutions* (4th ed.) [Original work published 1962]. University of Chicago Press.

Latour, B. (1993). *We have never been modern* (C. Porter, Trans.). Harvard University Press.

Massey, D. (2005). *For space.* SAGE Publications.

Morin, E. (2008). *On complexity.* Hampton Press.

Morton, T. (2010). *The ecological thought.* Harvard University Press.

Nora, P. (1989). Between memory and history: Les lieux de mémoire. *Representations, 26,* 7–24.

Parikka, J. (2012). *What is media archaeology?* Polity Press.

Prigogine, I., & Stengers, I. (1984). *Order out of chaos: Man's new dialogue with nature.* Bantam Books.

Ricoeur, P. (1981). *Hermeneutics and the human sciences* (J. B. Thompson, Trans.). Cambridge University Press.

Said, E. W. (1993). *Culture and imperialism.* Vintage Books.

Schama, S. (1995). *Landscape and memory.* Alfred A. Knopf.

Serres, M. (2007). *The parasite* (L. R. Schehr, Trans.) [Original work published 1982]. University of Minnesota Press.

Simondon, G. (2020). *Individuation in light of notions of form and information* (T. Adkins, Trans.) [Original work published 1964]. University of Minnesota Press.

Spivak, G. C. (1988). Can the subaltern speak? In C. Nelson & L. Grossberg (Eds.), *Marxism and the interpretation of culture* (pp. 271–313). University of Illinois Press.

Steiner, G. (1998). *After babel: Aspects of language and translation* (3rd ed.) [Original work published 1975]. Oxford University Press.

Thagard, P. (2000). *Coherence in thought and action.* MIT Press.

Young, I. M. (1990). *Justice and the politics of difference.* Princeton University Press.