

# Linear Optimization: Column Generation

Brady Hunsaker

November 22, 2004

# Column Generation and the Pricing Problem

- ▶ If a problem has many variables/columns but relatively few constraints, then column generation may be beneficial.

# Column Generation and the Pricing Problem

- ▶ If a problem has many variables/columns but relatively few constraints, then column generation may be beneficial.
- ▶ In order to be practical, we must be able to solve the *pricing problem*: given a set of dual values, either identify a column that has a favorable reduced cost, or indicate that no such column exists.

# Column Generation and the Pricing Problem

- ▶ If a problem has many variables/columns but relatively few constraints, then column generation may be beneficial.
- ▶ In order to be practical, we must be able to solve the *pricing problem*: given a set of dual values, either identify a column that has a favorable reduced cost, or indicate that no such column exists.
- ▶ Recall from the simplex algorithm in matrix notation:

# Column Generation and the Pricing Problem

- ▶ If a problem has many variables/columns but relatively few constraints, then column generation may be beneficial.
- ▶ In order to be practical, we must be able to solve the *pricing problem*: given a set of dual values, either identify a column that has a favorable reduced cost, or indicate that no such column exists.
- ▶ Recall from the simplex algorithm in matrix notation:
  - ▶ The dual values associated with a solution are given by the vector  $y^T = c_B^T B^{-1}$

# Column Generation and the Pricing Problem

- ▶ If a problem has many variables/columns but relatively few constraints, then column generation may be beneficial.
- ▶ In order to be practical, we must be able to solve the *pricing problem*: given a set of dual values, either identify a column that has a favorable reduced cost, or indicate that no such column exists.
- ▶ Recall from the simplex algorithm in matrix notation:
  - ▶ The dual values associated with a solution are given by the vector  $y^T = c_B^T B^{-1}$
  - ▶ The reduced cost of a column  $A_j$  is given by  $c_j - c_B^T B^{-1} A_j = c_j - y^T A_j$

# Column Generation and the Pricing Problem

- ▶ If a problem has many variables/columns but relatively few constraints, then column generation may be beneficial.
- ▶ In order to be practical, we must be able to solve the *pricing problem*: given a set of dual values, either identify a column that has a favorable reduced cost, or indicate that no such column exists.
- ▶ Recall from the simplex algorithm in matrix notation:
  - ▶ The dual values associated with a solution are given by the vector  $y^T = c_B^T B^{-1}$
  - ▶ The reduced cost of a column  $A_j$  is given by  $c_j - c_B^T B^{-1} A_j = c_j - y^T A_j$
- ▶ So given  $y$ , we must determine whether there exists a column  $A_j$  such that  $y^T A_j$  is favorable.

# Cutting-stock example

- ▶ We will demonstrate column generation with a classical example called a *cutting stock problem*.



# Cutting-stock example

- ▶ We will demonstrate column generation with a classical example called a *cutting stock problem*.
- ▶ When paper is produced, it is generally produced in large rolls with a fixed width. These are called *raw rolls* or *raws*.

# Cutting-stock example

- ▶ We will demonstrate column generation with a classical example called a *cutting stock problem*.
- ▶ When paper is produced, it is generally produced in large rolls with a fixed width. These are called *raw rolls* or *raws*.
- ▶ Customers desire to have rolls in a variety of smaller widths, so each raw is cut (by special tools) into several smaller *final rolls*.

# Cutting-stock example

- ▶ We will demonstrate column generation with a classical example called a *cutting stock problem*.
- ▶ When paper is produced, it is generally produced in large rolls with a fixed width. These are called *raw rolls* or *raws*.
- ▶ Customers desire to have rolls in a variety of smaller widths, so each raw is cut (by special tools) into several smaller *final rolls*.
- ▶ Typically there is some “leftover” part of the raw that is wasted.

# Cutting-stock example

- ▶ We will demonstrate column generation with a classical example called a *cutting stock problem*.
- ▶ When paper is produced, it is generally produced in large rolls with a fixed width. These are called *raw rolls* or *raws*.
- ▶ Customers desire to have rolls in a variety of smaller widths, so each raw is cut (by special tools) into several smaller *final rolls*.
- ▶ Typically there is some “leftover” part of the raw that is wasted.
- ▶ The cutting-stock problem is as follows: given a raw size and a set of demands for final sizes, determine how to cut the raws so that the fewest number of raw rolls are used.

# Cutting-stock example

- ▶ We will demonstrate column generation with a classical example called a *cutting stock problem*.
- ▶ When paper is produced, it is generally produced in large rolls with a fixed width. These are called *raw rolls* or *raws*.
- ▶ Customers desire to have rolls in a variety of smaller widths, so each raw is cut (by special tools) into several smaller *final rolls*.
- ▶ Typically there is some “leftover” part of the raw that is wasted.
- ▶ The cutting-stock problem is as follows: given a raw size and a set of demands for final sizes, determine how to cut the raws so that the fewest number of raw rolls are used.
- ▶ This is equivalent to minimizing the total waste.

# Formulating a cutting-stock instance

# Formulating a cutting-stock instance

- ▶ Create a variable for each possible *pattern* that may be cut from a raw roll. The value of the variable tells how many raw rolls to cut in that pattern.

