

# ICCS310: Assignment 5

Possawat Sanorkam

possawat2017@hotmail.com

March 14, 2021

---

## 1: Reject TM

---

$$\text{REJECT}_{\text{TM}} = \{\langle M, x \rangle \mid M \text{ is a TM that rejects input } x\}$$

Show directly (i.e., without resorting to reduction) that  $\text{REJECT}_{\text{TM}}$  is undecidable.

*Proof:*

We want to show that  $\text{REJECT}_{\text{TM}}$  is undecidable by showing the contradiction.

Assume for the sake of contradiction that the TM  $M_{\text{REJECT}}$  decides  $\text{REJECT}_{\text{TM}}$ .

On input  $\langle M, w \rangle$ :

$$M_{\text{REJECT}} = \begin{cases} \text{reject} & \text{if } M \text{ accepts } w \\ \text{accept} & \text{if } M \text{ rejects } w \\ \text{reject} & \text{if } M \text{ loops on } w \end{cases}$$

Also, define TM  $Y(\langle X \rangle)$  where  $X$  is a TM. On input  $\langle X \rangle$ ,

1. Run  $M_{\text{REJECT}}$  on  $\langle X, \langle X \rangle \rangle$ .
2. If  $M_{\text{REJECT}}$  accepts, we accept. If  $M_{\text{REJECT}}$  rejects, we reject.

So we have,

$$Y(\langle X \rangle) = \begin{cases} \text{reject} & \text{if } X \text{ accepts } \langle X \rangle \\ \text{accept} & \text{if } X \text{ rejects } \langle X \rangle \\ \text{reject} & \text{if } X \text{ loops on } \langle X \rangle \end{cases}$$

Then,

$$Y(\langle Y \rangle) = \begin{cases} \text{reject} & \text{if } Y \text{ accepts } Y \\ \text{accept} & \text{if } Y \text{ rejects } Y \\ \text{reject} & \text{if } Y \text{ loops on } Y \end{cases}$$

We have that whatever  $Y$  try to decides on  $\langle Y \rangle$  turns out to be that there is no certain answer and possibly failed to predict the outcome. Since  $Y$  cannot decides, then  $W$  also cannot decides. Hence, it contradicts to our assumption that  $M_{\text{REJECT}}$  decides  $\text{REJECT}_{\text{TM}}$ . Therefore,  $\text{REJECT}_{\text{TM}}$  is undecidable.  $\square$

---

## 2: Accept vs. Reject

---

$$\text{ACCEPT}_{\text{TM}} = \{\langle M, x \rangle \mid M \text{ is a TM that accepts input } x\}$$

(i) Prove that  $\text{ACCEPT}_{\text{TM}} \leq \text{REJECT}_{\text{TM}}$

*Proof:*

Suppose that TM  $M_{\text{REJECT}}$  decides  $\text{REJECT}_{\text{TM}}$  and TM  $M_{\text{ACCEPT}}$  decides  $\text{ACCEPT}_{\text{TM}}$ , we want to show how to decide  $\text{ACCEPT}_{\text{TM}}$  using  $M_{\text{REJECT}}$ .

Given  $\langle M, w \rangle$  as input:

1. Make TM  $M'$  from  $M$  by reversing the accept and reject states.
2. Run  $M_{\text{REJECT}}$  with  $\langle M', w \rangle$ .
3. If  $M_{\text{REJECT}}$  accepts, we accept. If  $M_{\text{REJECT}}$  rejects, we reject.

