

ICPSR 36806

**2016 Chicago Council Survey of
American Public Opinion on U.S.
Foreign Policy**

Codebook

Inter-university Consortium for
Political and Social Research
P.O. Box 1248
Ann Arbor, Michigan 48106
www.icpsr.umich.edu

2016 Chicago Council Survey of American Public Opinion on U.S. Foreign Policy

Dina Smeltz

Chicago Council on Global Affairs

Karl Friedhoff

Chicago Council on Global Affairs

Craig J. Kafura

Chicago Council on Global Affairs

Gregory Holyk

Langer Research

Joshua W. Busby

University of Texas-Austin

Terms of Use

The terms of use for this study can be found at:
<http://www.icpsr.umich.edu/icpsrweb/ICPSR/studies/36806/terms>

Information about Copyrighted Content

Some instruments administered as part of this study may contain in whole or substantially in part contents from copyrighted instruments. Reproductions of the instruments are provided as documentation for the analysis of the data associated with this collection. Restrictions on "fair use" apply to all copyrighted content. More information about the reproduction of copyrighted works by educators and librarians is available from the United States Copyright Office.

NOTICE
WARNING CONCERNING COPYRIGHT RESTRICTIONS

The copyright law of the United States (Title 17, United States Code) governs the making of photocopies or other reproductions of copyrighted material. Under certain conditions specified in the law, libraries and archives are authorized to furnish a photocopy or other reproduction. One of these specified conditions is that the photocopy or reproduction is not to be "used for any purpose other than private study, scholarship, or research." If a user makes a request for, or later uses, a photocopy or reproduction for purposes in excess of "fair use," that user may be liable for copyright infringement.

ICPSR PROCESSING NOTES FOR #36806
2016 Chicago Council Survey of American Public Opinion on U.S. Foreign Policy

1. **Confidentiality/Disclosure Risk:** In order to protect respondent anonymity and prevent disclosure risk, ICPSR has masked the following variable from the data: **TM_START, TM_FINISH, and ZIP.**
2. **Variable Differences:** Some variables that are referenced in the original P.I. documentation are not included in the public use data set released by ICPSR. The following variables apply:
 - **LATITUDE**
 - **LONGITUDE**
 - **PRECISION**
 - **CENSUS_BLOCK**
3. **Split Ballot:** The documentation contains several variables not contained in the data that assign separate groups for specific questions. The following variables apply:
 - **DOV_TRACKAB**
 - **DOV_IMMIGRATION**
 - **DOV_WOMAN**
 - **DOV_RUSMEX**
4. **Additional Information:** For additional information on the 2016 Chicago Council Survey on American Public Opinion and US Foreign Policy, please visit the [Chicago Council on Global Affairs](#) Web site.



**The GfK Group Project Report
for The Chicago Council on Global Affairs –
2016 Biannual Survey**

Submitted to:

Dina Smeltz, Senior Fellow
The Chicago Council on Global Affairs
332 S. Michigan Ave., Suite 1100
Chicago, IL 60604-4416

Date submitted: July 18, 2016

GfK PROJECT DIRECTOR: Ryan Tully, Senior Research Manager
GfK ACCOUNT EXECUTIVE: Chris Moessner, Vice President
GfK PROJECT NUMBER: 310.209.00945.1

GfK Deliverable Authorization			
Printed Name	Signature	Date	Title
Sergei Rodkin, Ph.D.		07/18/2016	VP, Government and Academic Research

Table of Contents

STUDY DESIGN & DOCUMENTATION	4
INTRODUCTION	4
SAMPLE DEFINITION	4
DATA COLLECTION FIELD PERIOD & SURVEY LENGTH	4
SURVEY COMPLETION AND SAMPLE SIZES	4
<i>Key Survey Response Statistics: Main Interview</i>	4
SURVEY COOPERATION ENHANCEMENTS.....	5
DATA FILE DELIVERABLES AND DESCRIPTIONS	5
<i>Supplemental Variables</i>	7
KEY PERSONNEL.....	15
GFK METHODOLOGY	16
INTRODUCTION	16
THE GFK GROUP.....	16
KNOWLEDGE PANEL METHODOLOGY INFORMATION	16
<i>ABS Recruitment</i>	17
<i>Household Member Recruitment.....</i>	18
SURVEY SAMPLING FROM KNOWLEDGE PANEL	18
SURVEY ADMINISTRATION.....	18
RESPONSE RATES	19
SAMPLE WEIGHTING.....	19
STUDY-SPECIFIC POST-STRATIFICATION WEIGHTS.....	20
APPENDIX A: QUESTIONNAIRE – MAIN SURVEY	22
APPENDIX B: CODEBOOK	40
APPENDIX C: BENCHMARK DISTRIBUTIONS.....	120

Study Design & Documentation

Introduction

The GfK Group (GfK, formerly Knowledge Networks) conducted the 2016 Biannual Survey on behalf of The Chicago Council on Global Affairs. Specifically, the study examines American's attitudes and perceptions of a range of US and International public policy issues. The survey was conducted using sample from KnowledgePanel®.

Sample Definition

The target population consists of the non-institutionalized adults age 18 and over residing in the United States.

To sample the population, GfK sampled households (one respondent per household) from its KnowledgePanel, a probability-based web panel designed to be representative of the United States. The survey consisted of a main survey with the study-eligible respondents. To qualify for the main survey, a panel member must have been:

- A resident of the United States
- Age 18 or older, as determined by KnowledgePanel® Profile Data

Data Collection Field Period & Survey Length

The data collection field periods were as follows:

Stage	Start Date	End Date
Pretest	06/08/2016	06/09/2016
Main	06/10/2016	06/26/2016

Participants completed the main survey in 20 minutes (median).

Survey Completion and Sample Sizes

The number of respondents sampled and participating in the survey, the survey completion rates for the main interview, and the incidence/eligibility rate are presented below.

Key Survey Response Statistics: Main Interview

N Sampled for Main Survey	N Complete Main Survey	Main Survey Completion Rate	Qualified for Main Survey	Incidence Rate
3,580	2,244	62.7%	2,244	100%

Of the 2,244 cases completing the main survey, 2,061 cases were determined to be valid cases to be included in the final analyses. The 183 cases were excluded due to client provided data cleaning criteria.

Cases were excluded if they failed three of the following four criterions:

1. **Speedsters:** Respondents who completed the survey in 8 minutes or less.
 - Total cases removed: n=158
2. **Refused 50% or more of questions:** Respondents who refused to answer 50% or more of the eligible survey questions.
 - Total cases removed: n=54; n=22 unique to criteria group
3. **Data Check Score of 3 or 4:** Respondents who failed 3 or 4 of the quality checks implemented (see criteria below).
 - Total cases removed: n=50; n=3 unique to criteria group
 1. Completed survey faster than 8 minutes.
 - Total cases failing check: n=158
 2. Did not accurately input a "4", refused or skipped Question Q3_1 in the survey which, was designed to make sure respondents were paying attention to the survey. ("In order to make sure that your browser is working correctly, please select number 4 from the below list.").
 - Total cases failing check: n=81
 3. Refused one or more full battery of 5 attributes or more (Q5, Q7, Q8, Q45, Q185, Q50, Q190, Q278b, Q267a, Q374, Q401).
 - Total cases failing check: n=264
 4. Respondents who straight lined their responses to a battery of grid questions (Q5, Q7, Q185, Q50).
 - Total cases failing check: n=541

Survey Cooperation Enhancements

As a standard, email reminders to non-responders were sent on day three of the field period.

Beyond the standard email reminder on day three of the field period, the following steps were also taken:

- Additional email reminders to non-responders were sent on day #14 of the field period

Data File Deliverables and Descriptions

GfK prepared and delivered a fully formatted SPSS file containing the collected data, GfK demographic profile data, and the appropriate variable and value labels, as described below.

Data File Deliverables

Delivery Date	File Type	File Name	File Size	N Records
07/12/2016	SPSS	310.209.00945.1_Chicago Council 2016 Biannual	1.5 Mb	2061

		Survey_Main Client_071216.sav		
--	--	-------------------------------	--	--

In addition, GfK prepared and delivered other deliverables as follows:

- Post-stratification statistical weights
- Two cross-tabulations (“banner tables”) of the survey data
- Trended topline questionnaire with marginal frequencies
- Demographic profile data for all interviewed GfK panelists
- Additional non-demographic profile data:

Variable	Variable Description	Source of Variable
XPHISPAN	Are you of Spanish, Hispanic, or Latino descent?	Core Adult Profile Survey
ZIP	Zip Code of Respondent Address	Core Adult Profile Survey (Derived)
LATITUDE	Latitudinal Coordinates of Respondent Address	Core Adult Profile Survey (Derived)
LONGITUDE	Longitudinal Coordinates of Respondent Address	Core Adult Profile Survey (Derived)
PRECISION	Data Only Variable: Precision of Latitudinal & Longitudinal Coordinates of Respondent Address	Core Adult Profile Survey (Derived)
CENSUS_BLOCK	Census Block of Respondent Address	Core Adult Profile Survey (Derived)
USR	Urban, Suburban, or Rural Designation of Respondent Address	Core Adult Profile Survey (Derived)
MSC	Metro Status Code of Respondent Address	Core Adult Profile Survey (Derived)
CBSAMET	Name of Core Based Metropolitan Statistical Area Respondent Resides Within	Core Adult Profile Survey (Derived)

Several supplemental variables are provided to assist the principal investigators in identifying cases that could potentially be of interest.

Please also note the following for the survey data file:

- When a respondent refused to answer a question, the code “-1” is used.
- When questions or response choices were randomized, the order of the randomization is provided.

The table below shows the name and description of each of the supplemental, demographic, and other profile variables delivered to the client.

Supplemental Variables

Variable Name	Variable Description
CASEID	Case Identification Number
TM_START	Date and time interview started (GMT)
TM_FINISH	Date and time interview ended (GMT)
DURATION	Duration of interview in minutes
WEIGHT	Data Only Variable: Post-Stratification Weight for Study (N=2,061)
QFLAG_CHICAGOR	Data Only Variable: Final Qualification Flag For Respondent Based On Chicago Council Data Cleaning Plan
XPHISPAN	Are you of Spanish, Hispanic, or Latino descent?
ORLANDO	Was interview completed before or after the Orlando Nightclub Attack on June 12th, 2016 (at approximately 6:00 AM GMT)?
ZIP	Data Only Variable: Zip Code of Respondent Address
LATITUDE	Data Only Variable: Latitudinal Coordinates of Respondent Address
LONGITUDE	Data Only Variable: Longitudinal Coordinates of Respondent Address
PRECISION	Data Only Variable: Precision of Latitudinal & Longitudinal Coordinates of Respondent Address
CENSUS_BLOCK	Data Only Variable: Census Block of Respondent Address
USR	Data Only Variable: Urban, Suburban, or Rural Designation of Respondent Address
MSC	Data Only Variable: Metro Status Code of Respondent Address
CBSAMET	Data Only Variable: Name of Core Based Metropolitan Statistical Area Respondent Resides Within
DOV_Q2_2	[National news] Data Only Variable: Presentation order of response option in Q2
DOV_Q2_4	[News about the relations of the United States with other countries] Data Only Variable: Presentation order of response option in Q2
DOV_TRACKAB	Data Only Variable: Indicates if respondent was assigned to 'Track A' or 'Track B'
DOV_Q5_1	[Drug related violence and instability in Mexico] Data Only Variable: Presentation order of statement item in Q5 grid
DOV_Q5_4	[Islamic fundamentalism] Data Only Variable: Indicates if respondent was shown statement item in Q5 grid
DOV_Q5_5	[The possibility of unfriendly countries becoming nuclear powers] Data Only Variable: Indicates if respondent was shown statement item in Q5 grid

DOV_Q5_6A	[Large numbers of immigrants and refugees coming into the U.S.] Data Only Variable: Indicates if respondent was shown statement item in Q5 grid
DOV_Q5_8A	[Climate change] Data Only Variable: Indicates if respondent was shown statement item in Q5 grid
DOV_Q5_8B	[Global warming] Data Only Variable: Presentation order of statement item in Q5 grid
DOV_Q5_10	[International terrorism] Data Only Variable: Indicates if respondent was shown statement item in Q5 grid
DOV_Q5_21	[Russia's territorial ambitions] Data Only Variable: Indicates if respondent was shown statement item in Q5 grid
DOV_Q5_23	[China's economic power] Data Only Variable: Indicates if respondent was shown statement item in Q5 grid
DOV_Q5_24	[China's military power] Data Only Variable: Indicates if respondent was shown statement item in Q5 grid
DOV_Q5_27	[A confrontation between North Korea and South Korea] Data Only Variable: Indicates if respondent was shown statement item in Q5 grid
DOV_Q5_28	[An international financial crisis] Data Only Variable: Indicates if respondent was shown statement item in Q5 grid
DOV_Q5_29	[North Korea's nuclear program] Data Only Variable: Indicates if respondent was shown statement item in Q5 grid
DOV_IMMIGRATION	Data Only Variable: Indicates if respondent was assigned to 'Illegal Track' or 'Undocumented Track' for Immigration Items
DOV_WOMAN	Data Only Variable: Indicates if respondent was assigned to 'Woman Track A' or 'Woman Track B' for Women Items
DOV_Q7_3	[Combating international terrorism] Data Only Variable: Indicates if respondent was shown statement item in Q7 grid
DOV_Q7_4A	[Maintaining superior military power worldwide] Data Only Variable: Indicates if respondent was shown statement item in Q7 grid
DOV_Q7_4B	[Maintaining superior economic power worldwide] Data Only Variable: Indicates if respondent was shown statement item in Q7 grid
DOV_Q7_5	[Protecting the jobs of American workers] Data Only Variable: Indicates if respondent was shown statement item in Q7 grid
DOV_Q7_8A	[Controlling and reducing illegal immigration] Data Only

	Variable: Indicates if respondent was shown statement item in Q7 grid
DOV_Q7_8B	[Controlling and reducing undocumented immigration] Data Only Variable: Indicates if respondent was shown statement item in Q7 grid
DOV_Q7_9A	[Limiting climate change] Data Only Variable: Indicates if respondent was shown statement item in Q7 grid
DOV_Q7_9B	[Limiting global warming] Data Only Variable: Indicates if respondent was shown statement item in Q7 grid
DOV_Q7_10	[Preventing the spread of nuclear weapons] Data Only Variable: Indicates if respondent was shown statement item in Q7 grid
DOV_Q7_12	[Combating world hunger] Data Only Variable: Indicates if respondent was shown statement item in Q7 grid
DOV_Q7_19	[Defending our allies' security] Data Only Variable: Indicates if respondent was shown statement item in Q7 grid
DOV_Q7_23	[Improving America's standing in the world] Data Only Variable: Indicates if respondent was shown statement item in Q7 grid
DOV_Q7_11B	[Improving the world's environment] Data Only Variable: Indicates if respondent was shown statement item in Q7 grid
DOV_Q7_7B	[Attaining U.S. energy independence] Data Only Variable: Indicates if respondent was shown statement item in Q7 grid
DOV_Q7_30A	[Promoting the full participation of women and girls in their societies around the world] Data Only Variable: Indicates if respondent was shown statement item in Q7 grid
DOV_Q7_30B	[Promoting the rights of women and girls around the world] Data Only Variable: Indicates if respondent was shown statement item in Q7 grid
DOV_Q8_1	[Strengthening the United Nations] Data Only Variable: Presentation order of statement item in Q8 grid
DOV_Q8_2	[Maintaining U.S. military superiority] Data Only Variable: Presentation order of statement item in Q8 grid
DOV_Q8_3	[Placing sanctions on other countries] Data Only Variable: Presentation order of statement item in Q8 grid
DOV_Q8_4	[Signing free trade agreements with other countries] Data Only Variable: Presentation order of statement item in Q8 grid
DOV_Q8_5	[Maintaining existing alliances] Data Only Variable:

	Presentation order of statement item in Q8 grid
DOV_Q8_6	[Building new alliances with other countries] Data Only Variable: Presentation order of statement item in Q8 grid
DOV_Q8_13	[Signing international agreements] Data Only Variable: Presentation order of statement item in Q8 grid
DOV_Q8_14	[Intervening militarily] Data Only Variable: Presentation order of statement item in Q8 grid
DOV_Q385_ECONOMICSTRENGTH	[Economic strength] Data Only Variable: Presentation order of response option in Q385
DOV_Q385_MILITARYSTRENGTH	[Military strength] Data Only Variable: Presentation order of response option in Q385
DOV_Q40_1	[Japan] Data Only Variable: Presentation order of statement item in Q40 grid
DOV_Q40_3	[South Korea] Data Only Variable: Presentation order of statement item in Q40 grid
DOV_Q40_6	[Germany] Data Only Variable: Presentation order of statement item in Q40 grid
DOV_Q40_8	[Australia] Data Only Variable: Presentation order of statement item in Q40 grid
DOV_Q45_3	[Mexico] Data Only Variable: Indicates if respondent was shown statement item in Q45 grid
DOV_Q45_4	[Israel] Data Only Variable: Indicates if respondent was shown statement item in Q45 grid
DOV_Q45_6	[China] Data Only Variable: Indicates if respondent was shown statement item in Q45 grid
DOV_Q45_11	[Japan] Data Only Variable: Indicates if respondent was shown statement item in Q45 grid
DOV_Q45_12	[Russia] Data Only Variable: Indicates if respondent was shown statement item in Q45 grid
DOV_Q45_14	[Iran] Data Only Variable: Indicates if respondent was shown statement item in Q45 grid
DOV_Q45_15	[Cuba] Data Only Variable: Indicates if respondent was shown statement item in Q45 grid
DOV_Q45_19	[North Korea] Data Only Variable: Indicates if respondent was shown statement item in Q45 grid
DOV_Q45_20	[South Korea] Data Only Variable: Indicates if respondent was shown statement item in Q45 grid
DOV_Q45_26	[Canada] Data Only Variable: Indicates if respondent was shown statement item in Q45 grid
DOV_Q45_27	[Australia] Data Only Variable: Indicates if respondent was shown statement item in Q45 grid
DOV_Q45_28	[India] Data Only Variable: Indicates if respondent was shown statement item in Q45 grid

DOV_Q185	Data Only Variable: Indicates if respondent was shown statement item of 'Canada' or 'Australia' in Q185
DOV_Q185_1	[China] Data Only Variable: Presentation order of statement item in Q185 grid
DOV_Q185_4	[Mexico] Data Only Variable: Presentation order of statement item in Q185 grid
DOV_Q185_5	[South Korea] Data Only Variable: Presentation order of statement item in Q185 grid
DOV_Q185_8	[Russia] Data Only Variable: Presentation order of statement item in Q185 grid
DOV_Q185_9	[Canada] Data Only Variable: Presentation order of statement item in Q185 grid
DOV_Q185_10	[Australia] Data Only Variable: Presentation order of statement item in Q185 grid
DOV_Q185_11	[Iran] Data Only Variable: Presentation order of statement item in Q185 grid
DOV_Q185_12	[Israel] Data Only Variable: Presentation order of statement item in Q185 grid
DOV_Q200615	Data Only Variable: Indicates if respondent was shown Q200 or Q615
DOV_Q50_1	[The U.S.] Data Only Variable: Presentation order of statement item in Q50 grid
DOV_Q50_2	[Russia] Data Only Variable: Presentation order of statement item in Q50 grid
DOV_Q50_5	[China] Data Only Variable: Presentation order of statement item in Q50 grid
DOV_Q50_6	[India] Data Only Variable: Presentation order of statement item in Q50 grid
DOV_Q50_7	[The European Union as a whole] Data Only Variable: Presentation order of statement item in Q50 grid
DOV_Q50_8	[South Korea] Data Only Variable: Presentation order of statement item in Q50 grid
DOV_Q50_9	[Iran] Data Only Variable: Presentation order of statement item in Q50 grid
DOV_Q140_1	[The Paris Agreement] Data Only Variable: Presentation order of response option in Q140
DOV_Q140_2	[The agreement on the International Criminal Court] Data Only Variable: Presentation order of response option in Q140
DOV_Q140_7	[The agreement that lifts some international economic sanctions against Iran] Data Only Variable: Presentation order of response option in Q140
DOV_Q71AQ376	Data Only Variable: Indicates if respondent was shown

	Q71A or Q376
DOV_Q190_1	[The U.S. economy] Data Only Variable: Presentation order of statement item in Q190 grid
DOV_Q190_2	[American companies] Data Only Variable: Presentation order of statement item in Q190 grid
DOV_Q190_3	[Consumers like you] Data Only Variable: Presentation order of statement item in Q190 grid
DOV_Q190_4	[Creating jobs in the U.S.] Data Only Variable: Presentation order of statement item in Q190 grid
DOV_Q190_6	[Job security for American workers] Data Only Variable: Presentation order of statement item in Q190 grid
DOV_Q190_7	[Your own standard of living] Data Only Variable: Presentation order of statement item in Q190 grid
DOV_Q281_1	[You or someone you know will be the target of gun violence] Data Only Variable: Presentation order of response option in Q281
DOV_Q281_2	[You or someone you know will be the target of a terrorist attack] Data Only Variable: Presentation order of response option in Q281
DOV_282280	Data Only Variable: Indicates if respondent was shown Q282 or Q280
DOV_Q278B_1	[U.S. air strikes against suspected terrorists by manned aircraft] Data Only Variable: Presentation order of statement item in Q278b grid
DOV_Q278B_2	[U.S. air strikes against suspected terrorists by drones/unmanned aircraft] Data Only Variable: Presentation order of statement item in Q278b grid
DOV_Q278B_3	[Sending U.S. trainers and special operations forces to countries where terrorists operate] Data Only Variable: Presentation order of statement item in Q278b grid
DOV_Q278B_4	[Using torture against suspected terrorists that are captured] Data Only Variable: Presentation order of statement item in Q278b grid
DOV_Q278B_5	[Sending U.S. combat troops to fight terrorists abroad] Data Only Variable: Presentation order of statement item in Q278b grid
DOV_Q278B_6	[Limiting the flow of migrants and refugees and increasing border controls] Data Only Variable: Presentation order of statement item in Q278b grid
DOV_Q278B_7	[Blocking terrorist financing] Data Only Variable: Presentation order of statement item in Q278b grid
DOV_Q267AQ374	Data Only Variable: Indicates if respondent was shown Q267A or Q374

DOV_RUSMEX	Data Only Variable: Indicates if respondent was assigned to 'Russia Track' or 'Mexico Track'
DOV_Q251B_1	[Finding new sources of energy] Data Only Variable: Presentation order of statement item in Q251B grid
DOV_Q251B_2	[Combating the trafficking of illegal drugs] Data Only Variable: Presentation order of statement item in Q251B grid
DOV_Q251B_3	[Securing the U.S.-Mexico border] Data Only Variable: Presentation order of statement item in Q251B grid
DOV_Q251B_4	[Trade and economic development] Data Only Variable: Presentation order of statement item in Q251B grid
DOV_Q251A_1	[Ending the conflict in Syria] Data Only Variable: Presentation order of statement item in Q251A grid
DOV_Q251A_2	[Limiting Iran's nuclear program] Data Only Variable: Presentation order of statement item in Q251A grid
DOV_Q251A_3	[Reducing nuclear weapons worldwide] Data Only Variable: Presentation order of statement item in Q251A grid
DOV_Q350B_1	[Undertake friendly cooperation and engagement with Russia] Data Only Variable: Presentation order of response option in Q350B
DOV_Q350B_2	[Actively work to limit Russia's power] Data Only Variable: Presentation order of response option in Q350B
DOV_Q351B_1	[Trying to undertake friendly cooperation and engagement with the United States] Data Only Variable: Presentation order of response option in Q351B
DOV_Q351B_2	[Actively working to undermine U.S. international power and influence] Data Only Variable: Presentation order of response option in Q351B
DOV_Q350A_1	[Undertake friendly cooperation and engagement with China] Data Only Variable: Presentation order of response option in Q350A
DOV_Q350A_2	[Actively work to limit the growth of China's power] Data Only Variable: Presentation order of response option in Q350A
DOV_Q351A_1	[Trying to undertake friendly cooperation and engagement with the United States] Data Only Variable: Presentation order of response option in Q351A
DOV_Q351A_2	[Actively working to undermine U.S. international power and influence] Data Only Variable: Presentation order of response option in Q351A
DOV_Q401_1	[Chinese immigrants in the United States] Data Only Variable: Presentation order of statement item in Q401

	grid
DOV_Q401_2	[Middle Eastern immigrants in the United States] Data Only Variable: Presentation order of statement item in Q401 grid
DOV_Q401_3	[Mexican immigrants in the United States] Data Only Variable: Presentation order of statement item in Q401 grid
DOV_Q401_4	[Mexicans living in Mexico] Data Only Variable: Presentation order of statement item in Q401 grid
DOV_Q401_5	[Korean immigrants in the United States] Data Only Variable: Presentation order of statement item in Q401 grid
DOV_Q410AB	Data Only Variable: Indicates if respondent was shown Q410A or Q410B
DOV_Q1000_1	[Hillary Clinton] Data Only Variable: Presentation order of response option in Q1000
DOV_Q1000_2	[Donald Trump] Data Only Variable: Presentation order of response option in Q1000
DOV_Q1000_3	[Neither] Data Only Variable: Presentation order of response option in Q1000
DOV_Q1000_4	[Other (specify)] Data Only Variable: Presentation order of response option in Q1000
DOV_Q1001_1	[Hillary Clinton] Data Only Variable: Presentation order of response option in Q1001
DOV_Q1001_2	[Donald Trump] Data Only Variable: Presentation order of response option in Q1002
DOV_Q1001_3	[Bernie Sanders] Data Only Variable: Presentation order of response option in Q1003
DOV_Q1001_4	[Ted Cruz] Data Only Variable: Presentation order of response option in Q1004
DOV_Q1001_5	[John Kasich] Data Only Variable: Presentation order of response option in Q1005
DOV_Q1001_6	[Marco Rubio] Data Only Variable: Presentation order of response option in Q1006
DOV_Q1001_7	[Jeb Bush] Data Only Variable: Presentation order of response option in Q1007
DOV_Q1001_8	[Other (specify)] Data Only Variable: Presentation order of response option in Q1008
PPAGE	Age
PPAGECAT	Age - 7 Categories
PPAGECT4	Age - 4 Categories
PPEDUC	Education (Highest Degree Received)
PPEDUCAT	Education (Categorical)

PPETHM	Race / Ethnicity
PPGENDER	Gender
PPHHHEAD	Household Head
PPHHSIZE	Household Size
PPHOUSE	Housing Type
PPINCIMP	Household Income
PPMARIT	Marital Status
PPMSACAT	MSA Status
PPREG4	Region 4 - Based on State of Residence
PPREG9	Region 9 - Based on State of Residence
PPRENT	Ownership Status of Living Quarters
PPSTATEN	State
PPT01	Presence of Household Members - Children 0 - 2
PPT25	Presence of Household Members - Children 2 - 5
PPT612	Presence of Household Members - Children 6 - 12
PPT1317	Presence of Household Members - Children 13 - 17
PPT18OV	Presence of Household Members - Adults 18+
PPWORK	Current Employment Status
PPNET	Household Internet Access

Key Personnel

Key personnel on the study include:

Chris Moessner – Vice President, Public Affairs & Corporate Communications. C. Moessner is based in Washington DC.

Phone number: (571) 358-5441

Email: Christopher.Moessner@gfk.com

Linda McPetrie – Research Director, Public Affairs & Corporate Communications. L. McPetrie is based in Princeton, NJ.

Phone number: (609) 683-6146

Email: Linda.Mcpetrie@gfk.com

Ryan Tully – Senior Research Manager, Public Affairs & Corporate Communications. R. Tully is based in Washington, D.C.

Phone number: (202) 747-1833

Email: Ryan.Tully@gfk.com

GfK Methodology

Introduction

The GfK Group (formerly Knowledge Networks) is passionate about research in marketing, media, health, and social policy. We collaborate closely with client teams throughout the research process, while applying rigor in everything we do. We specialize in innovative online research that consistently gives leaders in business, government, and academia the confidence to make important decisions. GfK delivers affordable, statistically valid online research through KnowledgePanel® and leverages a variety of other assets, such as world-class advanced analytics, an industry-leading physician panel, an innovative platform for measuring online ad effectiveness, and a research-ready behavioral database of frequent supermarket and drug store shoppers.

GfK has recruited the first online research panel that is representative of the entire United States population. Panel members are randomly recruited through probability-based sampling, and households are provided with access to the Internet and hardware if needed.

GfK recruits panel members by using address-based sampling methods [previously GfK relied on random-digit dialing methods]. Once household members are recruited for the panel and assigned to a study sample, they are notified by email for survey taking, or panelists can visit their online member page for survey taking (instead of being contacted by telephone or postal mail). This allows surveys to be fielded very quickly and economically. In addition, this approach reduces the burden placed on respondents, since email notification is less intrusive than telephone calls, and most respondents find answering Web questionnaires more interesting and engaging than being questioned by a telephone interviewer. Furthermore, respondents have the convenience to choose what time of day to complete their assigned survey.

The GfK Group

The GfK Group has a strong tradition in working with sophisticated academic, government, and commercial researchers to provide high quality research, samples, and analyses. The larger GfK Group offers the fundamental knowledge for governmental agencies, academics, industries, industry, retailers, services companies and the media need to provide exceptional quality in research to make effective decisions. It delivers a comprehensive range of information and consultancy services. GfK is one of the leading survey research organizations worldwide, operating in more than 100 countries with over 13,000 research staff. In 2013, the GfK Group's sales amounted to EUR 1.49 billion.

For further information, visit our website: www.gfk.com.

KnowledgePanel Methodology Information

KnowledgePanel® is the largest online panel that relies on probability-based sampling

techniques for recruitment; hence, the largest national sampling frame from which fully representative samples can be generated to produce statistically valid inferences for study populations. Our panel provides samples with the highest level of representativeness available in online research for measurement of public opinions, attitudes, and behaviors. The panel was first developed in 1999 by Knowledge Networks, a GfK company. Panel members are randomly selected so that survey results can properly represent the U.S. population with a measurable level of accuracy, features that are not obtainable from non-probability panels (for comparisons of results from probability versus non-probability methods, see Yeager et al., 2011).

KnowledgePanel's recruitment process was originally based exclusively on a national Random Digit Dialing (RDD) sampling methodology. In order to improve the representation of the panel, GfK migrated to using an Address Based Sampling (ABS) methodology for selecting panel members in 2009. This probability-based sampling methodology improves population coverage, and provides a more effective sampling infrastructure for recruitment of hard-to-reach individuals, such as young adults and those from various minority groups. It should be noted that under the ABS recruitment, households without Internet connection are provided with a web-enabled device and free Internet service.

After initially accepting the invitation to join the panel, participants are asked to complete a short demographic survey (the initial *Core Profile Survey*); answers to which allow efficient panel sampling and weighting for future surveys. Completion of the core profile survey allows participants to become active panel members. As in the past, all respondents are provided the same privacy terms and confidentiality protections.

ABS Recruitment

The DSF-based sampling frame we use for address selection is enhanced with a series of refinements – such as the appendage of various ancillary data to each address – to facilitate complex stratification plans¹. Taking advantage of such refinements, quarterly samples are selected using a disproportionate stratified sampling methodology across the following four strata:

1. Hispanic households with at least one 18 to 24 year-old
2. Remaining Hispanic households
3. Remaining households with at least one 18 to 24 year-old
4. All remaining households

Adults from sampled households are invited to join KnowledgePanel through a series of mailings, including an initial invitation letter, a reminder postcard, and a subsequent follow-up letter. Given that a subset of physical addresses can be matched to a corresponding landline telephone number, about 5 weeks after the initial mailing, telephone refusal-conversion calls are made to nonresponding households for which a telephone number is matched. Invited

¹ Fahimi, M. and D. Kulp (2009). "Address-Based Sampling – Alternatives for Surveys That Require Contacts with Representative Samples of Households." *Quirk's Marketing Research Review*, May 2009.

households can join the panel by:

- Completing and mailing back a paper form in a postage-paid envelope
- Calling a toll-free hotline phone number maintained by GfK
- Going to a designated GfK website and completing the recruitment form online

During the initial recruitment survey, attempts are made to recruit every household member who is at least 13 years of age to become an active member. For teenage household members, consent is secured from a parent or legal guardian, and no direct communication with teenagers is attempted prior to obtaining consent. While surveys can be conducted with teens directly, in most instances teen surveys are conducted by first selecting a sample of active members who are parents. This parent route alternative, while slightly more expensive, makes it possible to reach a more representative sample of teens.

Household Member Recruitment

For all recruitment efforts, during the initial recruitment survey, all household members are enumerated. Following enumeration, attempts are made to recruit every household member who is at least 13 years old to participate in KnowledgePanel surveys. For household members aged 13 to 17, consent is collected from the parents or the legal guardian during the initial recruitment interview. If no consent is given, no further direct communication with the teenagers is attempted.

Survey Sampling from KnowledgePanel

Once panel members are recruited and profiled by taking our Core Profile Survey, they become eligible for selection for client surveys. Generally, the specific survey samples represent an equal probability selection method (EPSEM) sample from the panel for general population surveys. Customized stratified random sampling based on profile data can also be carried out as required by the study design.

The general sampling rule is to assign no more than one survey per week to individual members. Allowing for rare exceptions during some weeks, this limits a member's total assignments per month to four or six surveys. In certain cases, a survey sample calls for pre-screening, that is, members are drawn from a subsample of the panel (such as females, Republicans, grocery shoppers, etc.). In such cases, care is taken to ensure that all subsequent survey samples drawn that week are selected in such a way as to result in a sample that remains representative of the panel distributions.

For this survey, a nationally representative sample of U.S. adults (18 and older) was selected.

Survey Administration

Once assigned to a survey, members receive a notification email letting them know there is a new survey available for them to take. This email notification contains a link that sends them to

the survey questionnaire. No login name or password is required. The field period depends on the client's needs and can range anywhere from a few hours to several weeks.

After three days, automatic email reminders are sent to all non-responding panel members in the sample. If email reminders do not generate a sufficient response, an automated telephone reminder call can be initiated. The usual protocol is to wait at least three to four days after the email reminder before calling. To assist panel members with their survey taking, each individual has a personalized "home page" that lists all the surveys that were assigned to that member and have yet to be completed.

GfK also operates an ongoing modest incentive program to encourage participation and create member loyalty. Members can enter special raffles or can be entered into special sweepstakes with both cash rewards and other prizes to be won.

The typical survey commitment for panel members is one survey per week or four per month with duration of 10 to 15 minutes per survey. In the case of longer surveys, an additional incentive is typically provided.

Response Rates

As a member of the American Association of Public Opinion Researchers (AAPOR), GfK follows the AAPOR standards for response rate reporting. While the AAPOR standards were established for single survey administrations and not for multi-stage panel surveys; however, Callegaro and DiSogra (2008) have developed algorithms for calculations of response rates for KnowledgePanel surveys. Typically, completion rate for KnowledgePanel surveys is about 65% with possibility of minor variations due to survey length, topic, and other fielding characteristics. In contrast, virtually all surveys that employ non-probability online panels typically achieve completion rates that are in low single digits. This means aside from the fact that nonprobability panels are inherently not representative of any known populations, the effective size of KnowledgePanel ($35,750 = 55,000 \times 0.65$) would be equivalent to a nonprobability panel with 1,787,500 members that on average secures completion rates close to 2%.

Sample Weighting

As detailed above, significant resources and infrastructure are devoted to the recruitment process for the KnowledgePanel (KP) so that our active panel members can properly represent the adult population of the U.S. This representation is not only achieved with respect to a broad set of geodemographic indicators, but also hard-to-reach adults – such as those without internet access or Spanish language dominant Hispanics – are recruited in proper proportions as well. Consequently, the raw distribution of KP mirrors that of the US adults fairly closely, baring occasional disparities that may emerge for certain subgroups due to differential attrition.

In spite of the above, for selection of general population samples from KP a patented methodology has been developed that ensures all samples behave as EPSEM. Briefly, this

methodology starts by weighting the pool of active members to the geodemographic benchmarks secured from the latest March supplement of the Current Population Survey (CPS) along several dimensions. Using the resulting weights as measure of size, in the next step a PPS (probability proportional to size) procedure is used to select study specific samples. It is the application of this PPS methodology with the imposed size measures that produces fully self-weighing samples from KP, for which each sample member can carry a design weight of unity. Moreover, in instances where a study design requires any form of oversampling of certain subgroups, such departures from an EPSEM design are accounted for by adjusting the design weights in reference to the CPS benchmarks for the population of interest.

The geodemographic benchmarks used to weight the active panel members for computation of size measures include:

- Gender (Male/Female)
- Age (18–29, 30–44, 45–59, and 60+)
- Race/Hispanic ethnicity (White/Non-Hispanic, Black/Non-Hispanic, Other/Non-Hispanic, 2+ Races/Non-Hispanic, Hispanic)
- Education (Less than High School, High School, Some College, Bachelor and beyond)
- Census Region (Northeast, Midwest, South, West)
- Household income (under \$10k, \$10K to <\$25k, \$25K to <\$50k, \$50K to <\$75k, \$75K to <\$100k, \$100K+)
- Home ownership status (Own, Rent/Other)
- Metropolitan Area (Yes, No)
- Internet Access (Yes, No)

Study-Specific Post-Stratification Weights

Once the study sample has been selected and the survey administered, and all the survey data are edited and made final, design weights are adjusted to account for any differential nonresponse that may have resulted during the field period. Depending on the specific target population for a given study, geodemographic distributions for the corresponding population are obtained from the CPS, the American Community Survey (ACS), or in certain instances from the weighted KP profile data. For this purpose an iterative proportional fitting (raking) procedure is used to produce the final weights. In the final step, calculated weights are examined to identify and, if necessary, trim outliers at the extreme upper and lower tails of the weight distribution. The resulting weights are then scaled to aggregate to the total sample size of all eligible respondents.

For this study, the following benchmark distributions of the U.S. adult general population (age 18 or older) from the most recent data (March 2015 Supplement) from the Current Population Survey (CPS) were used for the raking adjustment of weights:

- Gender (Male, Female) by Age (18-29, 30-44, 45-59, 60+)

- Race/Ethnicity (White/Non-Hispanic, Black/Non-Hispanic, Other/Non-Hispanic, Hispanic, 2+ Races/Non-Hispanic)
- Census Region (Northeast, Midwest, South, West) by Metropolitan Status (Metro/Non-Metro)
- Education (Less than High School, High School, Some College, Bachelor or higher)
- Household Income (under \$25K, \$25-\$49,999, \$50K-\$74,999, \$75K+)
- Household with Internet Access (Yes, No) (Source: July 2013 CPS Supplement Data)

Additional Information on the study weights (Weight) are as follows:

Margin of Error (MOE): ± 2.38%

Trimming: None

Design effect: 1.2149

Range of Weights:

N	Minimum	Maximum	Mean	Median	Coeff of Variation	1st Pctl	99th Pctl	Sum
2061	0.211	3.951	1	0.877	46.353	0.403	2.543	2061

Detailed information on the demographic distributions of the benchmarks can be found in Appendix C.

Appendix A: Questionnaire – Main Survey

INTRODUCTION

In this survey, we'd like your opinions about the big foreign policy issues of the day. There is no right or wrong answer and please answer the questions based on your knowledge. If you do not know the answer to a question, you can skip it and go on to the next question.

A. SECTION I

Base: All Respondents

[Grid, SP Across MP Down]

When you follow the news these days, how interested are you in reports about the following: very interested, somewhat interested, or hardly interested at all?

Scripter: Please randomize and record all statements in row

Statements in row:

Q2/2. National news

Q2/4. News about the relations of the United States with other countries

Answers in column:

1. Very interested
2. Somewhat interested
3. Hardly interested
4. Don't follow the news

Base: All Respondents

Q3_1. [S]

In order to make sure that your browser is working correctly, please select number 4 from the below list.

1. 1
2. 2
3. 3
4. 4
5. 5

Base: All Respondents

Q3. [S]

Do you think it will be best for the future of the country if we take an active part in world affairs or if we stay out of world affairs?

1. Active part
2. Stay out

Scripter: Please create DOV_TRACKAB and randomly assign and record respondents to a value of 1 'Track A' or 2 'Track B'

Base: All Respondents

Q5_NEW. [Grid, SP Across MP Down]

Below is a list of possible threats to the vital interest of the United States in the next 10 years. For each one, please select whether you see this as a critical threat, an important but not critical threat, or not an important threat at all:

Scripter: Please randomly select and record 10 statements to show the respondents. Of the 10 statements selected, please randomize and record the order of the 10 statements shown.

Statements in row:

- 5/1. Drug related violence and instability in Mexico
- 5/4. Islamic fundamentalism
- 5/5. The possibility of unfriendly countries becoming nuclear powers
- 5/6a. Large numbers of immigrants and refugees coming into the U.S.
- 5/8a. Climate change **[Scripter: Respondent is only eligible to see question if DOV_TRACKAB=1]**
- 5/8b. Global warming **[Scripter: Respondent is only eligible to see question if DOV_TRACKAB=2]**
- 5/10. International terrorism
- 5/21. Russia's territorial ambitions
- 5/23. China's economic power
- 5/24. China's military power
- 5/27. A confrontation between North Korea and South Korea
- 5/28. An international financial crisis
- 5/29. North Korea's nuclear program

Answers in column:

- 1.Critical threat
- 2. Important but not critical threat
- 3. Not an important threat

Scripter: Please create DOV_Immigration and randomly assign and record respondents to a value of 1 'A Question Series' or 2 'B Question Series'

Scripter: Please create DOV_Woman and randomly assign and record respondents to a value of 1 'A Question Series' or 2 'B Question Series'

Base: All Respondents

Q7. [Grid, SP Across MP Down]

Below is a list of possible foreign policy goals that the United States might have. For each one please select whether you think that it should be a very important foreign policy goal of the United States, a somewhat important foreign policy goal, or not an important goal at all:

Scripter: Please randomly select and record 10 statements to show the respondents. Of the 10 statements selected, please randomize and record the order of the 10 statements shown.

Statements in row:

- 7/3. Combating international terrorism
- 7/4a. Maintaining superior military power worldwide
- 7/4b. Maintaining superior economic power worldwide
- 7/5. Protecting the jobs of American workers
- 7/8a. Controlling and reducing illegal immigration **[Scripter: Respondent is only eligible to see question if DOV_Immigration=1 'A Question Series']**

7/8b. Controlling and reducing undocumented immigration [**Scripter: Respondent is only eligible to see question if DOV_Immigration=2 'B Question Series'**]

7/9a. Limiting climate change [**Scripter: Respondent is only eligible to see question if DOV_TRACKAB=1**]

7/9b. Limiting global warming [**Scripter: Respondent is only eligible to see question if DOV_TRACKAB=2**]

7/10. Preventing the spread of nuclear weapons

7/12. Combating world hunger

7/19. Defending our allies' security

7/23. Improving America's standing in the world

7/11b. Improving the world's environment

7/7b. Attaining U.S. energy independence

7/30a. Promoting the full participation of women and girls in their societies around the world [**Scripter: Respondent is only eligible to see question if DOV_Woman=1 'A Question Series'**]

7/30b. Promoting the rights of women and girls around the world [**Scripter: Respondent is only eligible to see question if DOV_Woman=2 'B Question Series'**]

Answers in column:

1. Very important
2. Somewhat important
3. Not important at all

Base: All Respondents

Q8. [Grid, SP Across MP Down]

And how effective do you think each of the following approaches are to achieving the foreign policy goals of the United States – very effective, somewhat effective, not very effective, or not effective at all?

Scripter: Please randomize and record the order of the statements in row.

8/1. Strengthening the United Nations

8/2. Maintaining U.S. military superiority

8/3. Placing sanctions on other countries

8/4. Signing free trade agreements with other countries

8/5. Maintaining existing alliances

8/6. Building new alliances with other countries

8/13. Signing international agreements

8/14. Intervening militarily

Answers in column:

1. Very effective
2. Somewhat effective
3. Not very effective
4. Not effective at all

Base: All Respondents

Q11_NEW [S]

What kind of leadership role should the United States play in the world? Should it be the dominant world leader, or should it play a shared leadership role, or should it not play any leadership role?

1. Dominant world leader
2. Play a shared leadership role

3. Not play any leadership role

Base: All Respondents

Q385[S]

Which of the following do you think is more important in determining a country's overall power and influence in the world – [Scripter: if 'Economic strength' is the first response listed insert a country's economic strength, or its military strength , if 'Military strength' is the first response listed insert a country's military strength, or its economic strength]?

Scripter: Please randomize and record the order of the statements in row and the question text insert.

1. Economic strength
2. Military strength

Base: All Respondents

Q21 [S]

Some people say the United States has a unique character that makes it the greatest country in the world. Others say that every country is unique, and the United States is no greater than other nations. Which view is closer to your own?

1. The greatest country in the world
2. No greater than other nations

Base: All Respondents

Q40 [Grid, SP Across MP Down]

Do you think the United States should or should not have long-term military bases in the following places?

Scripter: Please randomize and record all statements in row

Statements in row:

- 40/1. Japan
- 40/3. South Korea
- 40/6. Germany
- 40/8. Australia

Answers in column:

1. Should have
2. Should not have

Base: All Respondents

Q15. [S]

Thinking about the next generation of Americans who are children today, the way things are going, do you think economically they will be better off, worse off, or about the same as the generation of adults who are working today?

1. Better off
2. Worse off
3. About the same

Base: All Respondents

Q15B. [S]

And thinking now about your parents when they were your age, do you think that economically they were better off, worse off, or about the same as you are today?

1. Better off
2. Worse off
3. About the same

Base: All Respondents

Q45 [Grid, Number Boxes, Range 0 – 100]

Please rate your feelings toward some countries and peoples, with one hundred meaning a very warm, favorable feeling, zero meaning a very cold, unfavorable feeling, and fifty meaning not particularly warm or cold. You can use any number from zero to one hundred, the higher the number the more favorable your feelings are toward that country or those people.

Scripter: Please randomly select and record 7 statements to show the respondents. Of the 7 statements selected, please randomize and record the order of the 7 statements shown.

Statements in row:

- 45/3. Mexico
- 45/4. Israel
- 45/6. China
- 45/11. Japan
- 45/12. Russia
- 45/14. Iran
- 45/15. Cuba
- 45/19. North Korea
- 45/20. South Korea
- 45/26. Canada
- 45/27. Australia
- 45/28. India

Answers in column:

Scripter: Please use numeric boxes with a range of 0 – 100 and the feeling thermometer graphic used in previous surveys.

Scripter: Please create DOV_185 and randomly assign and record respondents to a value of 1 ‘Canada’ or 2 ‘Australia’

Base: All Respondents

Q185 [Grid, SP Across MP Down]

In your opinion, are relations between the United States with the following countries improving, worsening or staying about the same?

