1 The Diffie-Hellman Key Exchange System

1.1 Mechanism

Goal: Alice and Bob want to exchange a shared "secret key" via an insecure channel. (Incidentally, the shared secret key is usually used for encryption and decryption with a symmetric cipher.)

- Alice and Bob choose and publish a large prime p and an integer g with large prime order in \mathbb{F}_{p}^{*} .
- Alice chooses a secret $a \in \mathbb{Z}$, computes $A := g^a \mod p$, and sends $A \in \mathbb{F}_p^*$ to Bob via the insecure channel.
- Bob chooses a secret $b \in \mathbb{Z}$, computes $B := g^b \mod p$, and sends $B \in \mathbb{F}_p^*$ to Alice via the insecure channel.
- Bob computes $A^b = (g^a)^b = g^{ab} \in \mathbb{F}_p^*$.
- Alice computes $B^a = (g^b)^a = g^{ab} \in \mathbb{F}_p^*$.
- Alice and Bob have both arrived at $g^{ab} \in \mathbb{F}_p^*$, which is to be their shared secret.

1.2 Security of the Diffie-Hellman key exchange system

• The presumed security of the Diffie-Hellman key exchange system is based on the presumed difficulty in solving the

The Diffie-Hellman Problem:

Let p be a prime, and $g \in \mathbb{F}_p^*$. Given $A, B \in \langle g \rangle \subset \mathbb{F}_p^*$, find $g^{ab} \in \mathbb{F}_p^*$, where $a, b \in \mathbb{Z}$ are determined by $A = g^a$ and $B = g^b$.

Note that the solution of the Diffie-Hellman problem does NOT require the knowledge of a and b, but only that of $g^{ab} \in \langle g \rangle$.

• It is clear that an efficient algorithm for the Discrete Logarithm Problem will lead to an efficient algorithm for the Diffie-Hellman Problem. An efficient algorithm of the Discrete Logarithm Problem will break the Diffie-Hellman key exchange system.

The Discrete Logarithm Problem:

Let p be a prime, and $g \in \mathbb{F}_p$ be a primitive element, i.e. $\mathbb{F}_p^* = \langle g \rangle$. Given any $h \in \mathbb{F}_p^*$, find $x \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that $h = g^x$.

2 The ElGamal Public Key Cryptosystem

2.1 Mechanism

Goal: Bob wants to send Alice an encrypted message via an insecure channel.

- Alice chooses and publishes a large prime p and an integer g with large prime order in \mathbb{F}_p^* .
- Alice chooses a secret $a \in \mathbb{Z}$, with $1 \le a \le p-1$, computes $A := g^a \mod p$, and publishes $A \in \mathbb{F}_p^*$ as her public key.
- Bob chooses a plaintext $m \in \mathbb{F}_p^*$, and a secret random ephemeral key $k \in \mathbb{Z}$, with $1 \le k \le p-1$. Bob computes $B_1 := g^k \mod p$, and $B_2 := m \cdot A^k \in \mathbb{F}_p^*$. Bob's ciphertext is (B_1, B_2) and Bob sends it to Alice via the insecure channel.
- Alice decrypts Bob's ciphertext (B_1, B_2) by computing $B_2 \cdot (B_1^a)^{-1} \in \mathbb{F}_p^*$. Note that

$$B_2 \cdot (B_1^a)^{-1} \equiv (m \cdot A^k) \cdot (g^{ak})^{-1} \equiv m \cdot (g^a)^k \cdot (g^{ak})^{-1} \equiv m \cdot (g^{ak}) \cdot (g^{ak})^{-1} \equiv m \mod p$$

2.2 Security of the ElGamal public key cryptosystem

• The ElGamal public key encryption system is at least as secure as the difficulty of Diffie-Hellman problem, in the sense that en ElGamal oracle (efficient solver of the ElGamal cryptosystem problem) can be used to efficiently solve the Diffie-Hellman problem.

3 The RSA Public Key Cryptosystem

3.1 Mechanism

Goal: Alice wants to send Bob an encrypted message through an insecure channel.

