

# ICCS310: Assignment 5

Possawat Sanorkam

possawat2017@hotmail.com

March 14, 2021

---

## 1: Reject TM

---

$$\text{REJECT}_{\text{TM}} = \{\langle M, x \rangle \mid M \text{ is a TM that rejects input } x\}$$

Show directly (i.e., without resorting to reduction) that  $\text{REJECT}_{\text{TM}}$  is undecidable.

*Proof:*

We want to show that  $\text{REJECT}_{\text{TM}}$  is undecidable by showing the contradiction.

Assume for the sake of contradiction that the TM  $W(\langle M, w \rangle)$  decides  $\text{REJECT}_{\text{TM}}$ .

$$W(\langle M, w \rangle) = \begin{cases} \text{reject} & \text{if } M \text{ accepts } w \\ \text{accept} & \text{if } M \text{ rejects } w \\ \text{reject} & \text{if } M \text{ loops on } w \end{cases}$$

Also, define TM  $Y(\langle X \rangle)$  where  $X$  is a TM. On input  $\langle X \rangle$ ,

1. Run  $W$  on  $\langle X, \langle X \rangle \rangle$ .
2. If  $W$  accepts, we accept. If  $W$  rejects, we reject.

So we have,

$$Y(\langle X \rangle) = \begin{cases} \text{reject} & \text{if } X \text{ accepts } \langle X \rangle \\ \text{accept} & \text{if } X \text{ rejects } \langle X \rangle \\ \text{reject} & \text{if } X \text{ loops on } \langle X \rangle \end{cases}$$

Then,

$$Y(\langle Y \rangle) = \begin{cases} \text{reject} & \text{if } Y \text{ accepts } Y \\ \text{accept} & \text{if } Y \text{ rejects } Y \\ \text{reject} & \text{if } Y \text{ loops on } Y \end{cases}$$

We have that whatever  $Y$  try to decides on  $\langle Y \rangle$  turns out to be that there is no certain answer. Since  $Y$  cannot decides, then  $W$  also cannot decides. Hence, it contradicts to our assumption that  $W$  decides  $\text{REJECT}_{\text{TM}}$ . Therefore,  $\text{REJECT}_{\text{TM}}$  is undecidable.  $\square$

---

## 2: Accept vs. Reject

---

$$\text{ACCEPT}_{\text{TM}} = \{\langle M, x \rangle \mid M \text{ is a TM that accepts input } x\}$$

(i) Prove that  $\text{ACCEPT}_{\text{TM}} \leq \text{REJECT}_{\text{TM}}$

*Proof:*

Suppose that TM  $M_{\text{REJECT}}$  decides  $\text{REJECT}_{\text{TM}}$  and TM  $M_{\text{ACCEPT}}$  decides  $\text{ACCEPT}_{\text{TM}}$ , we want to show how to decide  $\text{ACCEPT}_{\text{TM}}$  using  $M_{\text{REJECT}}$ .

Given  $\langle M, w \rangle$  as input:

1. Make TM  $M'$  from  $M$  by reversing the accept and reject states.
2. Run  $M_{\text{REJECT}}$  with  $\langle M', w \rangle$ .
3. If  $M_{\text{REJECT}}$  rejects, we accept. If  $M_{\text{REJECT}}$  accepts, we reject.

Notice that this mechanism accepts if and only if  $M$  accepts  $w$  and rejects if and only if  $M'$  rejects  $w$ . Hence,  $M_{\text{ACCEPT}}$  can correctly decide  $\text{ACCEPT}_{\text{TM}}$  provided that there is a TM  $M_{\text{REJECT}}$ . Therefore,  $\text{ACCEPT}_{\text{TM}} \leq \text{REJECT}_{\text{TM}}$ .  $\square$

(ii) Prove that  $\text{REJECT}_{\text{TM}} \leq \text{ACCEPT}_{\text{TM}}$

*Proof:*

Suppose that TM  $M_{\text{REJECT}}$  decides  $\text{REJECT}_{\text{TM}}$  and TM  $M_{\text{ACCEPT}}$  decides  $\text{ACCEPT}_{\text{TM}}$ , we want to show how to decide  $\text{REJECT}_{\text{TM}}$  using  $M_{\text{ACCEPT}}$ .

Given  $\langle M, w \rangle$  as input:

1. Make TM  $M'$  from  $M$  by reversing the accept and reject states.
2. Run  $M_{\text{ACCEPT}}$  with  $\langle M', w \rangle$ .
3. If  $M_{\text{ACCEPT}}$  accepts, we accept. If  $M_{\text{ACCEPT}}$  rejects, we reject.

Notice that this mechanism accepts if and only if  $M$  accepts  $w$  and rejects if and only if  $M'$  rejects  $w$ . Hence,  $M_{\text{REJECT}}$  can correctly decide  $\text{REJECT}_{\text{TM}}$  provided that there is a TM  $M_{\text{ACCEPT}}$ . Therefore,  $\text{REJECT}_{\text{TM}} \leq \text{ACCEPT}_{\text{TM}}$ .  $\square$

### 3: Reverse on TM

$$T = \{ \langle M \rangle \mid M \text{ is a TM that accepts } \text{rev}(w) \text{ whenever it accepts} \}$$

where  $\text{rev}(w)$  is the reverse of the string  $w$ . Show that  $T$  is undecidable.

*Proof:*

### 4: Undecidability

(i) Show that

$$\text{TOTAL} = \{ \langle M \rangle \mid M \text{ is a Turing machine that halts on every input} \}$$

is undecidable

*Proof:*

(ii) Show that

$$\text{FINITE} = \{ \langle M \rangle \mid M \text{ is a Turing machine and } L(M) \text{ is a finite set} \}$$

is undecidable

*Proof:*

(iii) Show that

$$\text{REGULAR} = \{ \langle M \rangle \mid M \text{ is a Turing machine and } L(M) \text{ is regular} \}$$

where  $\text{rev}(w)$  is the reverse of the string  $w$ . Show that T is undecidable.

*Proof:*

---

---

**5: Total Is No Harder Than Finite**

---

Prove that

$$\text{TOTAL} \leq_T \text{FINITE}$$

is undecidable

*Proof:*

---

---

**6: Finite Is No Harder Than Total**

---

Prove that

$$\text{FINITE} \leq_T \text{TOTAL}$$

is undecidable

*Proof:*

---

---

**7: Extra: Undecidability of Nontrivial Properties**

---

*Proof:* It is non trivial. How to decide on it though?