Scripter: Please randomize and record all statements in row

Statements in row:

- 185/1. China
- 185/4. Mexico
- 185/5. South Korea

185/8. Russia

185/9. Canada [**Scripter: Respondent is only eligible to see question if DOV_185=1**]

185/10. Australia [**Scripter: Respondent is only eligible to see question if DOV_185=2**]

185/11. Iran

185/12. Israel

Answers in column:

1. Improving
2. Worsening
3. Staying the same

Scripter: Please create DOV_200615 and randomly assign and record respondents to a value of 1 'Q200' or 2 'Q615'

Base: Show if DOV_200615=1 'Q200'

Q200. [S]

Do you feel we should increase our commitment to NATO, keep our commitment what it is now, decrease our commitment to NATO, or withdraw from NATO entirely?

1. Increase commitment
2. Keep commitment same
3. Decrease commitment
4. Withdraw entirely

Base: Show if DOV_200615=2 'Q615'

Q615. [S]

Some people say that NATO is still essential to our country's security. Others say it is no longer essential. Which of these views is closer to your own?

1. Still essential
2. No longer essential

Base: All Respondents

Q50 [Grid, SP Across MP Down]

I would like to know how much influence you think each of the following countries has in the world. Please answer on a 0 to 10 scale; with 0 meaning they are not at all influential and 10 meaning they are extremely influential.

Scripter: Please randomize and record all statements in row

Statements in row:

1. The U.S.
2. Russia
5. China
6. India
7. The European Union as a whole
8. South Korea
9. Iran

Answers in column:

1. 0
2. 1
3. 2
4. 3
5. 4
6. 5
7. 6
8. 7
9. 8
10. 9
11. 10

Base: All Respondents

Q140 [Grid, SP Across MP Down]

Based on what you know, do you think the U.S. should or should not participate in the following international agreements?

Scripter: Please randomize and record all statements in row

Statements in row:

- 140/1. The Paris Agreement that calls for countries to collectively reduce their emissions of greenhouse gases
- 140/2. The agreement on the International Criminal Court that can try individuals for war crimes, genocide, or crimes against humanity if their own country won't try them
- 140/7. The agreement that lifts some international economic sanctions against Iran in exchange for strict limits on its nuclear program for at least the next decade

Answers in column:

1. Should participate
2. Should not participate

Base: All respondents

INTRO_Trade [Display]

This next set of questions focuses on international trade.

Base: All respondents

Q10. [S]

Do you believe that globalization, especially the increasing connections of our economy with others around the world, is mostly good or mostly bad for the United States?

1. Mostly good
2. Mostly bad

Scripter: Please create DOV_71A376 and randomly assign and record respondents to a value of 1 'Q71A' or 2 'Q376'

Base: Show if DOV_71A376=1 'Q71A'

Q71a. [S]

As you may know, the United States is now negotiating a free trade agreement with twelve Pacific nations called the Trans-Pacific Partnership (or TPP). Based on what you know, do you strongly support, somewhat support, somewhat oppose or strongly oppose this free trade agreement?

1. I strongly support it
2. I somewhat support it
3. I somewhat oppose it
4. I strongly oppose it

Base: All Respondents**Q190 [Grid, SP Across MP Down]**

Overall, do you think international trade is good or bad for:

Scripter: Please randomize and record all statements in row

Statements in row:

- 190/1. The U.S. economy
- 190/2. American companies
- 190/3. Consumers like you
- 190/4. Creating jobs in the U.S.
- 190/6. Job security for American workers
- 190/7. Your own standard of living

Answers in column:

1. Good
2. Bad

Base: All respondents**INTRO_Terrorism [Display]**

And next are a few questions on another issue.

Base: All Respondents**Q275. [S]**

Do you think that, as a country, we are more safe, about as safe, or less safe than we were before the terrorist attacks of September 11th, 2001?

1. More safe
2. About as safe
3. Less safe

Base: All Respondents**Q281 [Grid, SP Across MP Down]**

Are you very worried, somewhat worried, not very worried or not worried at all that:

Scripter: Please randomize and record all statements in row

Statements in row:

- Q281/1. You or someone you know will be the target of gun violence

Q281/2. You or someone you know will be the target of a terrorist attack

Answers in column:

1. Very worried
2. Somewhat worried
3. Not very worried
4. Not at all worried

Scripter: Please create DOV_282280 and randomly assign and record respondents to a value of 1 'Q282' or 2 'Q280'

Base: Show if DOV_282280=1 'Q282'

Q282. [S]

Do you think the greater threat to the United States from terrorism comes from people outside this country or from people inside this country?

1. People outside this country
2. People inside this country

Base: All respondents

Q266. [S]

Do you feel that the U.S.-led coalition against ISIS and other violent Islamic extremist groups in Iraq and Syria is making the situation:

1. A great deal better
2. Somewhat better
3. Neither better nor worse
4. Somewhat worse
5. A great deal worse

Base: All Respondents

Q278b [Grid, SP Across MP Down]

In your opinion, would you say that the following actions are always effective, mostly effective, rarely effective or never effective in combatting terrorism?

Scripter: Please randomize and record all statements in row

Statements in row:

- 278b/1. U.S. air strikes against suspected terrorists by manned aircraft
- 278b/2. U.S. air strikes against suspected terrorists by drones/unmanned aircraft
- 278b/3. Sending U.S. trainers and special operations forces to countries where terrorists operate
- 278b/4. Using torture against suspected terrorists that are captured
- 278b/5. Sending U.S. combat troops to fight terrorists abroad
- 278b/6. Limiting the flow of migrants and refugees and increasing border controls
- 278b/7. Blocking terrorist financing

Answers in column:

1. Always effective
2. Mostly effective
3. Rarely effective

4. Never effective

Base: Show if DOV_282280=2 'Q280'

Q280. [S]

How likely is it that occasional acts of terrorism in the U.S. will be part of life in the future?

1. Very likely
2. Somewhat likely
3. Not very likely
4. Not at all likely

Base: All Respondents

INTRO_Middle_East [Display]

Next is a series of questions about the Middle East.

Base: All respondents

Q325A. [S]

In your opinion, is Israel playing a very positive, somewhat positive, somewhat negative or very negative role in resolving the key problems facing the Middle East?

1. Very positive
2. Somewhat positive
3. Somewhat negative
4. Very negative

Base: All respondents

Q230. [S]

In the Middle East conflict, do you think the United States should take Israel's side, take the Palestinians' side, or not take either side?

1. Take Israel's side
2. Take the Palestinians' side
3. Not take either side

Scripter: Please create DOV_267A374 and randomly assign and record respondents to a value of 1 'Q267a' or 2 'Q374'

Base: Show if DOV_267A374=1 'Q267a'

Q267a [Grid, SP Across MP Down]

Do you support or oppose the United States taking each of the following actions with respect to Syria?

Statements in row:

- 267a/1. Accepting Syrian refugees into the United States
- 267a/7. Helping to negotiate a peace agreement that allows President Assad of Syria to remain in power
- 267a/3. Sending arms and supplies to anti-government groups in Syria
- 267a/4. Enforcing a no-fly zone over parts of Syria, including bombing Syrian air defenses
- 267a/8. Conducting airstrikes against violent Islamic extremist groups
- 267a/5b. Sending special operations forces into Syria to fight violent Islamic extremist groups

267a/5. Sending combat troops into Syria to fight violent Islamic extremist groups

Answers in column:

1. Support
2. Oppose

Scripter: Please create DOV_RUSMEX and randomly assign and record respondents to a value of 1 'Russia' or 2 'Mexico'

Base: Show if DOV_RUSMEX=1 'Russia'

INTRO_Russia [Display]

This next question focuses on U.S. relations with Russia.

Base: Show if DOV_RUSMEX=2 'Mexico'

INTRO_Mexico [Display]

This next question focuses on U.S. relations with Mexico.

Base: Show if DOV_RUSMEX=2 'Mexico'

Q251B. [Grid, SP Across MP Down]

Please tell me whether the United States and Mexico are working in the same or different direction on each of the following issues:

Scripter: Please randomize and record all statements in row

Statements in row:

Q251B/1. Finding new sources of energy

Q251B/2. Combating the trafficking of illegal drugs

Q251B/3. Securing the U.S.-Mexico border

Q251B/4. Trade and economic development

Answers in column:

1. Same direction
2. Different direction

Base: Show if DOV_RUSMEX=1 'Russia'

Q251A. [Grid, SP Across MP Down]

Please tell me whether the United States and Russia are working in the same or different direction on each of the following issues:

Scripter: Please randomize and record all statements in row

Statements in row:

Q251A/1. Ending the conflict in Syria

Q251A/2. Limiting Iran's nuclear program

Q251A/3. Reducing nuclear weapons worldwide

Answers in column:

1. Same direction
2. Different direction

Base: Show if DOV_RUSMEX=1 'Russia'

Q350B. [S]

In dealing with Russia, do you think the U.S. should:

Scripter: Please randomize and record order of response options

1. Undertake friendly cooperation and engagement with Russia
2. Actively work to limit Russia's power

Base: Show if DOV_RUSMEX=1 'Russia'

Q351B. [S]

And do you think that generally Russia is:

Scripter: Please randomize and record order of response options

1. Trying to undertake friendly cooperation and engagement with the United States
2. Actively working to undermine U.S. international power and influence

Base: Show if DOV_TRACKAB=1 'Track A'

INTRO_Climate [Display]

This next question focuses on climate change.

Base: Show if DOV_TRACKAB=2 'Track B'

INTRO_Global [Display]

This next question focuses on global warming.

Base: All respondents

Q295. [S]

There is a controversy over what the countries of the world, including the U.S., should do about the problem of **[Scripter: Insert the phrase climate change if DOV_TRACKAB=1; Insert the phrase global warming if DOV_TRACKAB =2]**. Here are three statements. Please tell me which statement comes closest to your own point of view:

1. Until we are sure that **[Scripter: Insert the phrase climate change if DOV_TRACKAB=1; Insert the phrase global warming if DOV_TRACKAB =2]** is really a problem we should not take any steps that would have economic costs.
2. The problem of **[Scripter: Insert the phrase climate change if DOV_TRACKAB=1; Insert the phrase global warming if DOV_TRACKAB =2]** should be addressed but its effects will be gradual so we can deal with the problem gradually by taking steps that are low in cost.
3. **[Scripter: Insert the phrase Climate change if DOV_TRACKAB=1; Insert the phrase Global warming if DOV_TRACKAB =2]** is a serious and pressing problem and we should try taking steps now even if this involves significant costs.

Base: All respondents

INTRO_Asia [Display]

The next group of questions is about U.S. policy in Asia.

Base: Show if DOV_71A376=2 'Q376'

Q376. [S]

Now thinking about U.S. foreign policy in Asia, do you think the U.S. should put a higher priority on:

1. Building up our strong relations with traditional allies like South Korea and Japan, even if this might diminish our relations with China
2. Building a new partnership with China, even if this might diminish our relations with our traditional allies

Base: All respondents

Q336. [S]

At the present time, which nation do you feel is stronger in terms of military power, the U.S. or China – or do you think they are about equal militarily?

1. The U.S.
2. China
3. About equal

Base: All respondents

Q337. [S]

At the present time, which nation do you feel is stronger in terms of economic power, the U.S. or China – or do you think they are about equal economically?

1. The U.S.
2. China
3. About equal

Base: Show if DOV_RUSMEX=2 'Mexico'

Q350A. [S]

In dealing with the rise of China's power, do you think the U.S. should:

Scripter: Please randomize and record order of response options

1. Undertake friendly cooperation and engagement with China
2. Actively work to limit the growth of China's power

Base: Show if DOV_RUSMEX=2 'Mexico'

Q351A. [S]

And do you think that generally China is:

Scripter: Please randomize and record order of response options

1. Trying to undertake friendly cooperation and engagement with the United States
2. Actively working to undermine U.S. international power and influence

Base: Show if DOV_267A374=2 'Q374'

Q374. [Grid, SP Across MP Down]

Please tell me whether you would support or oppose each of the following kinds of U.S. action to pressure North Korea to stop building its nuclear weapons program:

Statements in row:

- 374/5. Accept that North Korea will produce additional nuclear weapons
- 374/1. Continue diplomatic efforts to get North Korea to suspend its nuclear program
- 374/6. Impose tighter economic sanctions on North Korea
- 374/7. Conduct cyberattacks against North Korean computer systems
- 374/8. Conduct airstrikes against North Korea's nuclear production facilities
- 374/9. Send U.S. troops to destroy North Korea's nuclear facilities

Answers in column:

- 1. Support
- 2. Oppose

Base: All respondents

INTRO_Immigration [Display]

This final section asks about the issue of immigration.

Base: All respondents

Q390. [S]

Should legal immigration into the United States be kept at its present level, increased or decreased?

- 1. Kept at present level
- 2. Increased
- 3. Decreased

Base: All respondents

Q401. [Grid, SP Across MP Down]

Do you have a very favorable, somewhat favorable, somewhat unfavorable or very unfavorable view of the following people:

Scripter: Please randomize and record all statements in row

Statements in row:

- 401/1. Chinese immigrants in the United States
- 401/2. Middle Eastern immigrants in the United States
- 401/3. Mexican immigrants in the United States
- 401/4. Mexicans living in Mexico
- 401/5. Korean immigrants in the United States

Answers in column:

- 1. Very favorable
- 2. Somewhat favorable
- 3. Somewhat unfavorable
- 4. Very unfavorable

Base: All respondents

Q405. [S]

When it comes to immigration, which comes closest to your view about [Scripter: Insert the phrase illegal if DOV_Immigration=1; Insert the phrase undocumented if DOV_Immigration =2] immigrants who are currently working in the U.S.?

1. They should be allowed to stay in their jobs and to apply for U.S. citizenship
2. They should be allowed to stay in their jobs and to eventually apply for U.S. citizenship only if they pay a penalty and wait a number of years
3. They should be allowed to stay in their jobs with work permits, but not apply for U.S. citizenship
4. They should be required to leave their jobs and leave the U.S.

Scripter: Please create DOV_Q410 and randomly assign and record respondents to a value of 1 'Q410A' or 2 'Q410B'

Base: Show if DOV_Q410=1 'Q410A'

Q410A. [S]

Do you favor or oppose a wall expanding the 700 miles of border wall and fencing with Mexico to reduce illegal immigration into the United States?

1. Favor
2. Oppose

Base: Show if DOV_Q410=2 'Q410B'

Q410B. [S]

How effective do you think expanding the 700 miles of border wall and fencing with Mexico would be in reducing illegal immigration?

1. Very effective
2. Somewhat effective
3. Not very effective
4. Not at all effective

Base: All respondents

Q1000. [S]

If the presidential election were being held today and the candidates were [Scripter: If 'Hillary Clinton' is first response option insert Hillary Clinton, the Democrat or Donald Trump, the Republican; If 'Donald Trump' is first response option insert Donald Trump, the Republican or Hillary Clinton, the Democrat], for whom would you vote?

Scripter: Please randomize and record order of response options 1 & 2

1. Hillary Clinton
2. Donald Trump
3. Neither [Scripter: Please anchor response as third response]
4. Other (specify) [Scripter: Please insert small text box] [Scripter: Please anchor response as fourth response]

Base: Show if Q1000=3 'Neither' or 4 'Neither' or -1 'Refused'

Q1000B. [S]

Would you lean toward [Scripter: If 'Hillary Clinton' is first response option insert Hillary Clinton, the Democrat or Donald Trump, the Republican; If 'Donald Trump' is first response option insert Donald Trump, the Republican or Hillary Clinton, the Democrat]?

Scripter: Please follow Q1000 order of response options 1 & 2

1. Hillary Clinton
2. Donald Trump

Base: All respondents

Q1001. [S]

Regardless of your voting preference in the previous question, who was your top choice for president among the following candidates?

Scripter: Please randomize and record order of response options 1 through 7

1. Hillary Clinton
2. Donald Trump
3. Bernie Sanders
4. Ted Cruz
5. John Kasich
6. Marco Rubio
7. Jeb Bush
8. Other (specify) **[Scripter: Please insert small text box] [Scripter: Please anchor response as eighth response]**

B SECTION II - DEMOGRAPHICS

Base: All respondents

Q1005. [S]

In general, do you think of yourself as:

1. Extremely liberal
2. Liberal
3. Slightly liberal
4. Moderate, middle of the road
5. Slightly conservative
6. Conservative
7. Extremely conservative

Base: All respondents

Q1010 [S]

Generally speaking, do you usually think of yourself as a Republican, a Democrat, an independent, or what?

1. Republican
2. Democrat
3. Independent
4. Other

Base: Ask if Q1010=1 'Republican'

Q1015. [S]

Would you call yourself a strong Republican or a not very strong Republican?

1. Strong
2. Not strong

Base: Ask if Q1010=2 'Democrat'

Q1020. [S]

Would you call yourself a strong Democrat or a not very strong Democrat?

1. Strong
2. Not strong

Base: Ask if Q1010=3 'Independent'

Q1025. [S]

Do you think of yourself as closer to the Republican Party or to the Democratic Party?

1. Republican
2. Democratic
3. Neither

Base: All respondents

PPP10003. [S]

Are you currently registered to vote in the U.S.?

1. Yes, I am registered to vote at my present address
2. Yes, I am registered to vote at a different address
3. No, I am not registered to vote
4. Not sure

Base: Ask if XPPA1648=14 'Missing'

PPA1648. [S]

What is your religion?

1. Catholic
2. Evangelical or Protestant Christian (Baptist, Lutheran, Methodist, Presbyterian, Episcopalian, Pentecostal, Church of Christ, etc.)
3. Jehovah's Witness
4. Mormon
5. Jewish
6. Islam/Muslim
7. Orthodox Church (Greek or Russian)
8. Hindu
9. Buddhist
10. Unitarian (Universalist)
11. Other Christian religion, please specify: **[Scripter: Please insert small text box]**
12. Other non-Christian religion, please specify: **[Scripter: Please insert small text box]**
13. No religion, not a believer, atheist, agnostic

Scripter: Please create DOV_REL1 and use the variable name 'Religious Denomination of Respondent'
Merge coding of XPPA1648 and PPA1648 with the following values:

1. Catholic
2. Evangelical or Protestant Christian (Baptist, Lutheran, Methodist, Presbyterian, Episcopalian, Pentecostal, Church of Christ, etc.)
3. Jehovah's Witness
4. Mormon

- 5. Jewish
- 6. Islam/Muslim
- 7. Orthodox Church (Greek or Russian)
- 8. Hindu
- 9. Buddhist
- 10. Unitarian (Universalist)
- 11. Other Christian religion, please specify: [Scripter: Please insert small text box]
- 12. Other non-Christian religion, please specify: [Scripter: Please insert small text box]
- 13. No religion, not a believer, atheist, agnostic
- 1. Refused

Please use the following merging criteria, IF XPPA1648≠14 'Missing' THEN DOV_REL1=XPPA1648; IF XPPA1648=14 'Missing' THEN DOV_REL1=PPA1648.

Base: All respondents

Q1065. [S]

Would you be willing to speak with a reporter in a follow-up telephone call or by email to discuss some of these topics?

- 1. Yes
- 2. No

Scripter: Please store 'Phone Number' and 'First Name' fields as PII data in lookup tables for Q1065A

Base: Show if Q1065=1 'Yes'

Q1065A. [O]

Thank you for agreeing to participate. Please provide your phone number and first name in the fields below so the reporter might know who to ask for:

Phone Number: [Scripter: Please insert small text box]

First Name: [Scripter: Please insert small text box]

Scripter: Please include standard close.

END OF QUESTIONNAIRE

Appendix B: Codebook²

[National news] When you follow the news these days, how interested are you in reports about the following:

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	-1 Refused	11	.5	.5	.5
	1 Very interested	861	41.8	41.8	42.3
	2 Somewhat interested	886	43.0	43.0	85.3
	3 Hardly interested	177	8.6	8.6	93.9
	4 Don't follow the news	126	6.1	6.1	100.0
	Total	2061	100.0	100.0	

[News about the relations of the United States with other countries] When you follow the news these days, how interested are you in reports about the following:

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	-1 Refused	6	.3	.3	.3
	1 Very interested	703	34.1	34.1	34.4
	2 Somewhat interested	950	46.1	46.1	80.5
	3 Hardly interested	264	12.8	12.8	93.3
	4 Don't follow the news	138	6.7	6.7	100.0
	Total	2061	100.0	100.0	

In order to make sure that your browser is working correctly, please select number 4 from the below list.

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	-1 Refused	5	.2	.2	.2
	1 1	6	.3	.3	.5
	2 2	3	.1	.1	.7
	3 3	22	1.1	1.1	1.7

² This appendix contains unweighted frequencies

4 4	2021	98.1	98.1	99.8
5 5	4	.2	.2	100.0
Total	2061	100.0	100.0	

**Do you think it will be best for the future of the country if we take an active part
in world affairs or if we stay out of world affairs?**

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid -1 Refused	20	1.0	1.0	1.0
1 Active part	1377	66.8	66.8	67.8
2 Stay out	664	32.2	32.2	100.0
Total	2061	100.0	100.0	

[Drug related violence and instability in Mexico] Below is a list of possible threats to the vital interest of the United States in the next 10 years.

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid -1 Refused	8	.4	.5	.5
1 Critical threat	608	29.5	35.1	35.5
2 Important but not critical threat	957	46.4	55.2	90.7
3 Not an important threat	161	7.8	9.3	100.0
Total	1734	84.1	100.0	
Missing System	327	15.9		
Total	2061	100.0		

[Islamic fundamentalism] Below is a list of possible threats to the vital interest of the United States in the next 10 years.

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid -1 Refused	10	.5	.6	.6
1 Critical threat	1060	51.4	61.8	62.4

	2 Important but not critical threat	529	25.7	30.8	93.2
	3 Not an important threat	116	5.6	6.8	100.0
	Total	1715	83.2	100.0	
Missing	System	346	16.8		
Total		2061	100.0		

[The possibility of unfriendly countries becoming nuclear powers] Below is a list of possible threats to the vital interest of the United States in the next 10 years.

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	-1 Refused	8	.4	.5	.5
	1 Critical threat	1046	50.8	61.7	62.1
	2 Important but not critical threat	569	27.6	33.5	95.7
	3 Not an important threat	73	3.5	4.3	100.0
	Total	1696	82.3	100.0	
Missing	System	365	17.7		
Total		2061	100.0		

[Large numbers of immigrants and refugees coming into the U.S.] Below is a list of possible threats to the vital interest of the United States in the next 10 years.

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	-1 Refused	5	.2	.3	.3
	1 Critical threat	802	38.9	46.4	46.7
	2 Important but not critical threat	682	33.1	39.5	86.2
	3 Not an important threat	239	11.6	13.8	100.0
	Total	1728	83.8	100.0	
Missing	System	333	16.2		
Total		2061	100.0		

[Climate change] Below is a list of possible threats to the vital interest of the United States in the next 10 years.

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	-1 Refused	4	.2	.5	.5
	1 Critical threat	320	15.5	37.6	38.1
	2 Important but not critical threat	319	15.5	37.5	75.6
	3 Not an important threat	207	10.0	24.4	100.0
	Total	850	41.2	100.0	
Missing	System	1211	58.8		
	Total	2061	100.0		

[Global warming] Below is a list of possible threats to the vital interest of the United States in the next 10 years.

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	-1 Refused	2	.1	.2	.2
	1 Critical threat	355	17.2	42.1	42.3
	2 Important but not critical threat	268	13.0	31.8	74.1
	3 Not an important threat	219	10.6	25.9	100.0
	Total	844	41.0	100.0	
Missing	System	1217	59.0		
	Total	2061	100.0		

[International terrorism] Below is a list of possible threats to the vital interest of the United States in the next 10 years.

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	-1 Refused	4	.2	.2	.2
	1 Critical threat	1319	64.0	77.4	77.6
	2 Important but not critical threat	353	17.1	20.7	98.3
	3 Not an important threat	29	1.4	1.7	100.0

Total		1705	82.7	100.0	
Missing	System	356	17.3		
Total		2061	100.0		

[Russia's territorial ambitions] Below is a list of possible threats to the vital interest of the United States in the next 10 years.

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	-1 Refused	7	.3	.4	.4
	1 Critical threat	524	25.4	30.4	30.8
	2 Important but not critical threat	1000	48.5	58.1	88.9
	3 Not an important threat	191	9.3	11.1	100.0
	Total	1722	83.6	100.0	
Missing	System	339	16.4		
Total		2061	100.0		

[China's economic power] Below is a list of possible threats to the vital interest of the United States in the next 10 years.

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	-1 Refused	9	.4	.5	.5
	1 Critical threat	545	26.4	31.8	32.3
	2 Important but not critical threat	997	48.4	58.1	90.4
	3 Not an important threat	164	8.0	9.6	100.0
	Total	1715	83.2	100.0	
Missing	System	346	16.8		
Total		2061	100.0		

[China's military power] Below is a list of possible threats to the vital interest of the United States in the next 10 years.

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	-1 Refused	8	.4	.5	.5
	1 Critical threat	665	32.3	38.5	38.9
	2 Important but not critical threat	915	44.4	52.9	91.8
	3 Not an important threat	141	6.8	8.2	100.0
	Total	1729	83.9	100.0	
Missing	System	332	16.1		
Total		2061	100.0		

[A confrontation between North Korea and South Korea] Below is a list of possible threats to the vital interest of the United States in the next 10 years.

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	-1 Refused	7	.3	.4	.4
	1 Critical threat	556	27.0	32.2	32.6
	2 Important but not critical threat	968	47.0	56.1	88.7
	3 Not an important threat	196	9.5	11.3	100.0
	Total	1727	83.8	100.0	
Missing	System	334	16.2		
Total		2061	100.0		

[An international financial crisis] Below is a list of possible threats to the vital interest of the United States in the next 10 years.

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	-1 Refused	7	.3	.4	.4
	1 Critical threat	867	42.1	50.2	50.6
	2 Important but not critical threat	768	37.3	44.5	95.1
	3 Not an important threat	84	4.1	4.9	100.0
	Total	1726	83.7	100.0	
Missing	System	335	16.3		

Total	2061	100.0	
-------	------	-------	--

[North Korea's nuclear program] Below is a list of possible threats to the vital interest of the United States in the next 10 years.

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	-1 Refused	6	.3	.3	.3
	1 Critical threat	1040	50.5	60.5	60.8
	2 Important but not critical threat	587	28.5	34.1	95.0
	3 Not an important threat	86	4.2	5.0	100.0
	Total	1719	83.4	100.0	
	Missing System	342	16.6		
Total		2061	100.0		

[Combating international terrorism] Below is a list of possible foreign policy goals that the United States might have.

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	-1 Refused	9	.4	.6	.6
	1 Very important	1190	57.7	75.0	75.6
	2 Somewhat important	357	17.3	22.5	98.0
	3 Not important at all	31	1.5	2.0	100.0
	Total	1587	77.0	100.0	
	Missing System	474	23.0		
Total		2061	100.0		

[Maintaining superior military power worldwide] Below is a list of possible foreign policy goals that the United States might have.

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	-1 Refused	8	.4	.5	.5
	1 Very important	920	44.6	57.9	58.4

	2 Somewhat important	543	26.3	34.2	92.6
	3 Not important at all	118	5.7	7.4	100.0
	Total	1589	77.1	100.0	
Missing	System	472	22.9		
Total		2061	100.0		

[Maintaining superior economic power worldwide] Below is a list of possible foreign policy goals that the United States might have.

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	-1 Refused	8	.4	.5	.5
	1 Very important	793	38.5	49.9	50.4
	2 Somewhat important	686	33.3	43.2	93.6
	3 Not important at all	102	4.9	6.4	100.0
	Total	1589	77.1	100.0	
Missing	System	472	22.9		
Total		2061	100.0		

[Protecting the jobs of American workers] Below is a list of possible foreign policy goals that the United States might have.

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	-1 Refused	11	.5	.7	.7
	1 Very important	1199	58.2	74.9	75.6
	2 Somewhat important	351	17.0	21.9	97.6
	3 Not important at all	39	1.9	2.4	100.0
	Total	1600	77.6	100.0	
Missing	System	461	22.4		
Total		2061	100.0		

[Controlling and reducing illegal immigration] Below is a list of possible foreign policy goals that the United States might have.

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	-1 Refused	5	.2	.6	.6
	1 Very important	377	18.3	48.2	48.8
	2 Somewhat important	325	15.8	41.6	90.4
	3 Not important at all	75	3.6	9.6	100.0
	Total	782	37.9	100.0	
Missing	System	1279	62.1		
Total		2061	100.0		

[Controlling and reducing undocumented immigration] Below is a list of possible foreign policy goals that the United States might have.

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	-1 Refused	1	.0	.1	.1
	1 Very important	419	20.3	53.8	53.9
	2 Somewhat important	289	14.0	37.1	91.0
	3 Not important at all	70	3.4	9.0	100.0
	Total	779	37.8	100.0	
Missing	System	1282	62.2		
Total		2061	100.0		

[Limiting climate change] Below is a list of possible foreign policy goals that the United States might have.

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	-1 Refused	4	.2	.5	.5
	1 Very important	300	14.6	38.9	39.4
	2 Somewhat important	310	15.0	40.2	79.6
	3 Not important at all	157	7.6	20.4	100.0
	Total	771	37.4	100.0	
Missing	System	1290	62.6		
Total		2061	100.0		

[Limiting global warming] Below is a list of possible foreign policy goals that the United States might have.

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	-1 Refused	3	.1	.4	.4
	1 Very important	365	17.7	45.3	45.7
	2 Somewhat important	277	13.4	34.4	80.0
	3 Not important at all	161	7.8	20.0	100.0
	Total	806	39.1	100.0	
Missing	System	1255	60.9		
	Total	2061	100.0		

[Preventing the spread of nuclear weapons] Below is a list of possible foreign policy goals that the United States might have.

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	-1 Refused	7	.3	.4	.4
	1 Very important	1074	52.1	68.6	69.0
	2 Somewhat important	447	21.7	28.5	97.6
	3 Not important at all	38	1.8	2.4	100.0
	Total	1566	76.0	100.0	
Missing	System	495	24.0		
	Total	2061	100.0		

[Combating world hunger] Below is a list of possible foreign policy goals that the United States might have.

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	-1 Refused	11	.5	.7	.7
	1 Very important	628	30.5	39.8	40.5
	2 Somewhat important	776	37.7	49.2	89.8
	3 Not important at all	161	7.8	10.2	100.0
	Total	1576	76.5	100.0	

Missing	System	485	23.5		
Total		2061	100.0		

[Defending our allies' security] Below is a list of possible foreign policy goals that the United States might have.

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	-1 Refused	8	.4	.5	.5
	1 Very important	561	27.2	36.2	36.7
	2 Somewhat important	882	42.8	56.9	93.6
	3 Not important at all	100	4.9	6.4	100.0
	Total	1551	75.3	100.0	
	Missing	510	24.7		
Total		2061	100.0		

[Improving America's standing in the world] Below is a list of possible foreign policy goals that the United States might have.

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	-1 Refused	7	.3	.4	.4
	1 Very important	847	41.1	53.2	53.7
	2 Somewhat important	632	30.7	39.7	93.4
	3 Not important at all	105	5.1	6.6	100.0
	Total	1591	77.2	100.0	
	Missing	470	22.8		
Total		2061	100.0		

[Improving the world's environment] Below is a list of possible foreign policy goals that the United States might have.

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	-1 Refused	11	.5	.7	.7
	1 Very important	697	33.8	43.8	44.4

	2 Somewhat important	699	33.9	43.9	88.3
	3 Not important at all	186	9.0	11.7	100.0
	Total	1593	77.3	100.0	
Missing	System	468	22.7		
Total		2061	100.0		

[Attaining U.S. energy independence] Below is a list of possible foreign policy goals that the United States might have.

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	-1 Refused	9	.4	.6	.6
	1 Very important	1051	51.0	65.0	65.6
	2 Somewhat important	512	24.8	31.7	97.3
	3 Not important at all	44	2.1	2.7	100.0
	Total	1616	78.4	100.0	
Missing	System	445	21.6		
Total		2061	100.0		

[Promoting the full participation of women and girls in their societies around the world] Below is a list of possible foreign policy goals that the United States might have.

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	-1 Refused	8	.4	1.0	1.0
	1 Very important	284	13.8	35.7	36.7
	2 Somewhat important	386	18.7	48.5	85.2
	3 Not important at all	118	5.7	14.8	100.0
	Total	796	38.6	100.0	
Missing	System	1265	61.4		
Total		2061	100.0		

[Promoting the rights of women and girls around the world] Below is a list of possible foreign policy goals that the United States might have.

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	-1 Refused	3	.1	.4	.4
	1 Very important	338	16.4	41.3	41.7
	2 Somewhat important	379	18.4	46.3	88.0
	3 Not important at all	98	4.8	12.0	100.0
	Total	818	39.7	100.0	
Missing	System	1243	60.3		
Total		2061	100.0		

[Strengthening the United Nations] And how effective do you think each of the following approaches are to achieving the foreign policy goals of the United States?

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	-1 Refused	22	1.1	1.1	1.1
	1 Very effective	498	24.2	24.2	25.2
	2 Somewhat effective	826	40.1	40.1	65.3
	3 Not very effective	460	22.3	22.3	87.6
	4 Not effective at all	255	12.4	12.4	100.0
Total		2061	100.0	100.0	

[Maintaining U.S. military superiority] And how effective do you think each of the following approaches are to achieving the foreign policy goals of the United States?

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	-1 Refused	15	.7	.7	.7
	1 Very effective	1032	50.1	50.1	50.8
	2 Somewhat effective	742	36.0	36.0	86.8
	3 Not very effective	215	10.4	10.4	97.2
	4 Not effective at all	57	2.8	2.8	100.0
Total		2061	100.0	100.0	

[Placing sanctions on other countries] And how effective do you think each of the following approaches are to achieving the foreign policy goals of the United States?

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	-1 Refused	23	1.1	1.1	1.1
	1 Very effective	336	16.3	16.3	17.4
	2 Somewhat effective	989	48.0	48.0	65.4
	3 Not very effective	603	29.3	29.3	94.7
	4 Not effective at all	110	5.3	5.3	100.0
	Total	2061	100.0	100.0	

[Signing free trade agreements with other countries] And how effective do you think each of the following approaches are to achieving the foreign policy goals of the United States?

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	-1 Refused	28	1.4	1.4	1.4
	1 Very effective	248	12.0	12.0	13.4
	2 Somewhat effective	1083	52.5	52.5	65.9
	3 Not very effective	559	27.1	27.1	93.1
	4 Not effective at all	143	6.9	6.9	100.0
	Total	2061	100.0	100.0	

[Maintaining existing alliances] And how effective do you think each of the following approaches are to achieving the foreign policy goals of the United States?

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	-1 Refused	17	.8	.8	.8
	1 Very effective	838	40.7	40.7	41.5
	2 Somewhat effective	1005	48.8	48.8	90.2
	3 Not very effective	156	7.6	7.6	97.8
	4 Not effective at all	45	2.2	2.2	100.0
	Total	2061	100.0	100.0	

[Building new alliances with other countries] And how effective do you think each of the following approaches are to achieving the foreign policy goals of the United States?

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	-1 Refused	22	1.1	1.1	1.1
	1 Very effective	606	29.4	29.4	30.5
	2 Somewhat effective	1104	53.6	53.6	84.0
	3 Not very effective	275	13.3	13.3	97.4
	4 Not effective at all	54	2.6	2.6	100.0
	Total	2061	100.0	100.0	

[Signing international agreements] And how effective do you think each of the following approaches are to achieving the foreign policy goals of the United States?

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	-1 Refused	28	1.4	1.4	1.4
	1 Very effective	325	15.8	15.8	17.1
	2 Somewhat effective	1100	53.4	53.4	70.5
	3 Not very effective	503	24.4	24.4	94.9
	4 Not effective at all	105	5.1	5.1	100.0
	Total	2061	100.0	100.0	

[Intervening militarily] And how effective do you think each of the following approaches are to achieving the foreign policy goals of the United States?

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	-1 Refused	27	1.3	1.3	1.3
	1 Very effective	252	12.2	12.2	13.5
	2 Somewhat effective	1032	50.1	50.1	63.6
	3 Not very effective	608	29.5	29.5	93.1
	4 Not effective at all	142	6.9	6.9	100.0
	Total	2061	100.0	100.0	

What kind of leadership role should the United States play in the world?

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	-1 Refused	17	.8	.8	.8
	1 Dominant world leader	625	30.3	30.3	31.1
	2 Play a shared leadership role	1285	62.3	62.3	93.5
	3 Not play any leadership role	134	6.5	6.5	100.0
	Total	2061	100.0	100.0	

Which of the following do you think is more important in determining a country's overall power and influence in the world – a country's economic strength, or its military strength?

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	-1 Refused	27	1.3	1.3	1.3
	1 Economic strength	1452	70.5	70.5	71.8
	2 Military strength	582	28.2	28.2	100.0
	Total	2061	100.0	100.0	

Some people say the United States has a unique character that makes it the greatest country in the world. Others say that every country is unique, and the United States is no greater than other nations. Which view is closer to your own?

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	-1 Refused	26	1.3	1.3	1.3
	1 The greatest country in the world	1293	62.7	62.7	64.0
	2 No greater than other nations	742	36.0	36.0	100.0
	Total	2061	100.0	100.0	

[Japan] Do you think the United States should or should not have long-term military bases in the following places?

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid -1 Refused	45	2.2	2.2	2.2
1 Should have	1268	61.5	61.5	63.7
2 Should not have	748	36.3	36.3	100.0
Total	2061	100.0	100.0	

[South Korea] Do you think the United States should or should not have long-term military bases in the following places?

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid -1 Refused	41	2.0	2.0	2.0
1 Should have	1489	72.2	72.2	74.2
2 Should not have	531	25.8	25.8	100.0
Total	2061	100.0	100.0	

[Germany] Do you think the United States should or should not have long-term military bases in the following places?

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid -1 Refused	41	2.0	2.0	2.0
1 Should have	1273	61.8	61.8	63.8
2 Should not have	747	36.2	36.2	100.0
Total	2061	100.0	100.0	

[Australia] Do you think the United States should or should not have long-term military bases in the following places?

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid -1 Refused	44	2.1	2.1	2.1

1 Should have	951	46.1	46.1	48.3
2 Should not have	1066	51.7	51.7	100.0
Total	2061	100.0	100.0	

Thinking about the next generation of Americans who are children today, the way things are going, do you think economically they will be better off, worse off, or about the same as the generation of adults who are working today?

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	-1 Refused	8	.4	.4	.4
	1 Better off	202	9.8	9.8	10.2
	2 Worse off	1226	59.5	59.5	69.7
	3 About the same	625	30.3	30.3	100.0
	Total	2061	100.0	100.0	

And thinking now about your parents when they were your age, do you think that economically they were better off, worse off, or about the same as you are today?

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	-1 Refused	14	.7	.7	.7
	1 Better off	788	38.2	38.2	38.9
	2 Worse off	739	35.9	35.9	74.8
	3 About the same	520	25.2	25.2	100.0
	Total	2061	100.0	100.0	

[Mexico] Please rate your feelings toward some countries and peoples, with one hundred meaning a very warm, favorable feeling, zero meaning a very cold, unfavorable feeling, and fifty meaning not particularly warm or cold.

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	-1 Refused	42	2.0	3.6	3.6
	0	81	3.9	6.9	10.4
	4	1	.0	.1	10.5

5		6	.3	.5	11.0
6		4	.2	.3	11.3
7		1	.0	.1	11.4
8		1	.0	.1	11.5
9		1	.0	.1	11.6
10		14	.7	1.2	12.8
15		39	1.9	3.3	16.1
20		24	1.2	2.0	18.1
25		14	.7	1.2	19.3
30		90	4.4	7.6	26.9
33		1	.0	.1	27.0
35		9	.4	.8	27.7
40		117	5.7	9.9	37.6
42		1	.0	.1	37.7
45		13	.6	1.1	38.8
50		223	10.8	18.9	57.7
55		5	.2	.4	58.1
58		1	.0	.1	58.2
60		137	6.6	11.6	69.8
65		13	.6	1.1	70.9
68		1	.0	.1	71.0
70		126	6.1	10.7	81.6
72		1	.0	.1	81.7
75		43	2.1	3.6	85.4
77		1	.0	.1	85.4
80		44	2.1	3.7	89.2
85		53	2.6	4.5	93.7
90		20	1.0	1.7	95.3
92		1	.0	.1	95.4
95		4	.2	.3	95.8
99		1	.0	.1	95.9
100		49	2.4	4.1	100.0
Total		1182	57.4	100.0	
Missing	System	879	42.6		
Total		2061	100.0		

[Israel] Please rate your feelings toward some countries and peoples, with one hundred meaning a very warm, favorable feeling, zero meaning a very cold, unfavorable feeling, and fifty meaning not particularly warm or cold.

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	-1 Refused	48	2.3	4.1	4.1
	0	68	3.3	5.7	9.8
	1	1	.0	.1	9.9
	2	1	.0	.1	10.0
	5	5	.2	.4	10.4
	9	3	.1	.3	10.6
	10	14	.7	1.2	11.8
	15	42	2.0	3.5	15.4
	20	11	.5	.9	16.3
	21	1	.0	.1	16.4
	22	1	.0	.1	16.5
	25	11	.5	.9	17.4
	30	59	2.9	5.0	22.4
	31	1	.0	.1	22.4
	35	2	.1	.2	22.6
	39	1	.0	.1	22.7
	40	67	3.3	5.7	28.4
	45	4	.2	.3	28.7
	48	1	.0	.1	28.8
	50	189	9.2	15.9	44.7
	55	6	.3	.5	45.2
	56	2	.1	.2	45.4
	60	115	5.6	9.7	55.1
	65	6	.3	.5	55.6
	67	1	.0	.1	55.7
	70	118	5.7	10.0	65.7
	75	36	1.7	3.0	68.7
	76	1	.0	.1	68.8
	80	48	2.3	4.1	72.8
	85	80	3.9	6.8	79.6

88		2	.1	.2	79.7
90		50	2.4	4.2	84.0
92		1	.0	.1	84.1
95		13	.6	1.1	85.1
98		1	.0	.1	85.2
99		1	.0	.1	85.3
100		174	8.4	14.7	100.0
Total		1185	57.5	100.0	
Missing	System	876	42.5		
Total		2061	100.0		

[China] Please rate your feelings toward some countries and peoples, with one hundred meaning a very warm, favorable feeling, zero meaning a very cold, unfavorable feeling, and fifty meaning not particularly warm or cold.

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	-1 Refused	52	2.5	4.2	4.2
	0	90	4.4	7.2	11.4
	1	3	.1	.2	11.6
	2	2	.1	.2	11.8
	3	3	.1	.2	12.0
	5	6	.3	.5	12.5
	7	1	.0	.1	12.6
	10	32	1.6	2.6	15.1
	13	1	.0	.1	15.2
	15	72	3.5	5.8	21.0
	20	25	1.2	2.0	23.0
	24	1	.0	.1	23.0
	25	21	1.0	1.7	24.7
	26	1	.0	.1	24.8
	30	122	5.9	9.8	34.6
	31	1	.0	.1	34.6
	33	1	.0	.1	34.7
	34	1	.0	.1	34.8
	35	11	.5	.9	35.7

36		1	.0	.1	35.8
38		1	.0	.1	35.8
40		170	8.2	13.6	49.4
42		1	.0	.1	49.5
45		14	.7	1.1	50.6
50		266	12.9	21.3	71.9
52		1	.0	.1	72.0
55		10	.5	.8	72.8
56		1	.0	.1	72.9
58		1	.0	.1	73.0
59		1	.0	.1	73.0
60		140	6.8	11.2	84.2
65		6	.3	.5	84.7
70		76	3.7	6.1	90.8
75		23	1.1	1.8	92.6
79		1	.0	.1	92.7
80		27	1.3	2.2	94.9
85		23	1.1	1.8	96.7
90		11	.5	.9	97.6
95		4	.2	.3	97.9
100		26	1.3	2.1	100.0
Total		1250	60.7	100.0	
Missing	System	811	39.3		
Total		2061	100.0		

[Japan] Please rate your feelings toward some countries and peoples, with one hundred meaning a very warm, favorable feeling, zero meaning a very cold, unfavorable feeling, and fifty meaning not particularly warm or cold.

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	-1 Refused	50	2.4	4.1	4.1
	0	44	2.1	3.6	7.6
	4	2	.1	.2	7.8
	6	1	.0	.1	7.9
	8	1	.0	.1	8.0

		2	.1	.2	8.1
9		11	.5	.9	9.0
10		19	.9	1.5	10.6
15		1	.0	.1	10.7
19		9	.4	.7	11.4
20		7	.3	.6	12.0
25		43	2.1	3.5	15.4
30		1	.0	.1	15.5
31		54	2.6	4.4	19.9
40		3	.1	.2	20.2
45		1	.0	.1	20.2
49		194	9.4	15.8	36.0
50		1	.0	.1	36.1
52		6	.3	.5	36.6
55		1	.0	.1	36.7
58		1	.0	.1	36.7
59		129	6.3	10.5	47.2
60		12	.6	1.0	48.2
65		1	.0	.1	48.3
68		189	9.2	15.4	63.7
70		1	.0	.1	63.7
72		51	2.5	4.1	67.9
75		1	.0	.1	68.0
77		1	.0	.1	68.0
79		78	3.8	6.3	74.4
80		1	.0	.1	74.5
82		118	5.7	9.6	84.1
85		1	.0	.1	84.1
86		3	.1	.2	84.4
88		68	3.3	5.5	89.9
90		19	.9	1.5	91.5
95		1	.0	.1	91.5
99		104	5.0	8.5	100.0
100	Total	1230	59.7	100.0	
Missing	System	831	40.3		
Total		2061	100.0		

[Russia] Please rate your feelings toward some countries and peoples, with one hundred meaning a very warm, favorable feeling, zero meaning a very cold, unfavorable feeling, and fifty meaning not particularly warm or cold.

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	-1 Refused	61	3.0	5.1	5.1
	0	102	4.9	8.5	13.5
	1	2	.1	.2	13.7
	2	1	.0	.1	13.8
	4	2	.1	.2	14.0
	5	6	.3	.5	14.5
	6	1	.0	.1	14.5
	7	1	.0	.1	14.6
	9	3	.1	.2	14.9
	10	42	2.0	3.5	18.4
	11	1	.0	.1	18.4
	12	1	.0	.1	18.5
	15	93	4.5	7.7	26.2
	16	2	.1	.2	26.4
	20	29	1.4	2.4	28.8
	22	1	.0	.1	28.9
	25	21	1.0	1.7	30.6
	26	1	.0	.1	30.7
	30	145	7.0	12.0	42.8
	35	9	.4	.7	43.5
	40	156	7.6	13.0	56.5
	45	20	1.0	1.7	58.1
	49	1	.0	.1	58.2
	50	243	11.8	20.2	78.4
	51	1	.0	.1	78.5
	55	5	.2	.4	78.9
	56	1	.0	.1	79.0
	59	3	.1	.2	79.2
	60	105	5.1	8.7	88.0

64		1	.0	.1	88.0
65		4	.2	.3	88.4
67		1	.0	.1	88.5
69		1	.0	.1	88.5
70		61	3.0	5.1	93.6
75		13	.6	1.1	94.7
79		1	.0	.1	94.8
80		17	.8	1.4	96.2
85		16	.8	1.3	97.5
90		10	.5	.8	98.3
95		3	.1	.2	98.6
100		17	.8	1.4	100.0
	Total	1204	58.4	100.0	
Missing	System	857	41.6		
	Total	2061	100.0		

[Iran] Please rate your feelings toward some countries and peoples, with one hundred meaning a very warm, favorable feeling, zero meaning a very cold, unfavorable feeling, and fifty meaning not particularly warm or cold.