- Bob chooses his public key $(n,e) \in \mathbb{N}^2$ and private key $d \in \mathbb{N}$. Bob publishes his public key.
 - $-n \in \mathbb{N}$ is called the modulus, with n = pq, where p and q are large distinct prime numbers. Note that Bob publishes p but keeps p and q secret.
 - $-e \in \mathbb{N}$ is the called encryption exponent, and satisfies $\gcd(e,(p-1)(q-1))=1$.
 - $-d \in \mathbb{N}$ is the called decryption exponent, and is determined by e and n = pq via $d = e^{-1} \in \mathbb{Z}_{(p-1)(q-1)}$. Note that $e^{-1} \in \mathbb{Z}_{(p-1)(q-1)}$ exists since $\gcd(e, (p-1)(q-1)) = 1$.
- Alice
 - chooses plaintext $m \in \mathbb{Z}_n = \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}$.
 - encrypts her plaintext m using Bob's public key (n, e) by raising $m \in \mathbb{Z}_n$ to the e^{th} power. In other words, Alice computes her ciphertext $c = m^e \in \mathbb{Z}_n$.
 - sends to Bob through the insecure channel the ciphertext $c \in \mathbb{Z}_n$.
- Bob decrypts the ciphertext $c \in \mathbb{Z}_n$ from Alice by taking the e^{th} root of c in \mathbb{Z}_n using his private key $d \in \mathbb{N}$ as follows:

$$c^d = (m^e)^d = m^{ed} = m^{1+k(p-1)(q-1)} = m \cdot (m^{(p-1)(q-1)})^k = m \cdot (1)^k = m \in \mathbb{Z}_n$$

– The second last equality follows from $m^{(p-1)(q-1)} \equiv 1 \mod n$, which follows immediately from Euler's Theorem. It can also be justified with Fermat's Little Theorem as follows:

Fermat's Little Theorem
$$\implies \begin{cases} m^{(p-1)(q-1)} = (m^{p-1})^{q-1} \equiv 1 \mod p, \text{ and } \\ m^{(p-1)(q-1)} = (m^{q-1})^{p-1} \equiv 1 \mod q. \end{cases}$$

Hence, $m^{(p-1)(q-1)} - 1$ is divisble by both p and q, and hence also by pq = n (since p and q are distinct primes). Thus, $m^{(p-1)(q-1)} \equiv 1 \mod n = pq$.

3.2 Comments

- One-way function (easy): Exponentiation in \mathbb{Z}_n .
 - Repeating Squaring Algorithm
- (Difficult) inverse function: Taking roots in \mathbb{Z}_n , for n = pq, where p and q are large distinct prime numbers.
- Trapdoor: If the factorization of n = pq is known, then we can convert the inverse function (taking roots in \mathbb{Z}_n , which is slow) to an exponentiation in \mathbb{Z}_n , which is fast.

3.3 How to find large prime numbers?

- Generate a large N-bit (say N = 1024) random number x, i.e. $2^{N-1} < x < 2^N$. Use an efficient primality test to check whether x is prime. If so, we are done. If not, repeat until we succeed.
- The Prime Number Theorem (from Analytic Number Theory) gives an estimate of how many times we need to try before succeeding. The Prime Number Theorem states that

$$\lim_{x \to \infty} \frac{\pi(x)/x}{1/\ln(x)} = 1,$$

where $\pi(x)$ is the number of prime numbers less than or equal to x. Hence, it implies that, for large values of N, the probability that a randomly selected integer $x \in (2^{N-1}, 2^N)$ is prime is approximately

$$\frac{1}{\ln(2^N)}$$

Conversely, this implies that, on average, out of every $\ln(2^N) = N \cdot \ln(2) \approx 0.693 \cdot N$ randomly and independently selected integers from $(2^{N-1}, 2^N)$, one of them will be a prime number. For example, if N = 1024, then $0.693 \cdot N \approx 709.78$; in other words, if we are selecting random integers from $(2^{1023}, 2^{1024})$, then on average, we expect repeating approximately 710 times before we succeed in selecting a prime number. Note that $2^{1023} = 10^{1023 \times \log_{10}(2)} \approx 10^{1023 \times 0.301} \approx 10^{307.95}$.

