

1 Experiment

We now look at running the simulation, and analyzing the results. First, we look at some theoretical results pertaining to the four geometrical invariants under question - time reversibility, total energy, total linear momentum, and total angular momentum. Then, we look at running the simulation for different values of the parameters β and γ . We will assume that the simulations have the following initial configuration (unless stated otherwise):

Parameter	Initial Value
No. of particles	864
Temperature	1 K*
Density	38.744 m* σ^{*-3}
h	0.032
N_e	100
N_f	500
N_s	10
N_n	15

Table 1.1: Initial Configuration for molecular simulations

The last four elements in the table indicate that the simulation will initially be equilibrated for 100 iterations, and then run for 500 iterations where samples will be collected every 10 iterations (giving a total of 50 samples). The list of neighbours will be updated every 10 iterations in both the equilibration and final stages.

1.1 Time Reversibility

The molecular dynamics system is time reversible - if we go from state s_1 to state s_2 in time δt , then we can return to state s_1 from state s_2 in time δt by reversing the signs on the velocities. This can be seen formally by applying the transformation $t \mapsto -t$ to Equation ?? - the position q does not change, but the sign on momentum p gets reversed.

$$q \mapsto q = \tilde{q}, \quad p \mapsto -p = \tilde{p}.$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} \dot{\tilde{q}} &= \frac{d\tilde{q}}{d(-t)} = -\nabla_{\tilde{p}} H = \nabla_p H = \dot{q}, \\ \dot{\tilde{p}} &= \frac{d\tilde{p}}{d(-t)} = -\nabla_{\tilde{q}} H = -\nabla_q H = \dot{p}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, the Hamiltonian system is time reversible.

We say that a numerical one-step method $\Phi_h : (\mathbf{x}_i, \mathbf{v}_i) \mapsto (\mathbf{x}_{i+1}, \mathbf{v}_{i+1})$ is time reversible if $\Phi_h = \Phi_{-h}^{-1}$. Informally, this means that if we exchange $i \leftrightarrow i+1$ and replace h by $-h$ in our original method, then we should get the same method back. In order to ascertain which Newmark Beta methods are reversible, we cite [?]:

Theorem 1.1. *The maximal order of a reversible one-step method is always even.*

As Newmark Beta methods have maximal order 2 only if $\gamma = \frac{1}{2}$, the contrapositive of 1.1 states that any scheme with $\gamma \neq \frac{1}{2}$ is not reversible. Now, we claim

Claim 1.2. *Any Newmark Beta method with $\gamma = \frac{1}{2}$ is reversible.*

Proof. A Newmark Beta scheme with $\gamma = \frac{1}{2}$ has the form

$$\mathbf{v}_{i+1} = \mathbf{v}_i + h \left(\frac{1}{2} \mathbf{a}_i + \frac{1}{2} \mathbf{a}_{i+1} \right), \quad (1.1)$$

$$\mathbf{x}_{i+1} = \mathbf{x}_i + h \mathbf{v}_i + \frac{h^2}{2} [(1 - 2\beta) \mathbf{a}_i + 2\beta \mathbf{a}_{i+1}]. \quad (1.2)$$

Swapping $i \leftrightarrow i + 1$ and replacing h with $-h$

$$\mathbf{v}_i = \mathbf{v}_{i+1} - h \left(\frac{1}{2} \mathbf{a}_{i+1} + \frac{1}{2} \mathbf{a}_i \right), \quad (1.3)$$

$$\mathbf{x}_i = \mathbf{x}_{i+1} - h \mathbf{v}_{i+1} + \frac{h^2}{2} [(1 - 2\beta) \mathbf{a}_{i+1} + 2\beta \mathbf{a}_i]. \quad (1.4)$$

It is straight-forward to see that Equation 1.3 is the same as Equation 1.1. For Equation 1.4, we see

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{x}_{i+1} &= \mathbf{x}_i + h \mathbf{v}_{i+1} - \frac{h^2}{2} [(1 - 2\beta) \mathbf{a}_{i+1} + 2\beta \mathbf{a}_i] \\ &= \mathbf{x}_i + h \left(\mathbf{v}_i + \frac{h}{2} \mathbf{a}_i + \frac{h}{2} \mathbf{a}_{i+1} \right) - \frac{h^2}{2} [(1 - 2\beta) \mathbf{a}_{i+1} + 2\beta \mathbf{a}_i] \\ &= \mathbf{x}_i + h \mathbf{v}_i + \frac{h^2}{2} [(1 - 2\beta) \mathbf{a}_i + 2\beta \mathbf{a}_{i+1}]. \end{aligned}$$

□

Aside: First Integrals

The next three invariants - total energy, linear momentum, and angular momentum - follow readily from Newton's Laws of Motion as there is no external force on the system. In order to simplify checking which methods preserve these invariants the best, we introduce the concept of first integrals.