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	-1 Refused	48	2.3	4.0	4.0
	0	330	16.0	27.4	31.4
	1	11	.5	.9	32.3
	2	5	.2	.4	32.7
	4	2	.1	.2	32.9
	5	22	1.1	1.8	34.7
	7	1	.0	.1	34.8
	9	1	.0	.1	34.9
	10	65	3.2	5.4	40.3
	12	1	.0	.1	40.4
	13	1	.0	.1	40.4
	15	140	6.8	11.6	52.1
	20	60	2.9	5.0	57.1
	21	1	.0	.1	57.1

22		1	.0	.1	57.2
25		11	.5	.9	58.1
30		124	6.0	10.3	68.4
31		1	.0	.1	68.5
35		10	.5	.8	69.4
40		109	5.3	9.1	78.4
42		1	.0	.1	78.5
43		1	.0	.1	78.6
45		15	.7	1.2	79.8
49		2	.1	.2	80.0
50		141	6.8	11.7	91.7
55		1	.0	.1	91.8
60		26	1.3	2.2	93.9
65		4	.2	.3	94.3
66		2	.1	.2	94.4
70		22	1.1	1.8	96.3
75		8	.4	.7	96.9
78		1	.0	.1	97.0
80		9	.4	.7	97.8
85		7	.3	.6	98.3
90		7	.3	.6	98.9
100		13	.6	1.1	100.0
	Total	1204	58.4	100.0	
Missing	System	857	41.6		
	Total	2061	100.0		

[Cuba] Please rate your feelings toward some countries and peoples, with one hundred meaning a very warm, favorable feeling, zero meaning a very cold, unfavorable feeling, and fifty meaning not particularly warm or cold.

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	-1 Refused	43	2.1	3.5	3.5
	0	102	4.9	8.3	11.8
	1	4	.2	.3	12.2
	2	1	.0	.1	12.2

3	2	.1	.2	12.4
4	1	.0	.1	12.5
5	8	.4	.7	13.1
7	1	.0	.1	13.2
8	1	.0	.1	13.3
10	27	1.3	2.2	15.5
11	1	.0	.1	15.6
15	61	3.0	5.0	20.6
20	24	1.2	2.0	22.5
25	14	.7	1.1	23.7
30	84	4.1	6.9	30.5
33	1	.0	.1	30.6
34	1	.0	.1	30.7
35	9	.4	.7	31.4
40	126	6.1	10.3	41.7
45	9	.4	.7	42.4
50	259	12.6	21.1	63.6
55	12	.6	1.0	64.6
56	1	.0	.1	64.7
60	149	7.2	12.2	76.8
62	2	.1	.2	77.0
65	11	.5	.9	77.9
68	1	.0	.1	78.0
69	1	.0	.1	78.0
70	101	4.9	8.2	86.3
74	1	.0	.1	86.4
75	23	1.1	1.9	88.2
78	1	.0	.1	88.3
80	34	1.6	2.8	91.1
85	49	2.4	4.0	95.1
88	1	.0	.1	95.2
90	21	1.0	1.7	96.9
95	1	.0	.1	97.0
99	1	.0	.1	97.1
100	36	1.7	2.9	100.0
Total	1225	59.4	100.0	

Missing	System	836	40.6		
Total		2061	100.0		

[North Korea] Please rate your feelings toward some countries and peoples, with one hundred meaning a very warm, favorable feeling, zero meaning a very cold, unfavorable feeling, and fifty meaning not particularly warm or cold.

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	-1 Refused	46	2.2	3.9	3.9
	0	481	23.3	40.9	44.8
	1	14	.7	1.2	46.0
	2	6	.3	.5	46.5
	3	2	.1	.2	46.7
	4	1	.0	.1	46.8
	5	24	1.2	2.0	48.8
	8	1	.0	.1	48.9
	10	60	2.9	5.1	54.0
	11	1	.0	.1	54.1
	15	149	7.2	12.7	66.8
	20	40	1.9	3.4	70.2
	25	16	.8	1.4	71.5
	30	88	4.3	7.5	79.0
	33	1	.0	.1	79.1
	35	5	.2	.4	79.5
	37	1	.0	.1	79.6
	40	59	2.9	5.0	84.6
	45	5	.2	.4	85.0
	47	1	.0	.1	85.1
	48	1	.0	.1	85.2
	50	104	5.0	8.8	94.0
	59	1	.0	.1	94.1
	60	22	1.1	1.9	96.0
	65	1	.0	.1	96.1
	70	16	.8	1.4	97.4
	75	4	.2	.3	97.8

77		1	.0	.1	97.9
79		1	.0	.1	98.0
80		4	.2	.3	98.3
85		5	.2	.4	98.7
90		5	.2	.4	99.1
100		10	.5	.9	100.0
	Total	1176	57.1	100.0	
Missing	System	885	42.9		
	Total	2061	100.0		

[South Korea] Please rate your feelings toward some countries and peoples, with one hundred meaning a very warm, favorable feeling, zero meaning a very cold, unfavorable feeling, and fifty meaning not particularly warm or cold.

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	-1 Refused	56	2.7	4.7	4.7
	0	82	4.0	6.9	11.6
	1	1	.0	.1	11.7
	4	2	.1	.2	11.8
	5	3	.1	.3	12.1
	7	1	.0	.1	12.2
	8	1	.0	.1	12.3
	10	20	1.0	1.7	13.9
	15	43	2.1	3.6	17.6
	20	12	.6	1.0	18.6
	25	11	.5	.9	19.5
	30	52	2.5	4.4	23.9
	31	1	.0	.1	23.9
	35	3	.1	.3	24.2
	40	47	2.3	3.9	28.2
	45	4	.2	.3	28.5
	50	237	11.5	19.9	48.4
	53	1	.0	.1	48.5
	55	10	.5	.8	49.3
	58	1	.0	.1	49.4

60		125	6.1	10.5	59.9
61		1	.0	.1	60.0
62		1	.0	.1	60.1
65		8	.4	.7	60.8
68		1	.0	.1	60.8
70		146	7.1	12.3	73.1
75		45	2.2	3.8	76.9
80		55	2.7	4.6	81.5
85		99	4.8	8.3	89.8
86		1	.0	.1	89.9
87		2	.1	.2	90.1
88		1	.0	.1	90.2
89		1	.0	.1	90.3
90		46	2.2	3.9	94.1
95		9	.4	.8	94.9
97		1	.0	.1	95.0
99		3	.1	.3	95.2
100		57	2.8	4.8	100.0
Total		1190	57.7	100.0	
Missing	System	871	42.3		
Total		2061	100.0		

[Canada] Please rate your feelings toward some countries and peoples, with one hundred meaning a very warm, favorable feeling, zero meaning a very cold, unfavorable feeling, and fifty meaning not particularly warm or cold.

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	-1 Refused	47	2.3	3.8	3.8
	0	14	.7	1.1	5.0
	4	1	.0	.1	5.1
	6	1	.0	.1	5.1
	7	1	.0	.1	5.2
	8	1	.0	.1	5.3
	9	2	.1	.2	5.5
	10	3	.1	.2	5.7

11		1	.0	.1
15		5	.2	.4
20		1	.0	.1
25		2	.1	.2
30		8	.4	.7
40		9	.4	.7
44		1	.0	.1
50		76	3.7	6.2
55		1	.0	.1
60		36	1.7	2.9
65		5	.2	.4
67		1	.0	.1
70		95	4.6	7.8
75		33	1.6	2.7
80		81	3.9	6.6
82		1	.0	.1
85		195	9.5	15.9
88		3	.1	.2
89		3	.1	.2
90		127	6.2	10.4
95		29	1.4	2.4
97		3	.1	.2
98		4	.2	.3
99		9	.4	.7
100		425	20.6	34.7
Total		1224	59.4	100.0
Missing	System	837	40.6	
Total		2061	100.0	

[Australia] Please rate your feelings toward some countries and peoples, with one hundred meaning a very warm, favorable feeling, zero meaning a very cold, unfavorable feeling, and fifty meaning not particularly warm or cold.

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	-1 Refused	50	2.4	4.2	4.2

0		13	.6	1.1	5.3
2		1	.0	.1	5.4
5		2	.1	.2	5.6
7		1	.0	.1	5.7
8		1	.0	.1	5.8
10		4	.2	.3	6.1
12		1	.0	.1	6.2
15		4	.2	.3	6.5
20		2	.1	.2	6.7
25		6	.3	.5	7.2
29		1	.0	.1	7.3
30		14	.7	1.2	8.5
33		1	.0	.1	8.5
34		1	.0	.1	8.6
35		1	.0	.1	8.7
39		1	.0	.1	8.8
40		12	.6	1.0	9.8
45		1	.0	.1	9.9
50		119	5.8	10.1	20.0
57		1	.0	.1	20.1
60		56	2.7	4.7	24.8
65		6	.3	.5	25.3
67		1	.0	.1	25.4
70		124	6.0	10.5	35.9
75		27	1.3	2.3	38.2
80		81	3.9	6.9	45.0
85		181	8.8	15.3	60.3
86		1	.0	.1	60.4
87		1	.0	.1	60.5
89		2	.1	.2	60.7
90		110	5.3	9.3	70.0
92		3	.1	.3	70.2
94		1	.0	.1	70.3
95		41	2.0	3.5	73.8
96		1	.0	.1	73.9
97		1	.0	.1	73.9

98	2	.1	.2	74.1
99	5	.2	.4	74.5
100	301	14.6	25.5	100.0
Total	1182	57.4	100.0	
Missing System	879	42.6		
Total	2061	100.0		

[India] Please rate your feelings toward some countries and peoples, with one hundred meaning a very warm, favorable feeling, zero meaning a very cold, unfavorable feeling, and fifty meaning not particularly warm or cold.

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	-1 Refused	50	2.4	4.3	4.3
	0	43	2.1	3.7	7.9
	1	1	.0	.1	8.0
	2	2	.1	.2	8.2
	3	2	.1	.2	8.3
	5	5	.2	.4	8.8
	6	1	.0	.1	8.9
	8	2	.1	.2	9.0
	10	15	.7	1.3	10.3
	15	29	1.4	2.5	12.8
	20	12	.6	1.0	13.8
	25	12	.6	1.0	14.8
	30	59	2.9	5.0	19.8
	35	10	.5	.9	20.7
	40	89	4.3	7.6	28.3
	45	7	.3	.6	28.9
	48	1	.0	.1	28.9
	50	270	13.1	23.0	51.9
	54	2	.1	.2	52.1
	55	10	.5	.9	52.9
	59	1	.0	.1	53.0
	60	143	6.9	12.2	65.2
	64	1	.0	.1	65.3

65		13	.6	1.1	66.4
67		1	.0	.1	66.5
69		1	.0	.1	66.6
70		161	7.8	13.7	80.3
75		45	2.2	3.8	84.1
76		1	.0	.1	84.2
79		1	.0	.1	84.3
80		42	2.0	3.6	87.8
85		78	3.8	6.6	94.5
86		1	.0	.1	94.6
88		2	.1	.2	94.7
90		21	1.0	1.8	96.5
91		1	.0	.1	96.6
95		6	.3	.5	97.1
99		1	.0	.1	97.2
100		33	1.6	2.8	100.0
Total		1175	57.0	100.0	
Missing	System	886	43.0		
Total		2061	100.0		

[China] In your opinion, are relations between the United States with the following countries improving, worsening or staying about the same?

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	-1 Refused	38	1.8	1.8	1.8
	1 Improving	223	10.8	10.8	12.7
	2 Worsening	864	41.9	41.9	54.6
	3 Staying the same	936	45.4	45.4	100.0
	Total	2061	100.0	100.0	

[Mexico] In your opinion, are relations between the United States with the following countries improving, worsening or staying about the same?

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent

Valid	-1 Refused	31	1.5	1.5	1.5
	1 Improving	197	9.6	9.6	11.1
	2 Worsening	986	47.8	47.8	58.9
	3 Staying the same	847	41.1	41.1	100.0
	Total	2061	100.0	100.0	

[South Korea] In your opinion, are relations between the United States with the following countries improving, worsening or staying about the same?

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	-1 Refused	41	2.0	2.0	2.0
	1 Improving	287	13.9	13.9	15.9
	2 Worsening	500	24.3	24.3	40.2
	3 Staying the same	1233	59.8	59.8	100.0
	Total	2061	100.0	100.0	

[Russia] In your opinion, are relations between the United States with the following countries improving, worsening or staying about the same?

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	-1 Refused	35	1.7	1.7	1.7
	1 Improving	108	5.2	5.2	6.9
	2 Worsening	1217	59.0	59.0	66.0
	3 Staying the same	701	34.0	34.0	100.0
	Total	2061	100.0	100.0	

[Canada] In your opinion, are relations between the United States with the following countries improving, worsening or staying about the same?

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	-1 Refused	18	.9	1.8	1.8
	1 Improving	213	10.3	21.0	22.8
	2 Worsening	72	3.5	7.1	29.9

	3 Staying the same	710	34.4	70.1	100.0
Total		1013	49.2	100.0	
Missing	System	1048	50.8		
Total		2061	100.0		

[Australia] In your opinion, are relations between the United States with the following countries improving, worsening or staying about the same?

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	-1 Refused	16	.8	1.5	1.5
	1 Improving	184	8.9	17.6	19.1
	2 Worsening	47	2.3	4.5	23.6
	3 Staying the same	801	38.9	76.4	100.0
	Total	1048	50.8	100.0	
Missing	System	1013	49.2		
Total		2061	100.0		

[Iran] In your opinion, are relations between the United States with the following countries improving, worsening or staying about the same?

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	-1 Refused	36	1.7	1.7	1.7
	1 Improving	283	13.7	13.7	15.5
	2 Worsening	1122	54.4	54.4	69.9
	3 Staying the same	620	30.1	30.1	100.0
	Total	2061	100.0	100.0	

[Israel] In your opinion, are relations between the United States with the following countries improving, worsening or staying about the same?

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	-1 Refused	35	1.7	1.7	1.7
	1 Improving	189	9.2	9.2	10.9

2 Worsening	894	43.4	43.4	54.2
3 Staying the same	943	45.8	45.8	100.0
Total	2061	100.0	100.0	

Do you feel we should increase our commitment to NATO, keep our commitment what it is now, decrease our commitment to NATO, or withdraw from NATO entirely?

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	-1 Refused	41	2.0	3.9	3.9
	1 Increase commitment	130	6.3	12.4	16.3
	2 Keep commitment same	651	31.6	62.2	78.6
	3 Decrease commitment	156	7.6	14.9	93.5
	4 Withdraw entirely	68	3.3	6.5	100.0
	Total	1046	50.8	100.0	
Missing	System	1015	49.2		
Total		2061	100.0		

Some people say that NATO is still essential to our country's security. Others say it is no longer essential. Which of these views is closer to your own?

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	-1 Refused	33	1.6	3.3	3.3
	1 Still essential	667	32.4	65.7	69.0
	2 No longer essential	315	15.3	31.0	100.0
	Total	1015	49.2	100.0	
	Missing	System	1046	50.8	
Total		2061	100.0		

[The U.S.] I would like to know how much influence you think each of the following countries has in the world. Please answer on a 0 to 10 scale; with 0 meaning they are not at all influential and 10 meaning they are extremely influential.

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	-1 Refused	95	4.6	4.6	4.6
	0	19	.9	.9	5.5
	1	9	.4	.4	6.0
	2	7	.3	.3	6.3
	3	5	.2	.2	6.6
	4	20	1.0	1.0	7.5
	5	86	4.2	4.2	11.7
	6	77	3.7	3.7	15.4
	7	172	8.3	8.3	23.8
	8	406	19.7	19.7	43.5
	9	412	20.0	20.0	63.5
	10	753	36.5	36.5	100.0
	Total	2061	100.0	100.0	

[Russia] I would like to know how much influence you think each of the following countries has in the world. Please answer on a 0 to 10 scale; with 0 meaning they are not at all influential and 10 meaning they are extremely influential.

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	-1 Refused	104	5.0	5.0	5.0
	0	70	3.4	3.4	8.4
	1	23	1.1	1.1	9.6
	2	45	2.2	2.2	11.7
	3	89	4.3	4.3	16.1
	4	116	5.6	5.6	21.7
	5	332	16.1	16.1	37.8
	6	274	13.3	13.3	51.1
	7	345	16.7	16.7	67.8
	8	347	16.8	16.8	84.7
	9	145	7.0	7.0	91.7
	10	171	8.3	8.3	100.0
	Total	2061	100.0	100.0	

[China] I would like to know how much influence you think each of the following countries has in the world. Please answer on a 0 to 10 scale; with 0 meaning they are not at all influential and 10 meaning they are extremely influential.

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	-1 Refused	105	5.1	5.1	5.1
	0	57	2.8	2.8	7.9
	1	20	1.0	1.0	8.8
	2	26	1.3	1.3	10.1
	3	25	1.2	1.2	11.3
	4	59	2.9	2.9	14.2
	5	222	10.8	10.8	24.9
	6	191	9.3	9.3	34.2
	7	298	14.5	14.5	48.7
	8	491	23.8	23.8	72.5
	9	296	14.4	14.4	86.9
	10	271	13.1	13.1	100.0
	Total	2061	100.0	100.0	

[India] I would like to know how much influence you think each of the following countries has in the world. Please answer on a 0 to 10 scale; with 0 meaning they are not at all influential and 10 meaning they are extremely influential.

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	-1 Refused	108	5.2	5.2	5.2
	0	115	5.6	5.6	10.8
	1	45	2.2	2.2	13.0
	2	137	6.6	6.6	19.7
	3	180	8.7	8.7	28.4
	4	243	11.8	11.8	40.2
	5	511	24.8	24.8	65.0
	6	279	13.5	13.5	78.5
	7	244	11.8	11.8	90.3

8	117	5.7	5.7	96.0
9	38	1.8	1.8	97.9
10	44	2.1	2.1	100.0
Total	2061	100.0	100.0	

[The European Union as a whole] I would like to know how much influence you think each of the following countries has in the world. Please answer on a 0 to 10 scale; with 0 meaning they are not at all influential and 10 meaning they are extremely influenti

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	-1 Refused	107	5.2	5.2	5.2
	0	43	2.1	2.1	7.3
	1	12	.6	.6	7.9
	2	26	1.3	1.3	9.1
	3	45	2.2	2.2	11.3
	4	69	3.3	3.3	14.7
	5	271	13.1	13.1	27.8
	6	207	10.0	10.0	37.8
	7	325	15.8	15.8	53.6
	8	465	22.6	22.6	76.2
	9	231	11.2	11.2	87.4
	10	260	12.6	12.6	100.0
	Total	2061	100.0	100.0	

[South Korea] I would like to know how much influence you think each of the following countries has in the world. Please answer on a 0 to 10 scale; with 0 meaning they are not at all influential and 10 meaning they are extremely influential.

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	-1 Refused	114	5.5	5.5	5.5
	0	132	6.4	6.4	11.9
	1	57	2.8	2.8	14.7

2	178	8.6	8.6	23.3
3	227	11.0	11.0	34.4
4	244	11.8	11.8	46.2
5	498	24.2	24.2	70.4
6	229	11.1	11.1	81.5
7	173	8.4	8.4	89.9
8	127	6.2	6.2	96.0
9	34	1.6	1.6	97.7
10	48	2.3	2.3	100.0
Total	2061	100.0	100.0	

[Iran] I would like to know how much influence you think each of the following countries has in the world. Please answer on a 0 to 10 scale; with 0 meaning they are not at all influential and 10 meaning they are extremely influential.

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	-1 Refused	105	5.1	5.1	5.1
	0	168	8.2	8.2	13.2
	1	77	3.7	3.7	17.0
	2	198	9.6	9.6	26.6
	3	217	10.5	10.5	37.1
	4	243	11.8	11.8	48.9
	5	437	21.2	21.2	70.1
	6	198	9.6	9.6	79.7
	7	181	8.8	8.8	88.5
	8	117	5.7	5.7	94.2
	9	36	1.7	1.7	95.9
	10	84	4.1	4.1	100.0
	Total	2061	100.0	100.0	

[The Paris Agreement] Based on what you know, do you think the U.S. should or should not participate in the following international agreements?

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent

Valid	-1 Refused	62	3.0	3.0	3.0
	1 Should participate	1468	71.2	71.2	74.2
	2 Should not participate	531	25.8	25.8	100.0
	Total	2061	100.0	100.0	

[The agreement on the International Criminal Court] Based on what you know, do you think the U.S. should or should not participate in the following international agreements?

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	-1 Refused	59	2.9	2.9	2.9
	1 Should participate	1478	71.7	71.7	74.6
	2 Should not participate	524	25.4	25.4	100.0
	Total	2061	100.0	100.0	

[The agreement that lifts some international economic sanctions against Iran] Based on what you know, do you think the U.S. should or should not participate in the following international agreements?

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	-1 Refused	68	3.3	3.3	3.3
	1 Should participate	1234	59.9	59.9	63.2
	2 Should not participate	759	36.8	36.8	100.0
	Total	2061	100.0	100.0	

Do you believe that globalization, especially the increasing connections of our economy with others around the world, is mostly good or mostly bad for the

United States?

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	-1 Refused	34	1.6	1.6	1.6
	1 Mostly good	1319	64.0	64.0	65.6
	2 Mostly bad	708	34.4	34.4	100.0
	Total	2061	100.0	100.0	

As you may know, the United States is now negotiating a free trade agreement with twelve Pacific nations called the Trans-Pacific Partnership (or TPP). Based on what you know, do you

[...]

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	-1 Refused	64	3.1	6.4	6.4
	1 I strongly support it	72	3.5	7.2	13.5
	2 I somewhat support it	519	25.2	51.6	65.1
	3 I somewhat oppose it	249	12.1	24.8	89.9
	4 I strongly oppose it	102	4.9	10.1	100.0
	Total	1006	48.8	100.0	
Missing	System	1055	51.2		
Total		2061	100.0		

[The U.S. economy] Overall, do you think international trade is good or bad for:

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	-1 Refused	37	1.8	1.8	1.8
	1 Good	1195	58.0	58.0	59.8
	2 Bad	829	40.2	40.2	100.0
	Total	2061	100.0	100.0	

[American companies] Overall, do you think international trade is good or bad

for:

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	-1 Refused	39	1.9	1.9	1.9
	1 Good	1183	57.4	57.4	59.3
	2 Bad	839	40.7	40.7	100.0
	Total	2061	100.0	100.0	

[Consumers like you] Overall, do you think international trade is good or bad

for:

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	-1 Refused	40	1.9	1.9	1.9
	1 Good	1439	69.8	69.8	71.8
	2 Bad	582	28.2	28.2	100.0
	Total	2061	100.0	100.0	

[Creating jobs in the U.S.] Overall, do you think international trade is good or

bad for:

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	-1 Refused	32	1.6	1.6	1.6
	1 Good	762	37.0	37.0	38.5
	2 Bad	1267	61.5	61.5	100.0
	Total	2061	100.0	100.0	

[Job security for American workers] Overall, do you think international trade is

good or bad for:

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	-1 Refused	32	1.6	1.6	1.6
	1 Good	679	32.9	32.9	34.5
	2 Bad	1350	65.5	65.5	100.0
	Total	2061	100.0	100.0	

[Your own standard of living] Overall, do you think international trade is good or

bad for:

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	-1 Refused	41	2.0	2.0	2.0
	1 Good	1314	63.8	63.8	65.7

2 Bad	706	34.3	34.3	100.0
Total	2061	100.0	100.0	

Do you think that, as a country, we are more safe, about as safe, or less safe than we were before the terrorist attacks of September 11th, 2001?

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid -1 Refused	3	.1	.1	.1
1 More safe	349	16.9	16.9	17.1
2 About as safe	799	38.8	38.8	55.8
3 Less safe	910	44.2	44.2	100.0
Total	2061	100.0	100.0	

[You or someone you know will be the target of gun violence] Are you very worried, somewhat worried, not very worried or not worried at all that:

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid -1 Refused	12	.6	.6	.6
1 Very worried	277	13.4	13.4	14.0
2 Somewhat worried	793	38.5	38.5	52.5
3 Not very worried	730	35.4	35.4	87.9
4 Not at all worried	249	12.1	12.1	100.0
Total	2061	100.0	100.0	

[You or someone you know will be the target of a terrorist attack] Are you very worried, somewhat worried, not very worried or not worried at all that:

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid -1 Refused	15	.7	.7	.7
1 Very worried	198	9.6	9.6	10.3
2 Somewhat worried	700	34.0	34.0	44.3
3 Not very worried	825	40.0	40.0	84.3

4 Not at all worried	323	15.7	15.7	100.0
Total	2061	100.0	100.0	

Do you think the greater threat to the United States from terrorism comes from people outside this country or from people inside this country?

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	-1 Refused	9	.4	.9	.9
	1 People outside this country	358	17.4	34.4	35.3
	2 People inside this country	673	32.7	64.7	100.0
	Total	1040	50.5	100.0	
Missing	System	1021	49.5		
	Total	2061	100.0		

Do you feel that the U.S.-led coalition against ISIS and other violent Islamic extremist groups in Iraq and Syria is making the situation:

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	-1 Refused	28	1.4	1.4	1.4
	1 A great deal better	82	4.0	4.0	5.3
	2 Somewhat better	621	30.1	30.1	35.5
	3 Neither better nor worse	875	42.5	42.5	77.9
	4 Somewhat worse	309	15.0	15.0	92.9
	5 A great deal worse	146	7.1	7.1	100.0
	Total	2061	100.0	100.0	

[U.S. air strikes against suspected terrorists by manned aircraft] In your opinion, would you say that the following actions are always effective, mostly effective, rarely effective or never effective in combatting terrorism?

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	-1 Refused	48	2.3	2.3	2.3

1 Always effective	420	20.4	20.4	22.7
2 Mostly effective	1114	54.1	54.1	76.8
3 Rarely effective	430	20.9	20.9	97.6
4 Never effective	49	2.4	2.4	100.0
Total	2061	100.0	100.0	

[U.S. air strikes against suspected terrorists by drones/unmanned aircraft] In your opinion, would you say that the following actions are always effective, mostly effective, rarely effective or never effective in combatting terrorism?

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	-1 Refused	49	2.4	2.4	2.4
	1 Always effective	453	22.0	22.0	24.4
	2 Mostly effective	1144	55.5	55.5	79.9
	3 Rarely effective	369	17.9	17.9	97.8
	4 Never effective	46	2.2	2.2	100.0
	Total	2061	100.0	100.0	

[Sending U.S. trainers and special operations forces to countries where terrorists operate] In your opinion, would you say that the following actions are always effective, mostly effective, rarely effective or never effective in combatting terrorism?

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	-1 Refused	49	2.4	2.4	2.4
	1 Always effective	265	12.9	12.9	15.2
	2 Mostly effective	1066	51.7	51.7	67.0
	3 Rarely effective	617	29.9	29.9	96.9
	4 Never effective	64	3.1	3.1	100.0
	Total	2061	100.0	100.0	

[Using torture against suspected terrorists that are captured] In your opinion, would you say that the following actions are always effective, mostly effective, rarely effective or never effective in combatting terrorism?

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	-1 Refused	59	2.9	2.9	2.9
	1 Always effective	255	12.4	12.4	15.2
	2 Mostly effective	668	32.4	32.4	47.6
	3 Rarely effective	761	36.9	36.9	84.6
	4 Never effective	318	15.4	15.4	100.0
	Total	2061	100.0	100.0	

[Sending U.S. combat troops to fight terrorists abroad] In your opinion, would you say that the following actions are always effective, mostly effective, rarely effective or never effective in combating terrorism?

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	-1 Refused	53	2.6	2.6	2.6
	1 Always effective	238	11.5	11.5	14.1
	2 Mostly effective	993	48.2	48.2	62.3
	3 Rarely effective	684	33.2	33.2	95.5
	4 Never effective	93	4.5	4.5	100.0
	Total	2061	100.0	100.0	

[Limiting the flow of migrants and refugees and increasing border controls] In your opinion, would you say that the following actions are always effective, mostly effective, rarely effective or never effective in combating terrorism?

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	-1 Refused	53	2.6	2.6	2.6
	1 Always effective	500	24.3	24.3	26.8
	2 Mostly effective	709	34.4	34.4	61.2
	3 Rarely effective	673	32.7	32.7	93.9
	4 Never effective	126	6.1	6.1	100.0
	Total	2061	100.0	100.0	

[Blocking terrorist financing] In your opinion, would you say that the following actions are always effective, mostly effective, rarely effective or never effective in combatting terrorism?

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	-1 Refused	50	2.4	2.4	2.4
	1 Always effective	758	36.8	36.8	39.2
	2 Mostly effective	873	42.4	42.4	81.6
	3 Rarely effective	330	16.0	16.0	97.6
	4 Never effective	50	2.4	2.4	100.0
	Total	2061	100.0	100.0	

How likely is it that occasional acts of terrorism in the U.S. will be part of life in the future?

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	-1 Refused	6	.3	.6	.6
	1 Very likely	523	25.4	51.2	51.8
	2 Somewhat likely	410	19.9	40.2	92.0
	3 Not very likely	72	3.5	7.1	99.0
	4 Not at all likely	10	.5	1.0	100.0
	Total	1021	49.5	100.0	
Missing	System	1040	50.5		
	Total	2061	100.0		

In your opinion, is Israel playing a very positive, somewhat positive, somewhat negative or very negative role in resolving the key problems facing the Middle East?

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	-1 Refused	111	5.4	5.4	5.4
	1 Very positive	253	12.3	12.3	17.7
	2 Somewhat positive	821	39.8	39.8	57.5
	3 Somewhat negative	695	33.7	33.7	91.2
	4 Very negative	181	8.8	8.8	100.0

Total	2061	100.0	100.0
-------	------	-------	-------

In the Middle East conflict, do you think the United States should take Israel's side, take the Palestinians' side, or not take either side?

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	-1 Refused	68	3.3	3.3	3.3
	1 Take Israel's side	752	36.5	36.5	39.8
	2 Take the Palestinians' side	70	3.4	3.4	43.2
	3 Not take either side	1171	56.8	56.8	100.0
	Total	2061	100.0	100.0	

[Accepting Syrian refugees into the United States] Do you support or oppose the United States taking each of the following actions with respect to Syria?

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	-1 Refused	37	1.8	3.7	3.7
	1 Support	349	16.9	35.0	38.8
	2 Oppose	610	29.6	61.2	100.0
	Total	996	48.3	100.0	
Missing	System	1065	51.7		
	Total	2061	100.0		

[Helping to negotiate a peace agreement that allows President Assad of Syria to remain in power] Do you support or oppose the United States taking each of the following actions with respect to Syria?

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	-1 Refused	72	3.5	7.2	7.2
	1 Support	290	14.1	29.1	36.3
	2 Oppose	634	30.8	63.7	100.0
	Total	996	48.3	100.0	
Missing	System	1065	51.7		

Total	2061	100.0	
-------	------	-------	--

[**Sending arms and supplies to anti-government groups in Syria**] Do you support or oppose the United States taking each of the following actions with respect to Syria?

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	-1 Refused	63	3.1	6.3	6.3
	1 Support	265	12.9	26.6	32.9
	2 Oppose	668	32.4	67.1	100.0
	Total	996	48.3	100.0	
Missing	System	1065	51.7		
Total		2061	100.0		

[**Enforcing a no-fly zone over parts of Syria, including bombing Syrian air defenses**] Do you support or oppose the United States taking each of the following actions with respect to Syria?

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	-1 Refused	73	3.5	7.3	7.3
	1 Support	527	25.6	52.9	60.2
	2 Oppose	396	19.2	39.8	100.0
	Total	996	48.3	100.0	
Missing	System	1065	51.7		
Total		2061	100.0		

[**Conducting airstrikes against violent Islamic extremist groups**] Do you support or oppose the United States taking each of the following actions with respect to Syria?

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	-1 Refused	51	2.5	5.1	5.1
	1 Support	762	37.0	76.5	81.6

	2 Oppose	183	8.9	18.4	100.0
	Total	996	48.3	100.0	
Missing	System	1065	51.7		
Total		2061	100.0		

[Sending special operations forces into Syria to fight violent Islamic extremist groups] Do you support or oppose the United States taking each of the following actions with respect to Syria?

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	-1 Refused	55	2.7	5.5	5.5
	1 Support	579	28.1	58.1	63.7
	2 Oppose	362	17.6	36.3	100.0
	Total	996	48.3	100.0	
Missing	System	1065	51.7		
Total		2061	100.0		

[Sending combat troops into Syria to fight violent Islamic extremist groups] Do you support or oppose the United States taking each of the following actions with respect to Syria?

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	-1 Refused	58	2.8	5.8	5.8
	1 Support	404	19.6	40.6	46.4
	2 Oppose	534	25.9	53.6	100.0
	Total	996	48.3	100.0	
Missing	System	1065	51.7		
Total		2061	100.0		

[Finding new sources of energy] Please tell me whether the United States and Mexico are working in the same or different direction on each of the following issues:

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent

Valid	-1 Refused	61	3.0	6.1	6.1
	1 Same direction	391	19.0	39.0	45.1
	2 Different direction	551	26.7	54.9	100.0
	Total	1003	48.7	100.0	
Missing	System	1058	51.3		
	Total	2061	100.0		

[Combating the trafficking of illegal drugs] Please tell me whether the United States and Mexico are working in the same or different direction on each of the following issues:

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	-1 Refused	34	1.6	3.4	3.4
	1 Same direction	354	17.2	35.3	38.7
	2 Different direction	615	29.8	61.3	100.0
	Total	1003	48.7	100.0	
Missing	System	1058	51.3		
	Total	2061	100.0		

[Securing the U.S.-Mexico border] Please tell me whether the United States and Mexico are working in the same or different direction on each of the following issues:

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	-1 Refused	36	1.7	3.6	3.6
	1 Same direction	248	12.0	24.7	28.3
	2 Different direction	719	34.9	71.7	100.0
	Total	1003	48.7	100.0	
Missing	System	1058	51.3		
	Total	2061	100.0		

[Trade and economic development] Please tell me whether the United States and Mexico are working in the same or different direction on each of the following issues:

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent

Valid	-1 Refused	46	2.2	4.6	4.6
	1 Same direction	458	22.2	45.7	50.2
	2 Different direction	499	24.2	49.8	100.0
	Total	1003	48.7	100.0	
Missing	System	1058	51.3		
	Total	2061	100.0		

[Ending the conflict in Syria] Please tell me whether the United States and Russia are working in the same or different direction on each of the following issues:

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	-1 Refused	63	3.1	6.0	6.0
	1 Same direction	286	13.9	27.0	33.0
	2 Different direction	709	34.4	67.0	100.0
	Total	1058	51.3	100.0	
Missing	System	1003	48.7		
	Total	2061	100.0		

[Limiting Iran's nuclear program] Please tell me whether the United States and Russia are working in the same or different direction on each of the following issues:

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	-1 Refused	63	3.1	6.0	6.0
	1 Same direction	365	17.7	34.5	40.5
	2 Different direction	630	30.6	59.5	100.0
	Total	1058	51.3	100.0	
Missing	System	1003	48.7		
	Total	2061	100.0		

[Reducing nuclear weapons worldwide] Please tell me whether the United States and Russia are working in the same or different direction on each of the following issues:

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent

Valid	-1 Refused	63	3.1	6.0	6.0
	1 Same direction	353	17.1	33.4	39.3
	2 Different direction	642	31.1	60.7	100.0
	Total	1058	51.3	100.0	
Missing	System	1003	48.7		
Total		2061	100.0		

In dealing with Russia, do you think the U.S. should:

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	-1 Refused	56	2.7	5.3	5.3
	1 Undertake friendly cooperation and engagement with Russia	591	28.7	55.9	61.2
	2 Actively work to limit Russia's power	411	19.9	38.8	100.0
	Total	1058	51.3	100.0	
Missing	System	1003	48.7		
Total		2061	100.0		

And do you think that generally Russia is:

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	-1 Refused	52	2.5	4.9	4.9
	1 Trying to undertake friendly cooperation and engagement with the United States	226	11.0	21.4	26.3
	2 Actively working to undermine U.S. international power and influence	780	37.8	73.7	100.0
	Total	1058	51.3	100.0	
Missing	System	1003	48.7		
Total		2061	100.0		

There is a controversy over what the countries of the world, including the U.S., should do about the problem of climate change. Here are three statements. Please tell me which statement comes closest to your own point of view:

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	-1 Refused	17	.8	1.7	1.7
	1 [...] not take any steps that would have economic costs.	207	10.0	20.4	22.1
	2 [...] deal with the problem gradually by taking steps that are low in cost	378	18.3	37.3	59.4
	3 [...] try taking steps now even if this involves significant costs	411	19.9	40.6	100.0
	Total	1013	49.2	100.0	
	Missing System	1048	50.8		
Total		2061	100.0		

There is a controversy over what the countries of the world, including the U.S., should do about the problem of global warming. Here are three statements. Please tell me which statement comes closest to your own point of view:

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	-1 Refused	19	.9	1.8	1.8
	1 [...] not take any steps that would have economic costs.	221	10.7	21.1	22.9
	2 [...] deal with the problem gradually by taking steps that are low in cost	378	18.3	36.1	59.0
	3 [...] try taking steps now even if this involves significant costs	430	20.9	41.0	100.0
	Total	1048	50.8	100.0	
	Missing System	1013	49.2		
Total		2061	100.0		

Now thinking about U.S. foreign policy in Asia, do you think the U.S. should put a higher priority on:

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	-1 Refused	93	4.5	8.8	8.8
	1 Building up our strong relations with traditional allies [...]	672	32.6	63.7	72.5
	2 Building a new partnership with China [...]	290	14.1	27.5	100.0
	Total	1055	51.2	100.0	
Missing	System	1006	48.8		
	Total	2061	100.0		

At the present time, which nation do you feel is stronger in terms of military power, the U.S. or China – or do you think they are about equal militarily?

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	-1 Refused	48	2.3	2.3	2.3
	1 The U.S.	1067	51.8	51.8	54.1
	2 China	312	15.1	15.1	69.2
	3 About equal	634	30.8	30.8	100.0
	Total	2061	100.0	100.0	

At the present time, which nation do you feel is stronger in terms of economic power, the U.S. or China – or do you think they are about equal economically?

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	-1 Refused	61	3.0	3.0	3.0
	1 The U.S.	670	32.5	32.5	35.5
	2 China	777	37.7	37.7	73.2
	3 About equal	553	26.8	26.8	100.0

Total	2061	100.0	100.0
-------	------	-------	-------

In dealing with the rise of China's power, do you think the U.S. should:

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	-1 Refused	38	1.8	3.8	3.8
	1 Undertake friendly cooperation and engagement with China	634	30.8	63.2	67.0
	2 Actively work to limit the growth of China's power	331	16.1	33.0	100.0
	Total	1003	48.7	100.0	
	Missing	System	1058	51.3	
Total		2061	100.0		

And do you think that generally China is:

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	-1 Refused	64	3.1	6.4	6.4
	1 Trying to undertake friendly cooperation and engagement with the United States	250	12.1	24.9	31.3
	2 Actively working to undermine U.S. international power and influence	689	33.4	68.7	100.0
	Total	1003	48.7	100.0	
	Missing	System	1058	51.3	
Total		2061	100.0		

[Accept that North Korea will produce additional nuclear weapons] Please tell me whether you would support or oppose each of the following kinds of U.S. action to pressure North Korea to stop building its nuclear weapons program:

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	-1 Refused	41	2.0	3.8	3.8
	1 Support	118	5.7	11.1	14.9
	2 Oppose	906	44.0	85.1	100.0
	Total	1065	51.7	100.0	
Missing	System	996	48.3		
Total		2061	100.0		

[Continue diplomatic efforts to get North Korea to suspend its nuclear program]
Please tell me whether you would support or oppose each of the following kinds of U.S. action to pressure North Korea to stop building its nuclear weapons program:

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	-1 Refused	37	1.8	3.5	3.5
	1 Support	874	42.4	82.1	85.5
	2 Oppose	154	7.5	14.5	100.0
	Total	1065	51.7	100.0	
Missing	System	996	48.3		
Total		2061	100.0		

[Impose tighter economic sanctions on North Korea] Please tell me whether you would support or oppose each of the following kinds of U.S. action to pressure North Korea to stop building its nuclear weapons program:

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	-1 Refused	38	1.8	3.6	3.6
	1 Support	870	42.2	81.7	85.3
	2 Oppose	157	7.6	14.7	100.0
	Total	1065	51.7	100.0	
Missing	System	996	48.3		
Total		2061	100.0		

[Conduct cyberattacks against North Korean computer systems] Please tell me whether you would support or oppose each of the following kinds of U.S. action to pressure North Korea to stop building its nuclear weapons program:

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	-1 Refused	50	2.4	4.7	4.7
	1 Support	585	28.4	54.9	59.6
	2 Oppose	430	20.9	40.4	100.0
	Total	1065	51.7	100.0	
Missing	System	996	48.3		
Total		2061	100.0		

[Conduct airstrikes against North Korea's nuclear production facilities] Please tell me whether you would support or oppose each of the following kinds of U.S. action to pressure North Korea to stop building its nuclear weapons program:

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	-1 Refused	49	2.4	4.6	4.6
	1 Support	362	17.6	34.0	38.6
	2 Oppose	654	31.7	61.4	100.0
	Total	1065	51.7	100.0	
Missing	System	996	48.3		
Total		2061	100.0		

[Send U.S. troops to destroy North Korea's nuclear facilities] Please tell me whether you would support or oppose each of the following kinds of U.S. action to pressure North Korea to stop building its nuclear weapons program:

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	-1 Refused	47	2.3	4.4	4.4
	1 Support	243	11.8	22.8	27.2
	2 Oppose	775	37.6	72.8	100.0
	Total	1065	51.7	100.0	
Missing	System	996	48.3		
Total		2061	100.0		

Should legal immigration into the United States be kept at its present level, increased or decreased?

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	-1 Refused	27	1.3	1.3	1.3
	1 Kept at present level	817	39.6	39.6	41.0
	2 Increased	364	17.7	17.7	58.6
	3 Decreased	853	41.4	41.4	100.0
	Total	2061	100.0	100.0	

[Chinese immigrants in the United States] Do you have a very favorable, somewhat favorable, somewhat unfavorable or very unfavorable view of the following people:

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	-1 Refused	56	2.7	2.7	2.7
	1 Very favorable	344	16.7	16.7	19.4
	2 Somewhat favorable	1048	50.8	50.8	70.3
	3 Somewhat unfavorable	448	21.7	21.7	92.0
	4 Very unfavorable	165	8.0	8.0	100.0
	Total	2061	100.0	100.0	

[Middle Eastern immigrants in the United States] Do you have a very favorable, somewhat favorable, somewhat unfavorable or very unfavorable view of the following people:

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	-1 Refused	53	2.6	2.6	2.6
	1 Very favorable	222	10.8	10.8	13.3
	2 Somewhat favorable	686	33.3	33.3	46.6
	3 Somewhat unfavorable	664	32.2	32.2	78.8
	4 Very unfavorable	436	21.2	21.2	100.0
	Total	2061	100.0	100.0	

[Mexican immigrants in the United States] Do you have a very favorable, somewhat favorable, somewhat unfavorable or very unfavorable view of the following people:

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	-1 Refused	57	2.8	2.8	2.8
	1 Very favorable	319	15.5	15.5	18.2
	2 Somewhat favorable	905	43.9	43.9	62.2
	3 Somewhat unfavorable	526	25.5	25.5	87.7
	4 Very unfavorable	254	12.3	12.3	100.0
	Total	2061	100.0	100.0	

[Mexicans living in Mexico] Do you have a very favorable, somewhat favorable, somewhat unfavorable or very unfavorable view of the following people:

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	-1 Refused	63	3.1	3.1	3.1
	1 Very favorable	744	36.1	36.1	39.2
	2 Somewhat favorable	928	45.0	45.0	84.2
	3 Somewhat unfavorable	245	11.9	11.9	96.1
	4 Very unfavorable	81	3.9	3.9	100.0
	Total	2061	100.0	100.0	

[Korean immigrants in the United States] Do you have a very favorable, somewhat favorable, somewhat unfavorable or very unfavorable view of the following people:

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	-1 Refused	57	2.8	2.8	2.8
	1 Very favorable	360	17.5	17.5	20.2
	2 Somewhat favorable	1050	50.9	50.9	71.2
	3 Somewhat unfavorable	430	20.9	20.9	92.0
	4 Very unfavorable	164	8.0	8.0	100.0
	Total	2061	100.0	100.0	

When it comes to immigration, which comes closest to your view about illegal immigrants who are currently working in the U.S.?

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	-1 Refused	12	.6	1.2	1.2
	1 [...] stay in their jobs/apply for citizenship	317	15.4	30.8	32.0
	2 [...] stay in their jobs/eventually apply for citizenship [...]	256	12.4	24.9	56.9
	3 [...] stay in their jobs w/ work permits/no citizenship	138	6.7	13.4	70.3
	4 [...] leave their jobs/the U.S	306	14.8	29.7	100.0
	Total	1029	49.9	100.0	
Missing	System	1032	50.1		
Total		2061	100.0		

When it comes to immigration, which comes closest to your view about undocumented immigrants who are currently working in the U.S.?

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	-1 Refused	14	.7	1.4	1.4
	1 [...] stay in their jobs/apply for citizenship	281	13.6	27.2	28.6
	2 [...] stay in their jobs/eventually apply for citizenship [...]	264	12.8	25.6	54.2
	3 [...] stay in their jobs w/ work permits/no citizenship	140	6.8	13.6	67.7
	4 [...] leave their jobs/the U.S	333	16.2	32.3	100.0
	Total	1032	50.1	100.0	
Missing	System	1029	49.9		

Total	2061	100.0	
-------	------	-------	--

Do you favor or oppose a wall expanding the 700 miles of border wall and fencing with Mexico to reduce illegal immigration into the United States?

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	-1 Refused	14	.7	1.3	1.3
	1 Favor	534	25.9	50.8	52.1
	2 Oppose	503	24.4	47.9	100.0
	Total	1051	51.0	100.0	
Missing	System	1010	49.0		
Total		2061	100.0		

How effective do you think expanding the 700 miles of border wall and fencing with Mexico would be in reducing illegal immigration?