- The Miller-Rabin Primality test
 - **Proposition** Let p be an odd prime and write $p-1=2^kt$, where t is odd. Then, for each $a \in \mathbb{Z}$ with $p \nmid a$, one of the following is true:
 - $a^t \equiv 1 \mod p$, or
 - One of a^t , a^{2t} , a^{4t} , ..., $a^{2^{k-1}t}$ is congruent to $-1 \mod p$.
 - Corollary Let $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ be an odd number, with $n-1=2^kt$, t being odd. Then, n is composite, if any of the following is true:
 - There exists $a \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that gcd(a, n) > 1.
 - There exists $a \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that gcd(a, n) = 1, and $a^t \not\equiv 1 \mod n$, and $a^{2^i t} \not\equiv -1 \mod n$, for each $i = 0, 1, 2, \ldots, k 1$.
 - **Proposition** Let n be an odd composite number. Then, at least 75% of integers between 1 and n-1 are Miller-Rabin witnesses for n.

3.4 Factorization algorithms

• Pollard's p-1 factorization algorithm

This method "probably" works for producing a non-trivial factor for composite $n \in \mathbb{N}$ admitting a prime factor p such that p-1 is a product of small primes.

- **Proposition:** Let n = pq, where p and q are distinct prime numbers. Then the following two statements hold:

• For each $L \in \mathbb{N}$, we have the following implications:

$$(p-1) \mid L \implies p \mid (a^L - 1)$$

• For any $a \in \mathbb{N}$ with $p \nmid a$ and $q \nmid a$, and any $L \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$\left. \begin{array}{c} p \mid (a^L - 1) \\ q \nmid (a^L - 1) \end{array} \right\} \quad \Longrightarrow \quad p = \gcd(a^L - 1, n)$$

Key observations:

- If p-1 is a product of small primes, then $p \mid N!$, for some not-too-large N.
- If $(q-1) \nmid N!$, then $q \nmid (a^{N!}-1)$ is "probably" true.
- If p-1 is a product of small primes, and q-1 is NOT so, then computing $gcd(a^{k!}-1,n)$, for $k=2,3,\ldots$, will "probably" yield p as a non-trivial factor of n.
- Factorization via difference of squares

Key observations:

Suppose we know that $n \in \mathbb{N}$ is odd and composite. We want to find a non-trivial factor of n.

- If we can find $a, b \in \mathbb{N}$ such that n is the difference of their squares, i.e. $n = a^2 b^2 = (a b)(a + b)$, then computing gcd(a b, n) will yield a non-trivial factor of n.
- Conversely, suppose n=cd. Since n is odd, both c and d must also be odd. Hence, $a:=\frac{1}{2}(c+d)\in\mathbb{Z}$ and $b:=\frac{1}{2}(c-d)\in\mathbb{Z}$. And, $a^2-b^2=\cdots=cd=n$. In other words, every composite odd integer can be written as the difference of two squares.
- If some multiple kn is a difference of squares, i.e. $kn = a^2 b^2 = (a b)(a + b)$, then computing gcd(a b, n) will "probably" yield a non-trivial factor of n, since it should be unlikely that n divides a b.
- In summary, if we could find $a, b \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that $a^2 \equiv b^2 \mod n$, then computing $\gcd(a b, n)$ will probably yield a non-trivial factor of n.

- Outline of general procedure:

- (1) Find B-smooth perfect squares in \mathbb{Z}_n . Find many $a_1, a_2, \ldots, a_r \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that every prime factor of $c_i \equiv a_i^2 \mod n$ is less than or equal to B.
- (2) Find sub-collections $c_{i_1}, c_{i_2}, \ldots, c_{i_s}$ such that $c_{i_1}c_{i_2}\cdots c_{i_s} \equiv b^2 \mod n$ are perfect squares in \mathbb{Z}_n .
- (3) Let $a := a_{i_1} a_{i_2} \cdots a_{i_s} \mod n$. Then, computing $\gcd(a-b,n)$ will probably yield a non-trivial factor of n.

- Comments on the general procedure:

- Step (3) can be performed efficiently using the Euclidean Algorithm.
- Step (2) is equivalent to solving a homogeneous (sparse) system of linear equations over \mathbb{F}_2 .
- The main challenge in difference-of-squares factorization is Step (1), namely, given $n \in \mathbb{Z}$, finding enough B-smooth perfect squares in \mathbb{Z}_n .

