

# ICCS310: Assignment 6

Possawat Sanorkam

possawat2017@hotmail.com

March 24, 2021

---

## 1: The Meaning of Things

---

(1) Class NP is the problems that can be solved within polynomial time using a NFA. Besides, we can solve it in polynomial time using a machine that compute all possibilities at once.

(2) Show that

(3) Show that

(4) Show that

---

## 2: Closure of NP

---

$$\text{ACCEPT}_{\text{TM}} = \{\langle M, x \rangle \mid M \text{ is a TM that accepts input } x\}$$

(i) Prove that  $\text{ACCEPT}_{\text{TM}} \leq \text{REJECT}_{\text{TM}}$

*Proof:*

Suppose that TM  $M_{\text{REJECT}}$  decides  $\text{REJECT}_{\text{TM}}$  and TM  $M_{\text{ACCEPT}}$  decides  $\text{ACCEPT}_{\text{TM}}$ , we want to show how to decide  $\text{ACCEPT}_{\text{TM}}$  using  $M_{\text{REJECT}}$ .

Given  $\langle M, w \rangle$  as input:

1. Make TM  $M'$  from  $M$  by reversing the accept and reject states.
2. Run  $M_{\text{REJECT}}$  with  $\langle M', w \rangle$ .
3. If  $M_{\text{REJECT}}$  accepts, we accept. If  $M_{\text{REJECT}}$  rejects, we reject.

Notice that this mechanism accepts if and only if  $M$  accepts  $w$  and rejects if and only if  $M'$  rejects  $w$ .

$$M_{\text{REJECT}} \text{ accepts } \langle M', w \rangle \iff M_{\text{ACCEPT}} \text{ accepts } \langle M, w \rangle$$

Hence,  $M_{\text{ACCEPT}}$  can correctly decide  $\text{ACCEPT}_{\text{TM}}$  provided that there is a TM  $M_{\text{REJECT}}$ . Therefore,  $\text{ACCEPT}_{\text{TM}} \leq \text{REJECT}_{\text{TM}}$ .  $\square$

(ii) Prove that  $\text{REJECT}_{\text{TM}} \leq \text{ACCEPT}_{\text{TM}}$

*Proof:*

Suppose that TM  $M_{\text{REJECT}}$  decides  $\text{REJECT}_{\text{TM}}$  and TM  $M_{\text{ACCEPT}}$  decides  $\text{ACCEPT}_{\text{TM}}$ , we want to show how to decide  $\text{REJECT}_{\text{TM}}$  using  $M_{\text{ACCEPT}}$ .

Given  $\langle M, w \rangle$  as input:

1. Make TM  $M'$  from  $M$  by reversing the accept and reject states.
2. Run  $M_{\text{ACCEPT}}$  with  $\langle M', w \rangle$ .

3. If  $M_{\text{ACCEPT}}$  accepts, we accept. If  $M_{\text{ACCEPT}}$  rejects, we reject.

Notice that this mechanism accepts if and only if  $M$  accepts  $w$  and rejects if and only if  $M'$  rejects  $w$ .

$$M_{\text{REJECT}} \text{ accepts } \langle M', w \rangle \iff M_{\text{ACCEPT}} \text{ accepts } \langle M, w \rangle$$

Hence,  $M_{\text{REJECT}}$  can correctly decide  $\text{REJECT}_{\text{TM}}$  provided that there is a TM  $M_{\text{ACCEPT}}$ . Therefore,  $\text{REJECT}_{\text{TM}} \leq \text{ACCEPT}_{\text{TM}}$ .  $\square$

### 3: This is NP

$$\mathsf{T} = \{ \langle M \rangle \mid M \text{ is a TM that accepts } \mathbf{rev}(w) \text{ whenever it accepts } w \}$$

where  $\mathbf{rev}(w)$  is the reverse of the string  $w$ . Show that  $\mathsf{T}$  is undecidable.

*Proof:* ( $\text{ACCEPT}_{\text{TM}} \leq \mathsf{T}_{\text{TM}}$ )

Suppose that TM  $M_{\mathsf{T}}$  decides  $\mathsf{T}_{\text{TM}}$  and TM  $M_{\text{ACCEPT}}$  decides  $\text{ACCEPT}_{\text{TM}}$ , we want to show how to decide  $\text{ACCEPT}_{\text{TM}}$  using  $M_{\mathsf{T}}$ .