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	-1 Refused	12	.6	1.2	1.2
	1 Very effective	117	5.7	11.6	12.8
	2 Somewhat effective	347	16.8	34.4	47.1
	3 Not very effective	298	14.5	29.5	76.6
	4 Not at all effective	236	11.5	23.4	100.0
Total		1010	49.0	100.0	
Missing	System	1051	51.0		
Total		2061	100.0		

If the presidential election were being held today and the candidates were Hillary Clinton, the Democrat or Donald Trump, the Republican, for whom would you vote?

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	-1 Refused	32	1.6	1.6	1.6
	1 Hillary Clinton	730	35.4	35.4	37.0
	2 Donald Trump	707	34.3	34.3	71.3

3 Neither	489	23.7	23.7	95.0
4 Other (specify)	103	5.0	5.0	100.0
Total	2061	100.0	100.0	

Would you lean toward Hillary Clinton, the Democrat or Donald Trump, the Republican?

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	-1 Refused	159	7.7	25.5	25.5
	1 Hillary Clinton	257	12.5	41.2	66.7
	2 Donald Trump	208	10.1	33.3	100.0
	Total	624	30.3	100.0	
Missing	System	1437	69.7		
	Total	2061	100.0		

Regardless of your voting preference in the previous question, who was your top choice for president among the following candidates?

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	-1 Refused	71	3.4	3.4	3.4
	1 Hillary Clinton	444	21.5	21.5	25.0
	2 Donald Trump	345	16.7	16.7	41.7
	3 Bernie Sanders	441	21.4	21.4	63.1
	4 Ted Cruz	181	8.8	8.8	71.9
	5 John Kasich	218	10.6	10.6	82.5
	6 Marco Rubio	140	6.8	6.8	89.3
	7 Jeb Bush	62	3.0	3.0	92.3
	8 Other (specify)	159	7.7	7.7	100.0
	Total	2061	100.0	100.0	

In general, do you think of yourself as:

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent

Valid	-1 Refused	50	2.4	2.4	2.4
	1 Extremely liberal	69	3.3	3.3	5.8
	2 Liberal	278	13.5	13.5	19.3
	3 Slightly liberal	182	8.8	8.8	28.1
	4 Moderate, middle of the road	705	34.2	34.2	62.3
	5 Slightly conservative	243	11.8	11.8	74.1
	6 Conservative	437	21.2	21.2	95.3
	7 Extremely conservative	97	4.7	4.7	100.0
	Total	2061	100.0	100.0	

Generally speaking, do you usually think of yourself as a Republican, a Democrat, an independent, or what?

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	-1 Refused	34	1.6	1.6	1.6
	1 Republican	635	30.8	30.8	32.5
	2 Democrat	688	33.4	33.4	65.8
	3 Independent	570	27.7	27.7	93.5
	4 Other	134	6.5	6.5	100.0
	Total	2061	100.0	100.0	

Would you call yourself a strong Republican or a not very strong Republican?

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	-1 Refused	3	.1	.5	.5
	1 Strong	335	16.3	52.8	53.2
	2 Not strong	297	14.4	46.8	100.0
	Total	635	30.8	100.0	
Missing	System	1426	69.2		
	Total	2061	100.0		

Would you call yourself a strong Democrat or a not very strong Democrat?

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	-1 Refused	7	.3	1.0	1.0
	1 Strong	337	16.4	49.0	50.0
	2 Not strong	344	16.7	50.0	100.0
	Total	688	33.4	100.0	
Missing	System	1373	66.6		
	Total	2061	100.0		

Do you think of yourself as closer to the Republican Party or to the Democratic Party?

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	-1 Refused	5	.2	.9	.9
	1 Republican	180	8.7	31.6	32.5
	2 Democratic	167	8.1	29.3	61.8
	3 Neither	218	10.6	38.2	100.0
	Total	570	27.7	100.0	
Missing	System	1491	72.3		
	Total	2061	100.0		

Are you currently registered to vote in the U.S.?

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	-1 Refused	15	.7	.7	.7
	1 Yes, I am registered to vote at my present address	1702	82.6	82.6	83.3
	2 Yes, I am registered to vote at a different address	83	4.0	4.0	87.3
	3 No, I am not registered to vote	212	10.3	10.3	97.6
	4 Not sure	49	2.4	2.4	100.0
	Total	2061	100.0	100.0	

Data Only Variable: Religious Denomination of Respondent

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	-1 Refused	8	.4	.4	.4
	1 Catholic	501	24.3	24.3	24.7
	2 Evangelical or Protestant Christian (Baptist, Lutheran, Methodist, Presbyterian, Episcopalian, [...])	872	42.3	42.3	67.0
	3 Jehovah's Witness	18	.9	.9	67.9
	4 Mormon	31	1.5	1.5	69.4
	5 Jewish	51	2.5	2.5	71.9
	6 Islam/Muslim	6	.3	.3	72.1
	7 Orthodox Church (Greek or Russian)	8	.4	.4	72.5
	8 Hindu	14	.7	.7	73.2
	9 Buddhist	17	.8	.8	74.0
	10 Unitarian (Universalist)	18	.9	.9	74.9
	11 Other Christian religion, please specify	150	7.3	7.3	82.2
	12 Other non-Christian religion, please specify	28	1.4	1.4	83.6
	13 No religion, not a believer, atheist, agnostic	339	16.4	16.4	100.0
	Total	2061	100.0	100.0	

Would you be willing to speak with a reporter in a follow-up telephone call or by email to discuss some of these topics?

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	-1 Refused	14	.7	.7	.7
	1 Yes	529	25.7	25.7	26.3
	2 No	1518	73.7	73.7	100.0
	Total	2061	100.0	100.0	

Age

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	18	24	1.2	1.2	1.2
	19	19	.9	.9	2.1
	20	19	.9	.9	3.0
	21	27	1.3	1.3	4.3
	22	16	.8	.8	5.1
	23	28	1.4	1.4	6.5
	24	22	1.1	1.1	7.5
	25	34	1.6	1.6	9.2
	26	33	1.6	1.6	10.8
	27	29	1.4	1.4	12.2
	28	27	1.3	1.3	13.5
	29	29	1.4	1.4	14.9
	30	20	1.0	1.0	15.9
	31	22	1.1	1.1	16.9
	32	25	1.2	1.2	18.1
	33	28	1.4	1.4	19.5
	34	31	1.5	1.5	21.0
	35	33	1.6	1.6	22.6
	36	24	1.2	1.2	23.8
	37	28	1.4	1.4	25.1
	38	25	1.2	1.2	26.3
	39	35	1.7	1.7	28.0
	40	19	.9	.9	29.0
	41	30	1.5	1.5	30.4
	42	32	1.6	1.6	32.0
	43	34	1.6	1.6	33.6
	44	37	1.8	1.8	35.4
	45	25	1.2	1.2	36.6
	46	20	1.0	1.0	37.6
	47	25	1.2	1.2	38.8

48	26	1.3	1.3	40.1
49	24	1.2	1.2	41.2
50	32	1.6	1.6	42.8
51	38	1.8	1.8	44.6
52	43	2.1	2.1	46.7
53	38	1.8	1.8	48.6
54	51	2.5	2.5	51.0
55	47	2.3	2.3	53.3
56	64	3.1	3.1	56.4
57	68	3.3	3.3	59.7
58	55	2.7	2.7	62.4
59	51	2.5	2.5	64.9
60	32	1.6	1.6	66.4
61	52	2.5	2.5	68.9
62	45	2.2	2.2	71.1
63	35	1.7	1.7	72.8
64	32	1.6	1.6	74.4
65	35	1.7	1.7	76.1
66	39	1.9	1.9	78.0
67	46	2.2	2.2	80.2
68	51	2.5	2.5	82.7
69	44	2.1	2.1	84.8
70	35	1.7	1.7	86.5
71	25	1.2	1.2	87.7
72	34	1.6	1.6	89.4
73	35	1.7	1.7	91.1
74	39	1.9	1.9	93.0
75	22	1.1	1.1	94.0
76	18	.9	.9	94.9
77	28	1.4	1.4	96.3
78	9	.4	.4	96.7
79	11	.5	.5	97.2
80	8	.4	.4	97.6
81	12	.6	.6	98.2
82	9	.4	.4	98.6

83	8	.4	.4	99.0
84	7	.3	.3	99.4
85	5	.2	.2	99.6
87	2	.1	.1	99.7
88	5	.2	.2	100.0
93	1	.0	.0	100.0
Total	2061	100.0	100.0	

Age - 7 Categories

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	1 18-24	155	7.5	7.5	7.5
	2 25-34	278	13.5	13.5	21.0
	3 35-44	297	14.4	14.4	35.4
	4 45-54	322	15.6	15.6	51.0
	5 55-64	481	23.3	23.3	74.4
	6 65-74	383	18.6	18.6	93.0
	7 75+	145	7.0	7.0	100.0
Total		2061	100.0	100.0	

Age - 4 Categories

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	1 18-29	307	14.9	14.9	14.9
	2 30-44	423	20.5	20.5	35.4
	3 45-59	607	29.5	29.5	64.9
	4 60+	724	35.1	35.1	100.0
	Total	2061	100.0	100.0	

Education (Highest Degree Received)

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent

Valid	1 No formal education	3	.1	.1	.1
	2 1st, 2nd, 3rd, or 4th grade	6	.3	.3	.4
	3 5th or 6th grade	8	.4	.4	.8
	4 7th or 8th grade	10	.5	.5	1.3
	5 9th grade	15	.7	.7	2.0
	6 10th grade	30	1.5	1.5	3.5
	7 11th grade	42	2.0	2.0	5.5
	8 12th grade NO DIPLOMA	44	2.1	2.1	7.7
	9 HIGH SCHOOL GRADUATE - high school DIPLOMA or the equivalent (GED)	619	30.0	30.0	37.7
	10 Some college, no degree	395	19.2	19.2	56.9
	11 Associate degree	169	8.2	8.2	65.1
	12 Bachelors degree	418	20.3	20.3	85.3
	13 Masters degree	227	11.0	11.0	96.4
	14 Professional or Doctorate degree	75	3.6	3.6	100.0
	Total	2061	100.0	100.0	

Education (Categorical)

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	1 Less than high school	158	7.7	7.7	7.7
	2 High school	619	30.0	30.0	37.7
	3 Some college	564	27.4	27.4	65.1
	4 Bachelor's degree or higher	720	34.9	34.9	100.0
	Total	2061	100.0	100.0	

Race / Ethnicity

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	1 White, Non-Hispanic	1537	74.6	74.6	74.6

2 Black, Non-Hispanic	172	8.3	8.3	82.9
3 Other, Non-Hispanic	100	4.9	4.9	87.8
4 Hispanic	201	9.8	9.8	97.5
5 2+ Races, Non-Hispanic	51	2.5	2.5	100.0
Total	2061	100.0	100.0	

Gender

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	1 Male	1029	49.9	49.9	49.9
	2 Female	1032	50.1	50.1	100.0
	Total	2061	100.0	100.0	

Household Head

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	0 No	368	17.9	17.9	17.9
	1 Yes	1693	82.1	82.1	100.0
	Total	2061	100.0	100.0	

Household Size

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	1	368	17.9	17.9	17.9
	2	830	40.3	40.3	58.1
	3	356	17.3	17.3	75.4
	4	287	13.9	13.9	89.3
	5	131	6.4	6.4	95.7
	6	56	2.7	2.7	98.4
	7	21	1.0	1.0	99.4
	8	5	.2	.2	99.7
	9	4	.2	.2	99.9

10	2	.1	.1	100.0
12	1	.0	.0	100.0
Total	2061	100.0	100.0	

Housing Type

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	1 A one-family house detached from any other house	1474	71.5	71.5	71.5
	2 A one-family house attached to one or more houses	159	7.7	7.7	79.2
	3 A building with 2 or more apartments	355	17.2	17.2	96.5
	4 A mobile home	69	3.3	3.3	99.8
	5 Boat, RV, van, etc.	4	.2	.2	100.0
	Total	2061	100.0	100.0	

Household Income

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	1 Less than \$5,000	38	1.8	1.8	1.8
	2 \$5,000 to \$7,499	23	1.1	1.1	3.0
	3 \$7,500 to \$9,999	16	.8	.8	3.7
	4 \$10,000 to \$12,499	50	2.4	2.4	6.2
	5 \$12,500 to \$14,999	45	2.2	2.2	8.3
	6 \$15,000 to \$19,999	67	3.3	3.3	11.6
	7 \$20,000 to \$24,999	73	3.5	3.5	15.1
	8 \$25,000 to \$29,999	89	4.3	4.3	19.5
	9 \$30,000 to \$34,999	96	4.7	4.7	24.1
	10 \$35,000 to \$39,999	93	4.5	4.5	28.6
	11 \$40,000 to \$49,999	135	6.6	6.6	35.2
	12 \$50,000 to \$59,999	191	9.3	9.3	44.4

13 \$60,000 to \$74,999	193	9.4	9.4	53.8
14 \$75,000 to \$84,999	138	6.7	6.7	60.5
15 \$85,000 to \$99,999	145	7.0	7.0	67.5
16 \$100,000 to \$124,999	309	15.0	15.0	82.5
17 \$125,000 to \$149,999	130	6.3	6.3	88.8
18 \$150,000 to \$174,999	93	4.5	4.5	93.4
19 \$175,000 or more	137	6.6	6.6	100.0
Total	2061	100.0	100.0	

Marital Status

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	1 Married	1198	58.1	58.1	58.1
	2 Widowed	96	4.7	4.7	62.8
	3 Divorced	223	10.8	10.8	73.6
	4 Separated	28	1.4	1.4	75.0
	5 Never married	426	20.7	20.7	95.6
	6 Living with partner	90	4.4	4.4	100.0
	Total	2061	100.0	100.0	

MSA Status

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	0 Non-Metro	316	15.3	15.3	15.3
	1 Metro	1745	84.7	84.7	100.0
	Total	2061	100.0	100.0	

Region 4 - Based on State of Residence

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	1 Northeast	398	19.3	19.3	19.3
	2 Midwest	481	23.3	23.3	42.6

3 South	710	34.4	34.4	77.1
4 West	472	22.9	22.9	100.0
Total	2061	100.0	100.0	

Region 9 - Based on State of Residence

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	1 New England	99	4.8	4.8	4.8
	2 Mid-Atlantic	299	14.5	14.5	19.3
	3 East-North Central	335	16.3	16.3	35.6
	4 West-North Central	146	7.1	7.1	42.6
	5 South Atlantic	384	18.6	18.6	61.3
	6 East-South Central	108	5.2	5.2	66.5
	7 West-South Central	218	10.6	10.6	77.1
	8 Mountain	140	6.8	6.8	83.9
	9 Pacific	332	16.1	16.1	100.0
	Total	2061	100.0	100.0	

Ownership Status of Living Quarters

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	1 Owned or being bought by you or someone in your household	1526	74.0	74.0	74.0
	2 Rented for cash	489	23.7	23.7	97.8
	3 Occupied without payment of cash rent	46	2.2	2.2	100.0
	Total	2061	100.0	100.0	

State

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent

Valid	11 ME	13	.6	.6	.6
	12 NH	9	.4	.4	1.1
	13 VT	1	.0	.0	1.1
	14 MA	47	2.3	2.3	3.4
	15 RI	7	.3	.3	3.7
	16 CT	22	1.1	1.1	4.8
	21 NY	123	6.0	6.0	10.8
	22 NJ	62	3.0	3.0	13.8
	23 PA	114	5.5	5.5	19.3
	31 OH	86	4.2	4.2	23.5
	32 IN	37	1.8	1.8	25.3
	33 IL	77	3.7	3.7	29.0
	34 MI	78	3.8	3.8	32.8
	35 WI	57	2.8	2.8	35.6
	41 MN	43	2.1	2.1	37.7
	42 IA	29	1.4	1.4	39.1
	43 MO	43	2.1	2.1	41.1
	44 ND	7	.3	.3	41.5
	45 SD	2	.1	.1	41.6
	46 NE	10	.5	.5	42.1
	47 KS	12	.6	.6	42.6
	51 DE	7	.3	.3	43.0
	52 MD	44	2.1	2.1	45.1
	53 DC	7	.3	.3	45.5
	54 VA	55	2.7	2.7	48.1
	55 WV	12	.6	.6	48.7
	56 NC	51	2.5	2.5	51.2
	57 SC	28	1.4	1.4	52.5
	58 GA	59	2.9	2.9	55.4
	59 FL	121	5.9	5.9	61.3
	61 KY	25	1.2	1.2	62.5
	62 TN	38	1.8	1.8	64.3
	63 AL	29	1.4	1.4	65.7
	64 MS	16	.8	.8	66.5
	71 AR	16	.8	.8	67.3

72 LA	24	1.2	1.2	68.5
73 OK	24	1.2	1.2	69.6
74 TX	154	7.5	7.5	77.1
81 MT	9	.4	.4	77.5
82 ID	6	.3	.3	77.8
83 WY	4	.2	.2	78.0
84 CO	34	1.6	1.6	79.7
85 NM	14	.7	.7	80.3
86 AZ	43	2.1	2.1	82.4
87 UT	21	1.0	1.0	83.5
88 NV	9	.4	.4	83.9
91 WA	53	2.6	2.6	86.5
92 OR	38	1.8	1.8	88.3
93 CA	234	11.4	11.4	99.7
94 AK	3	.1	.1	99.8
95 HI	4	.2	.2	100.0
Total	2061	100.0	100.0	

Presence of Household Members - Children 0-1

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	0	1968	95.5	95.5	95.5
	1	89	4.3	4.3	99.8
	2	4	.2	.2	100.0
Total		2061	100.0	100.0	

Presence of Household Members - Children 13-17

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	0	1778	86.3	86.3	86.3
	1	221	10.7	10.7	97.0
	2	53	2.6	2.6	99.6
	3	7	.3	.3	99.9

4	2	.1	.1	100.0
Total	2061	100.0	100.0	

Presence of Household Members - Adults 18+

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	1	402	19.5	19.5	19.5
	2	1160	56.3	56.3	75.8
	3	307	14.9	14.9	90.7
	4	140	6.8	6.8	97.5
	5	37	1.8	1.8	99.3
	6	10	.5	.5	99.8
	7	2	.1	.1	99.9
	8	1	.0	.0	99.9
	10	1	.0	.0	100.0
	12	1	.0	.0	100.0
	Total	2061	100.0	100.0	

Presence of Household Members - Children 2-5

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	0	1911	92.7	92.7	92.7
	1	122	5.9	5.9	98.6
	2	26	1.3	1.3	99.9
	3	2	.1	.1	100.0
	Total	2061	100.0	100.0	

Presence of Household Members - Children 6-12

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	0	1798	87.2	87.2	87.2
	1	179	8.7	8.7	95.9

2	68	3.3	3.3	99.2
3	14	.7	.7	99.9
4	2	.1	.1	100.0
Total	2061	100.0	100.0	

Current Employment Status

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	1 Working - as a paid employee	1042	50.6	50.6	50.6
	2 Working - self-employed	126	6.1	6.1	56.7
	3 Not working - on temporary layoff from a job	14	.7	.7	57.4
	4 Not working - looking for work	102	4.9	4.9	62.3
	5 Not working - retired	499	24.2	24.2	86.5
	6 Not working - disabled	129	6.3	6.3	92.8
	7 Not working - other	149	7.2	7.2	100.0
	Total	2061	100.0	100.0	

HH Internet Access

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	0 No	303	14.7	14.7	14.7
	1 Yes	1758	85.3	85.3	100.0
		Total	2061	100.0	100.0

Appendix C: Benchmark Distributions

18+ US Population Benchmarks

Source: March 2015 CPS Supplement Data

v1	Frequency	Percent	Cumulative Frequency	Cumulative Percent
Age 18-29 Male	25725014	10.67	25725014	10.67
Age 18-29 Female	25739589	10.67	51464603	21.34
Age 30-44 Male	29714081	12.32	81178684	33.66
Age 30-44 Female	30925660	12.82	1.121E8	46.48
Age 45-59 Male	31177044	12.93	1.4328E8	59.41
Age 45-59 Female	32921659	13.65	1.762E8	73.06
Age 60+ Male	29556992	12.26	2.0576E8	85.32
Age 60+ Female	35408206	14.68	2.4117E8	100.00
ppethm	Frequency	Percent	Cumulative Frequency	Cumulative Percent
White, Non-Hispanic	1.5647E8	64.88	1.5647E8	64.88
Black, Non-Hispanic	28186499	11.69	1.8465E8	76.57
Other, Non-Hispanic	16142111	6.69	2.008E8	83.26
Hispanic	37379736	15.50	2.3818E8	98.76
2+ Race, Non-Hispanic	2991781	1.24	2.4117E8	100.00
v3	Frequency	Percent	Cumulative Frequency	Cumulative Percent
Northeast Metro	39964627	16.57	39964627	16.57
Northeast Non-metro	3644808	1.51	43609434	18.08
Midwest Metro	39868171	16.53	83477606	34.61
Midwest Non-metro	11543023	4.79	95020629	39.40
South Metro	74419572	30.86	1.6944E8	70.26
South Non-metro	15233275	6.32	1.8467E8	76.57
West Metro	50453653	20.92	2.3513E8	97.50
West Non-metro	6041116	2.50	2.4117E8	100.00
ppeducat	Frequency	Percent	Cumulative Frequency	Cumulative Percent
Less than HS	29631085	12.29	29631085	12.29
HS	71386968	29.60	1.0102E8	41.89
Some college	68276961	28.31	1.693E8	70.20
Bachelor or higher	71873230	29.80	2.4117E8	100.00
income4	Frequency	Percent	Cumulative Frequency	Cumulative Percent
Under \$25,000	41937761	17.39	41937761	17.39
\$25,000-\$49,999	51362384	21.30	93300145	38.69
\$50,000-\$74,999	42801614	17.75	1.361E8	56.43
\$75,000 and above	1.0507E8	43.57	2.4117E8	100.00

18+ US Population - Internet Access from Home Benchmarks

Source: July 2013 CPS Supplement Data

ppnet	Frequency	Percent	Cumulative Frequency	Cumulative Percent
No	52678035	22.23	52678035	22.23
Yes	1.8429E8	77.77	2.3696E8	100.00

**Chicago Council - Total Respondents
Weighted by weight**

v1	Frequency	Percent	Cumulative Frequency	Cumulative Percent
Age 18-29 Male	219.8434	10.67	219.8434	10.67
Age 18-29 Female	219.968	10.67	439.8114	21.34
Age 30-44 Male	253.9336	12.32	693.745	33.66
Age 30-44 Female	264.2876	12.82	958.0327	46.48
Age 45-59 Male	266.4359	12.93	1224.469	59.41
Age 45-59 Female	281.3452	13.65	1505.814	73.06
Age 60+ Male	252.5911	12.26	1758.405	85.32
Age 60+ Female	302.595	14.68	2061	100.00

PPETHM	Frequency	Percent	Cumulative Frequency	Cumulative Percent
White, Non-Hispanic	1337.161	64.88	1337.161	64.88
Black, Non-Hispanic	240.879	11.69	1578.04	76.57
Other, Non-Hispanic	137.9489	6.69	1715.989	83.26
Hispanic	319.4435	15.50	2035.433	98.76
2+ Race, Non-Hispanic	25.56747	1.24	2061	100.00

v3	Frequency	Percent	Cumulative Frequency	Cumulative Percent
Northeast Metro	341.5338	16.57	341.5338	16.57
Northeast Non-metro	31.14817	1.51	372.6819	18.08
Midwest Metro	340.7095	16.53	713.3914	34.61
Midwest Non-metro	98.64554	4.79	812.0369	39.40
South Metro	635.9823	30.86	1448.019	70.26
South Non-metro	130.1821	6.32	1578.201	76.57
West Metro	431.1719	20.92	2009.373	97.50
West Non-metro	51.62678	2.50	2061	100.00

PPEDUCAT	Frequency	Percent	Cumulative Frequency	Cumulative Percent
Less than HS	253.2243	12.29	253.2243	12.29
HS	610.066	29.60	863.2903	41.89
Some college	583.4882	28.31	1446.778	70.20
Bachelor or higher	614.2215	29.80	2061	100.00

income4	Frequency	Percent	Cumulative Frequency	Cumulative Percent
Under \$25,000	358.396	17.39	358.396	17.39
\$25,000-\$49,999	438.9379	21.30	797.3338	38.69
\$50,000-\$74,999	365.7784	17.75	1163.112	56.43

\$75,000 and above 897.8878 43.57 2061 100.00

PPNET	Frequency	Percent	Cumulative Frequency	Cumulative Percent

No	458.1702	22.23	458.1702	22.23
Yes	1602.83	77.77	2061	100.00

ICPSR 36806

2016 Chicago Council Survey of American Public Opinion on U.S. Foreign Policy

Variable Description and Frequencies

Note: Frequencies displayed for the variables are not weighted. They are purely descriptive and may not be representative of the study population. Please review any sampling or weighting information available with the study.

Summary statistics (minimum, maximum, mean, median, and standard deviation) may not be available for every variable in the codebook. Conversely, a listing of frequencies in table format may not be present for every variable in the codebook either. However, all variables in the dataset are present and display sufficient information about each variable. These decisions are made intentionally and are at the discretion of the archive producing this codebook.

CASEID: Case Identification Number

Case Identification Number

Based upon 2,061 valid cases out of 2,061 total cases.

Location: 1-4 (width: 4; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

TM_START: Interview start time (GMT)

Interview start time (GMT)

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	MASKED BY ICPSR	2061	100.0 %
	Total	2,061	100%

Based upon 2,061 valid cases out of 2,061 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 1.00

Location: 5-5 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

TM_FINISH: Interview finish time (GMT)

Interview finish time (GMT)

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	MASKED BY ICPSR	2061	100.0 %
	Total	2,061	100%

Based upon 2,061 valid cases out of 2,061 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 1.00

Location: 6-6 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

DURATION: Interview duration in minutes

Interview duration in minutes

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
9	-	65	3.2 %
10	-	82	4.0 %

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
11	-	102	4.9 %
12	-	96	4.7 %
13	-	105	5.1 %
14	-	91	4.4 %
15	-	126	6.1 %
16	-	100	4.9 %
17	-	84	4.1 %
18	-	77	3.7 %
19	-	77	3.7 %
20	-	63	3.1 %
21	-	58	2.8 %
22	-	66	3.2 %
23	-	57	2.8 %
24	-	50	2.4 %
25	-	34	1.6 %
26	-	37	1.8 %
27	-	24	1.2 %
28	-	34	1.6 %
29	-	20	1.0 %
30	-	28	1.4 %
31	-	24	1.2 %
32	-	26	1.3 %
33	-	26	1.3 %
34	-	19	0.9 %
35	-	16	0.8 %
36	-	11	0.5 %
37	-	14	0.7 %
38	-	20	1.0 %
39	-	19	0.9 %
40	-	12	0.6 %
41	-	8	0.4 %
42	-	5	0.2 %
43	-	10	0.5 %
44	-	8	0.4 %
45	-	9	0.4 %
46	-	4	0.2 %
47	-	4	0.2 %
48	-	5	0.2 %
49	-	8	0.4 %

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
50	-	3	0.1 %
51	-	6	0.3 %
52	-	3	0.1 %
53	-	6	0.3 %
54	-	2	0.1 %
55	-	6	0.3 %
56	-	3	0.1 %
57	-	3	0.1 %
58	-	6	0.3 %
Total		2,061	100%

Please note that only the first 50 response categories are displayed in the PDF codebook. To view all response categories, please analyze the data file in the statistical package of your choice (SAS, SPSS, Stata, R).

Based upon 2,061 valid cases out of 2,061 total cases.

- Mean: 567.25
- Minimum: 9.00
- Maximum: 21856.00
- Standard Deviation: 2503.39

Location: 7-11 (*width:* 5; *decimal:* 0)

Variable Type: numeric

WEIGHT: Data Only Variable: Post-Stratification Weight for Study (N=2,061)

Data Only Variable: Post-Stratification Weight for Study (N=2,061)

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0.2110	-	1	0.0 %
0.2710	-	1	0.0 %
0.3001	-	1	0.0 %
0.3016	-	1	0.0 %
0.3072	-	1	0.0 %
0.3094	-	1	0.0 %
0.3168	-	1	0.0 %
0.3186	-	1	0.0 %
0.3347	-	1	0.0 %
0.3358	-	2	0.1 %
0.3457	-	1	0.0 %
0.3574	-	1	0.0 %
0.3822	-	2	0.1 %
0.3871	-	1	0.0 %
0.3900	-	1	0.0 %

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0.3927	-	1	0.0 %
0.3947	-	1	0.0 %
0.3952	-	1	0.0 %
0.4027	-	1	0.0 %
0.4204	-	2	0.1 %
0.4208	-	1	0.0 %
0.4255	-	1	0.0 %
0.4323	-	1	0.0 %
0.4332	-	1	0.0 %
0.4411	-	1	0.0 %
0.4442	-	1	0.0 %
0.4506	-	1	0.0 %
0.4556	-	1	0.0 %
0.4625	-	1	0.0 %
0.4660	-	1	0.0 %
0.4677	-	2	0.1 %
0.4704	-	2	0.1 %
0.4708	-	1	0.0 %
0.4714	-	5	0.2 %
0.4800	-	1	0.0 %
0.4829	-	1	0.0 %
0.4838	-	1	0.0 %
0.4848	-	1	0.0 %
0.4886	-	1	0.0 %
0.4895	-	1	0.0 %
0.4912	-	1	0.0 %
0.4922	-	2	0.1 %
0.4924	-	1	0.0 %
0.4956	-	2	0.1 %
0.4968	-	1	0.0 %
0.4971	-	2	0.1 %
0.4972	-	1	0.0 %
0.4979	-	3	0.1 %
0.5002	-	1	0.0 %
0.5013	-	1	0.0 %
Total		2,061	100%

Please note that only the first 50 response categories are displayed in the PDF codebook. To view all response categories, please analyze the data file in the statistical package of your choice (SAS, SPSS, Stata, R).

Based upon 2,061 valid cases out of 2,061 total cases.

- Mean: 1.0000
- Minimum: 0.2110
- Maximum: 3.9514
- Standard Deviation: 0.4635

Location: 12-17 (width: 6; decimal: 4)

Variable Type: numeric

QFLAG_CHICAGOR: Data Only Variable: Final Qualification Flag For Respondent Based On Chicago Council Data Cleaning Plan

Data Only Variable: Final Qualification Flag For Respondent Based On Chicago Council Data Cleaning Plan

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Qualified	2061	100.0 %
2	Not Qualified	0	0.0 %
	Total	2,061	100%

Based upon 2,061 valid cases out of 2,061 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 1.00

Location: 18-18 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

XPHISPAN: Are you of Spanish, Hispanic, or Latino descent?

Are you of Spanish, Hispanic, or Latino descent?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	No, I am not	1860	90.2 %
2	Yes, Mexican, Mexican-American, Chicano	96	4.7 %
3	Yes, Puerto Rican	25	1.2 %
4	Yes, Cuban, Cuban American	4	0.2 %
8	Yes, Other	76	3.7 %
	Total	2,061	100%

Based upon 2,061 valid cases out of 2,061 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 8.00

Location: 19-19 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

ORLANDO: Was interview completed before or after the Orlando Nightclub Attack on June 12th, 2016 (at approximately 6:00 AM GMT)?

Was interview completed before or after the Orlando Nightclub Attack on June 12th, 2016 (at approximately 6:00 AM GMT)?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Prior to attack	869	42.2 %
2	After attack	1192	57.8 %
	Total	2,061	100%

Based upon 2,061 valid cases out of 2,061 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 2.00

Location: 20-20 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

ZIP: Data Only Variable: Zip Code of Respondent Address

Data Only Variable: Zip Code of Respondent Address

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	MASKED BY ICPSR	2061	100.0 %
	Total	2,061	100%

Based upon 2,061 valid cases out of 2,061 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 1.00

Location: 21-21 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

USR: Data Only Variable: Urban, Suburban, or Rural Designation of Respondent Address

Data Only Variable: Urban, Suburban, or Rural Designation of Respondent Address

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Urban	630	30.6 %
2	Suburban	1117	54.2 %
3	Rural	314	15.2 %
	Total	2,061	100%

Based upon 2,061 valid cases out of 2,061 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 3.00

Location: 22-22 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

MSC: Data Only Variable: Metro Status Code of Respondent Address

Data Only Variable: Metro Status Code of Respondent Address

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	In the center city of an MSA	588	28.5 %
2	Outside the center city of an MSA but inside the county containing the center city	757	36.7 %
3	Inside a suburban county of the MSA	400	19.4 %
4	In an MSA that has no center city	0	0.0 %
5	Not in an MSA	316	15.3 %
Total		2,061	100%

Based upon 2,061 valid cases out of 2,061 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 5.00

Location: 23-23 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

CBSAMET: Data Only Variable: Name of Core Based Metropolitan Statistical Area Respondent Resides Within

Data Only Variable: Name of Core Based Metropolitan Statistical Area Respondent Resides Within

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	Undefined	316	15.3 %
10100	Aberdeen, SD	0	0.0 %
10140	Aberdeen, WA	0	0.0 %
10180	Abilene, TX	2	0.1 %
10220	Ada, OK	0	0.0 %
10260	Adjuntas, PR	0	0.0 %
10300	Adrian, MI	0	0.0 %
10380	Aguadilla-Isabela, PR	0	0.0 %
10420	Akron, OH	11	0.5 %
10460	Alamogordo, NM	0	0.0 %
10500	Albany, GA	1	0.0 %
10540	Albany, OR	2	0.1 %
10580	Albany-Schenectady-Troy, NY	3	0.1 %
10620	Albemarle, NC	0	0.0 %
10660	Albert Lea, MN	0	0.0 %
10700	Albertville, AL	0	0.0 %
10740	Albuquerque, NM	8	0.4 %
10780	Alexandria, LA	2	0.1 %

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
10820	Alexandria, MN	0	0.0 %
10860	Alice, TX	0	0.0 %
10900	Allentown-Bethlehem-Easton, PA-NJ	6	0.3 %
10940	Alma, MI	0	0.0 %
10980	Alpena, MI	0	0.0 %
11020	Altoona, PA	0	0.0 %
11060	Altus, OK	0	0.0 %
11100	Amarillo, TX	2	0.1 %
11140	Americus, GA	0	0.0 %
11180	Ames, IA	0	0.0 %
11220	Amsterdam, NY	0	0.0 %
11260	Anchorage, AK	2	0.1 %
11380	Andrews, TX	0	0.0 %
11420	Angola, IN	0	0.0 %
11460	Ann Arbor, MI	1	0.0 %
11500	Anniston-Oxford-Jacksonville, AL	1	0.0 %
11540	Appleton, WI	4	0.2 %
11580	Arcadia, FL	0	0.0 %
11620	Ardmore, OK	0	0.0 %
11640	Arecibo, PR	0	0.0 %
11660	Arkadelphia, AR	0	0.0 %
11680	Arkansas City-Winfield, KS	0	0.0 %
11700	Asheville, NC	4	0.2 %
11740	Ashland, OH	0	0.0 %
11780	Ashtabula, OH	0	0.0 %
11820	Astoria, OR	0	0.0 %
11860	Atchison, KS	0	0.0 %
11900	Athens, OH	0	0.0 %
11940	Athens, TN	0	0.0 %
11980	Athens, TX	0	0.0 %
12020	Athens-Clarke County, GA	0	0.0 %
12060	Atlanta-Sandy Springs-Roswell, GA	32	1.6 %
Total		2,061	100%

Please note that only the first 50 response categories are displayed in the PDF codebook. To view all response categories, please analyze the data file in the statistical package of your choice (SAS, SPSS, Stata, R).

Based upon 2,061 valid cases out of 2,061 total cases.

- Minimum: 0.00
- Maximum: 49740.00

Location: 24-28 (width: 5; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

DOV_Q2_2: [National news] Data Only Variable: Presentation order of response option in Q2

[National news] Data Only Variable: Presentation order of response option in Q2

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	First response option presented	1053	51.1 %
2	Second response option presented	1008	48.9 %
	Total	2,061	100%

Based upon 2,061 valid cases out of 2,061 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 2.00

Location: 29-29 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

DOV_Q2_4: [News about the relations of the United States with other countries] Data Only Variable: Presentation order of response option in Q2

[News about the relations of the United States with other countries] Data Only Variable: Presentation order of response option in Q2

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	First response option presented	1008	48.9 %
2	Second response option presented	1053	51.1 %
	Total	2,061	100%

Based upon 2,061 valid cases out of 2,061 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 2.00

Location: 30-30 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

DOV_TRACKAB: Data Only Variable: Indicates if respondent was assigned to 'Track A' or 'Track B'

Data Only Variable: Indicates if respondent was assigned to "Track A" or "Track B"

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Track A	1013	49.2 %
2	Track B	1048	50.8 %
	Total	2,061	100%

Based upon 2,061 valid cases out of 2,061 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 2.00

Location: 31-31 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

DOV_Q5_1: [Drug related violence and instability in Mexico] Data Only Variable: Presentation order of statement item in Q5 grid

[Drug related violence and instability in Mexico] Data Only Variable: Presentation order of statement item in Q5 grid

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	Not shown statement item	327	15.9 %
1	Shown statement item	1734	84.1 %
Total		2,061	100%

Based upon 2,061 valid cases out of 2,061 total cases.

- Minimum: 0.00
- Maximum: 1.00

Location: 32-32 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

DOV_Q5_4: [Islamic fundamentalism] Data Only Variable: Indicates if respondent was shown statement item in Q5 grid

[Islamic fundamentalism] Data Only Variable: Indicates if respondent was shown statement item in Q5 grid

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	Not shown statement item	346	16.8 %
1	Shown statement item	1715	83.2 %
Total		2,061	100%

Based upon 2,061 valid cases out of 2,061 total cases.

- Minimum: 0.00
- Maximum: 1.00

Location: 33-33 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

DOV_Q5_5: [The possibility of unfriendly countries becoming nuclear powers] Data Only Variable: Indicates if respondent was shown statement item in Q5 grid

[The possibility of unfriendly countries becoming nuclear powers] Data Only Variable: Indicates if respondent was shown statement item in Q5 grid

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	Not shown statement item	365	17.7 %
1	Shown statement item	1696	82.3 %
Total		2,061	100%

Based upon 2,061 valid cases out of 2,061 total cases.

- Minimum: 0.00
- Maximum: 1.00

Location: 34-34 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

DOV_Q5_6A: [Large numbers of immigrants and refugees coming into the U.S.] Data Only Variable: Indicates if respondent was shown statement item in Q5 grid

[Large numbers of immigrants and refugees coming into the U.S.] Data Only Variable: Indicates if respondent was shown statement item in Q5 grid

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	Not shown statement item	333	16.2 %
1	Shown statement item	1728	83.8 %
Total		2,061	100%

Based upon 2,061 valid cases out of 2,061 total cases.

- Minimum: 0.00
- Maximum: 1.00

Location: 35-35 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

DOV_Q5_8A: [Climate change] Data Only Variable: Indicates if respondent was shown statement item in Q5 grid

[Climate change] Data Only Variable: Indicates if respondent was shown statement item in Q5 grid

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	Not shown statement item	1211	58.8 %
1	Shown statement item	850	41.2 %
Total		2,061	100%

Based upon 2,061 valid cases out of 2,061 total cases.

- Minimum: 0.00
- Maximum: 1.00

Location: 36-36 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

DOV_Q5_8B: [Global warming] Data Only Variable: Presentation order of statement item in Q5 grid

[Global warming] Data Only Variable: Presentation order of statement item in Q5 grid

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	Not shown statement item	1217	59.0 %
1	Shown statement item	844	41.0 %
Total		2,061	100%

Based upon 2,061 valid cases out of 2,061 total cases.

- Minimum: 0.00
- Maximum: 1.00

Location: 37-37 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

DOV_Q5_10: [International terrorism] Data Only Variable: Indicates if respondent was shown statement item in Q5 grid

[International terrorism] Data Only Variable: Indicates if respondent was shown statement item in Q5 grid

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	Not shown statement item	356	17.3 %
1	Shown statement item	1705	82.7 %
Total		2,061	100%

Based upon 2,061 valid cases out of 2,061 total cases.

- Minimum: 0.00
- Maximum: 1.00

Location: 38-38 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

DOV_Q5_21: [Russia's territorial ambitions] Data Only Variable: Indicates if respondent was shown statement item in Q5 grid

[Russia's territorial ambitions] Data Only Variable: Indicates if respondent was shown statement item in Q5 grid

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	Not shown statement item	339	16.4 %
1	Shown statement item	1722	83.6 %
Total		2,061	100%

Based upon 2,061 valid cases out of 2,061 total cases.

- Minimum: 0.00
- Maximum: 1.00

Location: 39-39 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

DOV_Q5_23: [China's economic power] Data Only Variable: Indicates if respondent was shown statement item in Q5 grid

[China's economic power] Data Only Variable: Indicates if respondent was shown statement item in Q5 grid

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	Not shown statement item	346	16.8 %
1	Shown statement item	1715	83.2 %
Total		2,061	100%

Based upon 2,061 valid cases out of 2,061 total cases.

- Minimum: 0.00
- Maximum: 1.00

Location: 40-40 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

DOV_Q5_24: [China's military power] Data Only Variable: Indicates if respondent was shown statement item in Q5 grid

[China's military power] Data Only Variable: Indicates if respondent was shown statement item in Q5 grid

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	Not shown statement item	332	16.1 %
1	Shown statement item	1729	83.9 %
Total		2,061	100%

Based upon 2,061 valid cases out of 2,061 total cases.

- Minimum: 0.00
- Maximum: 1.00

Location: 41-41 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

DOV_Q5_27: [A confrontation between North Korea and South Korea] Data Only Variable: Indicates if respondent was shown statement item in Q5 grid

[A confrontation between North Korea and South Korea] Data Only Variable: Indicates if respondent was shown statement item in Q5 grid

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	Not shown statement item	334	16.2 %
1	Shown statement item	1727	83.8 %
Total		2,061	100%

Based upon 2,061 valid cases out of 2,061 total cases.

- Minimum: 0.00
- Maximum: 1.00

Location: 42-42 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

DOV_Q5_28: [An international financial crisis] Data Only Variable: Indicates if respondent was shown statement item in Q5 grid

[An international financial crisis] Data Only Variable: Indicates if respondent was shown statement item in Q5 grid

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	Not shown statement item	335	16.3 %
1	Shown statement item	1726	83.7 %
Total		2,061	100%

Based upon 2,061 valid cases out of 2,061 total cases.

- Minimum: 0.00
- Maximum: 1.00

Location: 43-43 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

DOV_Q5_29: [North Korea's nuclear program] Data Only Variable: Indicates if respondent was shown statement item in Q5 grid

[North Korea's nuclear program] Data Only Variable: Indicates if respondent was shown statement item in Q5 grid

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	Not shown statement item	342	16.6 %
1	Shown statement item	1719	83.4 %
Total		2,061	100%

Based upon 2,061 valid cases out of 2,061 total cases.

- Minimum: 0.00
- Maximum: 1.00

Location: 44-44 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

DOV_IMMIGRATION: Data Only Variable: Indicates if respondent was assigned to 'Illegal Track' or 'Undocumented Track' for Immigration Items

Data Only Variable: Indicates if respondent was assigned to "Illegal Track" or "Undocumented Track" for Immigration Items

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Illegal Track	1029	49.9 %
2	Undocumented Track	1032	50.1 %
	Total	2,061	100%

Based upon 2,061 valid cases out of 2,061 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 2.00

Location: 45-45 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

DOV_WOMAN: Data Only Variable: Indicates if respondent was assigned to 'Woman Track A' or 'Woman Track B' for Women Items

Data Only Variable: Indicates if respondent was assigned to "Woman Track A" or "Woman Track B" for Women Items

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Woman Track A	999	48.5 %
2	Woman Track B	1062	51.5 %
	Total	2,061	100%

Based upon 2,061 valid cases out of 2,061 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 2.00

Location: 46-46 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

DOV_Q7_3: [Combating international terrorism] Data Only Variable: Indicates if respondent was shown statement item in Q7 grid

[Combating international terrorism] Data Only Variable: Indicates if respondent was shown statement item in Q7 grid

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	Not shown statement item	474	23.0 %
1	Shown statement item	1587	77.0 %
	Total	2,061	100%

Based upon 2,061 valid cases out of 2,061 total cases.

- Minimum: 0.00
- Maximum: 1.00

Location: 47-47 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

DOV_Q7_4A: [Maintaining superior military power worldwide] Data Only Variable: Indicates if respondent was shown statement item in Q7 grid

[Maintaining superior military power worldwide] Data Only Variable: Indicates if respondent was shown statement item in Q7 grid

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	Not shown statement item	472	22.9 %
1	Shown statement item	1589	77.1 %
Total		2,061	100%

Based upon 2,061 valid cases out of 2,061 total cases.

- Minimum: 0.00
- Maximum: 1.00

Location: 48-48 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

DOV_Q7_4B: [Maintaining superior economic power worldwide] Data Only Variable: Indicates if respondent was shown statement item in Q7 grid

[Maintaining superior economic power worldwide] Data Only Variable: Indicates if respondent was shown statement item in Q7 grid

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	Not shown statement item	472	22.9 %
1	Shown statement item	1589	77.1 %
Total		2,061	100%

Based upon 2,061 valid cases out of 2,061 total cases.

- Minimum: 0.00
- Maximum: 1.00

Location: 49-49 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

DOV_Q7_5: [Protecting the jobs of American workers] Data Only Variable: Indicates if respondent was shown statement item in Q7 grid

[Protecting the jobs of American workers] Data Only Variable: Indicates if respondent was shown statement item in Q7 grid

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	Not shown statement item	461	22.4 %

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Shown statement item	1600	77.6 %
Total		2,061	100%

Based upon 2,061 valid cases out of 2,061 total cases.

- Minimum: 0.00
- Maximum: 1.00

Location: 50-50 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

DOV_Q7_8A: [Controlling and reducing illegal immigration] Data Only Variable: Indicates if respondent was shown statement item in Q7 grid

[Controlling and reducing illegal immigration] Data Only Variable: Indicates if respondent was shown statement item in Q7 grid

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	Not shown statement item	1279	62.1 %
1	Shown statement item	782	37.9 %
Total		2,061	100%

Based upon 2,061 valid cases out of 2,061 total cases.

- Minimum: 0.00
- Maximum: 1.00

Location: 51-51 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

DOV_Q7_8B: [Controlling and reducing undocumented immigration] Data Only Variable: Indicates if respondent was shown statement item in Q7 grid

[Controlling and reducing undocumented immigration] Data Only Variable: Indicates if respondent was shown statement item in Q7 grid

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	Not shown statement item	1282	62.2 %
1	Shown statement item	779	37.8 %
Total		2,061	100%

Based upon 2,061 valid cases out of 2,061 total cases.

- Minimum: 0.00
- Maximum: 1.00

Location: 52-52 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

DOV_Q7_9A: [Limiting climate change] Data Only Variable: Indicates if respondent was shown statement item in Q7 grid

[Limiting climate change] Data Only Variable: Indicates if respondent was shown statement item in Q7 grid

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	Not shown statement item	1290	62.6 %
1	Shown statement item	771	37.4 %
Total		2,061	100%

Based upon 2,061 valid cases out of 2,061 total cases.

