ICCS310: Assignment 4

Possawat Sanorkam
possawat2017@hotmail.com
February 24, 2021

1: Eh? They Have The Same Cardinality?

Prove the following statements using rigorous mathematical reasoning:

(1) $|[0, \frac{1}{2})| = |[0, 1)|$

Proof: We want to show that $|[0, \frac{1}{2})| = |[0, 1)|$ by direct proof. By definition, let A and B be sets. Say A and B have the same cardinality (size), denoted by $|A| = |B|$, if there exists a bijection between them.

We want to show that there exist a function f such that f is a bijection. Let $f : A \to B$, $A = [0, \frac{1}{2})$, and $B = [0, 1)$. Then, let $f(x) = 2x$. Let $a \in A$ and $a' \in A$. From observation, $f(a)$ is unique for any arbitrary a. We have that $(\forall a \neq a')[f(a) \neq f(a')]$, so f is injective. Let $b \in B$. From observation, every b can be obtain from some $f(a)$. We have that $(\forall b)(\exists a)[f(a) = b]$, so f is also surjective. According to the definition we stated before, f is a bijective function. Hence, $|A| = |B|$. Therefore, $|[0, \frac{1}{2})| = |[0, 1)|$. $\square$

(2) $|[0, 1)| = |(-1, 1)|$

Proof: We want to show that $|[0, 1)| = |(-1, 1)|$ by direct proof. By definition, let A and B be sets. Say A and B have the same cardinality (size), denoted by $|A| = |B|$, if there exists a bijection between them. In addition, $|A| = |B|$ if and only if $|A| \leq |B|$ and $|B| \leq |A|$.

We want to show that there exist functions f that is injective and g that is also injective. Let $f : A \to B$, $g : B \to A$, $A = [0, 1)$, and $B = (-1, 1)$. Then, let $f(x) = x$. Let $a \in A$ and $a' \in A$. From observation, $f(a)$ is unique for any arbitrary a. We have that $(\forall a \neq a')[f(a) \neq f(a')]$, so f is injective. Then, let $g(x) = \frac{(x+1)}{2}$. Let $b \in B$ and $b' \in B$. From observation, $g(b)$ is unique for any arbitrary b. We have that $(\forall b \neq b')[f(b) \neq f(b')]$, so g is injective. Hence, $|A| \leq |B|$ and $|B| \leq |A|$ which implies that $|A| = |B|$. Therefore, $|[0, 1)| = |(-1, 1)|$. $\square$

(3) $|[0, 1)| = |\mathbb{R}|$

Proof: We want to show that $|[0, 1)| = |\mathbb{R}|$ by direct proof. Besides, we have that $|[0, 1)| = |(-1, 1)|$ which means we can show that $|\mathbb{R}| = |(-1, 1)|$ instead. Say A and B have the same cardinality (size), denoted by $|A| = |B|$, if there exists a bijection between $|A| = |C|$, we have $|B| = |C|$ also.

We want to show that there exist a function f such that f is a bijection. Let $f : A \to \mathbb{R}$, and $A = (-1, 1)$. Then, let $f(x) = \frac{x}{1-x^2}$. This function is continuous on domain A when $x \neq 1$ and $x \neq -1$. Let $a \in A$ and $a' \in A$. From observation, $f(a)$ is unique for any arbitrary a. We have that $(\forall a \neq a')[f(a) \neq f(a')]$, so f is injective. Let $b \in B$. From observation, every b can be obtain from some $f(a)$. The upper bound of $f(x)$ is $\lim_{x \to 1} f(x) \approx \infty$ and the lower bound of $f(x)$ is $\lim_{x \to -1} f(x) \approx -\infty$. So, we can cover all element in $\mathbb{R}$. We have that $(\forall b)(\exists a)[f(a) = b]$, so f is also surjective. According to the definition we stated before, f is a bijective function. So, $|A| = |\mathbb{R}|$ or $|\mathbb{R}| = |(-1, 1)|$. Hence, $|\mathbb{R}| = |(-1, 1)|$ implies that $|\mathbb{R}| = |[0, 1)|$ also. Therefore, $|[0, 1)| = |\mathbb{R}|$. $\square$

2: The Power Set of A

(1) Prove that $|2^A| = |\{0,1\}^A|$.

Proof: We want to show that $|2^A| = |\{0,1\}^A|$ by direct proof. Say K and B have the same cardinality (size), denoted by $|K| = |B|$, if there exists a bijection between them. In addition, $|K| = |B|$ if and only if $|K| \leq |B|$ and $|B| \leq |K|$.