4 Algorithms for solving the Discrete Logarithm Problem

The Discrete Logarithm Problem

- Let G be a finite cyclic group of order $N \geq 2$, and let $g \in G$ be a generator of G.
- Let $h \in G \setminus \{e\}$ be given.
- Find $x \in \mathbb{N}$, $1 \le x < N$, such that $g^x = h$.

4.1 The Shank Baby-Step-Giant-Step Algorithm

Theory:

- Let $n := 1 + \lfloor \sqrt{N} \rfloor$, where $\lfloor \sqrt{N} \rfloor$ is the round-down of \sqrt{N} . Note, trivially, that $n^2 > N$.
- Define:

$$S_1 := \left\{ g^i \mid i = 0, 1, 2, \dots, n \right\} = \left\{ e, g, g^2, g^3, \dots, g^n \right\}$$

$$S_2 := \left\{ h \cdot (g^{-n})^i \mid i = 0, 1, 2, \dots, n \right\} = \left\{ h, h \cdot (g^{-n}), h \cdot (g^{-n})^2, h \cdot (g^{-n})^3, \dots, h \cdot (g^{-n})^n \right\}$$

- Then, it turns out that $S_1 \cap S_2 \neq \emptyset$.
- For any $i, j \in \{0, 1, 2, ..., n\}$ such that $g^i = h \cdot (g^{-n})^j \in \mathcal{S}_1 \cap \mathcal{S}_2$, the positive integer $x := i + jn \in \mathbb{Z}$ is a solution to the Discrete Logarithm Problem.

Outline of proof:

- $h \in \langle g \rangle \backslash \{e\} \Longrightarrow$ there exists $x \in \mathbb{N}$, $1 \le x < N$, such that $g^x = h$.
- Then, x = nq + r, with $0 \le r < n$ and $q \ge 0$. We claim that the quotient q in fact satisfies: q < n. Indeed, x < N implies

$$q = \frac{x-r}{n} \le \frac{N}{n} < \frac{n^2}{n} = n.$$

• Hence, $g^x = h$ can be rewritten as follows:

$$g^x = h \iff g^{nq+r} = h \iff g^r = h \cdot (g^{-n})^q \in \mathcal{S}_1 \cap \mathcal{S}_2$$
, for some $0 \le r, q < n$

Pseudocode:

- (1) Let $n := 1 + |\sqrt{N}|$, where $|\sqrt{N}|$ is the round-down of \sqrt{N} .
- (2) Generate $S_1 = \{ g^i \mid i = 0, 1, 2, \dots, n \} = \{ e, g, g^2, g^3, \dots, g^n \}.$
- (3) Form the hash table \mathcal{T} :

$\ker g^i$	e	g	g^2	g^3	 g^n
value i	0	1	2	3	 \overline{n}

```
(4) gn := g^{-n};
  temp := h;
  for j = 0, 1, 2, ...
      if (T{temp} exists) {
          i := T{temp};
          return(i + n*j);
      } else {
          temp := temp * gn;
      }
  end
```

Complexity: $\mathcal{O}(\sqrt{N})$

- The generation of S_1 takes approximately n multiplications.
- The generation of the hash table takes $\mathcal{O}(1)$ computation steps.
- The loop takes $\mathcal{O}(n)$ computational steps.
- Hence, the whole algorithm takes $\mathcal{O}(n+n+1) = \mathcal{O}(n) = \mathcal{O}(\sqrt{N})$ computational steps.

4.2 The Pohlig-Hellman Algorithm

Summary:

- The Pohlig-Hellman Algorithm renders efficiently solvable the Discrete Logarithm Problem (DLP) in any finite cyclic group G whose order |G| is a product of powers of small primes.
- This is achieved with two results of Pohlig-Hellman:
 - The DLP in a finite cyclic group G with $|G| = N = p_1^{\alpha_1} p_2^{\alpha_2} \cdots p_t^{\alpha_t}$ can be "converted" to t distinct associated DLP's in groups of orders $p_1^{\alpha_1}, \ldots, p_t^{\alpha_t}$, respectively. Here, "converted" means that the solutions of the associated DLP's can be "combined", via the Chinese Remainder Theorem, to give the solution of the original DLP.
 - The DLP in a finite cyclic group of prime power order p^{α} can be "converted" to a series of DLP's, each in a group of power p.
 - This last set of DLP's in groups of prime power must then be solved by methods other than Pohlig-Hellman, for example, either by brute force, or by Shank's Baby-Step-Giant-Step method. Thus, if each of the primer orders involved is relatively small (e.g., efficiently solvable by brute force or Baby-Step-Giant-Step), then the original DLP can be efficiently solved.

