Programming Languages

2nd edition Tucker and Noonan

Chapter 2 Syntax

A language that is simple to parse for the compiler is also simple to parse for the human programmer.

N. Wirth

Contents

- 2.1 Grammars
 - 2.1.1 Backus-Naur Form
 - 2.1.2 Derivations
 - 2.1.3 Parse Trees
 - 2.1.4 Associativity and Precedence
 - 2.1.5 Ambiguous Grammars
- 2.2 Extended BNF
- 2.3 Syntax of a Small Language: *Clite*
 - 2.3.1 Lexical Syntax
 - 2.3.2 Concrete Syntax
- 2.4 Compilers and Interpreters
- 2.5 Linking Syntax and Semantics
 - 2.5.1 Abstract Syntax
 - 2.5.2 Abstract Syntax Trees
 - 2.5.3 Abstract Syntax of *Clite*

2.3 Syntax of a Small Language: *Clite*

Motivation for using a subset of C:

	Grammar	
Language	(pages)	<u>Reference</u>
Pascal	5	Jensen & Wirth
C	6	Kernighan & Richie
C++	22	Stroustrup
Java	14	Gosling, et. al.

The *Clite* grammar fits on one page (next 3 slides), so it's a far better tool for studying language design.

Fig. 2.7 Clite Grammar: Statements

```
Program \rightarrow int main ( ) { Declarations Statements }
   Declarations \rightarrow \{ Declaration \}
   Declaration \rightarrow Type\ Identifier\ [\ [\ Integer\ ]\ ]\ \{\ ,\ Identifier\ [\ [\ Integer\ ]\ ]\ \}
            Type \rightarrow int \mid bool \mid float \mid char
     Statements \rightarrow \{ Statement \}
      Statement → ; | Block | Assignment | IfStatement | WhileStatement
          Block \rightarrow \{ Statements \}
    Assignment \rightarrow Identifier [Expression] = Expression;
    IfStatement \rightarrow if (Expression) Statement [else Statement]
WhileStatement \rightarrow while (Expression) Statement
```

Fig. 2.7 Clite Grammar: Expressions

```
Expression \rightarrow Conjunction \{ \mid \mid Conjunction \}
Conjunction \rightarrow Equality { && Equality }
    Equality \rightarrow Relation | EquOp Relation |
      EquOp \rightarrow == | !=
    Relation \rightarrow Addition [RelOp Addition]
      RelOp \rightarrow \langle | \langle = | \rangle | \rangle =
    Addition \rightarrow Term \{ AddOp Term \}
     AddOp \rightarrow + \mid -
        Term \rightarrow Factor \{ MulOp Factor \}
      MulOp \rightarrow * | / | %
      Factor \rightarrow [UnaryOp] Primary
   UnaryOp \rightarrow - \mid !
   Primary \rightarrow Identifier \ [Expression] \ ] \ | Literal \ | (Expression) \ |
                  Type (Expression)
```

Fig. 2.7 Clite grammar: lexical level

```
Identifier → Letter { Letter | Digit }

Letter → a | b | ... | z | A | B | ... | Z

Digit → 0 | 1 | ... | 9

Literal → Integer | Boolean | Float | Char

Integer → Digit { Digit }

Boolean → true | False

Float → Integer . Integer

Char → ' ASCII Char '
```

Issues Not Addressed by this Grammar

- Comments
- Whitespace
- Distinguishing one token <= from two tokens < =
- Distinguishing identifiers from keywords like if

These issues are addressed by identifying two levels:

- lexical level
- syntactic level

2.3.1 Lexical Syntax

- Punctuation

Input: a stream of characters from the ASCII set, keyed by a programmer.

Output: a stream of tokens or basic symbols, classified as follows:

```
- Identifiers
- Literals
- Keywords
- Weywords
- Operators
e.g., Stack, x, i, push
e.g., 123, 'x', 3.25, true
bool char else false float if int
main true while
- Operators
- | && = | = < <= > >= + - * / !
```

;, { } ()

Whitespace

Whitespace is any space, tab, end-of-line character (or characters), or character sequence inside a comment

No token may contain embedded whitespace (unless it is a character or string literal)

Example:

```
>= one token
```

> = two tokens

Whitespace Examples in Pascal

while a < b do

while a < b do

legal - spacing between tokens

spacing not needed for <

whilea < bdo

whilea < bdo

illegal - can't tell boundaries

between tokens

Comments

Not defined in grammar

Clite uses // comment style of C++

Identifier

Sequence of letters and digits, starting with a letter if is both an identifier and a keyword

Most languages require identifiers to be distinct from keywords

In some languages, identifiers are merely predefined (and thus can be redefined by the programmer)

Redefining Identifiers can be dangerous

```
program confusing;
const true = false;
begin
  if (a<b) = true then
f(a)
  else ...</pre>
```

Should Identifiers be case-sensitive?

Older languages: no. Why?

- Pascal: no.
- Modula: yes
- *− C, C++: yes*
- Java: yes
- PHP: partly yes, partly no. What about orthogonality?

2.3.2 Concrete Syntax

Based on a parse of its *Tokens*

; is a statement terminator

(Algol-60, Pascal use; as a separator)

Rule for *IfStatement* is ambiguous:

"The else ambiguity is resolved by connecting an **else** with the last encountered else-less if."

[Stroustrup, 1991]

Expressions in *Clite*

13 grammar rules

Use of meta braces – operators are left associative

C++ expressions require 4 pages of grammar rules [Stroustrup]

C uses an ambiguous expression grammar [Kernighan and Ritchie]

Associativity and Precedence

Clite Operator	Associativity
Unary -!	none
* /	left
+ -	left
< <= > >=	none
== !=	none
&&	left
	left

Clite Equality, Relational Operators

```
... are non-associative.
  (an idea borrowed from Ada)
Why is this important?
   In C++, the expression:
     if (a < x < b)
   is not equivalent to
     if (a < x & x < b)
   But it is error-free!
   So, what does it mean?
```