# ICCS310: Assignment 4

Possawat Sanorkam possawat2017@hotmail.com February 24, 2021

## 1: Eh? They Have The Same Cardinality?

Prove the following statements using rigorous mathematical reasoning:

(1) 
$$|[0,\frac{1}{2})| = |[0,1)|$$

*Proof*: We want to show that  $|[0, \frac{1}{2})| = |[0, 1)|$  by direct proof. By definition, let A and B be sets. Say A and B have the same cardinality (size), denoted by |A| = |B|, if there exists a bijection between them.

We want to show that there exist a function f such that f is a bijection. Let  $f:A\to B$ ,  $A=[0,\frac{1}{2})$ , and B=[0,1). Then, let f(x)=2x. Let  $a\in A$  and  $a'\in A$ . From observation, f(a) is unique for any arbitrary a. We have that  $(\forall a\neq a')[f(a)\neq f(a')]$ , so f is injective. Let  $b\in B$ . From observation, every b can be obtain from some f(a). We have that  $(\forall b)(\exists a)[f(a)=b]$ , so f is also surjective. According to the definition we stated before, f is a bijective function. Hence, |A|=|B|. Therefore,  $|[0,\frac{1}{2})|=|[0,1)|$ .  $\square$ 

(2) 
$$|[0,1)| = |(-1,1)|$$

*Proof*: We want to show that |[0,1)| = |(-1,1)| by direct proof. By definition, let A and B be sets. Say A and B have the same cardinality (size), denoted by |A| = |B|, if there exists a bijection between them. In addition, |A| = |B| if and only if  $|A| \le |B|$  and  $|B| \le |A|$ .

We want to show that there exist functions f that is injective and g that is also injective. Let  $f:A\to B, g:B\to A, A=[0,1),$  and B=(-1,1). Then, let f(x)=x. Let  $a\in A$  and  $a'\in A.$  From observation, f(a) is unique for any arbitrary a. We have that  $(\forall a\neq a')[f(a)\neq f(a')],$  so f is injective. Then, let  $g(x)=\frac{(x+1)}{2}.$  Let  $b\in B$  and  $b'\in B.$  From observation, g(b) is unique for any arbitrary b. We have that  $(\forall b\neq b')[f(b)\neq f(b')],$  so g is injective. Hence,  $|A|\leq |B|$  and  $|B|\leq |A|$  which implies that |A|=|B|. Therefore, |[0,1)|=|(-1,1)|.  $\square$ 

(3) 
$$|[0,1)| = |\mathbb{R}|$$

*Proof*: We want to show that  $|[0,1)| = |\mathbb{R}|$  by direct proof. Besides, we have that |[0,1)| = |(-1,1)| which means we can show that  $|\mathbb{R}| = |(-1,1)|$  instead. Say A and B have the same cardinality (size), denoted by |A| = |B|, if there exists a bijection between them. In addition, |A| = |B| if and only if  $|A| \le |B|$  and  $|B| \le |A|$ .

We want to show that there exist a function f such that f is a bijection. Let  $f:A\to\mathbb{R}$ , and A=(-1,1). Then, let  $f(x)=\frac{x}{1-x^2}$ . This function is continuous on domain A when  $x\neq 1$  and  $x\neq -1$ . Let  $a\in A$  and  $a'\in A$ . From observation, f(a) is unique for any arbitrary a. We have that  $(\forall a\neq a')[f(a)\neq f(a')]$ , so f is injective. Let  $b\in B$ . From observation, every b can be obtain from some f(a). We have that  $(\forall b)(\exists a)[f(a)=b]$ , so f is also surjective. According to the definition we stated before, f is a bijective function. So,  $|A|=|\mathbb{R}|$  or  $|\mathbb{R}|=|(-1,1)|$ . Hence,  $|\mathbb{R}|=|(-1,1)|$  implies that  $|\mathbb{R}|=|[0,1)|$  also. Therefore,  $|[0,1)|=|\mathbb{R}|$ .  $\square$ 

## 2: The Power Set of A

(1) Prove that  $|2^A| = |\{0,1\}^A|$ .

Proof:

(2) Prove that  $|A| < |\{0,1\}^A|$  and conclude that  $|A| < |2^A|$ . *Proof*:

## 3: Hamming Code

Consider applying the Hamming coding scheme to send 8 bits of data. This will require 4 parity bits, so an encoded code word in this scheme is 12 bits long.

(1) If the data bits are  $d_1, d_2, d_3...d_8$ , what is  $\beta_2$  in terms of  $d_i$ 's? Solution:  $\beta_2 = d_1 \oplus d_3 \oplus d_4 \oplus d_6 \oplus d_7$ 

(2) Encode the following 8-bit data: 01101010.

