

Dynamic Programming: knapsack

Planning for Mars One Mission

- Mars one spaceship has a fixed weight limit
- There are a lot of different things to choose from to bring on board
- They each has a weight and a value
- How can we maximize the value of the things we bring while respecting the weight limit?

0-1 knapsack problem definition

- Input: a weight limit W , and a set of items with their specific weights and values:

Item	Weight (w)	Value (v)
1	w_1	v_1
2	w_2	v_2
...
n	w_n	v_n

- Goal: find a subset $S \subseteq \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$ that maximizes $\sum_{i \in S} v_i$ and satisfies $\sum_{i \in S} w_i \leq W$

Also called knapsack problem without repetition

Brute force?

- Enumerate all possible subsets
- Time complexity?

Is it good to be greedy?

- Greedily choose the most valuable item?
- Greedily choose the most value/weight item?

We can construct examples where such greedy strategies won't return optimal solution.

Optimal substructure

- Our problem size is determined by two things:
 - n , the number of items to choose from
 - W , the weight limit (if $W=0$, the problem is trivial)
- Define $V(n, W)$ = the optimal value achievable using item $1, 2, \dots, n$, with weight limit W
- How can we create smaller subproblems that help us solve this original problem?

- Let's focus on the last object. There are two possible options for this object:

Option 1: Use this object:

- we will reduce n to $n-1$
 - W will reduce to $W - w_n$
- } Subproblem:
 $V(n - 1, W - w_n)$

The best value achievable for this option is:

$$V(n - 1, W - w_n) + v_n$$

Option 2. Do not use this object:

- We will reduce n to $n-1$
 - W will remain the same
- } Subproblem:
 $V(n - 1, W)$

The best value achievable with this option:
 $V(n - 1, W)$

Considering both options

$$V(n, W) = \max \begin{cases} V(n-1, W - w_n) + v_n & \boxed{\text{Use item } n} \\ V(n-1, W) & \boxed{\text{Discard item } n} \end{cases}$$

Edge case: $w_n > W$, we can only discard item n

Base case?

$$V(i, 0) = 0 \text{ for } i = 0, \dots, n$$

$$V(0, j) = 0 \text{ for } j = 0, \dots, W$$

```

Knapsack(n,W)
for i=0 to n      V(i,0)=0
for w=1 to W      V(0,w)=0

for i=1 to n
    for w=1 to W
        V(i,w) = max {
            V(i-1,w-wi)+vi
            V(i-1,w))
    }
    * Ignoring edge cases

Return V(n,W)

```

Runtime? $O(nW)$

Memoization

```
Knapsack_m(n,W)
if n=0 V(n,W)=0
if W=0 V(n,W)=0
if V(n,W) is undefined
    V(n,W)= max { Knapsack_m(i-1,w-wi)+vi
                  Knapsack_m(i-1,w) )
Return V(n,W)
```

* Ignoring edge cases

Same asymptotic runtime: $O(nW)$

Practical Advantage: we do not always have to go through all w values, skipping many unnecessary subproblems

Unbounded knapsack problem

- Same general premise, but we have an unbounded number of all items
- Input: a weight limit W , and n possible items, each item has unbounded supply
- Goal: achieve maximum value within weight limit

Subproblem

- Because of the infinite supply, we will not be able to reduce the size n
- But we can reduce the weight limit by putting items in the sack
- Consider the first selection of item to place in the sack, we have n choices, one for each item

- Suppose your first choice is item i :
We would get its value v_i
And we will reach a smaller weight limit
(subproblem): $W - w_i$

How do you proceed from here?