

Problem Set 2

Prof. Conlon

Due: 3/1/19

% math bold

Packages to Install

The packages used this week are

- estimatr (Tidyverse version of lm function)

Problem 1 (Analytical Exercise)

Consider the estimation of the individual effects model:

$$y_{it} = x'_{it}\beta + \alpha_i + \epsilon_{it}, \mathbb{E}[\epsilon_{it}|x_{it}, \alpha_i] = 0$$

where $i = \{1, \dots, n\}$ and $t = \{1, \dots, T\}$.

This exercises ask you to relate the (random effects) GLS estimator $\hat{\beta}_{GLS} = (X'_*X_*)^{-1}X'_*y_*$ to the “within” (fixed-effects) estimator $\hat{\beta}_{FE} = (\dot{X}'\dot{X})^{-1}\dot{X}'\dot{y}$ and the “between” estimator $\hat{\beta}_{BW} = (\bar{X}'\bar{X})^{-1}\bar{X}'\bar{y}$ where $w = \{x, y\}$:

$$\begin{aligned}\bar{w}_i &:= \frac{1}{T} \sum_{i=1}^T w_i \\ \dot{w}_i &:= w_{it} - \bar{w}_i \\ w_{it,*} &:= w_{it} - (1 - \lambda)\bar{w}_i \\ \lambda^2 &= \frac{\text{Var}(\epsilon)}{T\text{Var}(\alpha_i) + \text{Var}(\epsilon_{it})}\end{aligned}$$

1. Express the GLS estimator in terms of \bar{X} , \dot{X} , \bar{y} , \dot{y} , λ , and T . Notation:

$$\begin{aligned}\dot{X}_{it} &:= X_{it} - \frac{1}{T} \sum_{t=1}^T X_{it} \\ X_{it}^* &:= X_{it} - (1 - \lambda) \frac{1}{T} \sum_{t=1}^T X_{it}\end{aligned}$$

where

$$\lambda^2 := \frac{\text{Var}(e_{it})}{T\text{Var}(\alpha_i) + \text{Var}(e_{it})}$$

and

$$\bar{X}_i := \frac{1}{T} \sum_{t=1}^T X_{it}$$

Note also

$$X_{it}^* = \dot{X}_{it} + \lambda \bar{X}_i$$

which can be written in stacked form as

$$X^* = \dot{X} + \lambda I \bar{X}$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned}\hat{\beta}_{\text{GLS}} &= (X^{*'}X^*)^{-1}X^{*'}Y^* \\ &= (\dot{X} + \lambda\mathbf{I}\bar{X})'[\dot{X} + \lambda\mathbf{I}\bar{X}]^{-1}[\dot{X} + \lambda\mathbf{I}\bar{X}]'Y^* \\ &= (\dot{X}'\dot{X} + \bar{X}'\mathbf{I}'\lambda^2\mathbf{I}\bar{X})^{-1}[\dot{X} + \lambda\mathbf{I}\bar{X}]'Y^*\end{aligned}$$

where the cross-product terms $\dot{X}'\lambda\mathbf{I}\bar{X}$ cancel as \dot{X} represents the orthogonal component of a projection of X onto its column-by-column time-average; thus

$$\dot{X}'\mathbf{I}\bar{X} = \mathbf{0}$$

2. Show that there is a matrix R depending on \bar{X} , \dot{X} , λ and T such that the GLS estimator is a weighted average of the "within" and "between" estimators:

$$\hat{\beta}_{\text{GLS}} = R\hat{\beta}_{\text{FE}} + (I - R)\hat{\beta}_{\text{W}}$$

From part (a), we have

$$\hat{\beta}_{\text{GLS}} = (\dot{X}'\dot{X} + \bar{X}'\mathbf{I}'\lambda^2\mathbf{I}\bar{X})^{-1}[\dot{X} + \lambda\mathbf{I}\bar{X}]'(\dot{Y} + \lambda\mathbf{I}\bar{Y})$$

As

$$\dot{X}'\lambda\mathbf{I}\bar{Y} = \mathbf{0}$$

and

$$\lambda\bar{X}'\mathbf{I}'\dot{Y} = \mathbf{0}$$

our expression reduces to

$$\hat{\beta}_{\text{GLS}} = (\dot{X}'\dot{X} + \bar{X}'\mathbf{I}'\lambda^2\mathbf{I}\bar{X})^{-1}[\dot{X}'\dot{Y} + \lambda^2T\bar{X}'\bar{Y}]$$

