

Team Contributions: Rev 0

Software Engineering

Team #22, TeleHealth Insights
Mitchell Weingust
Parisha Nizam
Promish Kandel
Jasmine Sun-Hu

This document summarizes the contributions of each team member for the Rev 0 Demo. The time period of interest is the time between the POC demo and the Rev 0 demo.

1 Demo Plans

In the Revision 0 demonstration, the team will demonstrate the full implementation of the system, showcasing one full mock interaction. The demonstration will feature two laptops, with a live connection to an Amazon Web Services (AWS) database. One laptop will have the parent view, with another laptop the clinician view.

The demonstration will consist of a client account completing 1 full assessment. The results, including the media recording and processing, will be saved to the AWS server, where we will demonstrate the clinician view, consisting of reviewing their list of clients, their clients' past assessments, and reviewing each question in an assessment. Within each question, we will demonstrate how a clinician can view if bias was detected, flagging a question with bias detected, removing bias, and reviewing the answer to a question.

2 Team Meeting Attendance

Student	Meetings
Total	5
Mitchell Weingust	5
Parisha Nizam	5
Jasmine Sun-Hu	4
Promish Kandel	5

[If needed, an explanation for the counts can be provided here. —SS]

3 Supervisor/Stakeholder Meeting Attendance

Student	Meetings
Total	4
Mitchell Weingust	4
Parisha Nizam	3
Jasmine Sun-Hu	4
Promish Kandel	3

[If needed, an explanation for the counts can be provided here. —SS]

4 Lecture Attendance

Student	Lectures
Total	3
Mitchell Weingust	3
Parisha Nizam	1
Jasmine Sun-Hu	1
Promish Kandel	0

[If needed, an explanation for the lecture attendance can be provided here. —SS]

5 TA Document Discussion Attendance

Student	Lectures
Total	1
Mitchell Weingust	1
Parisha Nizam	1
Jasmine Sun-Hu	1
Promish Kandel	1

6 Commits

Student	Commits	Percent
Total	141	100%
Mitchell Weingust	38	27%
Parisha Nizam	12	8%
Jasmine Sun-Hu	25	18%
Promish Kandel	66	47%

[If needed, an explanation for the counts can be provided here. For instance, if a team member has more commits to unmerged branches, these numbers can be provided here. If multiple people contribute to a commit, git allows for multi-author commits. —SS]

7 Issue Tracker

Student	Authored (O+C)	Assigned (C only)
Mitchell Weingust	135	58
Parisha Nizam	1	39
Jasmine Sun-Hu	3	52
Promish Kandel	2	33

[If needed, an explanation for the counts can be provided here. —SS]

8 CICD

[Say how CICD is used in your project —SS]

9 Productivity

We have the following metrics that we will track to ensure optimal productivity from each team member

- Attendance: 95% of all team meetings
- Commits: At least 3 meaningful commits per Deliverable (Meaningful means: 10-15 lines of code (minimum) changed per commit)
- Confirm review of all documents prior to submission
- Confirm review of all rubric criteria prior to submission

As of November 6th 2024, all Productivity criteria has been met.

- Attendance: 95% of all team meetings
2 team members have been to 100% team meetings, with 2 members missing 1 meeting due to health reasons.
- Commits: At least 3 meaningful commits per Deliverable (Meaningful means: 10-15 lines of code (minimum) changed per commit).

We have had 5 deliverables so far, Problem Statement, Development Plan, SRS, Hazard Analysis and VnV. A minimum of 3 commits was required meaning a total of 15.

Each team members total number of commits is well above 15, with an average of 47 commits across team members.

- Confirm review of all documents prior to submission
All team members meet on a review call to go through document prior to submission
- Confirm review of all rubric criteria prior to submission
All team members meet on a review call to go through document prior to submission while comparing it to the rubric criteria