Notice that this mechanism accepts if and only if  $M$  accepts  $w$  and rejects if and only if  $M'$  rejects  $w$ .

$$M_{\text{REJECT}} \text{ accepts } \langle M', w \rangle \iff M_{\text{ACCEPT}} \text{ accepts } \langle M, w \rangle$$

Hence,  $M_{\text{ACCEPT}}$  can correctly decide  $\text{ACCEPT}_{\text{TM}}$  provided that there is a TM  $M_{\text{REJECT}}$ . Therefore,  $\text{ACCEPT}_{\text{TM}} \leq \text{REJECT}_{\text{TM}}$ .  $\square$

(ii) Prove that  $\text{REJECT}_{\text{TM}} \leq \text{ACCEPT}_{\text{TM}}$

*Proof:*

Suppose that TM  $M_{\text{REJECT}}$  decides  $\text{REJECT}_{\text{TM}}$  and TM  $M_{\text{ACCEPT}}$  decides  $\text{ACCEPT}_{\text{TM}}$ , we want to show how to decide  $\text{REJECT}_{\text{TM}}$  using  $M_{\text{ACCEPT}}$ .

Given  $\langle M, w \rangle$  as input:

1. Make TM  $M'$  from  $M$  by reversing the accept and reject states.
2. Run  $M_{\text{ACCEPT}}$  with  $\langle M', w \rangle$ .
3. If  $M_{\text{ACCEPT}}$  accepts, we accept. If  $M_{\text{ACCEPT}}$  rejects, we reject.

Notice that this mechanism accepts if and only if  $M$  accepts  $w$  and rejects if and only if  $M'$  rejects  $w$ .

$$M_{\text{REJECT}} \text{ accepts } \langle M', w \rangle \iff M_{\text{ACCEPT}} \text{ accepts } \langle M, w \rangle$$

Hence,  $M_{\text{REJECT}}$  can correctly decide  $\text{REJECT}_{\text{TM}}$  provided that there is a TM  $M_{\text{ACCEPT}}$ . Therefore,  $\text{REJECT}_{\text{TM}} \leq \text{ACCEPT}_{\text{TM}}$ .  $\square$

### 3: Reverse on TM

$$\mathbf{T} = \{ \langle M \rangle \mid M \text{ is a TM that accepts } \mathbf{rev}(w) \text{ whenever it accepts } w \}$$

where  $\mathbf{rev}(w)$  is the reverse of the string  $w$ . Show that  $\mathbf{T}$  is undecidable.

*Proof:* ( $\text{ACCEPT}_{\text{TM}} \leq \mathbf{T}_{\text{TM}}$ )

Suppose that TM  $M_{\mathbf{T}}$  decides  $\mathbf{T}_{\text{TM}}$  and TM  $M_{\text{ACCEPT}}$  decides  $\text{ACCEPT}_{\text{TM}}$ , we want to show how to decide  $\text{ACCEPT}_{\text{TM}}$  using  $M_{\mathbf{T}}$ .

On input  $\langle M, w \rangle$ :

1. Make TM  $M'$  that accepts a string  $w$ , then it also accepts  $w^R$ . On input  $x$ :
  - 1.1 If  $x = 01$ , then accept  $x$ .
  - 1.2 If  $x \neq 01$ , then run  $M$  on input  $w$  and accept if  $M$  accepts.
2. Run  $M_{\mathbf{T}}$  on  $\langle M', w \rangle$ .

3. If  $M_T$  accepts, we accept. If  $M_T$  rejects, we reject.

Notice that this mechanism accepts if and only if  $M$  accepts  $w$  and rejects if and only if  $M'$  rejects  $w$ .

$$M_T \text{ accepts } \langle M', w \rangle \iff M_{\text{ACCEPT}} \text{ accepts } \langle M, w \rangle$$

From observation, if  $M$  accepts  $w$ , then  $M'$  accepts every string, so  $\langle M' \rangle \in T_{TM}$ . If  $M$  does not accept  $w$ , then only 01 will be accepted which means  $\langle M' \rangle \notin T_{TM}$ .

Then,  $M_{\text{ACCEPT}}$  can correctly decide  $\text{ACCEPT}_{TM}$  provided that there is a TM  $M_T$ .