Let

$$R := (\dot{X}'\dot{X} + \lambda^2T\bar{X}'\bar{X})^{-1}(\dot{X}'\dot{X})$$

and note that

$$(\dot{X}'\dot{X} + \lambda^2T\bar{X}'\bar{X})^{-1}(\dot{X}'\dot{X}) + (\dot{X}'\dot{X} + \lambda^2T\bar{X}'\bar{X})^{-1}\lambda^2T(\bar{X}'\bar{X}) = I$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned}\hat{\beta}_{\text{GLS}} &= R(\dot{X}'\dot{X})^{-1}\dot{X}'\dot{Y} + (I - R)(\bar{X}'\bar{X})^{-1}\bar{X}'\bar{Y} \\ &= R\hat{\beta}_{\text{FE}} + (I - R)\hat{\beta}_{\text{BW}}\end{aligned}$$

3. What happens to the relative weights on the "within" and "between" estimators as we increase the sample size, i.e. $T \rightarrow \infty$?

As $T \rightarrow \infty$, $R \xrightarrow{p} I$. To see this, note that

$$\lambda^2 \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } T \rightarrow \infty$$

and, under some finite moment assumptions, for a fixed n and a given i ,

$$\bar{X}_i = \frac{1}{T} \sum_{t=1}^T X_{it} \xrightarrow{p} \mathbb{E}_i[X_{it}]$$

and

$$\bar{X}_i'\bar{X}_i \xrightarrow{p} (\mathbb{E}_i[X_{it}])'\mathbb{E}_i[X_{it}]$$

Similarly for \dot{X}_{it} . Thus the second term in the denominator of R converges to zero, and we have

$$R \xrightarrow{p} I$$

4. Suppose that the random effects assumption $\mathbb{E}[\alpha_i | x_{i1}, \dots, x_{iT}] = 0$ does not hold. Characterize the bias of the estimators $\hat{\beta}_{FE}$, $\hat{\beta}_{BW}$. (Note: An estimator $\hat{\beta}$ is unbiased if $\mathbb{E}[\hat{\beta}] = \beta$) Our estimators are

$$\begin{aligned}\hat{\beta}_{FE} &= (\dot{X}'\dot{X})^{-1}\dot{X}'\dot{Y} \\ \hat{\beta}_{BW} &= (\bar{X}'\bar{X})^{-1}\bar{X}'\bar{Y}\end{aligned}$$

Note that

$$\begin{aligned}\dot{Y} &= Y - \bar{Y} \\ &= X\beta + \alpha\iota_T + e - [\bar{X}\beta + \alpha\iota_T + \bar{e}] \\ &= \dot{X}\beta + \dot{e}\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\bar{Y} = \bar{X}\beta + \alpha\iota_T + \bar{e}$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned}\hat{\beta}_{FE} &= (\dot{X}'\dot{X})^{-1}\dot{X}'[\dot{X}\beta + \dot{e}] \\ \hat{\beta}_{BW} &= (\bar{X}'\bar{X})^{-1}\bar{X}'[\bar{X}\beta + \alpha\iota_T + \bar{e}]\end{aligned}$$

or

$$\begin{aligned}\hat{\beta}_{FE} &= \beta + (\dot{X}'\dot{X})^{-1}\dot{X}'\dot{e} \\ \hat{\beta}_{BW} &= \beta + (\bar{X}'\bar{X})^{-1}\bar{X}'\alpha\iota_T + (\bar{X}'\bar{X})^{-1}\bar{X}'\bar{e}\end{aligned}$$

For the first term, the mean-independence assumption, $\mathbb{E}[\dot{e}_{it} | X_{it}, \alpha_i] = 0$, yields

$$\mathbb{E}[\hat{\beta}_{FE} | X_{it}, \alpha_i] = \beta + (\dot{X}'\dot{X})^{-1}\dot{X}'\mathbb{E}[\dot{e} | X_{it}, \alpha_i] = \beta$$

For the second estimator,

$$\mathbb{E}[\hat{\beta}_{BW} | X_{it}, \alpha_i] = \beta + \mathbb{E}[(\bar{X}'\bar{X})^{-1}\bar{X}'\alpha\iota_T | X_{it}, \alpha_i] + \mathbb{E}[(\bar{X}'\bar{X})^{-1}\bar{X}'\bar{e} | X_{it}, \alpha_i] = \beta + (\bar{X}'\bar{X})^{-1}\bar{X}'\mathbb{E}[\alpha | X]\iota_T$$

5. Use your result from (d) to give a formula for the bias of our random effects estimator $\hat{\beta}_{GLS}$. What happens to the bias as $T \rightarrow \infty$.

The bias vanishes as $T \rightarrow \infty$, as $R \xrightarrow{p} I$.