Theory:

• The assumption that $h \in G = \langle g \rangle$ implies the solvability of $g^x = h$ for $x \in \{0, 1, 2, \dots, N-1\}$.

• Let $N = p_1^{\alpha_1} p_2^{\alpha_2} p_3^{\alpha_3} \cdots p_t^{\alpha_t}$ be the prime factorization of N. Then, it turns out that the following associated discrete logarithm problems (in finite cyclic groups with prime power order):

$$g_i^{y_i} = h_i$$
, where $g_i := g^{N/p_i^{\alpha_i}}$, $h_i := h^{N/p_i^{\alpha_i}}$, and $i = 1, 2, ..., t$,

are also solvable for

$$y_i \in \{0, 1, 2, \dots, p_i^{\alpha_i} - 1\}.$$

If we also have their solutions y_i , then we can compute x using the Chinese Remainder Theorem, namely, by solving the following simultaneous congruences for $x \in \{0, 1, 2, ..., N-1\}$:

$$x \equiv y_i \mod p_i^{\alpha_i}$$
, for $i = 1, 2, \dots, t$.

Outline of proof:

The solvability of $g^x = h$ implies the solvability of the auxiliary discrete logarithm problems $g_i^{y_i} = h_i$ for $y_i \in \{0, 1, 2, \dots, p_i^{\alpha_i} - 1\}$, for each $i = 1, 2, \dots, t$, since

$$g^{x} = h \implies (g^{x})^{N/p_{i}^{\alpha_{i}}} = (h)^{N/p_{i}^{\alpha_{i}}} \implies (g^{N/p_{i}^{\alpha_{i}}})^{x} = (h)^{N/p_{i}^{\alpha_{i}}} \implies (g_{i})^{x} = h_{i} \implies (g_{i})^{y_{i}} = h_{i},$$

where $y_i := x \mod p_i^{\alpha_i}$. Here, note that $\operatorname{ord}(g_i) = p_i^{\alpha_i}$, for each i = 1, 2, ..., t. Next, observe that, for each i = 1, 2, ..., t,

$$(g^{-x} \cdot h)^{N/p_i^{\alpha_i}} = (g^{-x})^{N/p_i^{\alpha_i}} \cdot (h)^{N/p_i^{\alpha_i}} = (g^{N/p_i^{\alpha_i}})^{-x} \cdot (h)^{N/p_i^{\alpha_i}}$$

$$= (g_i)^{-x} \cdot h_i$$

$$= (g_i)^{-y_i} \cdot h_i, \text{ since } x \equiv y_i \text{ mod } p_i^{\alpha_i}, \text{ and } \operatorname{ord}(g_i) = p_i^{\alpha_i}$$

$$= 1_G, \text{ since } g_i^{y_i} = h_i$$

Hence, $\operatorname{ord}(g^{-x} \cdot h)$ divides $\frac{N}{p_i^{\alpha_i}}$, for each $i = 1, 2, \ldots, t$, which in turn implies that $\operatorname{ord}(g^{-x} \cdot h)$ divides $\operatorname{gcd}\left(\frac{N}{p_1^{\alpha_1}}, \ldots, \frac{N}{p_t^{\alpha_t}}\right)$. However, $\frac{N}{p_1^{\alpha_1}}, \ldots, \frac{N}{p_t^{\alpha_t}}$ have no non-trivial common divisors, and hence $\operatorname{gcd}\left(\frac{N}{p_1^{\alpha_1}}, \ldots, \frac{N}{p_t^{\alpha_t}}\right) = 1$. Thus, $\operatorname{ord}(g^x \cdot h) = 1$; hence, $g^x = h$, as desired.

4.3 The Index Calculus Method