- Minimum: 0.00
- Maximum: 1.00

Location: 53-53 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

DOV_Q7_9B: [Limiting global warming] Data Only Variable: Indicates if respondent was shown statement item in Q7 grid

[Limiting global warming] Data Only Variable: Indicates if respondent was shown statement item in Q7 grid

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	Not shown statement item	1255	60.9 %
1	Shown statement item	806	39.1 %
Total		2,061	100%

Based upon 2,061 valid cases out of 2,061 total cases.

- Minimum: 0.00
- Maximum: 1.00

Location: 54-54 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

DOV_Q7_10: [Preventing the spread of nuclear weapons] Data Only Variable: Indicates if respondent was shown statement item in Q7 grid

[Preventing the spread of nuclear weapons] Data Only Variable: Indicates if respondent was shown statement item in Q7 grid

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	Not shown statement item	495	24.0 %
1	Shown statement item	1566	76.0 %
Total		2,061	100%

Based upon 2,061 valid cases out of 2,061 total cases.

- Minimum: 0.00
- Maximum: 1.00

Location: 55-55 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

DOV_Q7_12: [Combating world hunger] Data Only Variable: Indicates if respondent was shown statement item in Q7 grid

[Combating world hunger] Data Only Variable: Indicates if respondent was shown statement item in Q7 grid

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	Not shown statement item	485	23.5 %
1	Shown statement item	1576	76.5 %
Total		2,061	100%

Based upon 2,061 valid cases out of 2,061 total cases.

- Minimum: 0.00
- Maximum: 1.00

Location: 56-56 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

DOV_Q7_19: [Defending our allies' security] Data Only Variable: Indicates if respondent was shown statement item in Q7 grid

[Defending our allies' security] Data Only Variable: Indicates if respondent was shown statement item in Q7 grid

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	Not shown statement item	510	24.7 %
1	Shown statement item	1551	75.3 %
Total		2,061	100%

Based upon 2,061 valid cases out of 2,061 total cases.

- Minimum: 0.00
- Maximum: 1.00

Location: 57-57 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

DOV_Q7_23: [Improving America's standing in the world] Data Only Variable: Indicates if respondent was shown statement item in Q7 grid

[Improving America's standing in the world] Data Only Variable: Indicates if respondent was shown statement item in Q7 grid

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	Not shown statement item	470	22.8 %

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Shown statement item	1591	77.2 %
Total		2,061	100%

Based upon 2,061 valid cases out of 2,061 total cases.

- Minimum: 0.00
- Maximum: 1.00

Location: 58-58 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

DOV_Q7_11B: [Improving the world's environment] Data Only Variable: Indicates if respondent was shown statement item in Q7 grid

[Improving the world's environment] Data Only Variable: Indicates if respondent was shown statement item in Q7 grid

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	Not shown statement item	468	22.7 %
1	Shown statement item	1593	77.3 %
Total		2,061	100%

Based upon 2,061 valid cases out of 2,061 total cases.

- Minimum: 0.00
- Maximum: 1.00

Location: 59-59 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

DOV_Q7_7B: [Attaining U.S. energy independence] Data Only Variable: Indicates if respondent was shown statement item in Q7 grid

[Attaining U.S. energy independence] Data Only Variable: Indicates if respondent was shown statement item in Q7 grid

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	Not shown statement item	445	21.6 %
1	Shown statement item	1616	78.4 %
Total		2,061	100%

Based upon 2,061 valid cases out of 2,061 total cases.

- Minimum: 0.00
- Maximum: 1.00

Location: 60-60 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

DOV_Q7_30A: [Promoting the full participation of women and girls in their societies around the world] Data Only Variable: Indicates if respondent was shown statement item in Q7 grid

[Promoting the full participation of women and girls in their societies around the world] Data Only Variable: Indicates if respondent was shown statement item in Q7 grid

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	Not shown statement item	1265	61.4 %
1	Shown statement item	796	38.6 %
Total		2,061	100%

Based upon 2,061 valid cases out of 2,061 total cases.

- Minimum: 0.00
- Maximum: 1.00

Location: 61-61 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

DOV_Q7_30B: [Promoting the rights of women and girls around the world] Data Only Variable: Indicates if respondent was shown statement item in Q7 grid

[Promoting the rights of women and girls around the world] Data Only Variable: Indicates if respondent was shown statement item in Q7 grid

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	Not shown statement item	1243	60.3 %
1	Shown statement item	818	39.7 %
Total		2,061	100%

Based upon 2,061 valid cases out of 2,061 total cases.

- Minimum: 0.00
- Maximum: 1.00

Location: 62-62 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

DOV_Q8_1: [Strengthening the United Nations] Data Only Variable: Presentation order of statement item in Q8 grid

[Strengthening the United Nations] Data Only Variable: Presentation order of statement item in Q8 grid

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	First statement shown	265	12.9 %
2	Second statement shown	260	12.6 %
3	Third statement shown	258	12.5 %
4	Fourth statement shown	257	12.5 %

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
5	Fifth statement shown	241	11.7 %
6	Sixth statement shown	270	13.1 %
7	Seventh statement shown	256	12.4 %
8	Eighth statement shown	254	12.3 %
	Total	2,061	100%

Based upon 2,061 valid cases out of 2,061 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 8.00

Location: 63-63 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

DOV_Q8_2: [Maintaining U.S. military superiority] Data Only Variable: Presentation order of statement item in Q8 grid

[Maintaining U.S. military superiority] Data Only Variable: Presentation order of statement item in Q8 grid

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	First statement shown	247	12.0 %
2	Second statement shown	269	13.1 %
3	Third statement shown	280	13.6 %
4	Fourth statement shown	268	13.0 %
5	Fifth statement shown	260	12.6 %
6	Sixth statement shown	258	12.5 %
7	Seventh statement shown	267	13.0 %
8	Eighth statement shown	212	10.3 %
	Total	2,061	100%

Based upon 2,061 valid cases out of 2,061 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 8.00

Location: 64-64 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

DOV_Q8_3: [Placing sanctions on other countries] Data Only Variable: Presentation order of statement item in Q8 grid

[Placing sanctions on other countries] Data Only Variable: Presentation order of statement item in Q8 grid

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	First statement shown	241	11.7 %

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
2	Second statement shown	237	11.5 %
3	Third statement shown	269	13.1 %
4	Fourth statement shown	274	13.3 %
5	Fifth statement shown	272	13.2 %
6	Sixth statement shown	246	11.9 %
7	Seventh statement shown	261	12.7 %
8	Eighth statement shown	261	12.7 %
Total		2,061	100%

Based upon 2,061 valid cases out of 2,061 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 8.00

Location: 65-65 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

DOV_Q8_4: [Signing free trade agreements with other countries] Data Only Variable: Presentation order of statement item in Q8 grid

[Signing free trade agreements with other countries] Data Only Variable: Presentation order of statement item in Q8 grid

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	First statement shown	290	14.1 %
2	Second statement shown	233	11.3 %
3	Third statement shown	255	12.4 %
4	Fourth statement shown	254	12.3 %
5	Fifth statement shown	271	13.1 %
6	Sixth statement shown	258	12.5 %
7	Seventh statement shown	258	12.5 %
8	Eighth statement shown	242	11.7 %
Total		2,061	100%

Based upon 2,061 valid cases out of 2,061 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 8.00

Location: 66-66 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

DOV_Q8_5: [Maintaining existing alliances] Data Only Variable: Presentation order of statement item in Q8 grid

[Maintaining existing alliances] Data Only Variable: Presentation order of statement item in Q8 grid

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	First statement shown	228	11.1 %
2	Second statement shown	280	13.6 %
3	Third statement shown	292	14.2 %
4	Fourth statement shown	262	12.7 %
5	Fifth statement shown	259	12.6 %
6	Sixth statement shown	236	11.5 %
7	Seventh statement shown	251	12.2 %
8	Eighth statement shown	253	12.3 %
Total		2,061	100%

Based upon 2,061 valid cases out of 2,061 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 8.00

Location: 67-67 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

DOV_Q8_6: [Building new alliances with other countries] Data Only Variable: Presentation order of statement item in Q8 grid

[Building new alliances with other countries] Data Only Variable: Presentation order of statement item in Q8 grid

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	First statement shown	272	13.2 %
2	Second statement shown	255	12.4 %
3	Third statement shown	231	11.2 %
4	Fourth statement shown	255	12.4 %
5	Fifth statement shown	256	12.4 %
6	Sixth statement shown	270	13.1 %
7	Seventh statement shown	254	12.3 %
8	Eighth statement shown	268	13.0 %
Total		2,061	100%

Based upon 2,061 valid cases out of 2,061 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 8.00

Location: 68-68 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

DOV_Q8_13: [Signing international agreements] Data Only Variable: Presentation order of statement item in Q8 grid

[Signing international agreements] Data Only Variable: Presentation order of statement item in Q8 grid

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	First statement shown	251	12.2 %
2	Second statement shown	267	13.0 %
3	Third statement shown	222	10.8 %
4	Fourth statement shown	246	11.9 %
5	Fifth statement shown	237	11.5 %
6	Sixth statement shown	271	13.1 %
7	Seventh statement shown	271	13.1 %
8	Eighth statement shown	296	14.4 %
Total		2,061	100%

Based upon 2,061 valid cases out of 2,061 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 8.00

Location: 69-69 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

DOV_Q8_14: [Intervening militarily] Data Only Variable: Presentation order of statement item in Q8 grid

[Intervening militarily] Data Only Variable: Presentation order of statement item in Q8 grid

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	First statement shown	267	13.0 %
2	Second statement shown	260	12.6 %
3	Third statement shown	254	12.3 %
4	Fourth statement shown	245	11.9 %
5	Fifth statement shown	265	12.9 %
6	Sixth statement shown	252	12.2 %
7	Seventh statement shown	243	11.8 %
8	Eighth statement shown	275	13.3 %
Total		2,061	100%

Based upon 2,061 valid cases out of 2,061 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 8.00

Location: 70-70 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

DOV_Q385_ECONOMICSTRENGTH: [Economic strength] Data Only Variable: Presentation order of response option in Q385

[Economic strength] Data Only Variable: Presentation order of response option in Q385

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	First response option presented	1015	49.2 %
2	Second response option presented	1046	50.8 %
Total		2,061	100%

Based upon 2,061 valid cases out of 2,061 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 2.00

Location: 71-71 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

DOV_Q385_MILITARYSTRENGTH: [Military strength] Data Only Variable: Presentation order of response option in Q385

[Military strength] Data Only Variable: Presentation order of response option in Q385

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	First response option presented	1046	50.8 %
2	Second response option presented	1015	49.2 %
Total		2,061	100%

Based upon 2,061 valid cases out of 2,061 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 2.00

Location: 72-72 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

DOV_Q40_1: [Japan] Data Only Variable: Presentation order of statement item in Q40 grid

[Japan] Data Only Variable: Presentation order of statement item in Q40 grid

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	First statement shown	536	26.0 %
2	Second statement shown	503	24.4 %
3	Third statement shown	515	25.0 %
4	Fourth statement shown	507	24.6 %
Total		2,061	100%

Based upon 2,061 valid cases out of 2,061 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00

- Maximum: 4.00

Location: 73-73 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

DOV_Q40_3: [South Korea] Data Only Variable: Presentation order of statement item in Q40 grid

[South Korea] Data Only Variable: Presentation order of statement item in Q40 grid

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	First statement shown	528	25.6 %
2	Second statement shown	506	24.6 %
3	Third statement shown	499	24.2 %
4	Fourth statement shown	528	25.6 %
	Total	2,061	100%

Based upon 2,061 valid cases out of 2,061 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 4.00

Location: 74-74 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

DOV_Q40_6: [Germany] Data Only Variable: Presentation order of statement item in Q40 grid

[Germany] Data Only Variable: Presentation order of statement item in Q40 grid

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	First statement shown	493	23.9 %
2	Second statement shown	560	27.2 %
3	Third statement shown	514	24.9 %
4	Fourth statement shown	494	24.0 %
	Total	2,061	100%

Based upon 2,061 valid cases out of 2,061 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 4.00

Location: 75-75 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

DOV_Q40_8: [Australia] Data Only Variable: Presentation order of statement item in Q40 grid

[Australia] Data Only Variable: Presentation order of statement item in Q40 grid

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	First statement shown	504	24.5 %
2	Second statement shown	492	23.9 %
3	Third statement shown	533	25.9 %
4	Fourth statement shown	532	25.8 %
	Total	2,061	100%

Based upon 2,061 valid cases out of 2,061 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 4.00

Location: 76-76 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

DOV_Q45_3: [Mexico] Data Only Variable: Indicates if respondent was shown statement item in Q45 grid

[Mexico] Data Only Variable: Indicates if respondent was shown statement item in Q45 grid

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	Not shown statement item	879	42.6 %
1	Shown statement item	1182	57.4 %
	Total	2,061	100%

Based upon 2,061 valid cases out of 2,061 total cases.

- Minimum: 0.00
- Maximum: 1.00

Location: 77-77 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

DOV_Q45_4: [Israel] Data Only Variable: Indicates if respondent was shown statement item in Q45 grid

[Israel] Data Only Variable: Indicates if respondent was shown statement item in Q45 grid

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	Not shown statement item	876	42.5 %
1	Shown statement item	1185	57.5 %
	Total	2,061	100%

Based upon 2,061 valid cases out of 2,061 total cases.

- Minimum: 0.00
- Maximum: 1.00

Location: 78-78 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

DOV_Q45_6: [China] Data Only Variable: Indicates if respondent was shown statement item in Q45 grid

[China] Data Only Variable: Indicates if respondent was shown statement item in Q45 grid

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	Not shown statement item	811	39.3 %
1	Shown statement item	1250	60.7 %
Total		2,061	100%

Based upon 2,061 valid cases out of 2,061 total cases.

- Minimum: 0.00
- Maximum: 1.00

Location: 79-79 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

DOV_Q45_11: [Japan] Data Only Variable: Indicates if respondent was shown statement item in Q45 grid

[Japan] Data Only Variable: Indicates if respondent was shown statement item in Q45 grid

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	Not shown statement item	831	40.3 %
1	Shown statement item	1230	59.7 %
Total		2,061	100%

Based upon 2,061 valid cases out of 2,061 total cases.

- Minimum: 0.00
- Maximum: 1.00

Location: 80-80 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

DOV_Q45_12: [Russia] Data Only Variable: Indicates if respondent was shown statement item in Q45 grid

[Russia] Data Only Variable: Indicates if respondent was shown statement item in Q45 grid

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	Not shown statement item	857	41.6 %
1	Shown statement item	1204	58.4 %
Total		2,061	100%

Based upon 2,061 valid cases out of 2,061 total cases.

- Minimum: 0.00
- Maximum: 1.00

Location: 81-81 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

DOV_Q45_14: [Iran] Data Only Variable: Indicates if respondent was shown statement item in Q45 grid

[Iran] Data Only Variable: Indicates if respondent was shown statement item in Q45 grid

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	Not shown statement item	857	41.6 %
1	Shown statement item	1204	58.4 %
Total		2,061	100%

Based upon 2,061 valid cases out of 2,061 total cases.

- Minimum: 0.00
- Maximum: 1.00

Location: 82-82 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

DOV_Q45_15: [Cuba] Data Only Variable: Indicates if respondent was shown statement item in Q45 grid

[Cuba] Data Only Variable: Indicates if respondent was shown statement item in Q45 grid

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	Not shown statement item	836	40.6 %
1	Shown statement item	1225	59.4 %
Total		2,061	100%

Based upon 2,061 valid cases out of 2,061 total cases.

- Minimum: 0.00
- Maximum: 1.00

Location: 83-83 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

DOV_Q45_19: [North Korea] Data Only Variable: Indicates if respondent was shown statement item in Q45 grid

[North Korea] Data Only Variable: Indicates if respondent was shown statement item in Q45 grid

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	Not shown statement item	885	42.9 %
1	Shown statement item	1176	57.1 %
Total		2,061	100%

Based upon 2,061 valid cases out of 2,061 total cases.

- Minimum: 0.00
- Maximum: 1.00

Location: 84-84 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

DOV_Q45_20: [South Korea] Data Only Variable: Indicates if respondent was shown statement item in Q45 grid

[South Korea] Data Only Variable: Indicates if respondent was shown statement item in Q45 grid

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	Not shown statement item	871	42.3 %
1	Shown statement item	1190	57.7 %
Total		2,061	100%

Based upon 2,061 valid cases out of 2,061 total cases.

- Minimum: 0.00
- Maximum: 1.00

Location: 85-85 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

DOV_Q45_26: [Canada] Data Only Variable: Indicates if respondent was shown statement item in Q45 grid

[Canada] Data Only Variable: Indicates if respondent was shown statement item in Q45 grid

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	Not shown statement item	837	40.6 %
1	Shown statement item	1224	59.4 %
Total		2,061	100%

Based upon 2,061 valid cases out of 2,061 total cases.

- Minimum: 0.00
- Maximum: 1.00

Location: 86-86 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

DOV_Q45_27: [Australia] Data Only Variable: Indicates if respondent was shown statement item in Q45 grid

[Australia] Data Only Variable: Indicates if respondent was shown statement item in Q45 grid

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	Not shown statement item	879	42.6 %
1	Shown statement item	1182	57.4 %
Total		2,061	100%

Based upon 2,061 valid cases out of 2,061 total cases.

- Minimum: 0.00
- Maximum: 1.00

Location: 87-87 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

DOV_Q45_28: [India] Data Only Variable: Indicates if respondent was shown statement item in Q45 grid

[India] Data Only Variable: Indicates if respondent was shown statement item in Q45 grid

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	Not shown statement item	886	43.0 %
1	Shown statement item	1175	57.0 %
Total		2,061	100%

Based upon 2,061 valid cases out of 2,061 total cases.

- Minimum: 0.00
- Maximum: 1.00

Location: 88-88 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

DOV_Q185: Data Only Variable: Indicates if respondent was shown statement item of 'Canada' or 'Australia' in Q185

Data Only Variable: Indicates if respondent was shown statement item of 'Canada' or 'Australia' in Q185

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Shown Canada	1013	49.2 %
2	Shown Australia	1048	50.8 %
Total		2,061	100%

Based upon 2,061 valid cases out of 2,061 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 2.00

Location: 89-89 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

DOV_Q185_1: [China] Data Only Variable: Presentation order of statement item in Q185 grid

[China] Data Only Variable: Presentation order of statement item in Q185 grid

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	First statement shown	296	14.4 %
2	Second statement shown	300	14.6 %
3	Third statement shown	296	14.4 %
4	Fourth statement shown	309	15.0 %
5	Fifth statement shown	288	14.0 %
6	Sixth statement shown	295	14.3 %
7	Seventh statement shown	277	13.4 %
Total		2,061	100%

Based upon 2,061 valid cases out of 2,061 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 7.00

Location: 90-90 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

DOV_Q185_4: [Mexico] Data Only Variable: Presentation order of statement item in Q185 grid

[Mexico] Data Only Variable: Presentation order of statement item in Q185 grid

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	First statement shown	289	14.0 %
2	Second statement shown	282	13.7 %
3	Third statement shown	273	13.2 %
4	Fourth statement shown	297	14.4 %
5	Fifth statement shown	341	16.5 %
6	Sixth statement shown	278	13.5 %
7	Seventh statement shown	301	14.6 %
Total		2,061	100%

Based upon 2,061 valid cases out of 2,061 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 7.00

Location: 91-91 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

DOV_Q185_5: [South Korea] Data Only Variable: Presentation order of statement item in Q185 grid

[South Korea] Data Only Variable: Presentation order of statement item in Q185 grid

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	First statement shown	296	14.4 %
2	Second statement shown	307	14.9 %
3	Third statement shown	292	14.2 %
4	Fourth statement shown	287	13.9 %
5	Fifth statement shown	320	15.5 %
6	Sixth statement shown	292	14.2 %
7	Seventh statement shown	267	13.0 %
Total		2,061	100%

Based upon 2,061 valid cases out of 2,061 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 7.00

Location: 92-92 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

DOV_Q185_8: [Russia] Data Only Variable: Presentation order of statement item in Q185 grid

[Russia] Data Only Variable: Presentation order of statement item in Q185 grid

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	First statement shown	279	13.5 %
2	Second statement shown	296	14.4 %
3	Third statement shown	299	14.5 %
4	Fourth statement shown	298	14.5 %
5	Fifth statement shown	262	12.7 %
6	Sixth statement shown	305	14.8 %
7	Seventh statement shown	322	15.6 %
Total		2,061	100%

Based upon 2,061 valid cases out of 2,061 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 7.00

Location: 93-93 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

DOV_Q185_9: [Canada] Data Only Variable: Presentation order of statement item in Q185 grid

[Canada] Data Only Variable: Presentation order of statement item in Q185 grid

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	First statement shown	158	7.7 %
2	Second statement shown	116	5.6 %
3	Third statement shown	145	7.0 %
4	Fourth statement shown	138	6.7 %
5	Fifth statement shown	133	6.5 %
6	Sixth statement shown	172	8.3 %
7	Seventh statement shown	151	7.3 %
Missing Data			
.	-	1048	50.8 %
Total		2,061	100%

Based upon 1,013 valid cases out of 2,061 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 7.00

Location: 94-94 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

DOV_Q185_10: [Australia] Data Only Variable: Presentation order of statement item in Q185 grid

[Australia] Data Only Variable: Presentation order of statement item in Q185 grid

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	First statement shown	162	7.9 %
2	Second statement shown	147	7.1 %
3	Third statement shown	161	7.8 %
4	Fourth statement shown	151	7.3 %
5	Fifth statement shown	153	7.4 %
6	Sixth statement shown	140	6.8 %
7	Seventh statement shown	134	6.5 %
Missing Data			
.	-	1013	49.2 %
Total		2,061	100%

Based upon 1,048 valid cases out of 2,061 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 7.00

Location: 95-95 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

DOV_Q185_11: [Iran] Data Only Variable: Presentation order of statement item in Q185 grid

[Iran] Data Only Variable: Presentation order of statement item in Q185 grid

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	First statement shown	282	13.7 %
2	Second statement shown	292	14.2 %
3	Third statement shown	324	15.7 %
4	Fourth statement shown	297	14.4 %
5	Fifth statement shown	260	12.6 %
6	Sixth statement shown	288	14.0 %
7	Seventh statement shown	318	15.4 %
Total		2,061	100%

Based upon 2,061 valid cases out of 2,061 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 7.00

Location: 96-96 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

DOV_Q185_12: [Israel] Data Only Variable: Presentation order of statement item in Q185 grid

[Israel] Data Only Variable: Presentation order of statement item in Q185 grid

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	First statement shown	299	14.5 %
2	Second statement shown	321	15.6 %
3	Third statement shown	271	13.1 %
4	Fourth statement shown	284	13.8 %
5	Fifth statement shown	304	14.8 %
6	Sixth statement shown	291	14.1 %
7	Seventh statement shown	291	14.1 %
Total		2,061	100%

Based upon 2,061 valid cases out of 2,061 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 7.00

Location: 97-97 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

DOV_Q200615: Data Only Variable: Indicates if respondent was shown Q200 or Q615

Data Only Variable: Indicates if respondent was shown Q200 or Q615

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Shown Q200	1046	50.8 %
2	Shown Q615	1015	49.2 %
	Total	2,061	100%

Based upon 2,061 valid cases out of 2,061 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 2.00

Location: 98-98 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

DOV_Q50_1: [The U.S.] Data Only Variable: Presentation order of statement item in Q50 grid

[The U.S.] Data Only Variable: Presentation order of statement item in Q50 grid

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	First statement shown	298	14.5 %
2	Second statement shown	285	13.8 %
3	Third statement shown	307	14.9 %
4	Fourth statement shown	308	14.9 %
5	Fifth statement shown	307	14.9 %
6	Sixth statement shown	275	13.3 %
7	Seventh statement shown	281	13.6 %
	Total	2,061	100%

Based upon 2,061 valid cases out of 2,061 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 7.00

Location: 99-99 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

DOV_Q50_2: [Russia] Data Only Variable: Presentation order of statement item in Q50 grid

[Russia] Data Only Variable: Presentation order of statement item in Q50 grid

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	First statement shown	307	14.9 %
2	Second statement shown	313	15.2 %
3	Third statement shown	289	14.0 %

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
4	Fourth statement shown	291	14.1 %
5	Fifth statement shown	294	14.3 %
6	Sixth statement shown	271	13.1 %
7	Seventh statement shown	296	14.4 %
Total		2,061	100%

Based upon 2,061 valid cases out of 2,061 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 7.00

Location: 100-100 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

DOV_Q50_5: [China] Data Only Variable: Presentation order of statement item in Q50 grid

[China] Data Only Variable: Presentation order of statement item in Q50 grid

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	First statement shown	279	13.5 %
2	Second statement shown	291	14.1 %
3	Third statement shown	292	14.2 %
4	Fourth statement shown	307	14.9 %
5	Fifth statement shown	277	13.4 %
6	Sixth statement shown	296	14.4 %
7	Seventh statement shown	319	15.5 %
Total		2,061	100%

Based upon 2,061 valid cases out of 2,061 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 7.00

Location: 101-101 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

DOV_Q50_6: [India] Data Only Variable: Presentation order of statement item in Q50 grid

[India] Data Only Variable: Presentation order of statement item in Q50 grid

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	First statement shown	278	13.5 %
2	Second statement shown	304	14.8 %
3	Third statement shown	309	15.0 %
4	Fourth statement shown	282	13.7 %

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
5	Fifth statement shown	294	14.3 %
6	Sixth statement shown	304	14.8 %
7	Seventh statement shown	290	14.1 %
Total		2,061	100%

Based upon 2,061 valid cases out of 2,061 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 7.00

Location: 102-102 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

DOV_Q50_7: [The European Union as a whole] Data Only Variable: Presentation order of statement item in Q50 grid

[The European Union as a whole] Data Only Variable: Presentation order of statement item in Q50 grid

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	First statement shown	302	14.7 %
2	Second statement shown	298	14.5 %
3	Third statement shown	299	14.5 %
4	Fourth statement shown	275	13.3 %
5	Fifth statement shown	304	14.8 %
6	Sixth statement shown	303	14.7 %
7	Seventh statement shown	280	13.6 %
Total		2,061	100%

Based upon 2,061 valid cases out of 2,061 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 7.00

Location: 103-103 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

DOV_Q50_8: [South Korea] Data Only Variable: Presentation order of statement item in Q50 grid

[South Korea] Data Only Variable: Presentation order of statement item in Q50 grid

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	First statement shown	323	15.7 %
2	Second statement shown	278	13.5 %
3	Third statement shown	246	11.9 %

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
4	Fourth statement shown	324	15.7 %
5	Fifth statement shown	286	13.9 %
6	Sixth statement shown	317	15.4 %
7	Seventh statement shown	287	13.9 %
Total		2,061	100%

Based upon 2,061 valid cases out of 2,061 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 7.00

Location: 104-104 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

DOV_Q50_9: [Iran] Data Only Variable: Presentation order of statement item in Q50 grid

[Iran] Data Only Variable: Presentation order of statement item in Q50 grid

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	First statement shown	274	13.3 %
2	Second statement shown	292	14.2 %
3	Third statement shown	319	15.5 %
4	Fourth statement shown	274	13.3 %
5	Fifth statement shown	299	14.5 %
6	Sixth statement shown	295	14.3 %
7	Seventh statement shown	308	14.9 %
Total		2,061	100%

Based upon 2,061 valid cases out of 2,061 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 7.00

Location: 105-105 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

DOV_Q140_1: [The Paris Agreement] Data Only Variable: Presentation order of response option in Q140

[The Paris Agreement] Data Only Variable: Presentation order of response option in Q140

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	First response option presented	703	34.1 %
2	Second response option presented	656	31.8 %
3	Third response option presented	702	34.1 %

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
Total		2,061	100%

Based upon 2,061 valid cases out of 2,061 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 3.00

Location: 106-106 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

DOV_Q140_2: [The agreement on the International Criminal Court] Data Only Variable: Presentation order of response option in Q140

[The agreement on the International Criminal Court] Data Only Variable: Presentation order of response option in Q140

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	First response option presented	681	33.0 %
2	Second response option presented	721	35.0 %
3	Third response option presented	659	32.0 %
Total		2,061	100%

Based upon 2,061 valid cases out of 2,061 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 3.00

Location: 107-107 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

DOV_Q140_7: [The agreement that lifts some international economic sanctions against Iran] Data Only Variable: Presentation order of response option in Q140

[The agreement that lifts some international economic sanctions against Iran] Data Only Variable: Presentation order of response option in Q140

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	First response option presented	677	32.8 %
2	Second response option presented	684	33.2 %
3	Third response option presented	700	34.0 %
Total		2,061	100%

Based upon 2,061 valid cases out of 2,061 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 3.00

Location: 108-108 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

DOV_Q71AQ376: Data Only Variable: Indicates if respondent was shown Q71A or Q376

Data Only Variable: Indicates if respondent was shown Q71A or Q376

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Shown Q71A	1006	48.8 %
2	Shown Q376	1055	51.2 %
	Total	2,061	100%

Based upon 2,061 valid cases out of 2,061 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 2.00

Location: 109-109 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

DOV_Q190_1: [The U.S. economy] Data Only Variable: Presentation order of statement item in Q190 grid

[The U.S. economy] Data Only Variable: Presentation order of statement item in Q190 grid

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	First statement shown	355	17.2 %
2	Second statement shown	370	18.0 %
3	Third statement shown	311	15.1 %
4	Fourth statement shown	354	17.2 %
5	Fifth statement shown	312	15.1 %
6	Sixth statement shown	359	17.4 %
	Total	2,061	100%

Based upon 2,061 valid cases out of 2,061 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 6.00

Location: 110-110 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

DOV_Q190_2: [American companies] Data Only Variable: Presentation order of statement item in Q190 grid

[American companies] Data Only Variable: Presentation order of statement item in Q190 grid

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	First statement shown	324	15.7 %
2	Second statement shown	340	16.5 %

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
3	Third statement shown	336	16.3 %
4	Fourth statement shown	346	16.8 %
5	Fifth statement shown	366	17.8 %
6	Sixth statement shown	349	16.9 %
	Total	2,061	100%

Based upon 2,061 valid cases out of 2,061 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 6.00

Location: 111-111 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

DOV_Q190_3: [Consumers like you] Data Only Variable: Presentation order of statement item in Q190 grid

[Consumers like you] Data Only Variable: Presentation order of statement item in Q190 grid

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	First statement shown	367	17.8 %
2	Second statement shown	339	16.4 %
3	Third statement shown	354	17.2 %
4	Fourth statement shown	336	16.3 %
5	Fifth statement shown	331	16.1 %
6	Sixth statement shown	334	16.2 %
	Total	2,061	100%

Based upon 2,061 valid cases out of 2,061 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 6.00

Location: 112-112 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

DOV_Q190_4: [Creating jobs in the U.S.] Data Only Variable: Presentation order of statement item in Q190 grid

[Creating jobs in the U.S.] Data Only Variable: Presentation order of statement item in Q190 grid

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	First statement shown	369	17.9 %
2	Second statement shown	335	16.3 %
3	Third statement shown	346	16.8 %

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
4	Fourth statement shown	311	15.1 %
5	Fifth statement shown	360	17.5 %
6	Sixth statement shown	340	16.5 %
Total		2,061	100%

Based upon 2,061 valid cases out of 2,061 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 6.00

Location: 113-113 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

DOV_Q190_6: [Job security for American workers] Data Only Variable: Presentation order of statement item in Q190 grid

[Job security for American workers] Data Only Variable: Presentation order of statement item in Q190 grid

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	First statement shown	329	16.0 %
2	Second statement shown	350	17.0 %
3	Third statement shown	357	17.3 %
4	Fourth statement shown	358	17.4 %
5	Fifth statement shown	341	16.5 %
6	Sixth statement shown	326	15.8 %
Total		2,061	100%

Based upon 2,061 valid cases out of 2,061 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 6.00

Location: 114-114 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

DOV_Q190_7: [Your own standard of living] Data Only Variable: Presentation order of statement item in Q190 grid

[Your own standard of living] Data Only Variable: Presentation order of statement item in Q190 grid

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	First statement shown	317	15.4 %
2	Second statement shown	327	15.9 %
3	Third statement shown	357	17.3 %
4	Fourth statement shown	356	17.3 %

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
5	Fifth statement shown	351	17.0 %
6	Sixth statement shown	353	17.1 %
Total		2,061	100%

Based upon 2,061 valid cases out of 2,061 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 6.00

Location: 115-115 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

DOV_Q281_1: [You or someone you know will be the target of gun violence] Data Only Variable: Presentation order of response option in Q281

[You or someone you know will be the target of gun violence] Data Only Variable: Presentation order of response option in Q281

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	First response option presented	1020	49.5 %
2	Second response option presented	1041	50.5 %
Total		2,061	100%

Based upon 2,061 valid cases out of 2,061 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 2.00

Location: 116-116 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

DOV_Q281_2: [You or someone you know will be the target of a terrorist attack] Data Only Variable: Presentation order of response option in Q281

[You or someone you know will be the target of a terrorist attack] Data Only Variable: Presentation order of response option in Q281

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	First response option presented	1041	50.5 %
2	Second response option presented	1020	49.5 %
Total		2,061	100%

Based upon 2,061 valid cases out of 2,061 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 2.00

Location: 117-117 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

DOV_282280: Data Only Variable: Indicates if respondent was shown Q282 or Q280

Data Only Variable: Indicates if respondent was shown Q282 or Q280

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Shown Q282	1040	50.5 %
2	Shown Q280	1021	49.5 %
Total		2,061	100%

Based upon 2,061 valid cases out of 2,061 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 2.00

Location: 118-118 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

DOV_Q278B_1: [U.S. air strikes against suspected terrorists by manned aircraft] Data Only Variable: Presentation order of statement item in Q278b grid

[U.S. air strikes against suspected terrorists by manned aircraft] Data Only Variable: Presentation order of statement item in Q278b grid

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	First statement shown	303	14.7 %
2	Second statement shown	319	15.5 %
3	Third statement shown	290	14.1 %
4	Fourth statement shown	303	14.7 %
5	Fifth statement shown	280	13.6 %
6	Sixth statement shown	289	14.0 %
7	Seventh statement shown	277	13.4 %
Total		2,061	100%

Based upon 2,061 valid cases out of 2,061 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 7.00

Location: 119-119 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

DOV_Q278B_2: [U.S. air strikes against suspected terrorists by drones/unmanned aircraft] Data Only Variable: Presentation order of statement item in Q278b grid

[U.S. air strikes against suspected terrorists by drones/unmanned aircraft] Data Only Variable: Presentation order of statement item in Q278b grid

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	First statement shown	288	14.0 %
2	Second statement shown	289	14.0 %
3	Third statement shown	315	15.3 %
4	Fourth statement shown	293	14.2 %
5	Fifth statement shown	291	14.1 %
6	Sixth statement shown	268	13.0 %
7	Seventh statement shown	317	15.4 %
Total		2,061	100%

Based upon 2,061 valid cases out of 2,061 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 7.00

Location: 120-120 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

DOV_Q278B_3: [Sending U.S. trainers and special operations forces to countries where terrorists operate] Data Only Variable: Presentation order of statement item in Q278b grid

[Sending U.S. trainers and special operations forces to countries where terrorists operate] Data Only Variable: Presentation order of statement item in Q278b grid

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	First statement shown	277	13.4 %
2	Second statement shown	305	14.8 %
3	Third statement shown	285	13.8 %
4	Fourth statement shown	309	15.0 %
5	Fifth statement shown	295	14.3 %
6	Sixth statement shown	306	14.8 %
7	Seventh statement shown	284	13.8 %
Total		2,061	100%

Based upon 2,061 valid cases out of 2,061 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 7.00

Location: 121-121 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

DOV_Q278B_4: [Using torture against suspected terrorists that are captured] Data Only Variable: Presentation order of statement item in Q278b grid

[Using torture against suspected terrorists that are captured] Data Only Variable: Presentation order of statement item in Q278b grid

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	First statement shown	280	13.6 %
2	Second statement shown	296	14.4 %
3	Third statement shown	276	13.4 %
4	Fourth statement shown	261	12.7 %
5	Fifth statement shown	298	14.5 %
6	Sixth statement shown	323	15.7 %
7	Seventh statement shown	327	15.9 %
Total		2,061	100%

Based upon 2,061 valid cases out of 2,061 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 7.00

Location: 122-122 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

DOV_Q278B_5: [Sending U.S. combat troops to fight terrorists abroad] Data Only Variable: Presentation order of statement item in Q278b grid

[Sending U.S. combat troops to fight terrorists abroad] Data Only Variable: Presentation order of statement item in Q278b grid

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	First statement shown	315	15.3 %
2	Second statement shown	281	13.6 %
3	Third statement shown	281	13.6 %
4	Fourth statement shown	305	14.8 %
5	Fifth statement shown	297	14.4 %
6	Sixth statement shown	306	14.8 %
7	Seventh statement shown	276	13.4 %
Total		2,061	100%

Based upon 2,061 valid cases out of 2,061 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 7.00

Location: 123-123 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

DOV_Q278B_6: [Limiting the flow of migrants and refugees and increasing border controls] Data Only Variable: Presentation order of statement item in Q278b grid

[Limiting the flow of migrants and refugees and increasing border controls] Data Only Variable: Presentation order of statement item in Q278b grid

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	First statement shown	297	14.4 %
2	Second statement shown	276	13.4 %
3	Third statement shown	287	13.9 %
4	Fourth statement shown	308	14.9 %
5	Fifth statement shown	320	15.5 %
6	Sixth statement shown	267	13.0 %
7	Seventh statement shown	306	14.8 %
Total		2,061	100%

Based upon 2,061 valid cases out of 2,061 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 7.00

Location: 124-124 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

DOV_Q278B_7: [Blocking terrorist financing] Data Only Variable: Presentation order of statement item in Q278b grid

[Blocking terrorist financing] Data Only Variable: Presentation order of statement item in Q278b grid

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	First statement shown	301	14.6 %
2	Second statement shown	295	14.3 %
3	Third statement shown	327	15.9 %
4	Fourth statement shown	282	13.7 %
5	Fifth statement shown	280	13.6 %
6	Sixth statement shown	302	14.7 %
7	Seventh statement shown	274	13.3 %
Total		2,061	100%

Based upon 2,061 valid cases out of 2,061 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 7.00

Location: 125-125 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

DOV_Q267AQ374: Data Only Variable: Indicates if respondent was shown Q267A or Q374

Data Only Variable: Indicates if respondent was shown Q267A or Q374

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Shown Q267A	996	48.3 %
2	Shown Q374	1065	51.7 %
	Total	2,061	100%

Based upon 2,061 valid cases out of 2,061 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 2.00

Location: 126-126 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

DOV_RUSMEX: Data Only Variable: Indicates if respondent was assigned to 'Russia Track' or 'Mexico Track'

Data Only Variable: Indicates if respondent was assigned to 'Russia Track' or 'Mexico Track'

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Russia Track	1058	51.3 %
2	Mexico Track	1003	48.7 %
	Total	2,061	100%

Based upon 2,061 valid cases out of 2,061 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 2.00

Location: 127-127 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

DOV_Q251B_1: [Finding new sources of energy] Data Only Variable: Presentation order of statement item in Q251B grid

[Finding new sources of energy] Data Only Variable: Presentation order of statement item in Q251B grid

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	First statement shown	236	11.5 %
2	Second statement shown	250	12.1 %
3	Third statement shown	268	13.0 %
4	Fourth statement shown	249	12.1 %
	Missing Data		
.	-	1058	51.3 %
	Total	2,061	100%

Based upon 1,003 valid cases out of 2,061 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 4.00

Location: 128-128 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

DOV_Q251B_2: [Combating the trafficking of illegal drugs] Data Only Variable: Presentation order of statement item in Q251B grid

[Combating the trafficking of illegal drugs] Data Only Variable: Presentation order of statement item in Q251B grid

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	First statement shown	264	12.8 %
2	Second statement shown	247	12.0 %
3	Third statement shown	238	11.5 %
4	Fourth statement shown	254	12.3 %
	Missing Data		
.	-	1058	51.3 %
	Total	2,061	100%

Based upon 1,003 valid cases out of 2,061 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 4.00

Location: 129-129 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

DOV_Q251B_3: [Securing the U.S.-Mexico border] Data Only Variable: Presentation order of statement item in Q251B grid

[Securing the U.S.-Mexico border] Data Only Variable: Presentation order of statement item in Q251B grid

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	First statement shown	264	12.8 %
2	Second statement shown	248	12.0 %
3	Third statement shown	241	11.7 %
4	Fourth statement shown	250	12.1 %
	Missing Data		
.	-	1058	51.3 %
	Total	2,061	100%

Based upon 1,003 valid cases out of 2,061 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 4.00

Location: 130-130 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

DOV_Q251B_4: [Trade and economic development] Data Only Variable: Presentation order of statement item in Q251B grid

[Trade and economic development] Data Only Variable: Presentation order of statement item in Q251B grid

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	First statement shown	239	11.6 %
2	Second statement shown	258	12.5 %
3	Third statement shown	256	12.4 %
4	Fourth statement shown	250	12.1 %
	Missing Data		
.	-	1058	51.3 %
	Total	2,061	100%

Based upon 1,003 valid cases out of 2,061 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 4.00

Location: 131-131 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

DOV_Q251A_1: [Ending the conflict in Syria] Data Only Variable: Presentation order of statement item in Q251A grid

[Ending the conflict in Syria] Data Only Variable: Presentation order of statement item in Q251A grid

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	First statement shown	350	17.0 %
2	Second statement shown	350	17.0 %
3	Third statement shown	358	17.4 %
	Missing Data		
.	-	1003	48.7 %
	Total	2,061	100%

Based upon 1,058 valid cases out of 2,061 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 3.00

Location: 132-132 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

DOV_Q251A_2: [Limiting Iran's nuclear program] Data Only Variable: Presentation order of statement item in Q251A grid

[Limiting Iran's nuclear program] Data Only Variable: Presentation order of statement item in Q251A grid

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	First statement shown	366	17.8 %
2	Second statement shown	356	17.3 %
3	Third statement shown	336	16.3 %
	Missing Data		
.	-	1003	48.7 %
	Total	2,061	100%

Based upon 1,058 valid cases out of 2,061 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 3.00

Location: 133-133 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

DOV_Q251A_3: [Reducing nuclear weapons worldwide] Data Only Variable: Presentation order of statement item in Q251A grid

[Reducing nuclear weapons worldwide] Data Only Variable: Presentation order of statement item in Q251A grid

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	First statement shown	342	16.6 %
2	Second statement shown	352	17.1 %
3	Third statement shown	364	17.7 %
	Missing Data		
.	-	1003	48.7 %
	Total	2,061	100%

Based upon 1,058 valid cases out of 2,061 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 3.00

Location: 134-134 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

DOV_Q350B_1: [Undertake friendly cooperation and engagement with Russia] Data Only Variable: Presentation order of response option in Q350B

[Undertake friendly cooperation and engagement with Russia] Data Only Variable: Presentation order of response option in Q350B

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	First response option presented	560	27.2 %
2	Second response option presented	498	24.2 %

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
	Missing Data		
.	-	1003	48.7 %
	Total	2,061	100%

Based upon 1,058 valid cases out of 2,061 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 2.00

Location: 135-135 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

DOV_Q350B_2: [Actively work to limit Russia's power] Data Only Variable: Presentation order of response option in Q350B

[Actively work to limit Russia's power] Data Only Variable: Presentation order of response option in Q350B

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	First response option presented	498	24.2 %
2	Second response option presented	560	27.2 %
	Missing Data		
.	-	1003	48.7 %
	Total	2,061	100%

Based upon 1,058 valid cases out of 2,061 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 2.00

Location: 136-136 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

DOV_Q351B_1: [Trying to undertake friendly cooperation and engagement with the United States] Data Only Variable: Presentation order of response option in Q351B

[Trying to undertake friendly cooperation and engagement with the United States] Data Only Variable: Presentation order of response option in Q351B

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	First response option presented	513	24.9 %
2	Second response option presented	545	26.4 %
	Missing Data		
.	-	1003	48.7 %
	Total	2,061	100%

Based upon 1,058 valid cases out of 2,061 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 2.00

Location: 137-137 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

DOV_Q351B_2: [Actively working to undermine U.S. international power and influence] Data Only Variable: Presentation order of response option in Q351B

[Actively working to undermine U.S. international power and influence] Data Only Variable: Presentation order of response option in Q351B

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	First response option presented	545	26.4 %
2	Second response option presented	513	24.9 %
	Missing Data		
.	-	1003	48.7 %
	Total	2,061	100%

Based upon 1,058 valid cases out of 2,061 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 2.00

Location: 138-138 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

DOV_Q350A_1: [Undertake friendly cooperation and engagement with China] Data Only Variable: Presentation order of response option in Q350A

[Undertake friendly cooperation and engagement with China] Data Only Variable: Presentation order of response option in Q350A

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	First response option presented	495	24.0 %
2	Second response option presented	508	24.6 %
	Missing Data		
.	-	1058	51.3 %
	Total	2,061	100%

Based upon 1,003 valid cases out of 2,061 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 2.00

Location: 139-139 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

DOV_Q350A_2: [Actively work to limit the growth of China's power] Data Only Variable: Presentation order of response option in Q350A

[Actively work to limit the growth of China's power] Data Only Variable: Presentation order of response option in Q350A

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	First response option presented	508	24.6 %
2	Second response option presented	495	24.0 %
	Missing Data		
.	-	1058	51.3 %
	Total	2,061	100%

Based upon 1,003 valid cases out of 2,061 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 2.00

Location: 140-140 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

DOV_Q351A_1: [Trying to undertake friendly cooperation and engagement with the United States] Data Only Variable: Presentation order of response option in Q351A

[Trying to undertake friendly cooperation and engagement with the United States] Data Only Variable: Presentation order of response option in Q351A

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	First response option presented	502	24.4 %
2	Second response option presented	501	24.3 %
	Missing Data		
.	-	1058	51.3 %
	Total	2,061	100%

Based upon 1,003 valid cases out of 2,061 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 2.00

Location: 141-141 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

DOV_Q351A_2: [Actively working to undermine U.S. international power and influence] Data Only Variable: Presentation order of response option in Q351A

[Actively working to undermine U.S. international power and influence] Data Only Variable: Presentation order of response option in Q351A

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	First response option presented	501	24.3 %
2	Second response option presented	502	24.4 %

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
	Missing Data		
.	-	1058	51.3 %
	Total	2,061	100%

Based upon 1,003 valid cases out of 2,061 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 2.00

Location: 142-142 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

DOV_Q401_1: [Chinese immigrants in the United States] Data Only Variable: Presentation order of statement item in Q401 grid

[Chinese immigrants in the United States] Data Only Variable: Presentation order of statement item in Q401 grid

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	First statement shown	405	19.7 %
2	Second statement shown	405	19.7 %
3	Third statement shown	436	21.2 %
4	Fourth statement shown	370	18.0 %
5	Fifth statement shown	445	21.6 %
	Total	2,061	100%

Based upon 2,061 valid cases out of 2,061 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 5.00

Location: 143-143 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

DOV_Q401_2: [Middle Eastern immigrants in the United States] Data Only Variable: Presentation order of statement item in Q401 grid

[Middle Eastern immigrants in the United States] Data Only Variable: Presentation order of statement item in Q401 grid

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	First statement shown	429	20.8 %
2	Second statement shown	406	19.7 %
3	Third statement shown	403	19.6 %
4	Fourth statement shown	418	20.3 %
5	Fifth statement shown	405	19.7 %
	Total	2,061	100%

Based upon 2,061 valid cases out of 2,061 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 5.00

Location: 144-144 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

DOV_Q401_3: [Mexican immigrants in the United States] Data Only Variable: Presentation order of statement item in Q401 grid

[Mexican immigrants in the United States] Data Only Variable: Presentation order of statement item in Q401 grid

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	First statement shown	391	19.0 %
2	Second statement shown	423	20.5 %
3	Third statement shown	412	20.0 %
4	Fourth statement shown	458	22.2 %
5	Fifth statement shown	377	18.3 %
Total		2,061	100%

Based upon 2,061 valid cases out of 2,061 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 5.00

Location: 145-145 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

DOV_Q401_4: [Mexicans living in Mexico] Data Only Variable: Presentation order of statement item in Q401 grid

[Mexicans living in Mexico] Data Only Variable: Presentation order of statement item in Q401 grid

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	First statement shown	410	19.9 %
2	Second statement shown	400	19.4 %
3	Third statement shown	427	20.7 %
4	Fourth statement shown	415	20.1 %
5	Fifth statement shown	409	19.8 %
Total		2,061	100%

Based upon 2,061 valid cases out of 2,061 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 5.00

Location: 146-146 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

DOV_Q401_5: [Korean immigrants in the United States] Data Only Variable: Presentation order of statement item in Q401 grid

[Korean immigrants in the United States] Data Only Variable: Presentation order of statement item in Q401 grid

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	First statement shown	426	20.7 %
2	Second statement shown	427	20.7 %
3	Third statement shown	383	18.6 %
4	Fourth statement shown	400	19.4 %
5	Fifth statement shown	425	20.6 %
	Total	2,061	100%

Based upon 2,061 valid cases out of 2,061 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 5.00

Location: 147-147 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

DOV_Q410AB: Data Only Variable: Indicates if respondent was shown Q410A or Q410B

Data Only Variable: Indicates if respondent was shown Q410A or Q410B

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Shown Q410A	1051	51.0 %
2	Shown Q410B	1010	49.0 %
	Total	2,061	100%

Based upon 2,061 valid cases out of 2,061 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 2.00

Location: 148-148 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

DOV_Q1000_1: [Hillary Clinton] Data Only Variable: Presentation order of response option in Q1000

[Hillary Clinton] Data Only Variable: Presentation order of response option in Q1000

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	First response option presented	983	47.7 %
2	Second response option presented	1078	52.3 %
3	Third response option presented	0	0.0 %

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
4	Fourth response option presented	0	0.0 %
Total		2,061	100%

Based upon 2,061 valid cases out of 2,061 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 2.00

Location: 149-149 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

DOV_Q1000_2: [Donald Trump] Data Only Variable: Presentation order of response option in Q1000

[Donald Trump] Data Only Variable: Presentation order of response option in Q1000

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	First response option presented	1078	52.3 %
2	Second response option presented	983	47.7 %
3	Third response option presented	0	0.0 %
4	Fourth response option presented	0	0.0 %
Total		2,061	100%

Based upon 2,061 valid cases out of 2,061 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 2.00

Location: 150-150 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

DOV_Q1000_3: [Neither] Data Only Variable: Presentation order of response option in Q1000

[Neither] Data Only Variable: Presentation order of response option in Q1000

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	First response option presented	0	0.0 %
2	Second response option presented	0	0.0 %
3	Third response option presented	2061	100.0 %
4	Fourth response option presented	0	0.0 %
Total		2,061	100%

Based upon 2,061 valid cases out of 2,061 total cases.