Problem 2 (Coding Exercise)

We observe N observations of the random variable X_i where each X_i is drawn from the Weibull distribution:

$$X_i \sim W(\gamma)$$

The probability density function for the Weibull is the following:

$$f(x; \gamma) = \gamma x^{\gamma-1} \exp(-(x^\gamma)) \quad ; x \geq 0, \gamma > 0$$

1. Assume our N observations are independent and identically distributed, what is the log-likelihood function?

$$\mathcal{L}(\gamma | x_1, \dots, x_N) = \sum_{i=1}^N \log(\gamma) + \log(x_i)(\gamma - 1) - (x_i^\gamma)$$

2. Calculate the gradient (or first derivative) of your log-likelihood function.

$$\frac{\partial L}{\partial \gamma} = \sum_{i=1}^N \frac{1}{\gamma} + \log(x_i) - \log(x_i)x_i^\gamma$$

3. Using the first order condition, what is the MLE estimator for γ ?

$$\frac{\partial L}{\partial \gamma} = 0$$

This is an implicit function in γ , we will need to solve it numerically.

4. Verify that the second order condition guarantees a unique global solution.

$$\frac{\partial^2 L}{\partial \gamma^2} = \sum_{i=1}^N \frac{-1}{\gamma^2} - \log(x_i)^2 x_i^\gamma$$

This is clearly less than 0 if $\gamma > 0$ and $x_i > 0$.

5. In R, I want you to write a function called `mle_weibull` that takes two arguments (X, γ) , where X is a vector of data and γ is a scalar. The function returns the value of the log-likelihood function you derived in the last part.

```
#~~~~~#
# Calculate maximum likelihood estimate for 1-parameter
# Weibull distribution
#
# Inputs :
# X      : vector of data
# gamma  : numeric value of parameter guess
#
# Outputs :
# likeli : value of likelihood for data X evaluated
#          at gamma
#~~~~~#

mle_weibull = function(gamma,X,noise=10^(-10)){
  # Calculate number of observations
  N = length(X)

  # Calculate three parts of the sum
  first_term = N*log(gamma+noise)
  second_term = sum(log(X)*(gamma-1))
  third_term = sum(X^gamma)

  # Likelihood is sum of three terms
  likeli = first_term + second_term - third_term

  return(-likeli)
```

```

}

#~~~~~#
# Calculate gradient of log-likelihood for 1-parameter
# Weibull distribution
#
# Inputs :
# X      : vector of data
# gamma  : numeric value of parameter guess
#
# Outputs :
# gradient : value of gradient of log-likelihood
#           for data X evaluated at gamma
#~~~~~#

gr_weibull = function(gamma,X,noise=10^(-10)){
  # Calculate number of observations
  N = length(X)

  # Calculate three parts of the sum
  first_term = N/(gamma+noise)
  second_term = sum(log(X))
  third_term = sum(log(X)*X^(gamma))

  # Gradient is sum of three terms
  gradient = first_term + second_term - third_term

  return(-gradient)
}

```

1. Optimization routines can either be given a first derivative (or gradient) or the optimization routines calculate numerical derivatives. We will be using the R function *optim*, which accepts the first derivative as an argument *gr*.
 - a. We first want you to run *optim* without supplying a first derivative (leaving *gr* out of the function). Note, to run *optim* you will need to supply your data *X* as an additional parameter at the end of the function. We have provided you with simulated data in the file 'prob_4_simulation.rda' located in the data folder.
 - b. We now want you to create a new function called *gradient*, which takes the same two arguments as your likelihood function. Now calculate the MLE using *optim* with the gradient.
 - c. Compare both the number of iterations until convergence and your estimated γ values from both runs.

```

#~~~~~#
# ~ Use optim to calculate the MLE estimator of 1-parameter Weibull ~ #
#
# ~~~~~ True Value: Gamma = 2 ~~~~~ #
#~~~~~#

# Load dataset
load(paste(data_dir,"prob_4_simulation.rda",sep=''))

# Set initial guess for gamma
# I am setting initial gamma guess far away from the true value (gamma = 2)
# so that I can show the improvement in performance by with the gradient

```

```

init_gamma = 50

# Run optim using simulated data
run_mle_noGR =optim(init_gamma,mle_weibull,X=sim,lower=c(1),method=c('L-BFGS-B'))
run_mle_GR   =optim(init_gamma,fn=mle_weibull,gr=gr_weibull,X=sim,lower=c(1),method=c('L-BFGS-B'))

cat(paste('Numerical Gradient -> MLE Estimate : ',run_mle_noGR$par,' Iterations : ',run_mle_noGR$countss))
cat(paste('Analytical Gradient -> MLE Estimate : ',run_mle_GR$par,' Iterations : ',run_mle_GR$countss['f

Numerical Gradient -> MLE Estimate :  1.98230716340946  Iterations :  70
Analytical Gradient -> MLE Estimate :  1.98230709769759  Iterations :  70

```