19th Jan, 2024

than one obvoenvation.

## Section 2.2: - Likelihoods based on forequency tables

## Deguency tables:

They are used to present results of an experiment by counting the number of occurances in each event.

- @ n independent tocals
- @ K mutually exclusive events
- @ IZ is partitioned by the events A, Az, ..., Ak
- @ probabilities p1, p2, ..., bx are constant.

Frequency tables dooler like,

Event	A,	A2	e se e e e	AK	$\rightarrow$	$\bigcup_{i=1}^{K} A_i = \Omega$
obs. fneg	<b>X</b> 1	<b>X</b> <sub>2</sub>		Xĸ	$\rightarrow$	EXI = 7

$$(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_k) \sim \text{molfinomial } (n, p_1, p_2, \dots, p_k),$$
where  $\sum_{i=1}^{k} p_i = 1$  and  $p_1, p_2 \dots, p_k \in [0, 1]$ 

Note - pin are functions (sometimes) of a more orelevant parameter of, i.e., p. = p. (0),

We can use the distribution of the frequencies to:

a) Estimate  $\hat{\Theta}$  using  $L(P,(\theta), P_2(\theta), ...., P_k(\theta))$ b) Compare  $X_1, X_2, ...., X_k$  with their estimated expected values  $E(\hat{X}_i) = n\hat{p}_i = n\hat{p}_i(\theta) = n\hat{p}_i(\hat{\theta})$ for i = 1, 2, ...., 16.

Leis Look at the Example 2.2.1, of the Complete Lecture Notes (Pg~18)

The given table,

						-
$ \downarrow : \rightarrow $	# hits orequired to fracture	1	2	3	74	Total
×; >	# Opecimens	112	36	22	30	200

Let, y = # hits needed to boyeak the plastic  $\theta = \mathbb{P}(not \text{ fracturing})$ 

Y1, Y2, ..., Y200 is own standom Sample Y1, Y2, ..., Y200 is own standom Sample where, 
$$y \sim \text{Neg. Bin } (n=1, p=1-0)$$

The find of y is if 
$$(y; h) = b(1-h)^{y-1}; b \in [0,1]$$
 in terms of  $0: f(y; 0) = b(1-h)^{y-1}; 0 \in [0,1]$ 

with L(0,3), we can find ô= 1-1/9. But we do not have all the data necessary to evaluate ô. So, we use L(0; x) instead. Let's express own bis in terms of 0: 1= P(y=1) = 1-0. /2 = P(y=2) = O(1-0) p3=P(y=3)= 02 (1-0) P2 = P(y >4) = 1- P(y = 3) = 1- (1-0) - 0(1-0) - 02(1-0) = 03 The Multinomial font in them "  $f(x;\theta) = \begin{pmatrix} \infty \\ (x_1,x_2,x_3,x_4) \end{pmatrix} (1-\theta)^{x_1} (\theta(1-\theta))^{x_2} \cdot (\theta(1-\theta))^{x_3} [\theta^3]^{x_4}$ L(X;0) = (1-0) x,+x2+x3 x2+2x3+3x4; 0 € [0,1] Find the MLE 8. Here, X+x2+x3 = 170', X2+2x3+3x4=170 ". L(x;0)= (1-0)'70 8'70; Of [0,1] NOW, I(X',0) = 170 log(0) + 170 log(1-0);

A C (0,1)

$$\mathcal{Q}'(\theta) = \frac{170}{8} - \frac{170}{1-8}$$
Equating to Zerro,  $\mathcal{Q}'(0) = 0$ 

we will get,  $\hat{\theta} = \frac{1}{2}$ 

i.e, The MLE of  $\theta$  in  $\hat{\theta} = \frac{1}{2}$ 

(Do the  $2^{500}$ 

coe can substitute this value into the pi's B= 42; B= 1/4; B= 1/8; P4= 1/8

Now we can compute the expected frequencies; E(xi)= 96.

J: -> # hirs nequined	į	2	3	>4	Total
X; -) #specimens	112	36	22	30	200
E(xi) -> Essmated (E(# specimens))	100	50	25	25	200
Xi-E(xi)	13	14	3	.5	0
	•				

20, there is some ladiation between the treg's and their expected values, especially for the 1st and 2nd events in the table. It may be the case that the model, yn geo (1-0) in not a good lit.

- 4) We will briefly nevisit this example in chapter 3 as well and we will discuss Goodness of tit tests in chapter 4.
- 4) But next class, we'll look at Section 2.5 ( relative Likelihood functions).