So,  $\text{ACCEPT}_{TM} \leq T_{TM}$ . Therefore,  $T$  is undecidable.  $\square$

#### 4: Undecidability

(i) Show that

$$\text{TOTAL} = \{\langle M \rangle \mid M \text{ is a Turing machine that halts on every input}\}$$

is undecidable

*Proof:* ( $\text{ACCEPT}_{TM} \leq \text{TOTAL}_{TM}$ )

Suppose that TM  $M_{\text{TOTAL}}$  decides  $\text{TOTAL}_{TM}$  and TM  $M_{\text{ACCEPT}}$  decides  $\text{ACCEPT}_{TM}$ , we want to show how to decide  $\text{ACCEPT}_{TM}$  using  $M_{\text{TOTAL}}$ .

Given  $\langle M, w \rangle$  as input:

1. Make TM  $M'$  from  $M$  where if  $M$  accepts, we accept and enter loops when  $M$  rejects.
2. Run  $M_{\text{TOTAL}}$  with  $\langle M', w \rangle$ .
3. If  $M_{\text{TOTAL}}$  accepts, we accept. If  $M_{\text{TOTAL}}$  rejects, we reject.

Notice that this mechanism accepts if and only if  $M$  accepts  $w$  and rejects if and only if  $M'$  rejects  $w$ .

$$M_{\text{TOTAL}} \text{ accepts } \langle M', w \rangle \iff M_{\text{ACCEPT}} \text{ accepts } \langle M, w \rangle$$

Hence,  $M_{\text{ACCEPT}}$  can correctly decide  $\text{ACCEPT}_{TM}$  provided that there is a TM  $M_{\text{TOTAL}}$ . So,  $\text{ACCEPT}_{TM} \leq \text{TOTAL}_{TM}$ . Therefore,  $\text{TOTAL}$  is undecidable.  $\square$

(ii) Show that

$$\text{FINITE} = \{\langle M \rangle \mid M \text{ is a Turing machine and } L(M) \text{ is a finite set}\}$$

is undecidable

*Proof:* ( $\text{ACCEPT}_{TM} \leq \text{FINITE}_{TM}$ )

Suppose that TM  $M_{\text{FINITE}}$  decides  $\text{FINITE}_{TM}$  and TM  $M_{\text{ACCEPT}}$  decides  $\text{ACCEPT}_{TM}$ , we want to show how to decide  $\text{ACCEPT}_{TM}$  using  $M_{\text{FINITE}}$ .

Given  $\langle M, w \rangle$  as input:

1. Make TM  $M'$  from  $M$  where if  $M$  accepts, we accept and enter loops when  $M$  rejects.
2. Run  $M_{\text{FINITE}}$  with  $\langle M', w \rangle$ .
3. If  $M_{\text{FINITE}}$  accepts, we accept. If  $M_{\text{FINITE}}$  rejects, we reject.

Notice that this mechanism accepts if and only if  $M$  accepts  $w$  and rejects if and only if  $M'$  rejects  $w$ .

$$M_{\text{FINITE}} \text{ accepts } \langle M', w \rangle \iff M_{\text{ACCEPT}} \text{ accepts } \langle M, w \rangle$$

Hence,  $M_{\text{ACCEPT}}$  can correctly decide  $\text{ACCEPT}_{\text{TM}}$  provided that there is a TM  $M_{\text{FINITE}}$ . So,  $\text{ACCEPT}_{\text{TM}} \leq \text{FINITE}_{\text{TM}}$ . Therefore,  $\text{FINITE}$  is undecidable.  $\square$

(iii) Show that

$$\text{REGULAR} = \{ \langle M \rangle \mid M \text{ is a Turing machine and } L(M) \text{ is regular} \}$$

is undecidable

*Proof:* ( $\text{ACCEPT}_{\text{TM}} \leq \text{REGULAR}_{\text{TM}}$ )

Suppose that TM  $M_{\text{REGULAR}}$  decides  $\text{REGULAR}_{\text{TM}}$  and TM  $M_{\text{ACCEPT}}$  decides  $\text{ACCEPT}_{\text{TM}}$ , we want to show how to decide  $\text{ACCEPT}_{\text{TM}}$  using  $M_{\text{REGULAR}}$ .