On input  $\langle M, w \rangle$ :

1. Make TM  $M'$  that accepts a string  $w$ , then it also accepts  $w^R$ . On input  $x$ :

1.1 If  $x = 01$ , then accept  $x$ .

1.2 If  $x \neq 01$ , then run  $M$  on input  $w$  and accept if  $M$  accepts.

2. Run  $M_{\mathsf{T}}$  on  $\langle M', w \rangle$ .

3. If  $M_{\mathsf{T}}$  accepts, we accept. If  $M_{\mathsf{T}}$  rejects, we reject.

Notice that this mechanism accepts if and only if  $M$  accepts  $w$  and rejects if and only if  $M'$  rejects  $w$ .

$$M_{\mathsf{T}} \text{ accepts } \langle M', w \rangle \iff M_{\text{ACCEPT}} \text{ accepts } \langle M, w \rangle$$

From observation, if  $M$  accepts  $w$ , then  $M'$  accepts every string, so  $\langle M' \rangle \in \mathsf{T}_{\text{TM}}$ . If  $M$  does not accept  $w$ , then only  $01$  will be accepted which means  $\langle M' \rangle \notin \mathsf{T}_{\text{TM}}$ .

Then,  $M_{\text{ACCEPT}}$  can correctly decide  $\text{ACCEPT}_{\text{TM}}$  provided that there is a TM  $M_{\mathsf{T}}$ .

So,  $\text{ACCEPT}_{\text{TM}} \leq \mathsf{T}_{\text{TM}}$ . Therefore,  $\mathsf{T}$  is undecidable.  $\square$

### 4: NP-Complete

(i) Show that

$$\text{TOTAL} = \{ \langle M \rangle \mid M \text{ is a Turing machine that halts on every input} \}$$

is undecidable

*Proof:* ( $\text{ACCEPT}_{\text{TM}} \leq \text{TOTAL}_{\text{TM}}$ )

Suppose that TM  $M_{\text{TOTAL}}$  decides  $\text{TOTAL}_{\text{TM}}$  and TM  $M_{\text{ACCEPT}}$  decides  $\text{ACCEPT}_{\text{TM}}$ , we want to show how to decide  $\text{ACCEPT}_{\text{TM}}$  using  $M_{\text{TOTAL}}$ .

Given  $\langle M, w \rangle$  as input:

1. Make TM  $M'$  from  $M$  where if  $M$  accepts, we accept and enter loops when  $M$  rejects.
  2. Run  $M_{\text{TOTAL}}$  with  $\langle M', w \rangle$ .
  3. If  $M_{\text{TOTAL}}$  accepts, we accept. If  $M_{\text{TOTAL}}$  rejects, we reject.
- Notice that this mechanism accepts if and only if  $M$  accepts  $w$  and rejects if and only if  $M'$  rejects  $w$ .

$$M_{\text{TOTAL}} \text{ accepts } \langle M', w \rangle \iff M_{\text{ACCEPT}} \text{ accepts } \langle M, w \rangle$$

Hence,  $M_{\text{ACCEPT}}$  can correctly decide  $\text{ACCEPT}_{\text{TM}}$  provided that there is a TM  $M_{\text{TOTAL}}$ . So,  $\text{ACCEPT}_{\text{TM}} \leq \text{TOTAL}_{\text{TM}}$ . Therefore,  $\text{TOTAL}$  is undecidable.  $\square$

(ii) Show that

$$\text{FINITE} = \{ \langle M \rangle \mid M \text{ is a Turing machine and } L(M) \text{ is a finite set} \}$$

is undecidable

*Proof:* ( $\text{ACCEPT}_{\text{TM}} \leq \text{FINITE}_{\text{TM}}$ )

Suppose that TM  $M_{\text{FINITE}}$  decides  $\text{FINITE}_{\text{TM}}$  and TM  $M_{\text{ACCEPT}}$  decides  $\text{ACCEPT}_{\text{TM}}$ , we want to show how to decide  $\text{ACCEPT}_{\text{TM}}$  using  $M_{\text{FINITE}}$ .