Solution: Hamming Code =  $(\beta_1\beta_2d_1\beta_4d_2d_3d_4\beta_8d_5d_6d_7d_8)$ 

Encode 01101010 by adding the parity bits as followed

$$\beta_1 = d_1 \oplus d_2 \oplus d_4 \oplus d_5 \oplus d_7 = 0 \oplus 1 \oplus 0 \oplus 1 \oplus 1 = 1 \tag{1}$$

$$\beta_2 = d_1 \oplus d_3 \oplus d_4 \oplus d_6 \oplus d_7 = 0 \oplus 1 \oplus 0 \oplus 0 \oplus 1 = 0 \tag{2}$$

$$\beta_4 = d_2 \oplus d_3 \oplus d_4 \oplus d_8 = 1 \oplus 1 \oplus 0 \oplus 0 = 0 \tag{3}$$

$$\beta_8 = d_5 \oplus d_6 \oplus d_7 \oplus d_8 = 1 \oplus 0 \oplus 1 \oplus 0 = 0 \tag{4}$$

Therefore, encoded bits are 100011001010

(3) Assuming that at most a single single bit flip, decide the following codewords (indicate also whether there was any error):

Solution: Hamming Code =  $(\beta_1\beta_2d_1\beta_4d_2d_3d_4\beta_8d_5d_6d_7d_8)$ 

(i) 010011111000

So, 
$$\beta_1 = 0, \beta_2 = 1, \beta_4 = 0, \text{and } \beta_8 = 1.$$

$$\beta_1 \oplus d_1 \oplus d_2 \oplus d_4 \oplus d_5 \oplus d_7 = 0 \oplus 0 \oplus 1 \oplus 1 \oplus 1 \oplus 0 = 1 \tag{5}$$

$$\beta_2 \oplus d_1 \oplus d_3 \oplus d_4 \oplus d_6 \oplus d_7 = 1 \oplus 0 \oplus 1 \oplus 1 \oplus 0 \oplus 0 = 1 \tag{6}$$

$$\beta_4 \oplus d_2 \oplus d_3 \oplus d_4 \oplus d_8 = 0 \oplus 1 \oplus 1 \oplus 1 \oplus 0 = 1 \tag{7}$$

$$\beta_8 \oplus d_5 \oplus d_6 \oplus d_7 \oplus d_8 = 1 \oplus 1 \oplus 0 \oplus 0 \oplus 0 = 0 \tag{8}$$

Error Position is  $0111_2 = 7$ . Corrected Data is 010011011000.

(ii) 011101010010

So, 
$$\beta_1 = 0, \beta_2 = 1, \beta_4 = 1, \text{and } \beta_8 = 1.$$

$$\beta_1 \oplus d_1 \oplus d_2 \oplus d_4 \oplus d_5 \oplus d_7 = 0 \oplus 1 \oplus 0 \oplus 0 \oplus 0 \oplus 0 = 1 \tag{9}$$

$$\beta_2 \oplus d_1 \oplus d_3 \oplus d_4 \oplus d_6 \oplus d_7 = 1 \oplus 1 \oplus 1 \oplus 1 \oplus 0 \oplus 0 \oplus 0 = 1 \tag{10}$$

$$\beta_4 \oplus d_2 \oplus d_3 \oplus d_4 \oplus d_8 = 1 \oplus 0 \oplus 1 \oplus 0 \oplus 0 = 0 \tag{11}$$

$$\beta_8 \oplus d_5 \oplus d_6 \oplus d_7 \oplus d_8 = 1 \oplus 0 \oplus 0 \oplus 1 \oplus 0 = 0 \tag{12}$$

Error Position is  $0011_2 = 3$ . Corrected Data is 01010101010010.

### 4: Same Number of 0s and 1s

Consider the language  $L = \{w \in \{0,1\}^* | \text{ w contains an equal number of 0s and 1s } \}$ . Show that L is (Turing) decidable by providing a TM that decides it (a medium-level detail is preferred).

Proof:

### 5: Infinite DFA

Show that the following language is (Turing) decideable:

IDFA = 
$$\{\langle M \rangle | M \text{ is a DFA and L(M) is an infinite language } \}$$
.

*Proof*: We want to show that IDFA is decidable. So, we will construct a TM T that decides IDFA. For all DFAs M, we want  $T(\langle M \rangle)$  to accepts if L(M) is infinite language, else it will reject.

### 6: Lucky 9

(1) Let  $L_1 \subseteq \Sigma^*$  be defined as

$$L_1 = \begin{cases} \emptyset & \text{if } 2^{74207281} - 1 \text{ is prime} \\ \{99\} & \text{if } 2^{74207281} - 1 \text{ is not prime} \end{cases}$$

Prove that  $L_1$  is (Turing) decidable.

Proof:

(2) Let  $L_2 \subseteq \Sigma^*$  be defined as

 $w \in L_2 \iff w$  appears somewhere (not necessarily consecutively) in the decimal expansion of  $\pi$ 

Prove that  $L_2$  is (Turing) decidable.

Proof:

### 7: $\beta$ -reduction

(1)

Solution:

**(2)** 

Solution:

### 8: Fibonacci

Using the functions we have developed (e.g., pred, if\_then\_else, mult, add, etc.), write down an explicit  $\lambda$ -term fib such that  $\overline{fib}$   $\overline{n} =_{\beta} \overline{f(n)}$ .

Solution:

## 9: Power Of 2

Implement a  $\lambda$ -term for the  $pow(n) = 2^n$  Solution: