

Exercise 2.3. Write down rules to evaluate boolean expressions of the form $b_0 \vee b_1$, which take advantage of the fact that there is no need to evaluate b in $true \vee b$ as the result will be true independent of the result of evaluating b . The rules written down should describe a method of left-sequential evaluation. Of course, by symmetry, there is a method of right-sequential evaluation.

$$\frac{\langle b_0, \sigma \rangle \Downarrow true}{\langle b_0 \vee b_1, \sigma \rangle \Downarrow true}$$

$$\frac{\langle b_0, \sigma \rangle \Downarrow false \quad \langle b_1 \sigma \rangle \Downarrow true}{\langle b_0 \vee b_1 \rangle \Downarrow true}$$

$$\frac{\langle b_0, \sigma \rangle \Downarrow false \quad \langle b_1 \sigma \rangle \Downarrow false}{\langle b_0 \vee b_1 \rangle \Downarrow false}$$

Exercise 2.4. Write down rules which express the "parallel" evaluation of b_0 and b_1 in $b_0 \vee b_1$ so that $b_0 \vee b_1$ evaluates to true if either b_0 evaluates to true, and b_1 is unevaluated, or b_1 evaluates to true, and b_0 is unevaluated.

$$\frac{\langle b_0, \sigma \rangle \Downarrow true}{\langle b_0 \vee b_1, \sigma \rangle \Downarrow true}$$

$$\frac{\langle b_1, \sigma \rangle \Downarrow true}{\langle b_0 \vee b_1, \sigma \rangle \Downarrow true}$$

Exercise 2.7. Let $w \equiv \text{while true do skip}$. By considering the form of derivations, explain why, for any state σ , there is no state σ' such that $\langle w, \sigma \rangle \Downarrow \sigma'$.

Based on the structure of w , we know that a derivation tree for w would apply the *while* rule last in order to complete the derivation. Specifically, the tree would apply the rule in which the loop guard b evaluates to true. This derivation tree would have the following shape:

$$\frac{\langle b, \sigma \rangle \Downarrow true \quad \langle skip, \sigma \rangle \Downarrow \sigma'' \quad \langle \text{while true do skip}, \sigma'' \rangle \Downarrow \sigma'}{\langle \text{while true do skip}, \sigma \rangle \Downarrow \sigma'}$$

From this derivation, we can see that our structure w is recursive; every application of the *while* rule to w will just yield w again. Thus, there is no complete, terminating derivation of w , proving that $\langle w, \sigma \rangle$ will never evaluate to state σ' .

Exercise 2.9. Complete the task, begun above, of writing down the rules for \rightarrow_1 , one step in the evaluation of integer and boolean expressions. What evaluation strategy have you adopted (left-to-right sequential or ...)?

Subtraction

$$\frac{\langle a_0, \sigma \rangle \Downarrow \langle a'_0, \sigma \rangle}{\langle a_0 - a_1, \sigma \rangle \Downarrow \langle a'_0 - a_1, \sigma \rangle}$$

$$\frac{\langle a_1, \sigma \rangle \Downarrow \langle a'_1, \sigma \rangle}{\langle n - a_1, \sigma \rangle \Downarrow \langle n - a'_1, \sigma \rangle}$$

$$\langle n - m, \sigma \rangle \Downarrow \langle p, \sigma \rangle$$

Multiplication

$$\frac{\langle a_0, \sigma \rangle \Downarrow \langle a'_0, \sigma \rangle}{\langle a_0 \times a_1, \sigma \rangle \Downarrow \langle a'_0 \times a_1, \sigma \rangle}$$

$$\frac{\langle a_1, \sigma \rangle \Downarrow \langle a'_1, \sigma \rangle}{\langle n \times a_1, \sigma \rangle \Downarrow \langle n \times a'_1, \sigma \rangle}$$

$$\langle n \times m, \sigma \rangle \Downarrow \langle p, \sigma \rangle$$

Equal

$$\frac{\langle a_0, \sigma \rangle \Downarrow \langle a'_0, \sigma \rangle}{\langle a_0 = a_1, \sigma \rangle \Downarrow \langle a'_0 = a_1, \sigma \rangle}$$

$$\frac{\langle a_1, \sigma \rangle \Downarrow \langle a'_1, \sigma \rangle}{\langle n = a_1, \sigma \rangle \Downarrow \langle n = a'_1, \sigma \rangle}$$

$$\begin{array}{ll} \langle n = m, \sigma \rangle \Downarrow \langle true, \sigma \rangle & \text{if } n \text{ and } m \text{ are equal} \\ \langle n = m, \sigma \rangle \Downarrow \langle false, \sigma \rangle & \text{if } n \text{ and } m \text{ are not equal} \end{array}$$

Leq

$$\frac{\langle a_0, \sigma \rangle \Downarrow \langle a'_0, \sigma \rangle}{\langle a_0 \leq a_1, \sigma \rangle \Downarrow \langle a'_0 \leq a_1, \sigma \rangle}$$

$$\frac{\langle a_1, \sigma \rangle \Downarrow \langle a'_1, \sigma \rangle}{\langle n \leq a_1, \sigma \rangle \Downarrow \langle n \leq a'_1, \sigma \rangle}$$

$$\begin{array}{ll} \langle n \leq m, \sigma \rangle \Downarrow \langle true, \sigma \rangle & \text{if } n \text{ is less than or equal to } m \\ \langle n \leq m, \sigma \rangle \Downarrow \langle false, \sigma \rangle & \text{if } n \text{ is greater than } m \end{array}$$

And

$$\frac{\langle b_0, \sigma \rangle \Downarrow \langle b'_0, \sigma \rangle}{\langle b_0 \wedge b_1, \sigma \rangle \Downarrow \langle b'_0 \wedge b_1, \sigma \rangle}$$

$$\frac{\langle b_1, \sigma \rangle \Downarrow \langle b'_1, \sigma \rangle}{\langle n \wedge b_1, \sigma \rangle \Downarrow \langle n \wedge b'_1, \sigma \rangle}$$

$$\begin{array}{ll} \langle n \wedge m, \sigma \rangle \Downarrow \langle true, \sigma \rangle & \text{if } n \text{ and } m \text{ are both true} \\ \langle n \wedge m, \sigma \rangle \Downarrow \langle false, \sigma \rangle & \text{if } n \text{ or } m \text{ is false} \end{array}$$

Or

$$\frac{\langle b_0, \sigma \rangle \Downarrow \langle b'_0, \sigma \rangle}{\langle b_0 \vee b_1, \sigma \rangle \Downarrow \langle b'_0 \vee b_1, \sigma \rangle}$$

$$\frac{\langle b_1, \sigma \rangle \Downarrow \langle b'_1, \sigma \rangle}{\langle n \vee b_1, \sigma \rangle \Downarrow \langle n \vee b'_1, \sigma \rangle}$$

$$\begin{array}{ll} \langle n \vee m, \sigma \rangle \Downarrow \langle true, \sigma \rangle & \text{if } n \text{ or } m \text{ is true} \\ \langle n \vee m, \sigma \rangle \Downarrow \langle false, \sigma \rangle & \text{if } n \text{ and } m \text{ are both false} \end{array}$$