A non-constant function $I(y)$ is a *first integral* (or invariant) of the differential equation $\dot{y} = F(y)$ if $I(y(t))$ is constant along every solution, or equivalently, if

$$\nabla I(y) F(y) = 0 \quad \forall y. \quad [?] \quad (1.5)$$

Total energy, total linear momentum, and total angular momentum are all first integrals [?]. We look at proving this in the next subsections, adapting the proofs from [?].

1.2 Total Linear Momentum

Theorem 1.3. *Total linear momentum $P = \sum_{i=1}^N p_i$ is a first integral.*

Proof.

$$\begin{aligned}
\nabla P &= \frac{dP}{dt} = \sum_{i=1}^N \frac{dp_i}{dt} \\
&= \sum_{i=1}^N \sum_{j=1}^N \nu_{ij} (q_i - q_j) \\
&= 0 \quad \dots \text{ as } \nu_{ij} = \nu_{ji} \forall i, j
\end{aligned}$$

□

Total linear momentum - as the name suggests - is a linear first integral. Most numerical methods preserve linear first integrals - in fact, it is a property shared by all Runge-Kutta methods. Indeed, this holds true for the entire family of Newmark Beta methods as well.

Claim 1.4. *All Newmark Beta methods preserve linear first integrals*

Proof. Let $I(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{v}) = b^T \mathbf{x} + c^T \mathbf{v}$ be a linear first integral, where b and c are some constant vectors. By the definition of first integrals, we want that $I'(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{v}) = 0$ for all \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{v} . But $I'(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{v}) = b^T \mathbf{v} + c^T \mathbf{a}(\mathbf{x}) = 0$, implying that $b^T = 0$ and $c^T \mathbf{a}(\mathbf{x}) = 0$ for all \mathbf{x} . So, $I(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{v}) = I(\mathbf{v}) = c^T \mathbf{v}$ (This makes sense in a real-world scenario as total linear momentum depends only on the velocity of the body, and not its position).

Now, we multiply c^T to the velocity portion of the Newmark Beta method and get that

$$\begin{aligned}
I(\mathbf{v}_{i+1}) &= c^T \mathbf{v}_{i+1} \\
&= c^T \mathbf{v}_i + h \left[(1 - \gamma) c^T \mathbf{a}(\mathbf{x}_i) + \gamma c^T \mathbf{a}(\mathbf{x}_{i+1}) \right] \\
&= c^T \mathbf{v}_i = I(\mathbf{v}_i)
\end{aligned}$$

□

1.3 Total Angular Momentum

Theorem 1.5. *Total angular momentum $L = \sum_{i=1}^N q_i \times p_i$ is a first integral.*

Proof.

$$\begin{aligned}
\nabla L &= \sum_{i=1}^N \frac{d}{dt} (q_i \times p_i) \\
&= \sum_{i=1}^N (\dot{q}_i \times p_i + q_i \times \dot{p}_i) \\
&= \sum_{i=1}^N \left(\frac{1}{m_i} p_i \times p_i + q_i \times \sum_{j=1}^N \nu_{ij} (q_i - q_j) \right) \\
&= \sum_{i=1}^N \left(\frac{1}{m_i} p_i \times p_i \right) + \sum_{i=1}^N \sum_{j=1}^N (q_i \times \nu_{ij} (q_i - q_j)) \\
&= 0 \quad \dots \text{ as } \nu_{ij} = \nu_{ji} \text{ and } p_i \times p_i = 0 \forall i, j
\end{aligned}$$

□

Total angular momentum is a quadratic first integral. By Noether's Theorem, it has the form $I(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{v}) = \mathbf{v}^T (C\mathbf{x} + d)$, where C is a constant square matrix and d is a constant vector [?]. We have the Velocity Verlet method preserves quadratic integrals of this form, and hence, total angular momentum. To see this, we need to express it as the composition of two half-step methods:

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbf{v}_{i+\frac{1}{2}} &= \mathbf{v}_i + \frac{h}{2}\mathbf{a}(\mathbf{x}_i) \\ \mathbf{x}_{i+\frac{1}{2}} &= \mathbf{x}_i + \frac{h}{2}\mathbf{v}_{i+\frac{1}{2}}\end{aligned}\tag{1.6}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbf{x}_{i+1} &= \mathbf{x}_{i+\frac{1}{2}} + \frac{h}{2}\mathbf{v}_{i+\frac{1}{2}} \\ \mathbf{v}_{i+1} &= \mathbf{v}_{i+\frac{1}{2}} + \frac{h}{2}\mathbf{a}(\mathbf{x}_{i+1})\end{aligned}\tag{1.7}$$

Theorem 1.6. *The Velocity Verlet algorithm preserves quadratic first integrals of the form $I(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{v}) = \mathbf{v}^T (C\mathbf{x} + d)$*

Proof. We have that $I'(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{v}) = \mathbf{a}(\mathbf{x})^T (C\mathbf{x} + d) + \mathbf{v}^T C\mathbf{v} = 0$ for all \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{v} . Now,

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbf{v}_{i+\frac{1}{2}}^T (C\mathbf{x}_{i+\frac{1}{2}} + d) &= \mathbf{v}_{i+\frac{1}{2}}^T \left(C\mathbf{x}_i + \frac{h}{2}C\mathbf{v}_{i+\frac{1}{2}} + d \right) \\ &= \mathbf{v}_{i+\frac{1}{2}}^T (C\mathbf{x}_i + d) + \frac{h}{2}\mathbf{v}_{i+\frac{1}{2}}^T C\mathbf{v}_{i+\frac{1}{2}} \\ &= \left(\mathbf{v}_i + \frac{h}{2}\mathbf{a}(\mathbf{x}_i) \right)^T (C\mathbf{x}_i + d) + \frac{h}{2}\mathbf{v}_{i+\frac{1}{2}}^T C\mathbf{v}_{i+\frac{1}{2}} \\ &= \mathbf{v}_i^T (C\mathbf{x}_i + d) + \frac{h}{2} \left(\mathbf{a}(\mathbf{x}_i)^T (C\mathbf{x}_i + d) + \mathbf{v}_{i+\frac{1}{2}}^T C\mathbf{v}_{i+\frac{1}{2}} \right) \\ &= \mathbf{v}_i^T (C\mathbf{x}_i + d) + I'(\mathbf{x}_i, \mathbf{v}_{i+\frac{1}{2}}) \\ &= \mathbf{v}_i^T (C\mathbf{x}_i + d)\end{aligned}$$

Similarly, we get from Equation 1.7 that

$$\begin{aligned}I(\mathbf{x}_{i+1}, \mathbf{v}_{i+1}) &= \mathbf{v}_{i+1}^T (C\mathbf{x}_{i+1} + d) \\ &= \mathbf{v}_{i+\frac{1}{2}}^T (C\mathbf{x}_{i+\frac{1}{2}} + d) \\ &= \mathbf{v}_i^T (C\mathbf{x}_i + d) \\ &= I(\mathbf{x}_i, \mathbf{v}_i)\end{aligned}$$

□

It is unclear whether any other Newmark Beta method preserves angular momentum. Firstly, no other Newmark Beta method can be expressed as the composition of two methods - so, we can't adapt the proof given above. Secondly, we need that $\mathbf{v}_{i+1}^T (C\mathbf{x}_{i+1} + d) = \mathbf{v}_i^T (C\mathbf{x}_i + d)$. In the implicit case, there is a term $h^2\beta\mathbf{a}(\mathbf{x}_{i+1})$ in the expansion of \mathbf{x}_{i+1} . As we can see in the proof above, terms of the form $\mathbf{a}(\mathbf{x})$ need to be transposed so that they can disappear. As a result, the term $h^2\beta\mathbf{a}(\mathbf{x}_{i+1})$ won't disappear, and will propagate through the equation.

1.4 Total Energy

Theorem 1.7. *The total energy, or the Hamiltonian (Equation ??) is a first integral.*

Proof.

$$H(p, q) = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^N m_i^{-1} p_i^T p_i + \sum_{i=1}^{N-1} \sum_{j=i+1}^N V_{ij}(\|q_i - q_j\|)$$

So,

$$H' = (\nabla_p H^T, \nabla_q H^T)$$

and

$$\frac{dH}{dt} = \begin{pmatrix} -\nabla_q H \\ \nabla_p H \end{pmatrix}$$

Then,

$$H'(p, q) \cdot \frac{dH}{dt} = \nabla_p H^T \cdot -\nabla_q H + \nabla_q H^T \cdot \nabla_p H = 0$$

□