Given  $\langle M, w \rangle$  as input:

1. Make TM  $M'$  from  $M$ . On input  $x$ :
  - 1.1 If  $x$  has the form  $0^n 1^n$ , accepts.
  - 1.2 If  $x$  does not have the form  $0^n 1^n$ , run  $M$  on input  $w$  and accept if  $M$  accepts  $w$ .
2. Run  $M_{\text{REGULAR}}$  with  $\langle M', w \rangle$ .
3. If  $M_{\text{REGULAR}}$  accepts, we accept. If  $M_{\text{REGULAR}}$  rejects, we reject.

Notice that this mechanism accepts if and only if  $M$  accepts  $w$  and rejects if and only if  $M'$  rejects  $w$ .

$$M_{\text{REGULAR}} \text{ accepts } \langle M', w \rangle \iff M_{\text{ACCEPT}} \text{ accepts } \langle M, w \rangle$$

Hence,  $M_{\text{ACCEPT}}$  can correctly decide  $\text{ACCEPT}_{\text{TM}}$  provided that there is a TM  $M_{\text{REGULAR}}$ . So,  $\text{ACCEPT}_{\text{TM}} \leq \text{REGULAR}_{\text{TM}}$ . Therefore,  $\text{REGULAR}$  is undecidable.  $\square$

## 5: Total Is No Harder Than Finite

Prove that

$$\text{TOTAL} \leq_T \text{FINITE}$$

*Proof:*

Suppose that TM  $M_{\text{TOTAL}}$  decides  $\text{TOTAL}_{\text{TM}}$  and TM  $M_{\text{FINITE}}$  decides  $\text{FINITE}_{\text{TM}}$ , we want to show how to decide  $\text{TOTAL}_{\text{TM}}$  using  $M_{\text{FINITE}}$ .

Given  $\langle M \rangle$  as input:

1. Run  $M_{\text{FINITE}}$  on  $\langle M \rangle$ .
2. If  $M_{\text{FINITE}}$  accepts, we accept. If  $M_{\text{FINITE}}$  rejects, we reject.

Refer to the fact that  $M_{\text{FINITE}}$  can determine whether  $M$  has finite set of  $L(M)$  or not,  $M$  will halt on every input only if  $L(M)$  is a finite set. If  $L(M)$  is not a finite set, we would not be able to determine that it will halt on every input since there would be at least one input that would not halt.

Hence,  $M_{\text{TOTAL}}$  can correctly decide  $\text{TOTAL}_{\text{TM}}$  provided that there is a TM  $M_{\text{FINITE}}$ . Therefore,  $\text{ACCEPT}_{\text{TM}} \leq \text{REGULAR}_{\text{TM}}$ .  $\square$

---

## 6: Finite Is No Harder Than Total

---

Prove that

$$\text{FINITE} \leq_T \text{TOTAL}$$

*Proof:*

Suppose that TM  $M_{\text{TOTAL}}$  decides  $\text{TOTAL}_{\text{TM}}$  and TM  $M_{\text{FINITE}}$  decides  $\text{FINITE}_{\text{TM}}$ , we want to show how to decide  $\text{FINITE}_{\text{TM}}$  using  $M_{\text{TOTAL}}$ .

Given  $\langle M \rangle$  as input:

1. Run  $M_{\text{TOTAL}}$  on  $\langle M \rangle$ .
2. If  $M_{\text{TOTAL}}$  accepts, we accept. If  $M_{\text{TOTAL}}$  rejects, we reject.

Refer to the fact that  $M_{\text{TOTAL}}$  can determine whether  $M$  halt on every input or not,  $L(M)$  has to be finite set if  $M$  halt on every input. If  $M$  does not halt on every input, we can tell that  $L(M)$  is not finite set since there would be another input to be execute.

Hence,  $M_{\text{TOTAL}}$  can correctly decide  $\text{TOTAL}_{\text{TM}}$  provided that there is a TM  $M_{\text{FINITE}}$ . Therefore,  $\text{ACCEPT}_{\text{TM}} \leq \text{REGULAR}_{\text{TM}}$ .  $\square$

---

## 7: Extra: Undecidability of Nontrivial Properties

---

*Proof:* It is non trivial. How to decide on it though?