- Minimum: 3.00
- Maximum: 3.00

Location: 151-151 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

DOV_Q1000_4: [Other (specify)] Data Only Variable: Presentation order of response option in Q1000

[Other (specify)] Data Only Variable: Presentation order of response option in Q1000

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	First response option presented	0	0.0 %
2	Second response option presented	0	0.0 %
3	Third response option presented	0	0.0 %
4	Fourth response option presented	2061	100.0 %
	Total	2,061	100%

Based upon 2,061 valid cases out of 2,061 total cases.

- Minimum: 4.00

- Maximum: 4.00

Location: 152-152 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

DOV_Q1001_1: [Hillary Clinton] Data Only Variable: Presentation order of response option in Q1001

[Hillary Clinton] Data Only Variable: Presentation order of response option in Q1001

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	First response option	305	14.8 %
2	Second response option	277	13.4 %
3	Third response option	287	13.9 %
4	Fourth response option	286	13.9 %
5	Fifth response option	313	15.2 %
6	Sixth response option	298	14.5 %
7	Seventh response option	295	14.3 %
8	Eighth response option	0	0.0 %
	Total	2,061	100%

Based upon 2,061 valid cases out of 2,061 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00

- Maximum: 7.00

Location: 153-153 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

DOV_Q1001_2: [Donald Trump] Data Only Variable: Presentation order of response option in Q1002

[Donald Trump] Data Only Variable: Presentation order of response option in Q1002

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	First response option	322	15.6 %
2	Second response option	287	13.9 %
3	Third response option	282	13.7 %
4	Fourth response option	309	15.0 %
5	Fifth response option	264	12.8 %
6	Sixth response option	292	14.2 %
7	Seventh response option	305	14.8 %
8	Eighth response option	0	0.0 %
Total		2,061	100%

Based upon 2,061 valid cases out of 2,061 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 7.00

Location: 154-154 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

DOV_Q1001_3: [Bernie Sanders] Data Only Variable: Presentation order of response option in Q1003

[Bernie Sanders] Data Only Variable: Presentation order of response option in Q1003

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	First response option	297	14.4 %
2	Second response option	304	14.8 %
3	Third response option	294	14.3 %
4	Fourth response option	270	13.1 %
5	Fifth response option	302	14.7 %
6	Sixth response option	305	14.8 %
7	Seventh response option	289	14.0 %
8	Eighth response option	0	0.0 %
Total		2,061	100%

Based upon 2,061 valid cases out of 2,061 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 7.00

Location: 155-155 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

DOV_Q1001_4: [Ted Cruz] Data Only Variable: Presentation order of response option in Q1004

[Ted Cruz] Data Only Variable: Presentation order of response option in Q1004

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	First response option	291	14.1 %
2	Second response option	284	13.8 %
3	Third response option	291	14.1 %
4	Fourth response option	295	14.3 %
5	Fifth response option	293	14.2 %
6	Sixth response option	296	14.4 %
7	Seventh response option	311	15.1 %
8	Eighth response option	0	0.0 %
Total		2,061	100%

Based upon 2,061 valid cases out of 2,061 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 7.00

Location: 156-156 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

DOV_Q1001_5: [John Kasich] Data Only Variable: Presentation order of response option in Q1005

[John Kasich] Data Only Variable: Presentation order of response option in Q1005

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	First response option	289	14.0 %
2	Second response option	288	14.0 %
3	Third response option	304	14.8 %
4	Fourth response option	295	14.3 %
5	Fifth response option	300	14.6 %
6	Sixth response option	288	14.0 %
7	Seventh response option	297	14.4 %
8	Eighth response option	0	0.0 %
Total		2,061	100%

Based upon 2,061 valid cases out of 2,061 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 7.00

Location: 157-157 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

DOV_Q1001_6: [Marco Rubio] Data Only Variable: Presentation order of response option in Q1006

[Marco Rubio] Data Only Variable: Presentation order of response option in Q1006

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	First response option	282	13.7 %
2	Second response option	296	14.4 %
3	Third response option	299	14.5 %
4	Fourth response option	292	14.2 %
5	Fifth response option	298	14.5 %
6	Sixth response option	310	15.0 %
7	Seventh response option	284	13.8 %
8	Eighth response option	0	0.0 %
Total		2,061	100%

Based upon 2,061 valid cases out of 2,061 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 7.00

Location: 158-158 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

DOV_Q1001_7: [Jeb Bush] Data Only Variable: Presentation order of response option in Q1007

[Jeb Bush] Data Only Variable: Presentation order of response option in Q1007

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	First response option	275	13.3 %
2	Second response option	325	15.8 %
3	Third response option	304	14.8 %
4	Fourth response option	314	15.2 %
5	Fifth response option	291	14.1 %
6	Sixth response option	272	13.2 %
7	Seventh response option	280	13.6 %
8	Eighth response option	0	0.0 %
Total		2,061	100%

Based upon 2,061 valid cases out of 2,061 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 7.00

Location: 159-159 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

DOV_Q1001_8: [Other (specify)] Data Only Variable: Presentation order of response option in Q1008

[Other (specify)] Data Only Variable: Presentation order of response option in Q1008

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	First response option	0	0.0 %
2	Second response option	0	0.0 %
3	Third response option	0	0.0 %
4	Fourth response option	0	0.0 %
5	Fifth response option	0	0.0 %
6	Sixth response option	0	0.0 %
7	Seventh response option	0	0.0 %
8	Eighth response option	2061	100.0 %
Total		2,061	100%

Based upon 2,061 valid cases out of 2,061 total cases.

- Minimum: 8.00
- Maximum: 8.00

Location: 160-160 (*width:* 1; *decimal:* 0)

Variable Type: numeric

PPAGE: Age

Age

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
-2	Not asked	0	0.0 %
-1	REFUSED	0	0.0 %
18	-	24	1.2 %
19	-	19	0.9 %
20	-	19	0.9 %
21	-	27	1.3 %
22	-	16	0.8 %
23	-	28	1.4 %
24	-	22	1.1 %
25	-	34	1.6 %
26	-	33	1.6 %
27	-	29	1.4 %
28	-	27	1.3 %
29	-	29	1.4 %
30	-	20	1.0 %

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
31	-	22	1.1 %
32	-	25	1.2 %
33	-	28	1.4 %
34	-	31	1.5 %
35	-	33	1.6 %
36	-	24	1.2 %
37	-	28	1.4 %
38	-	25	1.2 %
39	-	35	1.7 %
40	-	19	0.9 %
41	-	30	1.5 %
42	-	32	1.6 %
43	-	34	1.6 %
44	-	37	1.8 %
45	-	25	1.2 %
46	-	20	1.0 %
47	-	25	1.2 %
48	-	26	1.3 %
49	-	24	1.2 %
50	-	32	1.6 %
51	-	38	1.8 %
52	-	43	2.1 %
53	-	38	1.8 %
54	-	51	2.5 %
55	-	47	2.3 %
56	-	64	3.1 %
57	-	68	3.3 %
58	-	55	2.7 %
59	-	51	2.5 %
60	-	32	1.6 %
61	-	52	2.5 %
62	-	45	2.2 %
63	-	35	1.7 %
64	-	32	1.6 %
65	-	35	1.7 %
Total		2,061	100%

Please note that only the first 50 response categories are displayed in the PDF codebook. To view all response categories, please analyze the data file in the statistical package of your choice (SAS, SPSS, Stata, R).

Based upon 2,061 valid cases out of 2,061 total cases.

- Mean: 51.33
- Median: 54.00
- Mode: 57.00
- Minimum: 18.00
- Maximum: 93.00
- Standard Deviation: 17.05

Location: 161-162 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

PPAGECAT: Age - 7 Categories

Age - 7 Categories

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	18-24	155	7.5 %
2	25-34	278	13.5 %
3	35-44	297	14.4 %
4	45-54	322	15.6 %
5	55-64	481	23.3 %
6	65-74	383	18.6 %
7	75+	145	7.0 %
99	Under 18	0	0.0 %
Total		2,061	100%

Based upon 2,061 valid cases out of 2,061 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 7.00

Location: 163-164 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

PPAGECT4: Age - 4 Categories

Age - 4 Categories

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	18-29	307	14.9 %
2	30-44	423	20.5 %
3	45-59	607	29.5 %
4	60+	724	35.1 %
99	Under 18	0	0.0 %
Total		2,061	100%

Based upon 2,061 valid cases out of 2,061 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 4.00

Location: 165-166 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

PPEDUC: Education (Highest Degree Received)

Education (Highest Degree Received)

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
-2	Not asked	0	0.0 %
-1	REFUSED	0	0.0 %
1	No formal education	3	0.1 %
2	1st, 2nd, 3rd, or 4th grade	6	0.3 %
3	5th or 6th grade	8	0.4 %
4	7th or 8th grade	10	0.5 %
5	9th grade	15	0.7 %
6	10th grade	30	1.5 %
7	11th grade	42	2.0 %
8	12th grade NO DIPLOMA	44	2.1 %
9	HIGH SCHOOL GRADUATE - high school DIPLOMA or the equivalent (GED)	619	30.0 %
10	Some college, no degree	395	19.2 %
11	Associate degree	169	8.2 %
12	Bachelors degree	418	20.3 %
13	Masters degree	227	11.0 %
14	Professional or Doctorate degree	75	3.6 %
Total		2,061	100%

Based upon 2,061 valid cases out of 2,061 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 14.00

Location: 167-168 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

PPEDUCAT: Education (Categorical)

Education (Categorical)

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
-2	Not asked	0	0.0 %
-1	REFUSED	0	0.0 %
1	Less than high school	158	7.7 %
2	High school	619	30.0 %

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
3	Some college	564	27.4 %
4	Bachelor's degree or higher	720	34.9 %
	Total	2,061	100%

Based upon 2,061 valid cases out of 2,061 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 4.00

Location: 169-170 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

PPETHM: Race / Ethnicity

Race / Ethnicity

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
-2	Not asked	0	0.0 %
-1	REFUSED	0	0.0 %
1	White, Non-Hispanic	1537	74.6 %
2	Black, Non-Hispanic	172	8.3 %
3	Other, Non-Hispanic	100	4.9 %
4	Hispanic	201	9.8 %
5	2+ Races, Non-Hispanic	51	2.5 %
	Total	2,061	100%

Based upon 2,061 valid cases out of 2,061 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 5.00

Location: 171-172 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

PPGENDER: Gender

Gender

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
-2	Not asked	0	0.0 %
-1	REFUSED	0	0.0 %
1	Male	1029	49.9 %
2	Female	1032	50.1 %
	Total	2,061	100%

Based upon 2,061 valid cases out of 2,061 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 2.00

Location: 173-174 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

PPHHHEAD: Household Head

Household Head

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
-2	Not asked	0	0.0 %
-1	REFUSED	0	0.0 %
0	No	368	17.9 %
1	Yes	1693	82.1 %
	Total	2,061	100%

Based upon 2,061 valid cases out of 2,061 total cases.

- Minimum: 0.00
- Maximum: 1.00

Location: 175-176 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

PPHHSIZE: Household Size

Household Size

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
-2	Not asked	0	0.0 %
-1	REFUSED	0	0.0 %
1	-	368	17.9 %
2	-	830	40.3 %
3	-	356	17.3 %
4	-	287	13.9 %
5	-	131	6.4 %
6	-	56	2.7 %
7	-	21	1.0 %
8	-	5	0.2 %
9	-	4	0.2 %
10	-	2	0.1 %
12	-	1	0.0 %
	Total	2,061	100%

Based upon 2,061 valid cases out of 2,061 total cases.

- Mean: 2.66
- Median: 2.00
- Mode: 2.00
- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 12.00
- Standard Deviation: 1.42

Location: 177-178 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

PPHOUSE: Housing Type

Housing Type

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
-2	Not asked	0	0.0 %
-1	REFUSED	0	0.0 %
1	A one-family house detached from any other house	1474	71.5 %
2	A one-family house attached to one or more houses	159	7.7 %
3	A building with 2 or more apartments	355	17.2 %
4	A mobile home	69	3.3 %
5	Boat, RV, van, etc.	4	0.2 %
Total		2,061	100%

Based upon 2,061 valid cases out of 2,061 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 5.00

Location: 179-180 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

PPINCIMP: Household Income

Household Income

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
-2	Not asked	0	0.0 %
-1	REFUSED	0	0.0 %
1	Less than \$5,000	38	1.8 %
2	\$5,000 to \$7,499	23	1.1 %
3	\$7,500 to \$9,999	16	0.8 %
4	\$10,000 to \$12,499	50	2.4 %
5	\$12,500 to \$14,999	45	2.2 %
6	\$15,000 to \$19,999	67	3.3 %

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
7	\$20,000 to \$24,999	73	3.5 %
8	\$25,000 to \$29,999	89	4.3 %
9	\$30,000 to \$34,999	96	4.7 %
10	\$35,000 to \$39,999	93	4.5 %
11	\$40,000 to \$49,999	135	6.6 %
12	\$50,000 to \$59,999	191	9.3 %
13	\$60,000 to \$74,999	193	9.4 %
14	\$75,000 to \$84,999	138	6.7 %
15	\$85,000 to \$99,999	145	7.0 %
16	\$100,000 to \$124,999	309	15.0 %
17	\$125,000 to \$149,999	130	6.3 %
18	\$150,000 to \$174,999	93	4.5 %
19	\$175,000 or more	137	6.6 %
Total		2,061	100%

Based upon 2,061 valid cases out of 2,061 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 19.00

Location: 181-182 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

PPMARIT: Marital Status

Marital Status

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
-2	Not asked	0	0.0 %
-1	REFUSED	0	0.0 %
1	Married	1198	58.1 %
2	Widowed	96	4.7 %
3	Divorced	223	10.8 %
4	Separated	28	1.4 %
5	Never married	426	20.7 %
6	Living with partner	90	4.4 %
Total		2,061	100%

Based upon 2,061 valid cases out of 2,061 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 6.00

Location: 183-184 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

PPMSACAT: MSA Status

MSA Status

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
-2	Not asked	0	0.0 %
-1	REFUSED	0	0.0 %
0	Non-Metro	316	15.3 %
1	Metro	1745	84.7 %
	Total	2,061	100%

Based upon 2,061 valid cases out of 2,061 total cases.

- Minimum: 0.00
- Maximum: 1.00

Location: 185-186 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

PPREG4: Region 4 - Based on State of Residence

Region 4 - Based on State of Residence

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
-2	Not asked	0	0.0 %
-1	REFUSED	0	0.0 %
1	Northeast	398	19.3 %
2	Midwest	481	23.3 %
3	South	710	34.4 %
4	West	472	22.9 %
	Total	2,061	100%

Based upon 2,061 valid cases out of 2,061 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 4.00

Location: 187-188 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

PPREG9: Region 9 - Based on State of Residence

Region 9 - Based on State of Residence

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	New England	99	4.8 %
2	Mid-Atlantic	299	14.5 %
3	East-North Central	335	16.3 %
4	West-North Central	146	7.1 %
5	South Atlantic	384	18.6 %
6	East-South Central	108	5.2 %
7	West-South Central	218	10.6 %
8	Mountain	140	6.8 %
9	Pacific	332	16.1 %
Total		2,061	100%

Based upon 2,061 valid cases out of 2,061 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 9.00

Location: 189-189 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

PPRENT: Ownership Status of Living Quarters

Ownership Status of Living Quarters

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
-2	Not asked	0	0.0 %
-1	REFUSED	0	0.0 %
1	Owned or being bought by you or someone in your household	1526	74.0 %
2	Rented for cash	489	23.7 %
3	Occupied without payment of cash rent	46	2.2 %
Total		2,061	100%

Based upon 2,061 valid cases out of 2,061 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 3.00

Location: 190-191 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

PPSTATEN: State

State

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
-2	Not asked	0	0.0 %

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
-1	REFUSED	0	0.0 %
11	ME	13	0.6 %
12	NH	9	0.4 %
13	VT	1	0.0 %
14	MA	47	2.3 %
15	RI	7	0.3 %
16	CT	22	1.1 %
21	NY	123	6.0 %
22	NJ	62	3.0 %
23	PA	114	5.5 %
31	OH	86	4.2 %
32	IN	37	1.8 %
33	IL	77	3.7 %
34	MI	78	3.8 %
35	WI	57	2.8 %
41	MN	43	2.1 %
42	IA	29	1.4 %
43	MO	43	2.1 %
44	ND	7	0.3 %
45	SD	2	0.1 %
46	NE	10	0.5 %
47	KS	12	0.6 %
51	DE	7	0.3 %
52	MD	44	2.1 %
53	DC	7	0.3 %
54	VA	55	2.7 %
55	WV	12	0.6 %
56	NC	51	2.5 %
57	SC	28	1.4 %
58	GA	59	2.9 %
59	FL	121	5.9 %
61	KY	25	1.2 %
62	TN	38	1.8 %
63	AL	29	1.4 %
64	MS	16	0.8 %
71	AR	16	0.8 %
72	LA	24	1.2 %
73	OK	24	1.2 %
74	TX	154	7.5 %

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
81	MT	9	0.4 %
82	ID	6	0.3 %
83	WY	4	0.2 %
84	CO	34	1.6 %
85	NM	14	0.7 %
86	AZ	43	2.1 %
87	UT	21	1.0 %
88	NV	9	0.4 %
91	WA	53	2.6 %
92	OR	38	1.8 %
Total		2,061	100%

Please note that only the first 50 response categories are displayed in the PDF codebook. To view all response categories, please analyze the data file in the statistical package of your choice (SAS, SPSS, Stata, R).

Based upon 2,061 valid cases out of 2,061 total cases.

- Minimum: 11.00
- Maximum: 95.00

Location: 192-193 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

PPT01: Presence of Household Members - Children 0-1

Presence of Household Members - Children 0 - 2

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
-2	Not asked	0	0.0 %
-1	REFUSED	0	0.0 %
0	-	1968	95.5 %
1	-	89	4.3 %
2	-	4	0.2 %
Total		2,061	100%

Based upon 2,061 valid cases out of 2,061 total cases.

- Mean: 0.05
- Median: 0.00
- Mode: 0.00
- Minimum: 0.00
- Maximum: 2.00
- Standard Deviation: 0.22

Location: 194-195 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

PPT25: Presence of Household Members - Children 2-5

Presence of Household Members - Children 2 - 5

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
-2	Not asked	0	0.0 %
-1	REFUSED	0	0.0 %
0	-	1911	92.7 %
1	-	122	5.9 %
2	-	26	1.3 %
3	-	2	0.1 %
Total		2,061	100%

Based upon 2,061 valid cases out of 2,061 total cases.

- Mean: 0.09
- Median: 0.00
- Mode: 0.00
- Minimum: 0.00
- Maximum: 3.00
- Standard Deviation: 0.33

Location: 196-197 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

PPT612: Presence of Household Members - Children 6-12

Presence of Household Members - Children 6 - 12

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
-2	Not asked	0	0.0 %
-1	REFUSED	0	0.0 %
0	-	1798	87.2 %
1	-	179	8.7 %
2	-	68	3.3 %
3	-	14	0.7 %
4	-	2	0.1 %
Total		2,061	100%

Based upon 2,061 valid cases out of 2,061 total cases.

- Mean: 0.18
- Median: 0.00
- Mode: 0.00
- Minimum: 0.00
- Maximum: 4.00
- Standard Deviation: 0.51

Location: 198-199 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

PPT1317: Presence of Household Members - Children 13-17

Presence of Household Members - Children 13 - 17

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
-2	Not asked	0	0.0 %
-1	REFUSED	0	0.0 %
0	-	1778	86.3 %
1	-	221	10.7 %
2	-	53	2.6 %
3	-	7	0.3 %
4	-	2	0.1 %
Total		2,061	100%

Based upon 2,061 valid cases out of 2,061 total cases.

- Mean: 0.17
- Median: 0.00
- Mode: 0.00
- Minimum: 0.00
- Maximum: 4.00
- Standard Deviation: 0.48

Location: 200-201 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

PPT18OV: Presence of Household Members - Adults 18+

Presence of Household Members - Adults 18+

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
-2	Not asked	0	0.0 %
-1	REFUSED	0	0.0 %
1	-	402	19.5 %
2	-	1160	56.3 %
3	-	307	14.9 %
4	-	140	6.8 %
5	-	37	1.8 %
6	-	10	0.5 %
7	-	2	0.1 %
8	-	1	0.0 %
10	-	1	0.0 %
12	-	1	0.0 %

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
Total		2,061	100%

Based upon 2,061 valid cases out of 2,061 total cases.

- Mean: 2.18
- Median: 2.00
- Mode: 2.00
- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 12.00
- Standard Deviation: 0.97

Location: 202-203 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

PPWORK: Current Employment Status

Current Employment Status

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
-2	Not asked	0	0.0 %
-1	REFUSED	0	0.0 %
1	Working - as a paid employee	1042	50.6 %
2	Working - self-employed	126	6.1 %
3	Not working - on temporary layoff from a job	14	0.7 %
4	Not working - looking for work	102	4.9 %
5	Not working - retired	499	24.2 %
6	Not working - disabled	129	6.3 %
7	Not working - other	149	7.2 %
Total		2,061	100%

Based upon 2,061 valid cases out of 2,061 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 7.00

Location: 204-205 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

PPNET: HH Internet Access

Household Internet Access

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	No	303	14.7 %
1	Yes	1758	85.3 %
Total		2,061	100%

Based upon 2,061 valid cases out of 2,061 total cases.

- Minimum: 0.00
- Maximum: 1.00

Location: 206-206 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

Q2_2: [National news] When you follow the news these days, how interested are you in reports about the following:

When you follow the news these days, how interested are you in reports about the following: very interested, somewhat interested, or hardly interested at all: National news?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Very interested	861	41.8 %
2	Somewhat interested	886	43.0 %
3	Hardly interested	177	8.6 %
4	Don't follow the news	126	6.1 %
Missing Data			
-1	Refused	11	0.5 %
Total		2,061	100%

Based upon 2,050 valid cases out of 2,061 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 4.00

Location: 207-208 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: -1

Q2_4: [News about the relations of the United States with other countries] When you follow the news these days, how interested are you in reports about the following:

When you follow the news these days, how interested are you in reports about the following: very interested, somewhat interested, or hardly interested at all: News about the relations of the United States with other countries?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Very interested	703	34.1 %
2	Somewhat interested	950	46.1 %
3	Hardly interested	264	12.8 %
4	Don't follow the news	138	6.7 %
Missing Data			
-1	Refused	6	0.3 %
Total		2,061	100%

Based upon 2,055 valid cases out of 2,061 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 4.00

Location: 209-210 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: -1

Q3_1: In order to make sure that your browser is working correctly, please select number 4 from the below list.

In order to make sure that your browser is working correctly, please select number 4 from the below list.

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	1	6	0.3 %
2	2	3	0.1 %
3	3	22	1.1 %
4	4	2021	98.1 %
5	5	4	0.2 %
Missing Data			
-1	Refused	5	0.2 %
Total		2,061	100%

Based upon 2,056 valid cases out of 2,061 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 5.00

Location: 211-212 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: -1

Q3: Do you think it will be best for the future of the country if we take an active part in world affairs or if we stay out of world affairs?

Do you think it will be best for the future of the country if we take an active part in world affairs or if we stay out of world affairs?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Active part	1377	66.8 %
2	Stay out	664	32.2 %
Missing Data			
-1	Refused	20	1.0 %
Total		2,061	100%

Based upon 2,041 valid cases out of 2,061 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 2.00

Location: 213-214 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric
(Range of) Missing Values: -1

Q5_1: [Drug related violence and instability in Mexico] Below is a list of possible threats to the vital interest of the United States in the next 10 years.

Below is a list of possible threats to the vital interest of the United States in the next 10 years. For each one, please select whether you see this as a critical threat, an important but not critical threat, or not an important threat at all: Drug related violence and instability in Mexico?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Critical threat	608	29.5 %
2	Important but not critical threat	957	46.4 %
3	Not an important threat	161	7.8 %
Missing Data			
-1	Refused	8	0.4 %
.	-	327	15.9 %
Total		2,061	100%

Based upon 1,726 valid cases out of 2,061 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 3.00

Location: 215-216 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: -1 , .

Q5_4: [Islamic fundamentalism] Below is a list of possible threats to the vital interest of the United States in the next 10 years.

Below is a list of possible threats to the vital interest of the United States in the next 10 years. For each one, please select whether you see this as a critical threat, an important but not critical threat, or not an important threat at all: Islamic fundamentalism?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Critical threat	1060	51.4 %
2	Important but not critical threat	529	25.7 %
3	Not an important threat	116	5.6 %
Missing Data			
-1	Refused	10	0.5 %
.	-	346	16.8 %
Total		2,061	100%

Based upon 1,705 valid cases out of 2,061 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 3.00

Location: 217-218 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric
(Range of) Missing Values: -1, .

Q5_5: [The possibility of unfriendly countries becoming nuclear powers] Below is a list of possible threats to the vital interest of the United States in the next 10 years.

Below is a list of possible threats to the vital interest of the United States in the next 10 years. For each one, please select whether you see this as a critical threat, an important but not critical threat, or not an important threat at all: The possibility of unfriendly countries becoming nuclear powers?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Critical threat	1046	50.8 %
2	Important but not critical threat	569	27.6 %
3	Not an important threat	73	3.5 %
Missing Data			
-1	Refused	8	0.4 %
.	-	365	17.7 %
Total		2,061	100%

Based upon 1,688 valid cases out of 2,061 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 3.00

Location: 219-220 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: -1, .

Q5_6A: [Large numbers of immigrants and refugees coming into the U.S.] Below is a list of possible threats to the vital interest of the United States in the next 10 years.

Below is a list of possible threats to the vital interest of the United States in the next 10 years. For each one, please select whether you see this as a critical threat, an important but not critical threat, or not an important threat at all: Large numbers of immigrants and refugees coming into the U.S.?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Critical threat	802	38.9 %
2	Important but not critical threat	682	33.1 %
3	Not an important threat	239	11.6 %
Missing Data			
-1	Refused	5	0.2 %
.	-	333	16.2 %
Total		2,061	100%

Based upon 1,723 valid cases out of 2,061 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 3.00

Location: 221-222 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: -1, ..

Q5_8A: [Climate change] Below is a list of possible threats to the vital interest of the United States in the next 10 years.

Below is a list of possible threats to the vital interest of the United States in the next 10 years. For each one, please select whether you see this as a critical threat, an important but not critical threat, or not an important threat at all: Climate change?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Critical threat	320	15.5 %
2	Important but not critical threat	319	15.5 %
3	Not an important threat	207	10.0 %
Missing Data			
-1	Refused	4	0.2 %
.	-	1211	58.8 %
Total		2,061	100%

Based upon 846 valid cases out of 2,061 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00

- Maximum: 3.00

Location: 223-224 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: -1, ..

Q5_8B: [Global warming] Below is a list of possible threats to the vital interest of the United States in the next 10 years.

Below is a list of possible threats to the vital interest of the United States in the next 10 years. For each one, please select whether you see this as a critical threat, an important but not critical threat, or not an important threat at all: Global warming?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Critical threat	355	17.2 %
2	Important but not critical threat	268	13.0 %
3	Not an important threat	219	10.6 %
Missing Data			
-1	Refused	2	0.1 %
.	-	1217	59.0 %
Total		2,061	100%

Based upon 842 valid cases out of 2,061 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00

- Maximum: 3.00

Location: 225-226 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric
(Range of) Missing Values: -1, .

Q5_10: [International terrorism] Below is a list of possible threats to the vital interest of the United States in the next 10 years.

Below is a list of possible threats to the vital interest of the United States in the next 10 years. For each one, please select whether you see this as a critical threat, an important but not critical threat, or not an important threat at all: International terrorism?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Critical threat	1319	64.0 %
2	Important but not critical threat	353	17.1 %
3	Not an important threat	29	1.4 %
	Missing Data		
-1	Refused	4	0.2 %
.	-	356	17.3 %
	Total	2,061	100%

Based upon 1,701 valid cases out of 2,061 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 3.00

Location: 227-228 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: -1, .

Q5_21: [Russia's territorial ambitions] Below is a list of possible threats to the vital interest of the United States in the next 10 years.

Below is a list of possible threats to the vital interest of the United States in the next 10 years. For each one, please select whether you see this as a critical threat, an important but not critical threat, or not an important threat at all: Russia's territorial ambitions?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Critical threat	524	25.4 %
2	Important but not critical threat	1000	48.5 %
3	Not an important threat	191	9.3 %
	Missing Data		
-1	Refused	7	0.3 %
.	-	339	16.4 %
	Total	2,061	100%

Based upon 1,715 valid cases out of 2,061 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 3.00

Location: 229-230 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: -1, .

Q5_23: [China's economic power] Below is a list of possible threats to the vital interest of the United States in the next 10 years.

Below is a list of possible threats to the vital interest of the United States in the next 10 years. For each one, please select whether you see this as a critical threat, an important but not critical threat, or not an important threat at all: China's economic power?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Critical threat	545	26.4 %
2	Important but not critical threat	997	48.4 %
3	Not an important threat	164	8.0 %
	Missing Data		
-1	Refused	9	0.4 %
.	-	346	16.8 %
	Total	2,061	100%

Based upon 1,706 valid cases out of 2,061 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 3.00

Location: 231-232 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: -1, .

Q5_24: [China's military power] Below is a list of possible threats to the vital interest of the United States in the next 10 years.

Below is a list of possible threats to the vital interest of the United States in the next 10 years. For each one, please select whether you see this as a critical threat, an important but not critical threat, or not an important threat at all: China's military power?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Critical threat	665	32.3 %
2	Important but not critical threat	915	44.4 %
3	Not an important threat	141	6.8 %
	Missing Data		
-1	Refused	8	0.4 %
.	-	332	16.1 %
	Total	2,061	100%

Based upon 1,721 valid cases out of 2,061 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 3.00

Location: 233-234 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: -1, .

Q5_27: [A confrontation between North Korea and South Korea] Below is a list of possible threats to the vital interest of the United States in the next 10 years.

Below is a list of possible threats to the vital interest of the United States in the next 10 years. For each one, please select whether you see this as a critical threat, an important but not critical threat, or not an important threat at all: A confrontation between North Korea and South Korea?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Critical threat	556	27.0 %
2	Important but not critical threat	968	47.0 %
3	Not an important threat	196	9.5 %
	Missing Data		
-1	Refused	7	0.3 %
.	-	334	16.2 %
	Total	2,061	100%

Based upon 1,720 valid cases out of 2,061 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 3.00

Location: 235-236 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: -1, .

Q5_28: [An international financial crisis] Below is a list of possible threats to the vital interest of the United States in the next 10 years.

Below is a list of possible threats to the vital interest of the United States in the next 10 years. For each one, please select whether you see this as a critical threat, an important but not critical threat, or not an important threat at all: An international financial crisis?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Critical threat	867	42.1 %
2	Important but not critical threat	768	37.3 %
3	Not an important threat	84	4.1 %
	Missing Data		
-1	Refused	7	0.3 %
.	-	335	16.3 %
	Total	2,061	100%

Based upon 1,719 valid cases out of 2,061 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 3.00

Location: 237-238 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: -1, .

Q5_29: [North Korea's nuclear program] Below is a list of possible threats to the vital interest of the United States in the next 10 years.

Below is a list of possible threats to the vital interest of the United States in the next 10 years. For each one, please select whether you see this as a critical threat, an important but not critical threat, or not an important threat at all: North Korea's nuclear program?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Critical threat	1040	50.5 %
2	Important but not critical threat	587	28.5 %
3	Not an important threat	86	4.2 %
Missing Data			
-1	Refused	6	0.3 %
.	-	342	16.6 %
Total		2,061	100%

Based upon 1,713 valid cases out of 2,061 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 3.00

Location: 239-240 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: -1, .

Q7_3: [Combating international terrorism] Below is a list of possible foreign policy goals that the United States might have.

Below is a list of possible foreign policy goals that the United States might have. For each one please select whether you think that it should be a very important foreign policy goal of the United States, a somewhat important foreign policy goal, or not an important goal at all: Combating international terrorism?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Very important	1190	57.7 %
2	Somewhat important	357	17.3 %
3	Not important at all	31	1.5 %
Missing Data			
-1	Refused	9	0.4 %
.	-	474	23.0 %
Total		2,061	100%

Based upon 1,578 valid cases out of 2,061 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 3.00

Location: 241-242 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: -1, .

Q7_4A: [Maintaining superior military power worldwide] Below is a list of possible foreign policy goals that the United States might have.

Below is a list of possible foreign policy goals that the United States might have. For each one please select whether you think that it should be a very important foreign policy goal of the United States, a somewhat important foreign policy goal, or not an important goal at all: Maintaining superior military power worldwide?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Very important	920	44.6 %
2	Somewhat important	543	26.3 %
3	Not important at all	118	5.7 %
	Missing Data		
-1	Refused	8	0.4 %
.	-	472	22.9 %
	Total	2,061	100%

Based upon 1,581 valid cases out of 2,061 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 3.00

Location: 243-244 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: -1, .

Q7_4B: [Maintaining superior economic power worldwide] Below is a list of possible foreign policy goals that the United States might have.

Below is a list of possible foreign policy goals that the United States might have. For each one please select whether you think that it should be a very important foreign policy goal of the United States, a somewhat important foreign policy goal, or not an important goal at all: Maintaining superior economic power worldwide?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Very important	793	38.5 %
2	Somewhat important	686	33.3 %
3	Not important at all	102	4.9 %
	Missing Data		
-1	Refused	8	0.4 %
.	-	472	22.9 %
	Total	2,061	100%

Based upon 1,581 valid cases out of 2,061 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 3.00

Location: 245-246 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: -1, .

Q7_5: [Protecting the jobs of American workers] Below is a list of possible foreign policy goals that the United States might have.

Below is a list of possible foreign policy goals that the United States might have. For each one please select whether you think that it should be a very important foreign policy goal of the United States, a somewhat important foreign policy goal, or not an important goal at all: Protecting the jobs of American workers?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Very important	1199	58.2 %
2	Somewhat important	351	17.0 %
3	Not important at all	39	1.9 %
	Missing Data		
-1	Refused	11	0.5 %
.	-	461	22.4 %
	Total	2,061	100%

Based upon 1,589 valid cases out of 2,061 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 3.00

Location: 247-248 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: -1, .

Q7_8A: [Controlling and reducing illegal immigration] Below is a list of possible foreign policy goals that the United States might have.

Below is a list of possible foreign policy goals that the United States might have. For each one please select whether you think that it should be a very important foreign policy goal of the United States, a somewhat important foreign policy goal, or not an important goal at all: Controlling and reducing illegal immigration?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Very important	377	18.3 %
2	Somewhat important	325	15.8 %
3	Not important at all	75	3.6 %
	Missing Data		
-1	Refused	5	0.2 %
.	-	1279	62.1 %
	Total	2,061	100%

Based upon 777 valid cases out of 2,061 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 3.00

Location: 249-250 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric
(Range of) Missing Values: -1, .

Q7_8B: [Controlling and reducing undocumented immigration] Below is a list of possible foreign policy goals that the United States might have.

Below is a list of possible foreign policy goals that the United States might have. For each one please select whether you think that it should be a very important foreign policy goal of the United States, a somewhat important foreign policy goal, or not an important goal at all: Controlling and reducing undocumented immigration?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Very important	419	20.3 %
2	Somewhat important	289	14.0 %
3	Not important at all	70	3.4 %
Missing Data			
-1	Refused	1	0.0 %
.	-	1282	62.2 %
Total		2,061	100%

Based upon 778 valid cases out of 2,061 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 3.00

Location: 251-252 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: -1, .

Q7_9A: [Limiting climate change] Below is a list of possible foreign policy goals that the United States might have.

Below is a list of possible foreign policy goals that the United States might have. For each one please select whether you think that it should be a very important foreign policy goal of the United States, a somewhat important foreign policy goal, or not an important goal at all: Limiting climate change?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Very important	300	14.6 %
2	Somewhat important	310	15.0 %
3	Not important at all	157	7.6 %
Missing Data			
-1	Refused	4	0.2 %
.	-	1290	62.6 %
Total		2,061	100%

Based upon 767 valid cases out of 2,061 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 3.00

Location: 253-254 (*width:* 2; *decimal:* 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: -1, ..

Q7_9B: [Limiting global warming] Below is a list of possible foreign policy goals that the United States might have.

Below is a list of possible foreign policy goals that the United States might have. For each one please select whether you think that it should be a very important foreign policy goal of the United States, a somewhat important foreign policy goal, or not an important goal at all: Limiting global warming?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Very important	365	17.7 %
2	Somewhat important	277	13.4 %
3	Not important at all	161	7.8 %
Missing Data			
-1	Refused	3	0.1 %
.	-	1255	60.9 %
Total		2,061	100%

Based upon 803 valid cases out of 2,061 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00

- Maximum: 3.00

Location: 255-256 (*width:* 2; *decimal:* 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: -1, ..

Q7_10: [Preventing the spread of nuclear weapons] Below is a list of possible foreign policy goals that the United States might have.

Below is a list of possible foreign policy goals that the United States might have. For each one please select whether you think that it should be a very important foreign policy goal of the United States, a somewhat important foreign policy goal, or not an important goal at all: Preventing the spread of nuclear weapons?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Very important	1074	52.1 %
2	Somewhat important	447	21.7 %
3	Not important at all	38	1.8 %
Missing Data			
-1	Refused	7	0.3 %
.	-	495	24.0 %
Total		2,061	100%

Based upon 1,559 valid cases out of 2,061 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00

- Maximum: 3.00

Location: 257-258 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: -1, .

Q7_12: [Combating world hunger] Below is a list of possible foreign policy goals that the United States might have.

Below is a list of possible foreign policy goals that the United States might have. For each one please select whether you think that it should be a very important foreign policy goal of the United States, a somewhat important foreign policy goal, or not an important goal at all: Combating world hunger?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Very important	628	30.5 %
2	Somewhat important	776	37.7 %
3	Not important at all	161	7.8 %
Missing Data			
-1	Refused	11	0.5 %
.	-	485	23.5 %
Total		2,061	100%

Based upon 1,565 valid cases out of 2,061 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 3.00

Location: 259-260 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: -1, .

Q7_19: [Defending our allies' security] Below is a list of possible foreign policy goals that the United States might have.

Below is a list of possible foreign policy goals that the United States might have. For each one please select whether you think that it should be a very important foreign policy goal of the United States, a somewhat important foreign policy goal, or not an important goal at all: Defending our allies' security?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Very important	561	27.2 %
2	Somewhat important	882	42.8 %
3	Not important at all	100	4.9 %
Missing Data			
-1	Refused	8	0.4 %
.	-	510	24.7 %
Total		2,061	100%

Based upon 1,543 valid cases out of 2,061 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 3.00

Location: 261-262 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: -1, .

Q7_23: [Improving America's standing in the world] Below is a list of possible foreign policy goals that the United States might have.

Below is a list of possible foreign policy goals that the United States might have. For each one please select whether you think that it should be a very important foreign policy goal of the United States, a somewhat important foreign policy goal, or not an important goal at all: Improving America's standing in the world?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Very important	847	41.1 %
2	Somewhat important	632	30.7 %
3	Not important at all	105	5.1 %
Missing Data			
-1	Refused	7	0.3 %
.	-	470	22.8 %
Total		2,061	100%

Based upon 1,584 valid cases out of 2,061 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 3.00

Location: 263-264 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: -1, .

Q7_11B: [Improving the world's environment] Below is a list of possible foreign policy goals that the United States might have.

Below is a list of possible foreign policy goals that the United States might have. For each one please select whether you think that it should be a very important foreign policy goal of the United States, a somewhat important foreign policy goal, or not an important goal at all: Improving the world's environment?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Very important	697	33.8 %
2	Somewhat important	699	33.9 %
3	Not important at all	186	9.0 %
Missing Data			
-1	Refused	11	0.5 %
.	-	468	22.7 %
Total		2,061	100%

Based upon 1,582 valid cases out of 2,061 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 3.00

Location: 265-266 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: -1, .

Q7_7B: [Attaining U.S. energy independence] Below is a list of possible foreign policy goals that the United States might have.

Below is a list of possible foreign policy goals that the United States might have. For each one please select whether you think that it should be a very important foreign policy goal of the United States, a somewhat important foreign policy goal, or not an important goal at all: Attaining U.S. energy independence?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Very important	1051	51.0 %
2	Somewhat important	512	24.8 %
3	Not important at all	44	2.1 %
	Missing Data		
-1	Refused	9	0.4 %
.	-	445	21.6 %
	Total	2,061	100%

Based upon 1,607 valid cases out of 2,061 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 3.00

Location: 267-268 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: -1, .

Q7_30A: [Promoting the full participation of women and girls in their societies around the world] Below is a list of possible foreign policy goals that the United States might have.

Below is a list of possible foreign policy goals that the United States might have. For each one please select whether you think that it should be a very important foreign policy goal of the United States, a somewhat important foreign policy goal, or not an important goal at all: Promoting the full participation of women and girls in their societies around the world?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Very important	284	13.8 %
2	Somewhat important	386	18.7 %
3	Not important at all	118	5.7 %
	Missing Data		
-1	Refused	8	0.4 %
.	-	1265	61.4 %

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
Total		2,061	100%

Based upon 788 valid cases out of 2,061 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 3.00

Location: 269-270 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: -1, .

Q7_30B: [Promoting the rights of women and girls around the world] Below is a list of possible foreign policy goals that the United States might have.

Below is a list of possible foreign policy goals that the United States might have. For each one please select whether you think that it should be a very important foreign policy goal of the United States, a somewhat important foreign policy goal, or not an important goal at all: Promoting the rights of women and girls around the world?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Very important	338	16.4 %
2	Somewhat important	379	18.4 %
3	Not important at all	98	4.8 %
Missing Data			
-1	Refused	3	0.1 %
.	-	1243	60.3 %
Total		2,061	100%

Based upon 815 valid cases out of 2,061 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 3.00

Location: 271-272 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: -1, .

Q8_1: [Strengthening the United Nations] And how effective do you think each of the following approaches are to achieving the foreign policy goals of the United States?

And how effective do you think each of the following approaches are to achieving the foreign policy goals of the United States - very effective, somewhat effective, not very effective, or not effective at all: Strengthening the United Nations?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Very effective	498	24.2 %
2	Somewhat effective	826	40.1 %
3	Not very effective	460	22.3 %
4	Not effective at all	255	12.4 %

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
	Missing Data		
-1	Refused	22	1.1 %
	Total	2,061	100%

Based upon 2,039 valid cases out of 2,061 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 4.00

Location: 273-274 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: -1

Q8_2: [Maintaining U.S. military superiority] And how effective do you think each of the following approaches are to achieving the foreign policy goals of the United States?