We want to show that there exist a function f such that f is a bijection. Let $f : K \to B$, $g_k : A \to \{1,0\}$, $K = 2^A$, $a \in A, k \in K$ and $B = \{0,1\}^A$. Then, let

$$f(x) = \text{binary array of length } |A| \text{ where each bit represents the presence of } a \text{ in } x$$

Besides, we say that binary array is just a tuple of $g_k(x)$.

$$f(x) = (g_k(x)|k \in A)$$

Also, let

$$g_k(x) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } k \in x \\ 0 & \text{if } k \notin x \end{cases}$$

So, we have a function that map a set a and represents it in a tuple of bits like $(1,0,...)$ of length $|A|$. Let $i \in K$ and $i' \in K$. From observation, $f(i)$ is unique for any arbitrary i. Besides, we can map all the permutation of binary string to each set. We have that $(\forall i \neq i')[f(i) \neq f(i')]$, so f is injective. Let $b \in B$. From observation, every b can be obtain from some $f(a)$. The upper bound of $f(x)$ is $f(A) = (1,1,...,1)$ which is a tuple of 1s with length of $|A|$ and the lower bound of $f(x)$ is $f(\emptyset) = (0,0,...,0)$ which is a tuple of 0s with length of $|A|$. So, we can cover all element in B. We have that $(\forall b)(\exists a)[f(a) = b]$, so f is also surjective. According to the definition we stated before, f is a bijective function. So, $|A| = |B|$. Therefore, $|2^A| = |\{0,1\}^A|$. $\square$.

(2) Prove that $|A| < |\{0,1\}^A|$ and conclude that $|A| < |2^A|$.

Proof: Let A be a nonempty set, though it is potentially countably infinite. We want to show that $|\{0,1\}^A|$ is not countable.

3: Hamming Code

Consider applying the Hamming coding scheme to send 8 bits of data. This will require 4 parity bits, so an encoded code word in this scheme is 12 bits long.

(1) If the data bits are $d_1, d_2, d_3...d_8$, what is β_2 in terms of d_i's?

Solution: $\beta_2 = p_2 \oplus d_1 \oplus d_3 \oplus d_4 \oplus d_6 \oplus d_7$

(2) Encode the following 8-bit data: 01101010.

Solution: Hamming Code = $(p_1p_2d_1p_4d_2d_3d_4p_8d_5d_6d_7d_8)$

Encode 01101010 by adding the parity bits as followed

$$\begin{aligned} p_1 = d_1 \oplus d_2 \oplus d_4 \oplus d_5 \oplus d_7 = 0 \oplus 1 \oplus 0 \oplus 1 \oplus 1 &= 1 \quad (1) \\ p_2 = d_1 \oplus d_3 \oplus d_4 \oplus d_6 \oplus d_7 = 0 \oplus 1 \oplus 0 \oplus 0 \oplus 1 &= 0 \quad (2) \\ p_4 = d_2 \oplus d_3 \oplus d_4 \oplus d_8 = 1 \oplus 1 \oplus 0 \oplus 0 &= 0 \quad (3) \\ p_8 = d_5 \oplus d_6 \oplus d_7 \oplus d_8 = 1 \oplus 0 \oplus 1 \oplus 0 &= 0 \quad (4) \end{aligned}$$

Possawat Sanorkam
possawat2017@hotmail.com

Therefore, encoded bits are 100011001010

(3) Assuming that at most a single single bit flip, decide the following codewords (indicate also whether there was any error):

Solution: Hamming Code = $(p_1 p_2 d_1 p_4 d_2 d_3 d_4 p_8 d_5 d_6 d_7 d_8)$

(i) 010011111000

So, $p_1 = 0, p_2 = 1, p_4 = 0$,and $p_8 = 1$.

$$\begin{aligned} \beta_1 = p_1 \oplus d_1 \oplus d_2 \oplus d_4 \oplus d_5 \oplus d_7 = 0 \oplus 0 \oplus 1 \oplus 1 \oplus 1 \oplus 0 &= 1 && (5) \\ \beta_2 = p_2 \oplus d_1 \oplus d_3 \oplus d_4 \oplus d_6 \oplus d_7 = 1 \oplus 0 \oplus 1 \oplus 1 \oplus 0 \oplus 0 &= 1 && (6) \\ \beta_4 = p_4 \oplus d_2 \oplus d_3 \oplus d_4 \oplus d_8 = 0 \oplus 1 \oplus 1 \oplus 1 \oplus 0 &= 1 && (7) \\ \beta_8 = p_8 \oplus d_5 \oplus d_6 \oplus d_7 \oplus d_8 = 1 \oplus 1 \oplus 0 \oplus 0 \oplus 0 &= 0 && (8) \end{aligned}$$

Error Position is $0111_2 = 7$. Corrected Data is 010011011000.

