```
27th Feb 2024
Wellome back! To day we'll Look at

G.O.F and test of Horrogeneity examples, to neferest ownselves

on the "theory" from before the break.
  Det's nevisit the Example 2.2.1 (G.O.F test)
       = Example 4.5.1 = Y~ Geom(1-0)
      Ho: The assumed Model is a Good fit.

[See 19th Jan Notes]

previously, we found 0 = 1/2. [See 19th Jan Notes]
   Basic Model : (X, X2, X3, X4) ~ Moltinomial (200; 1, 1, 12, 13, 14)
                                                                             [ ] | = 1]
          L(b, p2, b3, b4) = b, x1 b, x2 b, x3 b, x4
    Then, l(t) = \frac{4}{1-1} \times i \ln(bi); b: f(0,1)
              p:= xi/, toop i=1,2,3,4.
                                   K=3 bourameters Jeedee to be estimated.
  HypothesiZed Model: p: = b: (0), for i=1,2,3,4.
        p_1 = 1 - \theta, p_2 = \theta(1 - \theta), p_3 = \theta^2(1 - \theta), p_4 = \theta^3
                                     9=1 barangetez.
  L_{H}(\theta) = \left[1-\theta\right]^{\times 1} \left[\theta(i-\theta)\right]^{\times 2} \left[\theta^{2}(i-\theta)\right]^{\times 3} \left[\theta^{3}\right]^{\times 3} \int_{0}^{\infty} d\theta x i \eta i z e^{-this}
                      8=42 ⇒ Fi; i=1,23,4.
```

$$D = 2 \left[ l(\hat{\beta}) - l(\tilde{\beta}) \right] = 2 \sum_{i=1}^{4} \times_{i} ln \left( \frac{\hat{\beta}_{i}}{\hat{\beta}_{i}} \right) \approx \chi^{2}_{3-1} \quad \text{order Ho}$$

$$Oobs = 7.048$$

$$p - \text{Value} \approx P \left[ \chi^{2}_{(2)} > 7.048 \right] = 0.02948 \quad \text{Using R}$$

$$\in (0.025, 0.05) \quad \text{Using tables}$$
We have evidence again of  $\hat{\beta}_{0}$ , with  $\hat{\beta}_{0}$ -Value of  $0.02948 < 0.05$ 
The data one not consistent  $\hat{\beta}_{0}$ -th a geometric Nodel.

\*\*Exercise: Do G. O.F. test with the enforced fractured plants of flowing (\$\tau\_{0}\$).

Fest of flowed engity: & \$\text{\$\times \$4.4.1 (week) degalization}\$

The standard of \$\text{\$\times \$\times \$

Maximize this to find B. Dely = 0 to get F. Dexercise!!  $\int_{0}^{\infty} = \frac{\frac{4}{5} J_{i}}{\frac{4}{5} \eta_{i}} = \frac{\frac{4}{5} J_{i}}{\frac{4}{5} \eta_{i}} = \frac{79}{400} = 0.1975.$  $D = 2 \left[ l(\hat{\beta}_1, \hat{\beta}_2, \hat{\beta}_3, \hat{b}_4) - l(\tilde{\beta}_0, \tilde{\beta}, \tilde{\beta}, \tilde{\beta}) \right]$  $=2\frac{4}{5}\left[J_{i}\ln\left(\frac{\hat{b}_{i}}{\overline{b}_{o}}\right)+\left(100-J_{i}\right)\ln\left(\frac{1-\hat{b}_{i}}{1-\overline{b}_{o}}\right)\right]$ Jobs = 10.76  $\mathfrak{D} \approx \chi^{2}_{(3)}$ p-value = P(X2) >, 10.76) = 0.013 There is evidence against to, given p-value = 0.013, < 0.05

The Data Do not supposed the hypothesis that all provinces have the same proposition of yes votes. Ogder Ho : E (Yi) = NB = 100(0.1975) = 19.75.