And how effective do you think each of the following approaches are to achieving the foreign policy goals of the United States - very effective, somewhat effective, not very effective, or not effective at all: Maintaining U.S. military superiority?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Very effective	1032	50.1 %
2	Somewhat effective	742	36.0 %
3	Not very effective	215	10.4 %
4	Not effective at all	57	2.8 %
	Missing Data		
-1	Refused	15	0.7 %
	Total	2,061	100%

Based upon 2,046 valid cases out of 2,061 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 4.00

Location: 275-276 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: -1

Q8_3: [Placing sanctions on other countries] And how effective do you think each of the following approaches are to achieving the foreign policy goals of the United States?

And how effective do you think each of the following approaches are to achieving the foreign policy goals of the United States - very effective, somewhat effective, not very effective, or not effective at all: Placing sanctions on other countries?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Very effective	336	16.3 %
2	Somewhat effective	989	48.0 %
3	Not very effective	603	29.3 %

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
4	Not effective at all	110	5.3 %
	Missing Data		
-1	Refused	23	1.1 %
	Total	2,061	100%

Based upon 2,038 valid cases out of 2,061 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 4.00

Location: 277-278 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: -1

Q8_4: [Signing free trade agreements with other countries] And how effective do you think each of the following approaches are to achieving the foreign policy goals of the United States?

And how effective do you think each of the following approaches are to achieving the foreign policy goals of the United States - very effective, somewhat effective, not very effective, or not effective at all: Signing free trade agreements with other countries?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Very effective	248	12.0 %
2	Somewhat effective	1083	52.5 %
3	Not very effective	559	27.1 %
4	Not effective at all	143	6.9 %
	Missing Data		
-1	Refused	28	1.4 %
	Total	2,061	100%

Based upon 2,033 valid cases out of 2,061 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 4.00

Location: 279-280 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: -1

Q8_5: [Maintaining existing alliances] And how effective do you think each of the following approaches are to achieving the foreign policy goals of the United States?

And how effective do you think each of the following approaches are to achieving the foreign policy goals of the United States - very effective, somewhat effective, not very effective, or not effective at all: Maintaining existing alliances?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Very effective	838	40.7 %

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
2	Somewhat effective	1005	48.8 %
3	Not very effective	156	7.6 %
4	Not effective at all	45	2.2 %
Missing Data			
-1	Refused	17	0.8 %
Total		2,061	100%

Based upon 2,044 valid cases out of 2,061 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 4.00

Location: 281-282 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: -1

Q8_6: [Building new alliances with other countries] And how effective do you think each of the following approaches are to achieving the foreign policy goals of the United States?

And how effective do you think each of the following approaches are to achieving the foreign policy goals of the United States - very effective, somewhat effective, not very effective, or not effective at all: Building new alliances with other countries?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Very effective	606	29.4 %
2	Somewhat effective	1104	53.6 %
3	Not very effective	275	13.3 %
4	Not effective at all	54	2.6 %
Missing Data			
-1	Refused	22	1.1 %
Total		2,061	100%

Based upon 2,039 valid cases out of 2,061 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 4.00

Location: 283-284 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: -1

Q8_13: [Signing international agreements] And how effective do you think each of the following approaches are to achieving the foreign policy goals of the United States?

And how effective do you think each of the following approaches are to achieving the foreign policy goals of the United States - very effective, somewhat effective, not very effective, or not effective at all: Signing international agreements?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Very effective	325	15.8 %
2	Somewhat effective	1100	53.4 %
3	Not very effective	503	24.4 %
4	Not effective at all	105	5.1 %
	Missing Data		
-1	Refused	28	1.4 %
	Total	2,061	100%

Based upon 2,033 valid cases out of 2,061 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 4.00

Location: 285-286 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of Missing Values: -1

Q8_14: [Intervening militarily] And how effective do you think each of the following approaches are to achieving the foreign policy goals of the United States?

And how effective do you think each of the following approaches are to achieving the foreign policy goals of the United States - very effective, somewhat effective, not very effective, or not effective at all: Intervening militarily?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Very effective	252	12.2 %
2	Somewhat effective	1032	50.1 %
3	Not very effective	608	29.5 %
4	Not effective at all	142	6.9 %
	Missing Data		
-1	Refused	27	1.3 %
	Total	2,061	100%

Based upon 2,034 valid cases out of 2,061 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 4.00

Location: 287-288 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of Missing Values: -1

Q11_NEW: What kind of leadership role should the United States play in the world?

What kind of leadership role should the United States play in the world? Should it be the dominant world leader, or should it play a shared leadership role, or should it not play any leadership role?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Dominant world leader	625	30.3 %
2	Play a shared leadership role	1285	62.3 %
3	Not play any leadership role	134	6.5 %
Missing Data			
-1	Refused	17	0.8 %
Total		2,061	100%

Based upon 2,044 valid cases out of 2,061 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 3.00

Location: 289-290 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: -1

Q385: Which of the following do you think is more important in determining a country's overall power and influence in the world - a country's economic strength, or its military strength?

Which of the following do you think is more important in determining a country's overall power and influence in the world - a country's economic strength, or its military strength?*

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Economic strength	1452	70.5 %
2	Military strength	582	28.2 %
Missing Data			
-1	Refused	27	1.3 %
Total		2,061	100%

Based upon 2,034 valid cases out of 2,061 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 2.00

Location: 291-292 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: -1

Q21: Some people say the United States has a unique character that makes it the greatest country in the world. Others say that every country is unique, and the United States is no greater than other nations. Which view is closer to your own?

Some people say the United States has a unique character that makes it the greatest country in the world. Others say that every country is unique, and the United States is no greater than other nations. Which view is closer to your own?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	The greatest country in the world	1293	62.7 %

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
2	No greater than other nations	742	36.0 %
3	Unsure	0	0.0 %
Missing Data			
-1	Refused	26	1.3 %
Total		2,061	100%

Based upon 2,035 valid cases out of 2,061 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 2.00

Location: 293-294 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: -1

Q40_1: [Japan] Do you think the United States should or should not have long-term military bases in the following places?

Do you think the United States should or should not have long-term military bases in the following places: Japan?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Should have	1268	61.5 %
2	Should not have	748	36.3 %
Missing Data			
-1	Refused	45	2.2 %
Total		2,061	100%

Based upon 2,016 valid cases out of 2,061 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 2.00

Location: 295-296 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: -1

Q40_3: [South Korea] Do you think the United States should or should not have long-term military bases in the following places?

Do you think the United States should or should not have long-term military bases in the following places: South Korea?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Should have	1489	72.2 %
2	Should not have	531	25.8 %
Missing Data			
-1	Refused	41	2.0 %

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
	Total	2,061	100%

Based upon 2,020 valid cases out of 2,061 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 2.00

Location: 297-298 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: -1

Q40_6: [Germany] Do you think the United States should or should not have long-term military bases in the following places?

Do you think the United States should or should not have long-term military bases in the following places: Germany?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Should have	1273	61.8 %
2	Should not have	747	36.2 %
	Missing Data		
-1	Refused	41	2.0 %
	Total	2,061	100%

Based upon 2,020 valid cases out of 2,061 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 2.00

Location: 299-300 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: -1

Q40_8: [Australia] Do you think the United States should or should not have long-term military bases in the following places?

Do you think the United States should or should not have long-term military bases in the following places: Australia?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Should have	951	46.1 %
2	Should not have	1066	51.7 %
	Missing Data		
-1	Refused	44	2.1 %
	Total	2,061	100%

Based upon 2,017 valid cases out of 2,061 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00

- Maximum: 2.00

Location: 301-302 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: -1

Q15: Thinking about the next generation of Americans who are children today, the way things are going, do you think economically they will be better off, worse off, or about the same as the generation of adults who are working today?

Thinking about the next generation of Americans who are children today, the way things are going, do you think economically they will be better off, worse off, or about the same as the generation of adults who are working today?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Better off	202	9.8 %
2	Worse off	1226	59.5 %
3	About the same	625	30.3 %
	Missing Data		
-1	Refused	8	0.4 %
	Total	2,061	100%

Based upon 2,053 valid cases out of 2,061 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00

- Maximum: 3.00

Location: 303-304 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: -1

Q15B: And thinking now about your parents when they were your age, do you think that economically they were better off, worse off, or about the same as you are today?

And thinking now about your parents when they were your age, do you think that economically they were better off, worse off, or about the same as you are today?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Better off	788	38.2 %
2	Worse off	739	35.9 %
3	About the same	520	25.2 %
	Missing Data		
-1	Refused	14	0.7 %
	Total	2,061	100%

Based upon 2,047 valid cases out of 2,061 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00

- Maximum: 3.00

Location: 305-306 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: -1

Q45_3: [Mexico] Please rate your feelings toward some countries and peoples, with one hundred meaning a very warm, favorable feeling, zero meaning a very cold, unfavorable feeling, and fifty meaning not particularly warm or cold.

Please rate your feelings toward some countries and peoples, with one hundred meaning a very warm, favorable feeling, zero meaning a very cold, unfavorable feeling, and fifty meaning not particularly warm or cold. You can use any number from zero to one hundred, the higher the number the more favorable your feelings are toward that country or those people:Mexico.

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	-	81	3.9 %
4	-	1	0.0 %
5	-	6	0.3 %
6	-	4	0.2 %
7	-	1	0.0 %
8	-	1	0.0 %
9	-	1	0.0 %
10	-	14	0.7 %
15	-	39	1.9 %
20	-	24	1.2 %
25	-	14	0.7 %
30	-	90	4.4 %
33	-	1	0.0 %
35	-	9	0.4 %
40	-	117	5.7 %
42	-	1	0.0 %
45	-	13	0.6 %
50	-	223	10.8 %
55	-	5	0.2 %
58	-	1	0.0 %
60	-	137	6.6 %
65	-	13	0.6 %
68	-	1	0.0 %
70	-	126	6.1 %
72	-	1	0.0 %
75	-	43	2.1 %
77	-	1	0.0 %
80	-	44	2.1 %
85	-	53	2.6 %
90	-	20	1.0 %

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
92	-	1	0.0 %
95	-	4	0.2 %
99	-	1	0.0 %
100	-	49	2.4 %
Missing Data			
-1	Refused	42	2.0 %
.	-	879	42.6 %
Total		2,061	100%

Based upon 1,140 valid cases out of 2,061 total cases.

- Mean: 50.96
- Median: 50.00
- Mode: 50.00
- Minimum: 0.00
- Maximum: 100.00
- Standard Deviation: 25.60

Location: 307-309 (width: 3; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: -1, .

Q45_4: [Israel] Please rate your feelings toward some countries and peoples, with one hundred meaning a very warm, favorable feeling, zero meaning a very cold, unfavorable feeling, and fifty meaning not particularly warm or cold.

Please rate your feelings toward some countries and peoples, with one hundred meaning a very warm, favorable feeling, zero meaning a very cold, unfavorable feeling, and fifty meaning not particularly warm or cold. You can use any number from zero to one hundred, the higher the number the more favorable your feelings are toward that country or those people: Israel.

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	-	68	3.3 %
1	-	1	0.0 %
2	-	1	0.0 %
5	-	5	0.2 %
9	-	3	0.1 %
10	-	14	0.7 %
15	-	42	2.0 %
20	-	11	0.5 %
21	-	1	0.0 %
22	-	1	0.0 %
25	-	11	0.5 %
30	-	59	2.9 %
31	-	1	0.0 %

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
35	-	2	0.1 %
39	-	1	0.0 %
40	-	67	3.3 %
45	-	4	0.2 %
48	-	1	0.0 %
50	-	189	9.2 %
55	-	6	0.3 %
56	-	2	0.1 %
60	-	115	5.6 %
65	-	6	0.3 %
67	-	1	0.0 %
70	-	118	5.7 %
75	-	36	1.7 %
76	-	1	0.0 %
80	-	48	2.3 %
85	-	80	3.9 %
88	-	2	0.1 %
90	-	50	2.4 %
92	-	1	0.0 %
95	-	13	0.6 %
98	-	1	0.0 %
99	-	1	0.0 %
100	-	174	8.4 %
Missing Data			
-1	Refused	48	2.3 %
.	-	876	42.5 %
Total		2,061	100%

Based upon 1,137 valid cases out of 2,061 total cases.

- Mean: 60.43
- Median: 60.00
- Mode: 50.00
- Minimum: 0.00
- Maximum: 100.00
- Standard Deviation: 29.15

Location: 310-312 (*width:* 3; *decimal:* 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: -1, .

Q45_6: [China] Please rate your feelings toward some countries and peoples, with one hundred meaning a very warm, favorable feeling, zero meaning a very cold, unfavorable feeling, and fifty meaning not particularly warm or cold.

Please rate your feelings toward some countries and peoples, with one hundred meaning a very warm, favorable feeling, zero meaning a very cold, unfavorable feeling, and fifty meaning not particularly warm or cold. You can use any number from zero to one hundred, the higher the number the more favorable your feelings are toward that country or those people:China.

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	-	90	4.4 %
1	-	3	0.1 %
2	-	2	0.1 %
3	-	3	0.1 %
5	-	6	0.3 %
7	-	1	0.0 %
10	-	32	1.6 %
13	-	1	0.0 %
15	-	72	3.5 %
20	-	25	1.2 %
24	-	1	0.0 %
25	-	21	1.0 %
26	-	1	0.0 %
30	-	122	5.9 %
31	-	1	0.0 %
33	-	1	0.0 %
34	-	1	0.0 %
35	-	11	0.5 %
36	-	1	0.0 %
38	-	1	0.0 %
40	-	170	8.2 %
42	-	1	0.0 %
45	-	14	0.7 %
50	-	266	12.9 %
52	-	1	0.0 %
55	-	10	0.5 %
56	-	1	0.0 %
58	-	1	0.0 %
59	-	1	0.0 %
60	-	140	6.8 %
65	-	6	0.3 %
70	-	76	3.7 %
75	-	23	1.1 %

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
79	-	1	0.0 %
80	-	27	1.3 %
85	-	23	1.1 %
90	-	11	0.5 %
95	-	4	0.2 %
100	-	26	1.3 %
Missing Data			
-1	Refused	52	2.5 %
.	-	811	39.3 %
Total		2,061	100%

Based upon 1,198 valid cases out of 2,061 total cases.

- Mean: 43.66
- Median: 50.00
- Mode: 50.00
- Minimum: 0.00
- Maximum: 100.00
- Standard Deviation: 23.51

Location: 313-315 (width: 3; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: -1, .

Q45_11: [Japan] Please rate your feelings toward some countries and peoples, with one hundred meaning a very warm, favorable feeling, zero meaning a very cold, unfavorable feeling, and fifty meaning not particularly warm or cold.

Please rate your feelings toward some countries and peoples, with one hundred meaning a very warm, favorable feeling, zero meaning a very cold, unfavorable feeling, and fifty meaning not particularly warm or cold. You can use any number from zero to one hundred, the higher the number the more favorable your feelings are toward that country or those people: Japan.

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	-	44	2.1 %
4	-	2	0.1 %
6	-	1	0.0 %
8	-	1	0.0 %
9	-	2	0.1 %
10	-	11	0.5 %
15	-	19	0.9 %
19	-	1	0.0 %
20	-	9	0.4 %
25	-	7	0.3 %
30	-	43	2.1 %

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
31	-	1	0.0 %
40	-	54	2.6 %
45	-	3	0.1 %
49	-	1	0.0 %
50	-	194	9.4 %
52	-	1	0.0 %
55	-	6	0.3 %
58	-	1	0.0 %
59	-	1	0.0 %
60	-	129	6.3 %
65	-	12	0.6 %
68	-	1	0.0 %
70	-	189	9.2 %
72	-	1	0.0 %
75	-	51	2.5 %
77	-	1	0.0 %
79	-	1	0.0 %
80	-	78	3.8 %
82	-	1	0.0 %
85	-	118	5.7 %
86	-	1	0.0 %
88	-	3	0.1 %
90	-	68	3.3 %
95	-	19	0.9 %
99	-	1	0.0 %
100	-	104	5.0 %
Missing Data			
-1	Refused	50	2.4 %
.	-	831	40.3 %
Total		2,061	100%

Based upon 1,180 valid cases out of 2,061 total cases.

- Mean: 64.13
- Median: 70.00
- Mode: 50.00
- Minimum: 0.00
- Maximum: 100.00
- Standard Deviation: 24.71

Location: 316-318 (*width:* 3; *decimal:* 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: -1, .

Q45_12: [Russia] Please rate your feelings toward some countries and peoples, with one hundred meaning a very warm, favorable feeling, zero meaning a very cold, unfavorable feeling, and fifty meaning not particularly warm or cold.

Please rate your feelings toward some countries and peoples, with one hundred meaning a very warm, favorable feeling, zero meaning a very cold, unfavorable feeling, and fifty meaning not particularly warm or cold. You can use any number from zero to one hundred, the higher the number the more favorable your feelings are toward that country or those people:Russia.

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	-	102	4.9 %
1	-	2	0.1 %
2	-	1	0.0 %
4	-	2	0.1 %
5	-	6	0.3 %
6	-	1	0.0 %
7	-	1	0.0 %
9	-	3	0.1 %
10	-	42	2.0 %
11	-	1	0.0 %
12	-	1	0.0 %
15	-	93	4.5 %
16	-	2	0.1 %
20	-	29	1.4 %
22	-	1	0.0 %
25	-	21	1.0 %
26	-	1	0.0 %
30	-	145	7.0 %
35	-	9	0.4 %
40	-	156	7.6 %
45	-	20	1.0 %
49	-	1	0.0 %
50	-	243	11.8 %
51	-	1	0.0 %
55	-	5	0.2 %
56	-	1	0.0 %
59	-	3	0.1 %
60	-	105	5.1 %
64	-	1	0.0 %
65	-	4	0.2 %
67	-	1	0.0 %
69	-	1	0.0 %
70	-	61	3.0 %

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
75	-	13	0.6 %
79	-	1	0.0 %
80	-	17	0.8 %
85	-	16	0.8 %
90	-	10	0.5 %
95	-	3	0.1 %
100	-	17	0.8 %
Missing Data			
-1	Refused	61	3.0 %
.	-	857	41.6 %
Total		2,061	100%

Based upon 1,143 valid cases out of 2,061 total cases.

- Mean: 39.68
- Median: 40.00
- Mode: 50.00
- Minimum: 0.00
- Maximum: 100.00
- Standard Deviation: 23.19

Location: 319-321 (width: 3; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: -1, .

Q45_14: [Iran] Please rate your feelings toward some countries and peoples, with one hundred meaning a very warm, favorable feeling, zero meaning a very cold, unfavorable feeling, and fifty meaning not particularly warm or cold.

Please rate your feelings toward some countries and peoples, with one hundred meaning a very warm, favorable feeling, zero meaning a very cold, unfavorable feeling, and fifty meaning not particularly warm or cold. You can use any number from zero to one hundred, the higher the number the more favorable your feelings are toward that country or those people:Iran.

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	-	330	16.0 %
1	-	11	0.5 %
2	-	5	0.2 %
4	-	2	0.1 %
5	-	22	1.1 %
7	-	1	0.0 %
9	-	1	0.0 %
10	-	65	3.2 %
12	-	1	0.0 %
13	-	1	0.0 %

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
15	-	140	6.8 %
20	-	60	2.9 %
21	-	1	0.0 %
22	-	1	0.0 %
25	-	11	0.5 %
30	-	124	6.0 %
31	-	1	0.0 %
35	-	10	0.5 %
40	-	109	5.3 %
42	-	1	0.0 %
43	-	1	0.0 %
45	-	15	0.7 %
49	-	2	0.1 %
50	-	141	6.8 %
55	-	1	0.0 %
60	-	26	1.3 %
65	-	4	0.2 %
66	-	2	0.1 %
70	-	22	1.1 %
75	-	8	0.4 %
78	-	1	0.0 %
80	-	9	0.4 %
85	-	7	0.3 %
90	-	7	0.3 %
100	-	13	0.6 %
Missing Data			
-1	Refused	48	2.3 %
.	-	857	41.6 %
Total		2,061	100%

Based upon 1,156 valid cases out of 2,061 total cases.

- Mean: 24.47
- Median: 15.00
- Mode: 0.00
- Minimum: 0.00
- Maximum: 100.00
- Standard Deviation: 23.63

Location: 322-324 (*width:* 3; *decimal:* 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: -1, .

Q45_15: [Cuba] Please rate your feelings toward some countries and peoples, with one hundred meaning a very warm, favorable feeling, zero meaning a very cold, unfavorable feeling, and fifty meaning not particularly warm or cold.

Please rate your feelings toward some countries and peoples, with one hundred meaning a very warm, favorable feeling, zero meaning a very cold, unfavorable feeling, and fifty meaning not particularly warm or cold. You can use any number from zero to one hundred, the higher the number the more favorable your feelings are toward that country or those people:Cuba.

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	-	102	4.9 %
1	-	4	0.2 %
2	-	1	0.0 %
3	-	2	0.1 %
4	-	1	0.0 %
5	-	8	0.4 %
7	-	1	0.0 %
8	-	1	0.0 %
10	-	27	1.3 %
11	-	1	0.0 %
15	-	61	3.0 %
20	-	24	1.2 %
25	-	14	0.7 %
30	-	84	4.1 %
33	-	1	0.0 %
34	-	1	0.0 %
35	-	9	0.4 %
40	-	126	6.1 %
45	-	9	0.4 %
50	-	259	12.6 %
55	-	12	0.6 %
56	-	1	0.0 %
60	-	149	7.2 %
62	-	2	0.1 %
65	-	11	0.5 %
68	-	1	0.0 %
69	-	1	0.0 %
70	-	101	4.9 %
74	-	1	0.0 %
75	-	23	1.1 %
78	-	1	0.0 %
80	-	34	1.6 %
85	-	49	2.4 %

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
88	-	1	0.0 %
90	-	21	1.0 %
95	-	1	0.0 %
99	-	1	0.0 %
100	-	36	1.7 %
Missing Data			
-1	Refused	43	2.1 %
.	-	836	40.6 %
Total		2,061	100%

Based upon 1,182 valid cases out of 2,061 total cases.

- Mean: 47.06
- Median: 50.00
- Mode: 50.00
- Minimum: 0.00
- Maximum: 100.00
- Standard Deviation: 25.65

Location: 325-327 (width: 3; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of Missing Values: -1, .)

Q45_19: [North Korea] Please rate your feelings toward some countries and peoples, with one hundred meaning a very warm, favorable feeling, zero meaning a very cold, unfavorable feeling, and fifty meaning not particularly warm or cold.

Please rate your feelings toward some countries and peoples, with one hundred meaning a very warm, favorable feeling, zero meaning a very cold, unfavorable feeling, and fifty meaning not particularly warm or cold. You can use any number from zero to one hundred, the higher the number the more favorable your feelings are toward that country or those people:North Korea.

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	-	481	23.3 %
1	-	14	0.7 %
2	-	6	0.3 %
3	-	2	0.1 %
4	-	1	0.0 %
5	-	24	1.2 %
8	-	1	0.0 %
10	-	60	2.9 %
11	-	1	0.0 %
15	-	149	7.2 %
20	-	40	1.9 %
25	-	16	0.8 %

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
30	-	88	4.3 %
33	-	1	0.0 %
35	-	5	0.2 %
37	-	1	0.0 %
40	-	59	2.9 %
45	-	5	0.2 %
47	-	1	0.0 %
48	-	1	0.0 %
50	-	104	5.0 %
59	-	1	0.0 %
60	-	22	1.1 %
65	-	1	0.0 %
70	-	16	0.8 %
75	-	4	0.2 %
77	-	1	0.0 %
79	-	1	0.0 %
80	-	4	0.2 %
85	-	5	0.2 %
90	-	5	0.2 %
100	-	10	0.5 %
Missing Data			
-1	Refused	46	2.2 %
.	-	885	42.9 %
Total		2,061	100%

Based upon 1,130 valid cases out of 2,061 total cases.

- Mean: 17.87
- Median: 10.00
- Mode: 0.00
- Minimum: 0.00
- Maximum: 100.00
- Standard Deviation: 22.36

Location: 328-330 (width: 3; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: -1, .

Q45_20: [South Korea] Please rate your feelings toward some countries and peoples, with one hundred meaning a very warm, favorable feeling, zero meaning a very cold, unfavorable feeling, and fifty meaning not particularly warm or cold.

Please rate your feelings toward some countries and peoples, with one hundred meaning a very warm, favorable feeling, zero meaning a very cold, unfavorable feeling, and fifty meaning not particularly warm or cold. You can use any number from zero to one hundred, the higher the number the more favorable your feelings are toward that country or those people:South Korea.

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	-	82	4.0 %
1	-	1	0.0 %
4	-	2	0.1 %
5	-	3	0.1 %
7	-	1	0.0 %
8	-	1	0.0 %
10	-	20	1.0 %
15	-	43	2.1 %
20	-	12	0.6 %
25	-	11	0.5 %
30	-	52	2.5 %
31	-	1	0.0 %
35	-	3	0.1 %
40	-	47	2.3 %
45	-	4	0.2 %
50	-	237	11.5 %
53	-	1	0.0 %
55	-	10	0.5 %
58	-	1	0.0 %
60	-	125	6.1 %
61	-	1	0.0 %
62	-	1	0.0 %
65	-	8	0.4 %
68	-	1	0.0 %
70	-	146	7.1 %
75	-	45	2.2 %
80	-	55	2.7 %
85	-	99	4.8 %
86	-	1	0.0 %
87	-	2	0.1 %
88	-	1	0.0 %
89	-	1	0.0 %
90	-	46	2.2 %
95	-	9	0.4 %
97	-	1	0.0 %
99	-	3	0.1 %

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
100	-	57	2.8 %
	Missing Data		
-1	Refused	56	2.7 %
.	-	871	42.3 %
	Total	2,061	100%

Based upon 1,134 valid cases out of 2,061 total cases.

- Mean: 56.27
- Median: 60.00
- Mode: 50.00
- Minimum: 0.00
- Maximum: 100.00
- Standard Deviation: 27.05

Location: 331-333 (width: 3; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of Missing Values: -1, .)

Q45_26: [Canada] Please rate your feelings toward some countries and peoples, with one hundred meaning a very warm, favorable feeling, zero meaning a very cold, unfavorable feeling, and fifty meaning not particularly warm or cold.

Please rate your feelings toward some countries and peoples, with one hundred meaning a very warm, favorable feeling, zero meaning a very cold, unfavorable feeling, and fifty meaning not particularly warm or cold. You can use any number from zero to one hundred, the higher the number the more favorable your feelings are toward that country or those people:Canada.

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	-	14	0.7 %
4	-	1	0.0 %
6	-	1	0.0 %
7	-	1	0.0 %
8	-	1	0.0 %
9	-	2	0.1 %
10	-	3	0.1 %
11	-	1	0.0 %
15	-	5	0.2 %
20	-	1	0.0 %
25	-	2	0.1 %
30	-	8	0.4 %
40	-	9	0.4 %
44	-	1	0.0 %
50	-	76	3.7 %
55	-	1	0.0 %

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
60	-	36	1.7 %
65	-	5	0.2 %
67	-	1	0.0 %
70	-	95	4.6 %
75	-	33	1.6 %
80	-	81	3.9 %
82	-	1	0.0 %
85	-	195	9.5 %
88	-	3	0.1 %
89	-	3	0.1 %
90	-	127	6.2 %
95	-	29	1.4 %
97	-	3	0.1 %
98	-	4	0.2 %
99	-	9	0.4 %
100	-	425	20.6 %
Missing Data			
-1	Refused	47	2.3 %
.	-	837	40.6 %
Total		2,061	100%

Based upon 1,177 valid cases out of 2,061 total cases.

- Mean: 83.54
- Median: 90.00
- Mode: 100.00
- Minimum: 0.00
- Maximum: 100.00
- Standard Deviation: 20.36

Location: 334-336 (width: 3; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of Missing Values: -1, .)

Q45_27: [Australia] Please rate your feelings toward some countries and peoples, with one hundred meaning a very warm, favorable feeling, zero meaning a very cold, unfavorable feeling, and fifty meaning not particularly warm or cold.

Please rate your feelings toward some countries and peoples, with one hundred meaning a very warm, favorable feeling, zero meaning a very cold, unfavorable feeling, and fifty meaning not particularly warm or cold. You can use any number from zero to one hundred, the higher the number the more favorable your feelings are toward that country or those people: Australia.

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	-	13	0.6 %

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
2	-	1	0.0 %
5	-	2	0.1 %
7	-	1	0.0 %
8	-	1	0.0 %
10	-	4	0.2 %
12	-	1	0.0 %
15	-	4	0.2 %
20	-	2	0.1 %
25	-	6	0.3 %
29	-	1	0.0 %
30	-	14	0.7 %
33	-	1	0.0 %
34	-	1	0.0 %
35	-	1	0.0 %
39	-	1	0.0 %
40	-	12	0.6 %
45	-	1	0.0 %
50	-	119	5.8 %
57	-	1	0.0 %
60	-	56	2.7 %
65	-	6	0.3 %
67	-	1	0.0 %
70	-	124	6.0 %
75	-	27	1.3 %
80	-	81	3.9 %
85	-	181	8.8 %
86	-	1	0.0 %
87	-	1	0.0 %
89	-	2	0.1 %
90	-	110	5.3 %
92	-	3	0.1 %
94	-	1	0.0 %
95	-	41	2.0 %
96	-	1	0.0 %
97	-	1	0.0 %
98	-	2	0.1 %
99	-	5	0.2 %
100	-	301	14.6 %
Missing Data			

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
-1	Refused	50	2.4 %
.	-	879	42.6 %
	Total	2,061	100%

Based upon 1,132 valid cases out of 2,061 total cases.

- Mean: 78.92
- Median: 85.00
- Mode: 100.00
- Minimum: 0.00
- Maximum: 100.00
- Standard Deviation: 21.77

Location: 337-339 (width: 3; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: -1, .

Q45_28: [India] Please rate your feelings toward some countries and peoples, with one hundred meaning a very warm, favorable feeling, zero meaning a very cold, unfavorable feeling, and fifty meaning not particularly warm or cold.

Please rate your feelings toward some countries and peoples, with one hundred meaning a very warm, favorable feeling, zero meaning a very cold, unfavorable feeling, and fifty meaning not particularly warm or cold. You can use any number from zero to one hundred, the higher the number the more favorable your feelings are toward that country or those people:India.

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	-	43	2.1 %
1	-	1	0.0 %
2	-	2	0.1 %
3	-	2	0.1 %
5	-	5	0.2 %
6	-	1	0.0 %
8	-	2	0.1 %
10	-	15	0.7 %
15	-	29	1.4 %
20	-	12	0.6 %
25	-	12	0.6 %
30	-	59	2.9 %
35	-	10	0.5 %
40	-	89	4.3 %
45	-	7	0.3 %
48	-	1	0.0 %
50	-	270	13.1 %
54	-	2	0.1 %

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
55	-	10	0.5 %
59	-	1	0.0 %
60	-	143	6.9 %
64	-	1	0.0 %
65	-	13	0.6 %
67	-	1	0.0 %
69	-	1	0.0 %
70	-	161	7.8 %
75	-	45	2.2 %
76	-	1	0.0 %
79	-	1	0.0 %
80	-	42	2.0 %
85	-	78	3.8 %
86	-	1	0.0 %
88	-	2	0.1 %
90	-	21	1.0 %
91	-	1	0.0 %
95	-	6	0.3 %
99	-	1	0.0 %
100	-	33	1.6 %
Missing Data			
-1	Refused	50	2.4 %
.	-	886	43.0 %
Total		2,061	100%

Based upon 1,125 valid cases out of 2,061 total cases.

- Mean: 55.17
- Median: 55.00
- Mode: 50.00
- Minimum: 0.00
- Maximum: 100.00
- Standard Deviation: 23.09

Location: 340-342 (width: 3; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: -1, .

Q185_1: [China] In your opinion, are relations between the United States with the following countries improving, worsening or staying about the same?

In your opinion, are relations between the United States with the following countries improving, worsening or staying about the same: China?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Improving	223	10.8 %
2	Worsening	864	41.9 %
3	Staying the same	936	45.4 %
	Missing Data		
-1	Refused	38	1.8 %
	Total	2,061	100%

Based upon 2,023 valid cases out of 2,061 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 3.00

Location: 343-344 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: -1

Q185_4: [Mexico] In your opinion, are relations between the United States with the following countries improving, worsening or staying about the same?

In your opinion, are relations between the United States with the following countries improving, worsening or staying about the same: Mexico?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Improving	197	9.6 %
2	Worsening	986	47.8 %
3	Staying the same	847	41.1 %
	Missing Data		
-1	Refused	31	1.5 %
	Total	2,061	100%

Based upon 2,030 valid cases out of 2,061 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 3.00

Location: 345-346 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: -1

Q185_5: [South Korea] In your opinion, are relations between the United States with the following countries improving, worsening or staying about the same?

In your opinion, are relations between the United States with the following countries improving, worsening or staying about the same: South Korea?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Improving	287	13.9 %

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
2	Worsening	500	24.3 %
3	Staying the same	1233	59.8 %
Missing Data			
-1	Refused	41	2.0 %
Total		2,061	100%

Based upon 2,020 valid cases out of 2,061 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 3.00

Location: 347-348 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: -1

Q185_8: [Russia] In your opinion, are relations between the United States with the following countries improving, worsening or staying about the same?

In your opinion, are relations between the United States with the following countries improving, worsening or staying about the same: Russia?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Improving	108	5.2 %
2	Worsening	1217	59.0 %
3	Staying the same	701	34.0 %
Missing Data			
-1	Refused	35	1.7 %
Total		2,061	100%

Based upon 2,026 valid cases out of 2,061 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 3.00

Location: 349-350 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: -1

Q185_9: [Canada] In your opinion, are relations between the United States with the following countries improving, worsening or staying about the same?

In your opinion, are relations between the United States with the following countries improving, worsening or staying about the same: Canada?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Improving	213	10.3 %
2	Worsening	72	3.5 %

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
3	Staying the same	710	34.4 %
	Missing Data		
-1	Refused	18	0.9 %
.	-	1048	50.8 %
	Total	2,061	100%

Based upon 995 valid cases out of 2,061 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 3.00

Location: 351-352 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: -1, .

Q185_10: [Australia] In your opinion, are relations between the United States with the following countries improving, worsening or staying about the same?

In your opinion, are relations between the United States with the following countries improving, worsening or staying about the same: Australia?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Improving	184	8.9 %
2	Worsening	47	2.3 %
3	Staying the same	801	38.9 %
	Missing Data		
-1	Refused	16	0.8 %
.	-	1013	49.2 %
	Total	2,061	100%

Based upon 1,032 valid cases out of 2,061 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 3.00

Location: 353-354 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: -1, .

Q185_11: [Iran] In your opinion, are relations between the United States with the following countries improving, worsening or staying about the same?

In your opinion, are relations between the United States with the following countries improving, worsening or staying about the same: Iran?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Improving	283	13.7 %

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
2	Worsening	1122	54.4 %
3	Staying the same	620	30.1 %
Missing Data			
-1	Refused	36	1.7 %
Total		2,061	100%

Based upon 2,025 valid cases out of 2,061 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 3.00

Location: 355-356 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: -1

Q185_12: [Israel] In your opinion, are relations between the United States with the following countries improving, worsening or staying about the same?

In your opinion, are relations between the United States with the following countries improving, worsening or staying about the same: Israel?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Improving	189	9.2 %
2	Worsening	894	43.4 %
3	Staying the same	943	45.8 %
Missing Data			
-1	Refused	35	1.7 %
Total		2,061	100%

Based upon 2,026 valid cases out of 2,061 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 3.00

Location: 357-358 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: -1

Q200: Do you feel we should increase our commitment to NATO, keep our commitment what it is now, decrease our commitment to NATO, or withdraw from NATO entirely?

Do you feel we should increase our commitment to NATO, keep our commitment what it is now, decrease our commitment to NATO, or withdraw from NATO entirely?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Increase commitment	130	6.3 %
2	Keep commitment same	651	31.6 %

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
3	Decrease commitment	156	7.6 %
4	Withdraw entirely	68	3.3 %
Missing Data			
-1	Refused	41	2.0 %
.	-	1015	49.2 %
Total		2,061	100%

Based upon 1,005 valid cases out of 2,061 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 4.00

Location: 359-360 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: -1, .

Q615: Some people say that NATO is still essential to our country's security. Others say it is no longer essential. Which of these views is closer to your own?

Some people say that NATO is still essential to our country's security. Others say it is no longer essential. Which of these views is closer to your own?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Still essential	667	32.4 %
2	No longer essential	315	15.3 %
Missing Data			
-1	Refused	33	1.6 %
.	-	1046	50.8 %
Total		2,061	100%

Based upon 982 valid cases out of 2,061 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 2.00

Location: 361-362 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: -1, .

Q50_1: [The U.S.] I would like to know how much influence you think each of the following countries has in the world. Please answer on a 0 to 10 scale; with 0 meaning they are not at all influential and 10 meaning they are extremely influential.

I would like to know how much influence you think each of the following countries has in the world. Please answer on a 0 to 10 scale; with 0 meaning they are not at all influential and 10 meaning they are extremely influential: The U.S.

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	-	19	0.9 %
1	-	9	0.4 %
2	-	7	0.3 %
3	-	5	0.2 %
4	-	20	1.0 %
5	-	86	4.2 %
6	-	77	3.7 %
7	-	172	8.3 %
8	-	406	19.7 %
9	-	412	20.0 %
10	-	753	36.5 %
Missing Data			
-1	Refused	95	4.6 %
Total		2,061	100%

Based upon 1,966 valid cases out of 2,061 total cases.

- Mean: 8.49
- Median: 9.00
- Mode: 10.00
- Minimum: 0.00
- Maximum: 10.00
- Standard Deviation: 1.83

Location: 363-364 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: -1

Q50_2: [Russia] I would like to know how much influence you think each of the following countries has in the world. Please answer on a 0 to 10 scale; with 0 meaning they are not at all influential and 10 meaning they are extremely influential.

I would like to know how much influence you think each of the following countries has in the world. Please answer on a 0 to 10 scale; with 0 meaning they are not at all influential and 10 meaning they are extremely influential:Russia.

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	-	70	3.4 %
1	-	23	1.1 %
2	-	45	2.2 %
3	-	89	4.3 %
4	-	116	5.6 %
5	-	332	16.1 %
6	-	274	13.3 %
7	-	345	16.7 %

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
8	-	347	16.8 %
9	-	145	7.0 %
10	-	171	8.3 %
	Missing Data		
-1	Refused	104	5.0 %
	Total	2,061	100%

Based upon 1,957 valid cases out of 2,061 total cases.

- Mean: 6.31
- Median: 7.00
- Mode: 8.00
- Minimum: 0.00
- Maximum: 10.00
- Standard Deviation: 2.37

Location: 365-366 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: -1

Q50_5: [China] I would like to know how much influence you think each of the following countries has in the world. Please answer on a 0 to 10 scale; with 0 meaning they are not at all influential and 10 meaning they are extremely influential.

I would like to know how much influence you think each of the following countries has in the world. Please answer on a 0 to 10 scale; with 0 meaning they are not at all influential and 10 meaning they are extremely influential:China.

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	-	57	2.8 %
1	-	20	1.0 %
2	-	26	1.3 %
3	-	25	1.2 %
4	-	59	2.9 %
5	-	222	10.8 %
6	-	191	9.3 %
7	-	298	14.5 %
8	-	491	23.8 %
9	-	296	14.4 %
10	-	271	13.1 %
	Missing Data		
-1	Refused	105	5.1 %
	Total	2,061	100%

Based upon 1,956 valid cases out of 2,061 total cases.

- Mean: 7.17
- Median: 8.00
- Mode: 8.00
- Minimum: 0.00
- Maximum: 10.00
- Standard Deviation: 2.29

Location: 367-368 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: -1

Q50_6: [India] I would like to know how much influence you think each of the following countries has in the world. Please answer on a 0 to 10 scale; with 0 meaning they are not at all influential and 10 meaning they are extremely influential.

I would like to know how much influence you think each of the following countries has in the world. Please answer on a 0 to 10 scale; with 0 meaning they are not at all influential and 10 meaning they are extremely influential:India.

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	-	115	5.6 %
1	-	45	2.2 %
2	-	137	6.6 %
3	-	180	8.7 %
4	-	243	11.8 %
5	-	511	24.8 %
6	-	279	13.5 %
7	-	244	11.8 %
8	-	117	5.7 %
9	-	38	1.8 %
10	-	44	2.1 %
Missing Data			
-1	Refused	108	5.2 %
Total		2,061	100%

Based upon 1,953 valid cases out of 2,061 total cases.

- Mean: 4.86
- Median: 5.00
- Mode: 5.00
- Minimum: 0.00
- Maximum: 10.00
- Standard Deviation: 2.24

Location: 369-370 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: -1

Q50_7: [The European Union as a whole] I would like to know how much influence you think each of the following countries has in the world. Please answer on a 0 to 10 scale; with 0 meaning they are not at all influential and 10 meaning they are extremely influential

I would like to know how much influence you think each of the following countries has in the world. Please answer on a 0 to 10 scale; with 0 meaning they are not at all influential and 10 meaning they are extremely influential: The European Union as a whole.

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	-	43	2.1 %
1	-	12	0.6 %
2	-	26	1.3 %
3	-	45	2.2 %
4	-	69	3.3 %
5	-	271	13.1 %
6	-	207	10.0 %
7	-	325	15.8 %
8	-	465	22.6 %
9	-	231	11.2 %
10	-	260	12.6 %
Missing Data			
-1	Refused	107	5.2 %
Total		2,061	100%

Based upon 1,954 valid cases out of 2,061 total cases.

- Mean: 7.03
- Median: 7.00
- Mode: 8.00
- Minimum: 0.00
- Maximum: 10.00
- Standard Deviation: 2.21

Location: 371-372 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: -1

Q50_8: [South Korea] I would like to know how much influence you think each of the following countries has in the world. Please answer on a 0 to 10 scale; with 0 meaning they are not at all influential and 10 meaning they are extremely influential.

I would like to know how much influence you think each of the following countries has in the world. Please answer on a 0 to 10 scale; with 0 meaning they are not at all influential and 10 meaning they are extremely influential: South Korea.

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	-	132	6.4 %
1	-	57	2.8 %

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
2	-	178	8.6 %
3	-	227	11.0 %
4	-	244	11.8 %
5	-	498	24.2 %
6	-	229	11.1 %
7	-	173	8.4 %
8	-	127	6.2 %
9	-	34	1.6 %
10	-	48	2.3 %
Missing Data			
-1	Refused	114	5.5 %
Total		2,061	100%

Based upon 1,947 valid cases out of 2,061 total cases.

- Mean: 4.60
- Median: 5.00
- Mode: 5.00
- Minimum: 0.00
- Maximum: 10.00
- Standard Deviation: 2.32

Location: 373-374 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: -1

Q50_9: [Iran] I would like to know how much influence you think each of the following countries has in the world. Please answer on a 0 to 10 scale; with 0 meaning they are not at all influential and 10 meaning they are extremely influential.

I would like to know how much influence you think each of the following countries has in the world. Please answer on a 0 to 10 scale; with 0 meaning they are not at all influential and 10 meaning they are extremely influential:Iran.

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	-	168	8.2 %
1	-	77	3.7 %
2	-	198	9.6 %
3	-	217	10.5 %
4	-	243	11.8 %
5	-	437	21.2 %
6	-	198	9.6 %
7	-	181	8.8 %
8	-	117	5.7 %
9	-	36	1.7 %

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
10	-	84	4.1 %
	Missing Data		
-1	Refused	105	5.1 %
	Total	2,061	100%

Based upon 1,956 valid cases out of 2,061 total cases.

- Mean: 4.52
- Median: 5.00
- Mode: 5.00
- Minimum: 0.00
- Maximum: 10.00
- Standard Deviation: 2.53

Location: 375-376 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: -1

Q140_1: [The Paris Agreement] Based on what you know, do you think the U.S. should or should not participate in the following international agreements?

Based on what you know, do you think the U.S. should or should not participate in the following international agreements: The Paris Agreement that calls for countries to collectively reduce their emissions of greenhouse gases?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Should participate	1468	71.2 %
2	Should not participate	531	25.8 %
	Missing Data		
-1	Refused	62	3.0 %
	Total	2,061	100%

Based upon 1,999 valid cases out of 2,061 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 2.00

Location: 377-378 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: -1

Q140_2: [The agreement on the International Criminal Court] Based on what you know, do you think the U.S. should or should not participate in the following international agreements?

Based on what you know, do you think the U.S. should or should not participate in the following international agreements: The agreement on the International Criminal Court that can try individuals for war crimes, genocide, or crimes against humanity if their own country won't try them?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Should participate	1478	71.7 %
2	Should not participate	524	25.4 %
	Missing Data		
-1	Refused	59	2.9 %
	Total	2,061	100%

Based upon 2,002 valid cases out of 2,061 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 2.00

Location: 379-380 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: -1

Q140_7: [The agreement that lifts some international economic sanctions against Iran] Based on what you know, do you think the U.S. should or should not participate in the following international agreements?

Based on what you know, do you think the U.S. should or should not participate in the following international agreements: The agreement that lifts some international economic sanctions against Iran in exchange for strict limits on its nuclear program for at least the next decade?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Should participate	1234	59.9 %
2	Should not participate	759	36.8 %
	Missing Data		
-1	Refused	68	3.3 %
	Total	2,061	100%

Based upon 1,993 valid cases out of 2,061 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 2.00

Location: 381-382 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: -1

Q10: Do you believe that globalization, especially the increasing connections of our economy with others around the world, is mostly good or mostly bad for the United States?

Do you believe that globalization, especially the increasing connections of our economy with others around the world, is mostly good or mostly bad for the United States?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Mostly good	1319	64.0 %

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
2	Mostly bad	708	34.4 %
	Missing Data		
-1	Refused	34	1.6 %
	Total	2,061	100%

Based upon 2,027 valid cases out of 2,061 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 2.00

Location: 383-384 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: -1

Q71A: As you may know, the United States is now negotiating a free trade agreement with twelve Pacific nations called the Trans-Pacific Partnership (or TPP). Based on what you know, do you [...]

As you may know, the United States is now negotiating a free trade agreement with twelve Pacific nations called the Trans-Pacific Partnership (or TPP). Based on what you know, do you strongly support, somewhat support, somewhat oppose or strongly oppose this free trade agreement?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	I strongly support it	72	3.5 %
2	I somewhat support it	519	25.2 %
3	I somewhat oppose it	249	12.1 %
4	I strongly oppose it	102	4.9 %
	Missing Data		
-1	Refused	64	3.1 %
.	-	1055	51.2 %
	Total	2,061	100%

Based upon 942 valid cases out of 2,061 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 4.00

Location: 385-386 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: -1, .