# Formulating a cutting-stock instance

- ▶ Create a variable for each possible *pattern* that may be cut from a raw roll. The value of the variable tells how many raw rolls to cut in that pattern.
- ▶ Let  $P$  be the set of patterns,  $I$  be the set of final roll sizes,  $d_i$  be the demand for final  $i \in I$ , and  $a_{ip}$  be the number of size  $i$  finals in pattern  $p$ .



# Formulating a cutting-stock instance

- ▶ Create a variable for each possible *pattern* that may be cut from a raw roll. The value of the variable tells how many raw rolls to cut in that pattern.
- ▶ Let  $P$  be the set of patterns,  $I$  be the set of final roll sizes,  $d_i$  be the demand for final  $i \in I$ , and  $a_{ip}$  be the number of size  $i$  finals in pattern  $p$ .



$$\begin{array}{ll} \min & \sum_{p \in P} x_p \\ \text{s.t.} & \sum_{p \in P} a_{ip} x_p \geq d_i \quad \forall i \in I \\ & x_p \geq 0 \end{array}$$

# Formulating a cutting-stock instance

- ▶ Create a variable for each possible *pattern* that may be cut from a raw roll. The value of the variable tells how many raw rolls to cut in that pattern.
- ▶ Let  $P$  be the set of patterns,  $I$  be the set of final roll sizes,  $d_i$  be the demand for final  $i \in I$ , and  $a_{ip}$  be the number of size  $i$  finals in pattern  $p$ .



$$\begin{aligned} \min \quad & \sum_{p \in P} x_p \\ \text{s.t.} \quad & \sum_{p \in P} a_{ip} x_p \geq d_i \quad \forall i \in I \\ & x_p \geq 0 \end{aligned}$$

- ▶ Really we should have integer values, but in practice the numbers are mostly large enough that rounding gives a good solution.

# Formulating the pricing problem

We need to find values  $a_i$  for  $i \in I$  such that the values form a feasible pattern and the reduced cost is favorable.

# Solving the pricing problem

- ▶ An efficient way to solve this subproblem is to use *dynamic programming*.

# Solving the pricing problem

- ▶ An efficient way to solve this subproblem is to use *dynamic programming*.
- ▶ We mentioned dynamic programming before, since it is also an efficient way to solve many shortest-path instances.

# Dantzig-Wolfe Decomposition

- ▶ A common use of column generation is to separate a set of “easy” constraints from the rest of a large LP. This is called Dantzig-Wolfe decomposition.

# Dantzig-Wolfe Decomposition

- ▶ A common use of column generation is to separate a set of “easy” constraints from the rest of a large LP. This is called Dantzig-Wolfe decomposition.
- ▶ There are many reasons why the “easy” constraints may be easier than the full formulation:

# Dantzig-Wolfe Decomposition

- ▶ A common use of column generation is to separate a set of “easy” constraints from the rest of a large LP. This is called Dantzig-Wolfe decomposition.
- ▶ There are many reasons why the “easy” constraints may be easier than the full formulation:
  - ▶ The easy constraints may involve only a small fraction of the variables.



# Dantzig-Wolfe Decomposition

- ▶ A common use of column generation is to separate a set of “easy” constraints from the rest of a large LP. This is called Dantzig-Wolfe decomposition.
- ▶ There are many reasons why the “easy” constraints may be easier than the full formulation:
  - ▶ The easy constraints may involve only a small fraction of the variables.
  - ▶ The easy constraints may have a special structure, such as a graph algorithm, which can be solved quickly using a special algorithm.

# Dantzig-Wolfe Decomposition

- ▶ A common use of column generation is to separate a set of “easy” constraints from the rest of a large LP. This is called Dantzig-Wolfe decomposition.
- ▶ There are many reasons why the “easy” constraints may be easier than the full formulation:
  - ▶ The easy constraints may involve only a small fraction of the variables.
  - ▶ The easy constraints may have a special structure, such as a graph algorithm, which can be solved quickly using a special algorithm.
- ▶ The idea is to solve the easy constraints separately (and repeatedly, as it turns out).

# Extreme points and extreme rays

Consider a set of linear inequalities:  $\sum_j a_{ij}x_j \leq b_i$ . The feasible region for this system has a set of extreme points  $x_1^*, \dots, x_s^*$  and extreme rays  $d_1^*, \dots, d_t^*$ .

Theorem: Any point in the feasible region defined by the set of linear constraints above may be expressed in the form

$$\sum_{i=1}^s \alpha_i x_i^* + \sum_{j=1}^t \beta_j d_j^*,$$

where  $\alpha_i, \beta_j \geq 0$  and  $\sum_{i=1}^s \alpha_i = 1$ .

# Extreme points and extreme rays

Consider a set of linear inequalities:  $\sum_j a_{ij}x_j \leq b_i$ . The feasible region for this system has a set of extreme points  $x_1^*, \dots, x_s^*$  and extreme rays  $d_1^*, \dots, d_t^*$ .

Theorem: Any point in the feasible region defined by the set of linear constraints above may be expressed in the form

$$\sum_{i=1}^s \alpha_i x_i^* + \sum_{j=1}^t \beta_j d_j^*,$$

where  $\alpha_i, \beta_j \geq 0$  and  $\sum_{i=1}^s \alpha_i = 1$ .

The main idea of Dantzig-Wolfe decomposition is to replace the system of inequalities with this expression and generate the columns  $x_i^*$  or  $d_j^*$  as needed using column generation.