

Managing Flexibility: Understanding How Workers in the Gig Economy Manage Multiple Jobs in the Context of Telehealth Platforms

ANONYMOUS AUTHOR(S)

A clear and well-documented LateX document is presented as an article formatted for publication by ACM in a conference proceedings or journal publication. Based on the "acmart" document class, this article presents and explains many of the common variations, as well as many of the formatting elements an author may use in the preparation of the documentation of their work.

 $\label{eq:concepts: Laboratory experiments: HCI theory, concepts and models.} \begin{picture}(t) \put(0.5,0.5) \put($

Additional Key Words and Phrases: flexible work, heterogeneous agents, contextual responses, work performance and motivation

ACM Reference Format:

1 Introduction

Flexibility in capacity often serves a source of competitive advantage to any system or process. Though without an official figure, there exist many incidences where Uber has charged a surcharge on scheduled rides ahead of time ranging up to 85% of the original fare (WSJ, [5]). Uber explains, the reservation fee is mostly to entice drivers to accept such rides. This is one indicative example of the value in flexibility, both to the platform manager and participating suppliers. Another case for the value of flexible capacity can be found in telemedicine. Sun et al. [9] find evidence that telemedicine adoption across emergency rooms in New York significantly reduced the average patient's length of stay primarily by allowing flexible resource allocation. More cases around the world can be found in Betcheva et al. [2]. Not only is flexibility ideal for the platform, it has both been proven in research and demonstrated in practice that flexible arrangements also benefit the worker or supplier himself.

However, it is not so straightforward how humans react to such flexibility. Flexibility is, in other words, variability and there exist heaps of evidence showing the risk aversion of an average person. Particularly in the gig economy setting, Cameron and Meuris [3] show high pay variability is positively related to turnover in ride-hailing. At the same time, the success story of Uber and alike platforms demonstrate there is some workaround this natural hesitation toward uncertain work arrangements. One lever for Uber is surge pricing; by paying high enough, Uber attracts enough suppliers to fill the demand [8]. It has also been proven in several analytical models that flexible work arrangements also benefit the worker as opposed to a traditional form of employment [6]. Flexibility itself can also hold inherent value to the worker despite its implications of pay variability.

However, there is also the argument of

Permission to make digital or hard copies of all or part of this work for personal or classroom use is granted without fee provided that copies are not made or distributed for profit or commercial advantage and that copies bear this notice and the full citation on the first page. Copyrights for components of this work owned by others than the author(s) must be honored. Abstracting with credit is permitted. To copy otherwise, or republish, to post on servers or to redistribute to lists, requires prior specific permission and/or a fee. Request permissions from permissions@acm.org.

Manuscript submitted to ACM

Manuscript submitted to ACM 1

2 Anon.

Furthermore, there is an additional dimension to consider - the context of work. 2021 Pew Research Survey [1] report that the large majority of gig workers had 2 or more jobs. Additional claims from Doordash, Uber, Lyft on how 90-80% of its riders only work on each platform for 10-20 hours per week support the conjecture that gig workers engage in such tasks as a side endeavor.

Moreover, there are characteristics of gig work that are often distinct from traditional employment - in the characteristic itself or the extent that it is taken to. Namely, algorithmic management [4] and proximity to customer feedback [7] have been shown to impact gig workers significantly.

References

- [1] Monica Anderson, Colleen Mcclain, Michelle Faverio, and Risa Gelles-Watnick. 2021. The State of Gig Work in 2021. https://www.pewresearch.org/internet/2021/12/08/the-state-of-gig-work-in-2021/
- [2] Lidia Betcheva, Feryal Erhun, and Houyuan Jiang. 2021. OM Forum—Supply chain thinking in healthcare: Lessons and outlooks. Manufacturing & Service Operations Management 23, 6 (2021), 1333–1353.
- [3] Lindsey Cameron and Jirs Meuris. 2022. The Peril of Paycheck Dispersion: When Fluctuations in Compensation Jeopardize Worker Retention. In *Academy of Management Proceedings*, Vol. 2022. Academy of Management Briarcliff Manor, NY 10510, 15162.
- [4] Lindsey D Cameron, Bobbi Thomason, and Vanessa M Conzon. 2021. Risky business: Gig workers and the navigation of ideal worker expectations during the COVID-19 pandemic. *Journal of Applied Psychology* 106, 12 (2021), 1821.
- [5] Dawn Gilbertson. 2024. The Charges That Can Nearly Double Your Uber or Lyft to the Airport; Airlines, hotels and rental cars aren't the only place where travelers get hit with high fees they may not expect. https://www.wsj.com/lifestyle/travel/uber-lyft-fees-reservations-4095f1bb
- [6] Ming Hu and Yan Liu. 2023. Precommitments in two-sided market competition. Manufacturing & Service Operations Management 25, 2 (2023), 704-718
- [7] Yunke Mai, Bin Hu, and Saša Pekeč. 2023. Courteous or crude? Managing user conduct to improve on-demand service platform performance. Management Science 69, 2 (2023), 996–1016.
- [8] Liu Ming, Tunay I Tunca, Yi Xu, and Weiming Zhu. 2019. Market formation, pricing, and revenue sharing in ride-hailing services. *Pricing, and Revenue Sharing in Ride-Hailing Services (February 15, 2019)* (2019).
- [9] Shujing Sun, Susan F Lu, and Huaxia Rui. 2020. Does telemedicine reduce emergency room congestion? Evidence from New York state. *Information Systems Research* 31, 3 (2020), 972–986.

Received 20 February 2007; revised 12 March 2009; accepted 5 June 2009