Q190_1: [The U.S. economy] Overall, do you think international trade is good or bad for:

Overall, do you think international trade is good or bad for: The U.S. economy?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Good	1195	58.0 %

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
2	Bad	829	40.2 %
	Missing Data		
-1	Refused	37	1.8 %
	Total	2,061	100%

Based upon 2,024 valid cases out of 2,061 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 2.00

Location: 387-388 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: -1

Q190_2: [American companies] Overall, do you think international trade is good or bad for:

Overall, do you think international trade is good or bad for: American companies?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Good	1183	57.4 %
2	Bad	839	40.7 %
	Missing Data		
-1	Refused	39	1.9 %
	Total	2,061	100%

Based upon 2,022 valid cases out of 2,061 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 2.00

Location: 389-390 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: -1

Q190_3: [Consumers like you] Overall, do you think international trade is good or bad for:

Overall, do you think international trade is good or bad for: Consumers like you?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Good	1439	69.8 %
2	Bad	582	28.2 %
	Missing Data		
-1	Refused	40	1.9 %
	Total	2,061	100%

Based upon 2,021 valid cases out of 2,061 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 2.00

Location: 391-392 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: -1

Q190_4: [Creating jobs in the U.S.] Overall, do you think international trade is good or bad for:

Overall, do you think international trade is good or bad for: Creating jobs in the U.S.?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Good	762	37.0 %
2	Bad	1267	61.5 %
Missing Data			
-1	Refused	32	1.6 %
Total		2,061	100%

Based upon 2,029 valid cases out of 2,061 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 2.00

Location: 393-394 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: -1

Q190_6: [Job security for American workers] Overall, do you think international trade is good or bad for:

Overall, do you think international trade is good or bad for: Job security for American workers?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Good	679	32.9 %
2	Bad	1350	65.5 %
Missing Data			
-1	Refused	32	1.6 %
Total		2,061	100%

Based upon 2,029 valid cases out of 2,061 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 2.00

Location: 395-396 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: -1

Q190_7: [Your own standard of living] Overall, do you think international trade is good or bad for:

Overall, do you think international trade is good or bad for: Your own standard of living?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Good	1314	63.8 %
2	Bad	706	34.3 %
	Missing Data		
-1	Refused	41	2.0 %
	Total	2,061	100%

Based upon 2,020 valid cases out of 2,061 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 2.00

Location: 397-398 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: -1

Q275: Do you think that, as a country, we are more safe, about as safe, or less safe than we were before the terrorist attacks of September 11th, 2001?

Do you think that, as a country, we are more safe, about as safe, or less safe than we were before the terrorist attacks of September 11th, 2001?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	More safe	349	16.9 %
2	About as safe	799	38.8 %
3	Less safe	910	44.2 %
	Missing Data		
-1	Refused	3	0.1 %
	Total	2,061	100%

Based upon 2,058 valid cases out of 2,061 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 3.00

Location: 399-400 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: -1

Q281_1: [You or someone you know will be the target of gun violence] Are you very worried, somewhat worried, not very worried or not worried at all that:

Are you very worried, somewhat worried, not very worried or not worried at all that: You or someone you know will be the target of gun violence?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Very worried	277	13.4 %
2	Somewhat worried	793	38.5 %
3	Not very worried	730	35.4 %
4	Not at all worried	249	12.1 %
	Missing Data		
-1	Refused	12	0.6 %
	Total	2,061	100%

Based upon 2,049 valid cases out of 2,061 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 4.00

Location: 401-402 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: -1

Q281_2: [You or someone you know will be the target of a terrorist attack] Are you very worried, somewhat worried, not very worried or not worried at all that:

Are you very worried, somewhat worried, not very worried or not worried at all that: You or someone you know will be the target of a terrorist attack?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Very worried	198	9.6 %
2	Somewhat worried	700	34.0 %
3	Not very worried	825	40.0 %
4	Not at all worried	323	15.7 %
	Missing Data		
-1	Refused	15	0.7 %
	Total	2,061	100%

Based upon 2,046 valid cases out of 2,061 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 4.00

Location: 403-404 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: -1

Q282: Do you think the greater threat to the United States from terrorism comes from people outside this country or from people inside this country?

Do you think the greater threat to the United States from terrorism comes from people outside this country or from people inside this country?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	People outside this country	358	17.4 %
2	People inside this country	673	32.7 %
	Missing Data		
-1	Refused	9	0.4 %
.	-	1021	49.5 %
	Total	2,061	100%

Based upon 1,031 valid cases out of 2,061 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 2.00

Location: 405-406 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of Missing Values: -1, .)

Q266: Do you feel that the U.S.-led coalition against ISIS and other violent Islamic extremist groups in Iraq and Syria is making the situation:

Do you feel that the U.S.-led coalition against ISIS and other violent Islamic extremist groups in Iraq and Syria is making the situation: a great deal better, somewhat better, neither better nor worse, somewhat worse, or a great deal worse?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	A great deal better	82	4.0 %
2	Somewhat better	621	30.1 %
3	Neither better nor worse	875	42.5 %
4	Somewhat worse	309	15.0 %
5	A great deal worse	146	7.1 %
	Missing Data		
-1	Refused	28	1.4 %
	Total	2,061	100%

Based upon 2,033 valid cases out of 2,061 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 5.00

Location: 407-408 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of Missing Values: -1)

Q278B_1: [U.S. air strikes against suspected terrorists by manned aircraft] In your opinion, would you say that the following actions are always effective, mostly effective, rarely effective or never effective in combatting terrorism?

In your opinion, would you say that the following actions are always effective, mostly effective, rarely effective or never effective in combatting terrorism: U.S. air strikes against suspected terrorists by manned aircraft?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Always effective	420	20.4 %
2	Mostly effective	1114	54.1 %
3	Rarely effective	430	20.9 %
4	Never effective	49	2.4 %
Missing Data			
-1	Refused	48	2.3 %
Total		2,061	100%

Based upon 2,013 valid cases out of 2,061 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 4.00

Location: 409-410 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: -1

Q278B_2: [U.S. air strikes against suspected terrorists by drones/unmanned aircraft] In your opinion, would you say that the following actions are always effective, mostly effective, rarely effective or never effective in combatting terrorism?

In your opinion, would you say that the following actions are always effective, mostly effective, rarely effective or never effective in combatting terrorism: U.S. air strikes against suspected terrorists by drones/unmanned aircraft?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Always effective	453	22.0 %
2	Mostly effective	1144	55.5 %
3	Rarely effective	369	17.9 %
4	Never effective	46	2.2 %
Missing Data			
-1	Refused	49	2.4 %
Total		2,061	100%

Based upon 2,012 valid cases out of 2,061 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 4.00

Location: 411-412 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: -1

Q278B_3: [Sending U.S. trainers and special operations forces to countries where terrorists operate] In your opinion, would you say that the following actions are always effective, mostly effective, rarely effective or never effective in combatting terrorism?

In your opinion, would you say that the following actions are always effective, mostly effective, rarely effective or never effective in combatting terrorism: Sending U.S. trainers and special operations forces to countries where terrorists operate?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Always effective	265	12.9 %
2	Mostly effective	1066	51.7 %
3	Rarely effective	617	29.9 %
4	Never effective	64	3.1 %
	Missing Data		
-1	Refused	49	2.4 %
	Total	2,061	100%

Based upon 2,012 valid cases out of 2,061 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 4.00

Location: 413-414 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: -1

Q278B_4: [Using torture against suspected terrorists that are captured] In your opinion, would you say that the following actions are always effective, mostly effective, rarely effective or never effective in combatting terrorism?

In your opinion, would you say that the following actions are always effective, mostly effective, rarely effective or never effective in combatting terrorism: Using torture against suspected terrorists that are captured?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Always effective	255	12.4 %
2	Mostly effective	668	32.4 %
3	Rarely effective	761	36.9 %
4	Never effective	318	15.4 %
	Missing Data		
-1	Refused	59	2.9 %
	Total	2,061	100%

Based upon 2,002 valid cases out of 2,061 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 4.00

Location: 415-416 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: -1

Q278B_5: [Sending U.S. combat troops to fight terrorists abroad] In your opinion, would you say that the following actions are always effective, mostly effective, rarely effective or never effective in combating terrorism?

In your opinion, would you say that the following actions are always effective, mostly effective, rarely effective or never effective in combating terrorism: Sending U.S. combat troops to fight terrorists abroad?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Always effective	238	11.5 %
2	Mostly effective	993	48.2 %
3	Rarely effective	684	33.2 %
4	Never effective	93	4.5 %
	Missing Data		
-1	Refused	53	2.6 %
	Total	2,061	100%

Based upon 2,008 valid cases out of 2,061 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 4.00

Location: 417-418 (*width:* 2; *decimal:* 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: -1

Q278B_6: [Limiting the flow of migrants and refugees and increasing border controls] In your opinion, would you say that the following actions are always effective, mostly effective, rarely effective or never effective in combating terrorism?

In your opinion, would you say that the following actions are always effective, mostly effective, rarely effective or never effective in combating terrorism: Limiting the flow of migrants and refugees and increasing border controls?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Always effective	500	24.3 %
2	Mostly effective	709	34.4 %
3	Rarely effective	673	32.7 %
4	Never effective	126	6.1 %
	Missing Data		
-1	Refused	53	2.6 %
	Total	2,061	100%

Based upon 2,008 valid cases out of 2,061 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 4.00

Location: 419-420 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: -1

Q278B_7: [Blocking terrorist financing] In your opinion, would you say that the following actions are always effective, mostly effective, rarely effective or never effective in combatting terrorism?

In your opinion, would you say that the following actions are always effective, mostly effective, rarely effective or never effective in combatting terrorism: Blocking terrorist financing?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Always effective	758	36.8 %
2	Mostly effective	873	42.4 %
3	Rarely effective	330	16.0 %
4	Never effective	50	2.4 %
	Missing Data		
-1	Refused	50	2.4 %
	Total	2,061	100%

Based upon 2,011 valid cases out of 2,061 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 4.00

Location: 421-422 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: -1

Q280: How likely is it that occasional acts of terrorism in the U.S. will be part of life in the future?

How likely is it that occasional acts of terrorism in the U.S. will be part of life in the future: very likely, somewhat likely, not very likely, or not at all likely?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Very likely	523	25.4 %
2	Somewhat likely	410	19.9 %
3	Not very likely	72	3.5 %
4	Not at all likely	10	0.5 %
	Missing Data		
-1	Refused	6	0.3 %
.	-	1040	50.5 %
	Total	2,061	100%

Based upon 1,015 valid cases out of 2,061 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 4.00

Location: 423-424 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: -1, .

Q325A_NEW: In your opinion, is Israel playing a very positive, somewhat positive, somewhat negative or very negative role in resolving the key problems facing the Middle East?

In your opinion, is Israel playing a very positive, somewhat positive, somewhat negative or very negative role in resolving the key problems facing the Middle East?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Very positive	253	12.3 %
2	Somewhat positive	821	39.8 %
3	Somewhat negative	695	33.7 %
4	Very negative	181	8.8 %
Missing Data			
-1	Refused	111	5.4 %
Total		2,061	100%

Based upon 1,950 valid cases out of 2,061 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 4.00

Location: 425-426 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: -1

Q230: In the Middle East conflict, do you think the United States should take Israel's side, take the Palestinians' side, or not take either side?

In the Middle East conflict, do you think the United States should take Israel's side, take the Palestinians' side, or not take either side?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Take Israel's side	752	36.5 %
2	Take the Palestinians' side	70	3.4 %
3	Not take either side	1171	56.8 %
Missing Data			
-1	Refused	68	3.3 %
Total		2,061	100%

Based upon 1,993 valid cases out of 2,061 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00

- Maximum: 3.00

Location: 427-428 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: -1

Q267A_1: [Accepting Syrian refugees into the United States] Do you support or oppose the United States taking each of the following actions with respect to Syria?

Do you support or oppose the United States taking each of the following actions with respect to Syria:Accepting Syrian refugees into the United States?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Support	349	16.9 %
2	Oppose	610	29.6 %
	Missing Data		
-1	Refused	37	1.8 %
.	-	1065	51.7 %
	Total	2,061	100%

Based upon 959 valid cases out of 2,061 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 2.00

Location: 429-430 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: -1 , .

Q267A_7: [Helping to negotiate a peace agreement that allows President Assad of Syria to remain in power] Do you support or oppose the United States taking each of the following actions with respect to Syria?

Do you support or oppose the United States taking each of the following actions with respect to Syria:Helping to negotiate a peace agreement that allows President Assad of Syria to remain in power?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Support	290	14.1 %
2	Oppose	634	30.8 %
	Missing Data		
-1	Refused	72	3.5 %
.	-	1065	51.7 %
	Total	2,061	100%

Based upon 924 valid cases out of 2,061 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 2.00

Location: 431-432 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: -1, ..

Q267A_3: [Sending arms and supplies to anti-government groups in Syria] Do you support or oppose the United States taking each of the following actions with respect to Syria?

Do you support or oppose the United States taking each of the following actions with respect to Syria: Sending arms and supplies to anti-government groups in Syria?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Support	265	12.9 %
2	Oppose	668	32.4 %
	Missing Data		
-1	Refused	63	3.1 %
.	-	1065	51.7 %
	Total	2,061	100%

Based upon 933 valid cases out of 2,061 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 2.00

Location: 433-434 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: -1, ..

Q267A_4: [Enforcing a no-fly zone over parts of Syria, including bombing Syrian air defenses] Do you support or oppose the United States taking each of the following actions with respect to Syria?

Do you support or oppose the United States taking each of the following actions with respect to Syria: Enforcing a no-fly zone over parts of Syria, including bombing Syrian air defenses?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Support	527	25.6 %
2	Oppose	396	19.2 %
	Missing Data		
-1	Refused	73	3.5 %
.	-	1065	51.7 %
	Total	2,061	100%

Based upon 923 valid cases out of 2,061 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 2.00

Location: 435-436 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: -1, .

Q267A_8: [Conducting airstrikes against violent Islamic extremist groups] Do you support or oppose the United States taking each of the following actions with respect to Syria?

Do you support or oppose the United States taking each of the following actions with respect to Syria: Conducting airstrikes against violent Islamic extremist groups?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Support	762	37.0 %
2	Oppose	183	8.9 %
	Missing Data		
-1	Refused	51	2.5 %
.	-	1065	51.7 %
	Total	2,061	100%

Based upon 945 valid cases out of 2,061 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 2.00

Location: 437-438 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: -1, .

Q267A_5B: [Sending special operations forces into Syria to fight violent Islamic extremist groups] Do you support or oppose the United States taking each of the following actions with respect to Syria?

Do you support or oppose the United States taking each of the following actions with respect to Syria: Sending special operations forces into Syria to fight violent Islamic extremist groups?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Support	579	28.1 %
2	Oppose	362	17.6 %
	Missing Data		
-1	Refused	55	2.7 %
.	-	1065	51.7 %
	Total	2,061	100%

Based upon 941 valid cases out of 2,061 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 2.00

Location: 439-440 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: -1, .

Q267A_5: [Sending combat troops into Syria to fight violent Islamic extremist groups] Do you support or oppose the United States taking each of the following actions with respect to Syria?

Do you support or oppose the United States taking each of the following actions with respect to Syria: Sending combat troops into Syria to fight violent Islamic extremist groups?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Support	404	19.6 %
2	Oppose	534	25.9 %
	Missing Data		
-1	Refused	58	2.8 %
.	-	1065	51.7 %
	Total	2,061	100%

Based upon 938 valid cases out of 2,061 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 2.00

Location: 441-442 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: -1, .

Q251B_1: [Finding new sources of energy] Please tell me whether the United States and Mexico are working in the same or different direction on each of the following issues:

Please tell me whether the United States and Mexico are working in the same or different direction on each of the following issues: Finding new sources of energy?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Same direction	391	19.0 %
2	Different direction	551	26.7 %
	Missing Data		
-1	Refused	61	3.0 %
.	-	1058	51.3 %
	Total	2,061	100%

Based upon 942 valid cases out of 2,061 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 2.00

Location: 443-444 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: -1, .

Q251B_2: [Combating the trafficking of illegal drugs] Please tell me whether the United States and Mexico are working in the same or different direction on each of the following issues:

Please tell me whether the United States and Mexico are working in the same or different direction on each of the following issues:Combating the trafficking of illegal drugs?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Same direction	354	17.2 %
2	Different direction	615	29.8 %
	Missing Data		
-1	Refused	34	1.6 %
.	-	1058	51.3 %
	Total	2,061	100%

Based upon 969 valid cases out of 2,061 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 2.00

Location: 445-446 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: -1, .

Q251B_3: [Securing the U.S.-Mexico border] Please tell me whether the United States and Mexico are working in the same or different direction on each of the following issues:

Please tell me whether the United States and Mexico are working in the same or different direction on each of the following issues:Securing the U.S.-Mexico border?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Same direction	248	12.0 %
2	Different direction	719	34.9 %
	Missing Data		
-1	Refused	36	1.7 %
.	-	1058	51.3 %
	Total	2,061	100%

Based upon 967 valid cases out of 2,061 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 2.00

Location: 447-448 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: -1, .

Q251B_4: [Trade and economic development] Please tell me whether the United States and Mexico are working in the same or different direction on each of the following issues:

Please tell me whether the United States and Mexico are working in the same or different direction on each of the following issues:Trade and economic development?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Same direction	458	22.2 %
2	Different direction	499	24.2 %
	Missing Data		
-1	Refused	46	2.2 %
.	-	1058	51.3 %
	Total	2,061	100%

Based upon 957 valid cases out of 2,061 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 2.00

Location: 449-450 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: -1, .

Q251A_1: [Ending the conflict in Syria] Please tell me whether the United States and Russia are working in the same or different direction on each of the following issues:

Please tell me whether the United States and Russia are working in the same or different direction on each of the following issues:Ending the conflict in Syria?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Same direction	286	13.9 %
2	Different direction	709	34.4 %
	Missing Data		
-1	Refused	63	3.1 %
.	-	1003	48.7 %
	Total	2,061	100%

Based upon 995 valid cases out of 2,061 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 2.00

Location: 451-452 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: -1, .

Q251A_2: [Limiting Iran's nuclear program] Please tell me whether the United States and Russia are working in the same or different direction on each of the following issues:

Please tell me whether the United States and Russia are working in the same or different direction on each of the following issues:Limiting Iran's nuclear program?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Same direction	365	17.7 %
2	Different direction	630	30.6 %
	Missing Data		
-1	Refused	63	3.1 %
.	-	1003	48.7 %
	Total	2,061	100%

Based upon 995 valid cases out of 2,061 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 2.00

Location: 453-454 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: -1, .

Q251A_3: [Reducing nuclear weapons worldwide] Please tell me whether the United States and Russia are working in the same or different direction on each of the following issues:

Please tell me whether the United States and Russia are working in the same or different direction on each of the following issues: Reducing nuclear weapons worldwide?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Same direction	353	17.1 %
2	Different direction	642	31.1 %
	Missing Data		
-1	Refused	63	3.1 %
.	-	1003	48.7 %
	Total	2,061	100%

Based upon 995 valid cases out of 2,061 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 2.00

Location: 455-456 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: -1, .

Q350B: In dealing with Russia, do you think the U.S. should:

In dealing with Russia, do you think the U.S. should: undertake friendly cooperation and engagement with Russia, or actively work to limit Russia's power?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Undertake friendly cooperation and engagement with Russia	591	28.7 %

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
2	Actively work to limit Russia's power	411	19.9 %
	Missing Data		
-1	Refused	56	2.7 %
.	-	1003	48.7 %
	Total	2,061	100%

Based upon 1,002 valid cases out of 2,061 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 2.00

Location: 457-458 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: -1, .

Q351B: And do you think that generally Russia is:

And do you think that generally Russia is: trying to undertake friendly cooperation and engagement with the United States, or actively working to undermine U.S. international power and influence?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Trying to undertake friendly cooperation and engagement with the United States	226	11.0 %
2	Actively working to undermine U.S. international power and influence	780	37.8 %
	Missing Data		
-1	Refused	52	2.5 %
.	-	1003	48.7 %
	Total	2,061	100%

Based upon 1,006 valid cases out of 2,061 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 2.00

Location: 459-460 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: -1, .

Q295A: There is a controversy over what the countries of the world, including the U.S., should do about the problem of climate change. Here are three statements. Please tell me which statement comes closest to your own point of view:

There is a controversy over what the countries of the world, including the U.S., should do about the problem of climate change. Here are three statements. Please tell me which statement comes closest to your own point of view: Until we are sure that climate change is really a problem we should not take any steps that would have economic costs; The problem of climate change should be addressed but its effects will be gradual so we can deal with the problem gradually by taking steps that are low in cost; or Climate change is a serious and pressing problem and we should try taking steps now even if this involves significant costs?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	(...) not take any steps that would have economic costs.	207	10.0 %
2	(...) deal with the problem gradually by taking steps that are low in cost.	378	18.3 %
3	(...) try taking steps now even if this involves significant costs.	411	19.9 %
Missing Data			
-1	Refused	17	0.8 %
.	-	1048	50.8 %
Total		2,061	100%

Based upon 996 valid cases out of 2,061 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 3.00

Location: 461-462 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: -1, .

Q295B: There is a controversy over what the countries of the world, including the U.S., should do about the problem of global warming. Here are three statements. Please tell me which statement comes closest to your own point of view:

There is a controversy over what the countries of the world, including the U.S., should do about the problem of global warming. Here are three statements. Please tell me which statement comes closest to your own point of view: Until we are sure that global warming is really a problem we should not take any steps that would have economic costs; The problem of global warming should be addressed but its effects will be gradual so we can deal with the problem gradually by taking steps that are low in cost; or Global warming is a serious and pressing problem and we should try taking steps now even if this involves significant costs?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	(...) not take any steps that would have economic costs.	221	10.7 %
2	(...) deal with the problem gradually by taking steps that are low in cost.	378	18.3 %
3	(...) try taking steps now even if this involves significant costs.	430	20.9 %
Missing Data			
-1	Refused	19	0.9 %
.	-	1013	49.2 %
Total		2,061	100%

Based upon 1,029 valid cases out of 2,061 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 3.00

Location: 463-464 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: -1, .

Q376: Now thinking about U.S. foreign policy in Asia, do you think the U.S. should put a higher priority on:

Now thinking about U.S. foreign policy in Asia, do you think the U.S. should put a higher priority on: Building up our strong relations with traditional allies like South Korea and Japan, even if this might diminish our relations with China, or Building a new partnership with China, even if this might diminish our relations with our traditional allies?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Building up our strong relations with traditional allies (...)	672	32.6 %
2	Building a new partnership with China (...)	290	14.1 %
	Missing Data		
-1	Refused	93	4.5 %
.	-	1006	48.8 %
	Total	2,061	100%

Based upon 962 valid cases out of 2,061 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 2.00

Location: 465-466 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: -1, .

Q336: At the present time, which nation do you feel is stronger in terms of military power, the U.S. or China - or do you think they are about equal militarily?

At the present time, which nation do you feel is stronger in terms of military power, the U.S. or China - or do you think they are about equal militarily?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	The U.S.	1067	51.8 %
2	China	312	15.1 %
3	About equal	634	30.8 %
	Missing Data		
-1	Refused	48	2.3 %
	Total	2,061	100%

Based upon 2,013 valid cases out of 2,061 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 3.00

Location: 467-468 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: -1

Q337: At the present time, which nation do you feel is stronger in terms of economic power, the U.S. or China - or do you think they are about equal economically?

At the present time, which nation do you feel is stronger in terms of economic power, the U.S. or China - or do you think they are about equal economically?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	The U.S.	670	32.5 %
2	China	777	37.7 %
3	About equal	553	26.8 %
	Missing Data		
-1	Refused	61	3.0 %
	Total	2,061	100%

Based upon 2,000 valid cases out of 2,061 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 3.00

Location: 469-470 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: -1

Q350A: In dealing with the rise of China's power, do you think the U.S. should:

In dealing with the rise of China's power, do you think the U.S. should: Undertake friendly cooperation and engagement with China, or Actively work to limit the growth of China's power?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Undertake friendly cooperation and engagement with China	634	30.8 %
2	Actively work to limit the growth of China's power	331	16.1 %
	Missing Data		
-1	Refused	38	1.8 %
.	-	1058	51.3 %
	Total	2,061	100%

Based upon 965 valid cases out of 2,061 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 2.00

Location: 471-472 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: -1, .

Q351A: And do you think that generally China is:

And do you think that generally China is: Trying to undertake friendly cooperation and engagement with the United States, or Actively working to undermine U.S. international power and influence?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Trying to undertake friendly cooperation and engagement with the United States	250	12.1 %
2	Actively working to undermine U.S. international power and influence	689	33.4 %
	Missing Data		
-1	Refused	64	3.1 %
.	-	1058	51.3 %
	Total	2,061	100%

Based upon 939 valid cases out of 2,061 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 2.00

Location: 473-474 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: -1, .

Q374_5: [Accept that North Korea will produce additional nuclear weapons] Please tell me whether you would support or oppose each of the following kinds of U.S. action to pressure North Korea to stop building its nuclear weapons program:

Please tell me whether you would support or oppose each of the following kinds of U.S. action to pressure North Korea to stop building its nuclear weapons program:Accept that North Korea will produce additional nuclear weapons?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Support	118	5.7 %
2	Oppose	906	44.0 %
	Missing Data		
-1	Refused	41	2.0 %
.	-	996	48.3 %
	Total	2,061	100%

Based upon 1,024 valid cases out of 2,061 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 2.00

Location: 475-476 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: -1, .

Q374_1: [Continue diplomatic efforts to get North Korea to suspend its nuclear program] Please tell me whether you would support or oppose each of the following kinds of U.S. action to pressure North Korea to stop building its nuclear weapons program:

Please tell me whether you would support or oppose each of the following kinds of U.S. action to pressure North Korea to stop building its nuclear weapons program:Continue diplomatic efforts to get North Korea to suspend its nuclear program?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Support	874	42.4 %
2	Oppose	154	7.5 %
	Missing Data		
-1	Refused	37	1.8 %
.	-	996	48.3 %
	Total	2,061	100%

Based upon 1,028 valid cases out of 2,061 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 2.00

Location: 477-478 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: -1, .

Q374_6: [Impose tighter economic sanctions on North Korea] Please tell me whether you would support or oppose each of the following kinds of U.S. action to pressure North Korea to stop building its nuclear weapons program:

Please tell me whether you would support or oppose each of the following kinds of U.S. action to pressure North Korea to stop building its nuclear weapons program:Impose tighter economic sanctions on North Korea?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Support	870	42.2 %
2	Oppose	157	7.6 %
	Missing Data		
-1	Refused	38	1.8 %
.	-	996	48.3 %
	Total	2,061	100%

Based upon 1,027 valid cases out of 2,061 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 2.00

Location: 479-480 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: -1, .

Q374_7: [Conduct cyberattacks against North Korean computer systems] Please tell me whether you would support or oppose each of the following kinds of U.S. action to pressure North Korea to stop building its nuclear weapons program:

Please tell me whether you would support or oppose each of the following kinds of U.S. action to pressure North Korea to stop building its nuclear weapons program:Conduct cyberattacks against North Korean computer systems?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Support	585	28.4 %
2	Oppose	430	20.9 %
	Missing Data		
-1	Refused	50	2.4 %
.	-	996	48.3 %
	Total	2,061	100%

Based upon 1,015 valid cases out of 2,061 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 2.00

Location: 481-482 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: -1, .

Q374_8: [Conduct airstrikes against North Korea's nuclear production facilities] Please tell me whether you would support or oppose each of the following kinds of U.S. action to pressure North Korea to stop building its nuclear weapons program:

Please tell me whether you would support or oppose each of the following kinds of U.S. action to pressure North Korea to stop building its nuclear weapons program:Conduct airstrikes against North Korea's nuclear production facilities?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Support	362	17.6 %
2	Oppose	654	31.7 %
	Missing Data		
-1	Refused	49	2.4 %
.	-	996	48.3 %
	Total	2,061	100%

Based upon 1,016 valid cases out of 2,061 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 2.00

Location: 483-484 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: -1, .

Q374_9: [Send U.S. troops to destroy North Korea's nuclear facilities] Please tell me whether you would support or oppose each of the following kinds of U.S. action to pressure North Korea to stop building its nuclear weapons program:

Please tell me whether you would support or oppose each of the following kinds of U.S. action to pressure North Korea to stop building its nuclear weapons program:Send U.S. troops to destroy North Korea's nuclear facilities?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Support	243	11.8 %
2	Oppose	775	37.6 %
	Missing Data		
-1	Refused	47	2.3 %
.	-	996	48.3 %
	Total	2,061	100%

Based upon 1,018 valid cases out of 2,061 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 2.00

Location: 485-486 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: -1, .

Q390: Should legal immigration into the United States be kept at its present level, increased or decreased?

Should legal immigration into the United States be kept at its present level, increased or decreased?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Kept at present level	817	39.6 %
2	Increased	364	17.7 %
3	Decreased	853	41.4 %
	Missing Data		
-1	Refused	27	1.3 %
	Total	2,061	100%

Based upon 2,034 valid cases out of 2,061 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 3.00

Location: 487-488 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: -1

Q401_1: [Chinese immigrants in the United States] Do you have a very favorable, somewhat favorable, somewhat unfavorable or very unfavorable view of the following people:

Do you have a very favorable, somewhat favorable, somewhat unfavorable or very unfavorable view of the following people:
Chinese immigrants in the United States?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Very favorable	344	16.7 %

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
2	Somewhat favorable	1048	50.8 %
3	Somewhat unfavorable	448	21.7 %
4	Very unfavorable	165	8.0 %
Missing Data			
-1	Refused	56	2.7 %
Total		2,061	100%

Based upon 2,005 valid cases out of 2,061 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 4.00

Location: 489-490 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: -1

Q401_2: [Middle Eastern immigrants in the United States] Do you have a very favorable, somewhat favorable, somewhat unfavorable or very unfavorable view of the following people:

Do you have a very favorable, somewhat favorable, somewhat unfavorable or very unfavorable view of the following people: Middle Eastern immigrants in the United States?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Very favorable	222	10.8 %
2	Somewhat favorable	686	33.3 %
3	Somewhat unfavorable	664	32.2 %
4	Very unfavorable	436	21.2 %
Missing Data			
-1	Refused	53	2.6 %
Total		2,061	100%

Based upon 2,008 valid cases out of 2,061 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 4.00

Location: 491-492 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: -1

Q401_3: [Mexican immigrants in the United States] Do you have a very favorable, somewhat favorable, somewhat unfavorable or very unfavorable view of the following people:

Do you have a very favorable, somewhat favorable, somewhat unfavorable or very unfavorable view of the following people: Mexican immigrants in the United States?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Very favorable	319	15.5 %
2	Somewhat favorable	905	43.9 %
3	Somewhat unfavorable	526	25.5 %
4	Very unfavorable	254	12.3 %
	Missing Data		
-1	Refused	57	2.8 %
	Total	2,061	100%

Based upon 2,004 valid cases out of 2,061 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 4.00

Location: 493-494 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of Missing Values: -1

Q401_4: [Mexicans living in Mexico] Do you have a very favorable, somewhat favorable, somewhat unfavorable or very unfavorable view of the following people:

Do you have a very favorable, somewhat favorable, somewhat unfavorable or very unfavorable view of the following people: Mexicans living in Mexico?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Very favorable	744	36.1 %
2	Somewhat favorable	928	45.0 %
3	Somewhat unfavorable	245	11.9 %
4	Very unfavorable	81	3.9 %
	Missing Data		
-1	Refused	63	3.1 %
	Total	2,061	100%

Based upon 1,998 valid cases out of 2,061 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 4.00

Location: 495-496 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of Missing Values: -1

Q401_5: [Korean immigrants in the United States] Do you have a very favorable, somewhat favorable, somewhat unfavorable or very unfavorable view of the following people:

Do you have a very favorable, somewhat favorable, somewhat unfavorable or very unfavorable view of the following people: Korean immigrants in the United States?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Very favorable	360	17.5 %
2	Somewhat favorable	1050	50.9 %
3	Somewhat unfavorable	430	20.9 %
4	Very unfavorable	164	8.0 %
	Missing Data		
-1	Refused	57	2.8 %
	Total	2,061	100%

Based upon 2,004 valid cases out of 2,061 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 4.00

Location: 497-498 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: -1

Q405A: When it comes to immigration, which comes closest to your view about illegal immigrants who are currently working in the U.S.?

When it comes to immigration, which comes closest to your view about illegal immigrants who are currently working in the U.S.: They should be allowed to stay in their jobs and to apply for U.S. citizenship; They should be allowed to stay in their jobs and to eventually apply for U.S. citizenship only if they pay a penalty and wait a number of years; They should be allowed to stay in their jobs with work permits, but not apply for U.S. citizenship; They should be required to leave their jobs and leave the U.S.?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	(...) stay in their jobs and to apply for U.S. citizenship	317	15.4 %
2	(...) stay in their jobs and to eventually apply for U.S. citizenship only if they pay a penalty and wait a number of ye	256	12.4 %
3	(...) stay in their jobs with work permits, but not apply for U.S. citizenship	138	6.7 %
4	(...) leave their jobs and leave the U.S.	306	14.8 %
	Missing Data		
-1	Refused	12	0.6 %
.	-	1032	50.1 %
	Total	2,061	100%

Based upon 1,017 valid cases out of 2,061 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 4.00

Location: 499-500 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: -1 , .

Q405B: When it comes to immigration, which comes closest to your view about undocumented immigrants who are currently working in the U.S.?

When it comes to immigration, which comes closest to your view about undocumented immigrants who are currently working in the U.S.: They should be allowed to stay in their jobs and to apply for U.S. citizenship; They should be allowed to stay in their jobs and to eventually apply for U.S. citizenship only if they pay a penalty and wait a number of years; They should be allowed to stay in their jobs with work permits, but not apply for U.S. citizenship; They should be required to leave their jobs and leave the U.S.?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	(...) stay in their jobs and to apply for U.S. citizenship	281	13.6 %
2	(...) stay in their jobs and to eventually apply for U.S. citizenship only if they pay a penalty and wait a number of years	264	12.8 %
3	(...) stay in their jobs with work permits, but not apply for U.S. citizenship	140	6.8 %
4	(...) leave their jobs and leave the U.S.	333	16.2 %
Missing Data			
-1	Refused	14	0.7 %
.	-	1029	49.9 %
Total		2,061	100%

Based upon 1,018 valid cases out of 2,061 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 4.00

Location: 501-502 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of Missing Values: -1, .

Q410A: Do you favor or oppose a wall expanding the 700 miles of border wall and fencing with Mexico to reduce illegal immigration into the United States?

Do you favor or oppose a wall expanding the 700 miles of border wall and fencing with Mexico to reduce illegal immigration into the United States?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Favor	534	25.9 %
2	Oppose	503	24.4 %
Missing Data			
-1	Refused	14	0.7 %
.	-	1010	49.0 %
Total		2,061	100%

Based upon 1,037 valid cases out of 2,061 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 2.00

Location: 503-504 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of Missing Values: -1, .

Q410B: How effective do you think expanding the 700 miles of border wall and fencing with Mexico would be in reducing illegal immigration?

How effective do you think expanding the 700 miles of border wall and fencing with Mexico would be in reducing illegal immigration: Very effective, Somewhat effective, Not very effective, or Not at all effective?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Very effective	117	5.7 %
2	Somewhat effective	347	16.8 %
3	Not very effective	298	14.5 %
4	Not at all effective	236	11.5 %
Missing Data			
-1	Refused	12	0.6 %
.	-	1051	51.0 %
Total		2,061	100%

Based upon 998 valid cases out of 2,061 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 4.00

Location: 505-506 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: -1, .

Q1000: If the presidential election were being held today and the candidates were Hillary Clinton, the Democrat or Donald Trump, the Republican, for whom would you vote?

If the presidential election were being held today and the candidates were Hillary Clinton, the Democrat or Donald Trump, the Republican, for whom would you vote: Hillary Clinton, Donald Trump, Neither, or Other (specify)?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Hillary Clinton	730	35.4 %
2	Donald Trump	707	34.3 %
3	Neither	489	23.7 %
4	Other (specify)	103	5.0 %
Missing Data			
-1	Refused	32	1.6 %
Total		2,061	100%

Based upon 2,029 valid cases out of 2,061 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 4.00

Location: 507-508 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: -1

Q1000_OTHERTEXT: [Other Text] If the presidential election were being held today and the candidates were Hillary Clinton, the Democrat or Donald Trump, the Republican, for whom would you vote?

Specification for "Other" chosen for Q1000.

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
-		1960	95.1 %
B Sanders	-	1	0.0 %
BERNIE	-	1	0.0 %
Ben Carson or Mark Rubio	-	1	0.0 %
Bernie Sanders	-	25	1.2 %
Bernie Sanders (write in)	-	1	0.0 %
Bernie sanders	-	2	0.1 %
Darrell Castle	-	1	0.0 %
Don't know	-	1	0.0 %
Either Clinton or a viable alternative candidate from another party, pref independeny	-	1	0.0 %
Elizabeth Warren	-	1	0.0 %
Gary Johnson	-	10	0.5 %
Gary Johnson (L)	-	1	0.0 %
Haven't decided	-	1	0.0 %
I don't know yet	-	1	0.0 %
I don't vote	-	1	0.0 %
Independent or third-party candidate	-	1	0.0 %
Jill Stein	-	3	0.1 %
Joe Biden	-	1	0.0 %
John Hoeven should run for president	-	1	0.0 %
John Kasich	-	1	0.0 %
John f Kennedy	-	1	0.0 %
John kasic	-	1	0.0 %
Kaish	-	1	0.0 %
Libertarian	-	2	0.1 %
Marco Rubio	-	1	0.0 %
Michael Bloomberg	-	1	0.0 %
Mickey Mouse	-	1	0.0 %
No comment	-	1	0.0 %
Phil Robertson	-	1	0.0 %
SANDERS	-	1	0.0 %
Sanders	-	3	0.1 %
TED CRUZ	-	1	0.0 %
Ted Cruz	-	1	0.0 %

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
Undecided	-	1	0.0 %
Unsure	-	1	0.0 %
Wish there was another choice	-	1	0.0 %
any protest candidate	-	1	0.0 %
anyone else	-	1	0.0 %
bernie sanders	-	3	0.1 %
cruz	-	1	0.0 %
gary johnson	-	1	0.0 %
green	-	1	0.0 %
hoping someone decent runs	-	1	0.0 %
jim jordan	-	1	0.0 %
just about any other option	-	1	0.0 %
libertarian	-	1	0.0 %
libertarian candidate	-	1	0.0 %
my dog	-	1	0.0 %
myself	-	1	0.0 %
	Total	2,061	100%

Please note that only the first 50 response categories are displayed in the PDF codebook. To view all response categories, please analyze the data file in the statistical package of your choice (SAS, SPSS, Stata, R).

Based upon 2,061 valid cases out of 2,061 total cases.

Location: 509-593 (width: 85; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: character

Q100B: Would you lean toward Hillary Clinton, the Democrat or Donald Trump, the Republican?

Would you lean toward Hillary Clinton, the Democrat or Donald Trump, the Republican?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Hillary Clinton	257	12.5 %
2	Donald Trump	208	10.1 %
	Missing Data		
-1	Refused	159	7.7 %
.	-	1437	69.7 %
	Total	2,061	100%

Based upon 465 valid cases out of 2,061 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 2.00

Location: 594-595 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric
(Range of) Missing Values: -1, .

Q1001: Regardless of your voting preference in the previous question, who was your top choice for president among the following candidates?

Regardless of your voting preference in the previous question, who was your top choice for president among the following candidates: Hillary Clinton, Donald Trump, Bernie Sanders, Ted Cruz, John Kasich, Marco Rubio, Jeb Bush, or Other (specify)?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Hillary Clinton	444	21.5 %
2	Donald Trump	345	16.7 %
3	Bernie Sanders	441	21.4 %
4	Ted Cruz	181	8.8 %
5	John Kasich	218	10.6 %
6	Marco Rubio	140	6.8 %
7	Jeb Bush	62	3.0 %
8	Other (specify)	159	7.7 %
Missing Data			
-1	Refused	71	3.4 %
Total		2,061	100%

Based upon 1,990 valid cases out of 2,061 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 8.00

Location: 596-597 (*width:* 2; *decimal:* 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: -1

Q1001_OTHERTEXT: [Other Text] Regardless of your voting preference in the previous question, who was your top choice for president among the following candidates?

Specification for "Other" chosen for Q1001.

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
-		1917	93.0 %
???? But liberal	-	1	0.0 %
Ben Carlson	-	1	0.0 %
Ben Carsen	-	2	0.1 %
Ben Carson	-	25	1.2 %
Bloomberg	-	1	0.0 %
Bobby Gindal	-	1	0.0 %
Bobo the clown	-	1	0.0 %
Carly Fiorina	-	1	0.0 %

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
Carson	-	4	0.2 %
Chris Christie	-	1	0.0 %
Chris Kristy	-	1	0.0 %
Chris christy	-	1	0.0 %
Christy	-	1	0.0 %
Darth Vader	-	1	0.0 %
Definitely none of the above!	-	1	0.0 %
Donald Duck	-	1	0.0 %
Dr Ben Carson	-	3	0.1 %
Dr. Ben Carson	-	2	0.1 %
Elizabeth Warren	-	2	0.1 %
Elizabeth warren	-	1	0.0 %
Gary Johnson	-	5	0.2 %
God's Kingdom by Jesus Rulership	-	1	0.0 %
Gov Christie	-	1	0.0 %
Gov. Bobby Jindal	-	1	0.0 %
Hulk Hogan	-	1	0.0 %
I don't vote	-	1	0.0 %
Jill Stein	-	2	0.1 %
Joe Biden	-	1	0.0 %
Kasich	-	1	0.0 %
Libertarian johnson	-	1	0.0 %
Martin O'Malley	-	1	0.0 %
Mickey Mouse	-	1	0.0 %
Mit Romney	-	1	0.0 %
Mitt Romney	-	2	0.1 %
N.J. Govenor	-	1	0.0 %
NONE	-	2	0.1 %
NONE - John Hoeven should have run	-	1	0.0 %
Neutral	-	1	0.0 %
None	-	15	0.7 %
None of the above	-	2	0.1 %
None of them	-	1	0.0 %
Paul Ryan	-	1	0.0 %
Pichiri Kochikoya	-	1	0.0 %
Rand Paul	-	6	0.3 %
Ryan	-	1	0.0 %
Scott Walker	-	1	0.0 %
Sen. Graham	-	1	0.0 %

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
Snuffaluffagus	-	1	0.0 %
They all suck	-	1	0.0 %
	Total	2,061	100%

Please note that only the first 50 response categories are displayed in the PDF codebook. To view all response categories, please analyze the data file in the statistical package of your choice (SAS, SPSS, Stata, R).

Based upon 2,061 valid cases out of 2,061 total cases.

Location: 598-631 (width: 34; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: character

Q1005: In general, do you think of yourself as:

In general, do you think of yourself as: Extremely liberal, Liberal, Slightly liberal, Moderate (middle of the road), Slightly conservative, Conservative, or Extremely conservative?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Extremely liberal	69	3.3 %
2	Liberal	278	13.5 %
3	Slightly liberal	182	8.8 %
4	Moderate, middle of the road	705	34.2 %
5	Slightly conservative	243	11.8 %
6	Conservative	437	21.2 %
7	Extremely conservative	97	4.7 %
	Missing Data		
-1	Refused	50	2.4 %
	Total	2,061	100%

Based upon 2,011 valid cases out of 2,061 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 7.00

Location: 632-633 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: -1

Q1010: Generally speaking, do you usually think of yourself as a Republican, a Democrat, an independent, or what?

Generally speaking, do you usually think of yourself as a Republican, a Democrat, an independent, or what?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Republican	635	30.8 %
2	Democrat	688	33.4 %

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
3	Independent	570	27.7 %
4	Other	134	6.5 %
Missing Data			
-1	Refused	34	1.6 %
Total		2,061	100%

Based upon 2,027 valid cases out of 2,061 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 4.00

Location: 634-635 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: -1

Q1015: Would you call yourself a strong Republican or a not very strong Republican?

Would you call yourself a strong Republican or a not very strong Republican?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Strong	335	16.3 %
2	Not strong	297	14.4 %
Missing Data			
-1	Refused	3	0.1 %
.	-	1426	69.2 %
Total		2,061	100%

Based upon 632 valid cases out of 2,061 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 2.00

Location: 636-637 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: -1, .

Q1020: Would you call yourself a strong Democrat or a not very strong Democrat?

Would you call yourself a strong Democrat or a not very strong Democrat?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Strong	337	16.4 %
2	Not strong	344	16.7 %
Missing Data			
-1	Refused	7	0.3 %
.	-	1373	66.6 %

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
	Total	2,061	100%

Based upon 681 valid cases out of 2,061 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 2.00

Location: 638-639 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: -1, .

Q1025: Do you think of yourself as closer to the Republican Party or to the Democratic Party?

Do you think of yourself as closer to the Republican Party or to the Democratic Party?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Republican	180	8.7 %
2	Democratic	167	8.1 %
3	Neither	218	10.6 %
Missing Data			
-1	Refused	5	0.2 %
.	-	1491	72.3 %
	Total	2,061	100%

Based upon 565 valid cases out of 2,061 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 3.00

Location: 640-641 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: -1, .

PPP10003: Are you currently registered to vote in the U.S.?

Are you currently registered to vote in the U.S.?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Yes, I am registered to vote at my present address	1702	82.6 %
2	Yes, I am registered to vote at a different address	83	4.0 %
3	No, I am not registered to vote	212	10.3 %
4	Not sure	49	2.4 %
Missing Data			
-1	Refused	15	0.7 %
	Total	2,061	100%

Based upon 2,046 valid cases out of 2,061 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 4.00

Location: 642-643 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: -1

DOV_REL1: Data Only Variable: Religious Denomination of Respondent

What is your religion?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Catholic	501	24.3 %
2	Evangelical or Protestant Christian (Baptist, Lutheran, Methodist, Presbyterian, Episcopalian, (...))	872	42.3 %
3	Jehovah's Witness	18	0.9 %
4	Mormon	31	1.5 %
5	Jewish	51	2.5 %
6	Islam/Muslim	6	0.3 %
7	Orthodox Church (Greek or Russian)	8	0.4 %
8	Hindu	14	0.7 %
9	Buddhist	17	0.8 %
10	Unitarian (Universalist)	18	0.9 %
11	Other Christian religion, please specify:	150	7.3 %
12	Other non-Christian religion, please specify:	28	1.4 %
13	No religion, not a believer, atheist, agnostic	339	16.4 %
Missing Data			
-1	Refused	8	0.4 %
Total		2,061	100%

Based upon 2,053 valid cases out of 2,061 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 13.00

Location: 644-645 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: -1

Q1065: Would you be willing to speak with a reporter in a follow-up telephone call or by email to discuss some of these topics?

Would you be willing to speak with a reporter in a follow-up telephone call or by email to discuss some of these topics?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Yes	529	25.7 %
2	No	1518	73.7 %
	Missing Data		
-1	Refused	14	0.7 %
	Total	2,061	100%

Based upon 2,047 valid cases out of 2,061 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 2.00

Location: 646-647 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: -1