Given  $\langle M, w \rangle$  as input:

1. Make TM  $M'$  from  $M$  where if  $M$  accepts, we accept and enter loops when  $M$  rejects.
2. Run  $M_{\text{FINITE}}$  with  $\langle M', w \rangle$ .
3. If  $M_{\text{FINITE}}$  accepts, we accept. If  $M_{\text{FINITE}}$  rejects, we reject.

Notice that this mechanism accepts if and only if  $M$  accepts  $w$  and rejects if and only if  $M'$  rejects  $w$ .

$$M_{\text{FINITE}} \text{ accepts } \langle M', w \rangle \iff M_{\text{ACCEPT}} \text{ accepts } \langle M, w \rangle$$

Hence,  $M_{\text{ACCEPT}}$  can correctly decide  $\text{ACCEPT}_{\text{TM}}$  provided that there is a TM  $M_{\text{FINITE}}$ . So,  $\text{ACCEPT}_{\text{TM}} \leq \text{FINITE}_{\text{TM}}$ . Therefore,  $\text{FINITE}$  is undecidable.  $\square$

(iii) Show that

$$\text{REGULAR} = \{ \langle M \rangle \mid M \text{ is a Turing machine and } L(M) \text{ is regular} \}$$

is undecidable

*Proof:* ( $\text{ACCEPT}_{\text{TM}} \leq \text{REGULAR}_{\text{TM}}$ )

Suppose that TM  $M_{\text{REGULAR}}$  decides  $\text{REGULAR}_{\text{TM}}$  and TM  $M_{\text{ACCEPT}}$  decides  $\text{ACCEPT}_{\text{TM}}$ , we want to show how to decide  $\text{ACCEPT}_{\text{TM}}$  using  $M_{\text{REGULAR}}$ .

Given  $\langle M, w \rangle$  as input:

1. Make TM  $M'$  from  $M$ . On input  $x$ :
  - 1.1 If  $x$  has the form  $0^n 1^n$ , accepts.
  - 1.2 If  $x$  does not have the form  $0^n 1^n$ , run  $M$  on input  $w$  and accept if  $M$  accepts  $w$ .
2. Run  $M_{\text{REGULAR}}$  with  $\langle M', w \rangle$ .
3. If  $M_{\text{REGULAR}}$  accepts, we accept. If  $M_{\text{REGULAR}}$  rejects, we reject.

Notice that this mechanism accepts if and only if  $M$  accepts  $w$  and rejects if and only if  $M'$  rejects  $w$ .

$$M_{\text{REGULAR}} \text{ accepts } \langle M', w \rangle \iff M_{\text{ACCEPT}} \text{ accepts } \langle M, w \rangle$$

Hence,  $M_{\text{ACCEPT}}$  can correctly decide  $\text{ACCEPT}_{\text{TM}}$  provided that there is a TM  $M_{\text{REGULAR}}$ . So,  $\text{ACCEPT}_{\text{TM}} \leq \text{REGULAR}_{\text{TM}}$ . Therefore, REGULAR is undecidable.  $\square$

---

## 5: Silver Lining If $P = NP$

---

Prove that

$$\text{TOTAL} \leq_T \text{FINITE}$$

*Proof:*

Suppose that TM  $M_{\text{TOTAL}}$  decides  $\text{TOTAL}_{\text{TM}}$  and TM  $M_{\text{FINITE}}$  decides  $\text{FINITE}_{\text{TM}}$ , we want to show how to decide  $\text{TOTAL}_{\text{TM}}$  using  $M_{\text{FINITE}}$ .

Given  $\langle M \rangle$  as input:

1. Run  $M_{\text{FINITE}}$  on  $\langle M \rangle$ .
2. If  $M_{\text{FINITE}}$  accepts, we accept. If  $M_{\text{FINITE}}$  rejects, we reject.

Refer to the fact that  $M_{\text{FINITE}}$  can determine whether  $M$  has finite set of  $L(M)$  or not,  $M$  will halt on every input only if  $L(M)$  is a finite set. If  $L(M)$  is not a finite set, we would not be able to determine that it will halt on every input since there would be at least one input that would not halt.

Hence,  $M_{\text{TOTAL}}$  can correctly decide  $\text{TOTAL}_{\text{TM}}$  provided that there is a TM  $M_{\text{FINITE}}$ . Therefore,  $\text{ACCEPT}_{\text{TM}} \leq \text{REGULAR}_{\text{TM}}$ .  $\square$