

Recap:- Likelihoods based on Frequency tables.

Let's look at an unusual example of MLE

↳ Example 2.3.1 of the Complete
Lecture Notes (Pg-25)

Let, X be the number of the sighted drone

$$X \sim \underset{\text{discrete}}{\text{unif}}(0, N)$$

$$\text{pmf: } f(x; N) = \begin{cases} 1/N & ; N > 0 \\ 0 & ; \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

$$\underline{X = (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_8)} \text{ iid } \text{unif}(0, N)$$

$$f(X; N) = (1/N)^8 = 1/N^8, \quad N > 0 \quad \text{on } N \geq 1$$

Then, $L(N; X) = 1/N^8, \quad N > 0 \quad \left. \vphantom{L(N; X)} \right\} \text{dependent on } X$

$$\begin{aligned} \ell(N) &= \log(1) - \log(N^8) \\ &= -8 \log(N), \quad N > 0 \end{aligned}$$

$$\ell'(N) = -8/N, \quad N > 0, \quad \text{evaluate } \hat{N}.$$

$$\Rightarrow \ell'(N) = 0$$

$$\Rightarrow \ell'(N) = -8/\hat{N} = 0 \quad \text{why!!!}$$

→ max occurs at $N = 1$.

[Choose the values of N , to be as small as possible]

For this example, the pdf is,

$$f(x; N) = \begin{cases} 1/N^2 & ; N \geq 137 \\ 0 & ; \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

$$\text{So, } \hat{N} = \max\{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_8\}$$

$$\Rightarrow \hat{N} = 137, \text{ is the MLE.}$$

This example is a re-phrasing of the
"German Tank problem".

Section 2.5:- Relative likelihood functions (★)

So far we have been finding the MLE, which is a point estimate. But we are good statisticians! ☹️

We want interval estimates!!

We want to compare parameter values!!!

So let's do that, today :-

Relative likelihood function (RLF) of θ :

$$R(\theta) = \frac{L(\theta)}{L(\hat{\theta})}, \text{ where } \hat{\theta} = \text{MLE}$$
$$0 \leq R(\theta) \leq 1$$

$$\text{Log-RLF of } \theta : \eta(\theta) = \log(R(\theta))$$

$$= \log(L(\theta)/L(\hat{\theta}))$$

$$= \ell(\theta) - \ell(\hat{\theta}),$$

$$-\infty < \eta(\theta) \leq 0$$

How to use $R(\theta)$ and $r(\theta)$?

1) Check plausibility of a value, θ_1 :

if $R(\theta_1) = 0.1 \Leftrightarrow$ The data are 10 x more likely to occur at the MLE $\hat{\theta}$ than at θ_1

2) Compare θ_1 and θ_2 :

if $R(\theta_2) = 0.5 \Leftrightarrow$ The data are 2 x more likely to occur at the MLE $\hat{\theta}$ than at θ_2 .

So, if $R(\theta_1) = 0.1$, then θ_2 is more plausible than θ_1 .

3) Can construct a likelihood interval.

* A 100p% likelihood interval (LI) for θ is the set of θ values such that $R(\theta) \geq p$ or,
 $r(\theta) \geq \ln(p)$ or, $\log(p)$

i.e., the set of θ values that make the data achieve at least 100p% of the maximum likelihood, under the hypothesized model.

e.g., 10% LI \rightarrow plausible θ 's

50% LI \rightarrow very plausible θ 's

100% LI $\rightarrow \hat{\theta}$, the most plausible θ .

$$R(\theta) = \frac{L(\theta)}{L(\hat{\theta})} \geq \beta$$

$$\text{or, } \frac{L(\theta)}{\beta} \geq L(\hat{\theta})$$

dI always contains
MLE, $\hat{\theta}$

To find the 100 β % LI, solve $R(\theta) - \beta = 0$

$$\text{or, } \eta(\theta) - \ln(\beta) = 0$$

Return to Ex 2.2.1 [Fractured plastics]

$$L(\theta) = \theta^{170} (1-\theta)^{170} ; \theta \in [0, 1]$$

$$\hookrightarrow \hat{\theta} = 1/2$$

$$L(\hat{\theta}) = (1/2)^{170} (1-1/2)^{170} = (1/2)^{340}$$

$$\begin{aligned} R(\theta) &= \frac{L(\theta)}{L(\hat{\theta})} = \frac{\theta^{170} (1-\theta)^{170}}{(0.5)^{340}} = \left(\frac{\theta}{0.5}\right)^{170} \left(\frac{1-\theta}{0.5}\right)^{170} \\ &= (2\theta)^{170} (2(1-\theta))^{170} \\ &= 2^{340} \theta^{170} (1-\theta)^{170}, \quad \theta \in [0, 1] \end{aligned}$$

$$\eta(\theta) = 340 \ln(2) + 170 \ln(\theta) + 170 \ln(1-\theta), \quad \theta \in (0, 1).$$

To solve this problem, we find the roots θ of $R(\theta) - \beta = 0$ or $\eta(\theta) - \ln(\beta) = 0$, for admissible values of θ in the interval ~~(0,1)~~ $(0, 1)$

Use, uniroot function in R for finding the exact root values (lower and upper) — x —