(ii) 011101010010

So, $\beta_1 = 0, \beta_2 = 1, \beta_4 = 1$,and $\beta_8 = 1$.

$$\begin{aligned} \beta_1 = p_1 \oplus d_1 \oplus d_2 \oplus d_4 \oplus d_5 \oplus d_7 = 0 \oplus 1 \oplus 0 \oplus 0 \oplus 0 \oplus 1 &= 0 && (9) \\ \beta_2 = p_2 \oplus d_1 \oplus d_3 \oplus d_4 \oplus d_6 \oplus d_7 = 1 \oplus 1 \oplus 1 \oplus 0 \oplus 0 \oplus 1 &= 0 && (10) \\ \beta_4 = p_4 \oplus d_2 \oplus d_3 \oplus d_4 \oplus d_8 = 1 \oplus 0 \oplus 1 \oplus 0 \oplus 0 &= 0 && (11) \\ \beta_8 = p_8 \oplus d_5 \oplus d_6 \oplus d_7 \oplus d_8 = 1 \oplus 0 \oplus 0 \oplus 1 \oplus 0 &= 0 && (12) \end{aligned}$$

Data is already correct.

4: Same Number of 0s and 1s

Consider the language $L = \{w \in \{0,1\}^* |$ w contains an equal number of 0s and 1s $\}$. Show that L is (Turing) decidable by providing a TM that decides it (a medium-level detail is preferred).

Proof:

5: Infinite DFA

Show that the following language is (Turing) decideable:

$$\text{IDFA} = \{\langle M \rangle | \text{ M is a DFA and L(M) is an infinite language } \}.$$

Proof: We want to show that IDFA is decidable. So, we will construct a TM T that decides IDFA. For all DFAs M, we want $T(\langle M \rangle)$ to accepts if $L(M)$ is infinite language, else it will reject.

6: Lucky 9

(1) Let $L_1 \subseteq \Sigma^*$ be defined as

Possawat Sanorkam
possawat2017@hotmail.com

$$L_1 = \begin{cases} \varnothing & \text{if } 2^{74207281} - 1 \text{ is prime} \\ \{99\} & \text{if } 2^{74207281} - 1 \text{ is not prime} \end{cases}$$

Prove that L_1 is (Turing) decidable.

Proof:

(2) Let $L_2 \subseteq \Sigma^*$ be defined as

$w \in L_2 \iff w$ appears somewhere (not necessarily consecutively) in the decimal expansion of π

Prove that L_2 is (Turing) decidable.

Proof:

7: β-reduction

---

(1) $(\lambda z.z)(\lambda z.zz)(\lambda z.zy)$

Solution:

$$\begin{aligned} (\lambda z.z)(\lambda z.zz)(\lambda z.zy) &\to_1 (\lambda z.zz)(\lambda z.zy) && (13)\\ &\to_1 (\lambda z.zy)(\lambda z.zy) && (14)\\ &\to_1 (\lambda z.zy)y && (15)\\ &\to_1 yy && (16) \end{aligned}$$

(2) $(((\lambda x.\lambda y.(xy))(\lambda y.y))w)$

Solution:

$$\begin{aligned} (((\lambda x.\lambda y.(xy))(\lambda y.y))w) &\to_1 (((\lambda x.\lambda y.(xy))(\lambda y'.y'))w) && (17)\\ &\to_1 (\lambda y.((\lambda y'.y')y)w) && (18)\\ &\to_1 (\lambda y.(y)w) && (19)\\ &\to_1 w && (20) \end{aligned}$$

8: Fibonacci

Using the functions we have developed (e.g., `pred, if_then_else, mult, add`, etc.), write down an explicit λ-term fib such that $\overline{\texttt{fib}}\ \overline{n} =_\beta \overline{f(n)}$.

Solution: Let $\texttt{fib}(n) = \texttt{plain\_fib}(\texttt{fib}, n)$ for all n

Define $T = \lambda zw.z$

We say `iszero` is a function that return T if the input is zero, else F.

Define $\texttt{iszero} := \lambda nxy.n(\lambda z.y)x$

Define $\texttt{or} := \lambda xy.x(T)(y)$

Possawat Sanorkam
possawat2017@hotmail.com

Define $\texttt{plain\_fib} := \lambda f n.\texttt{if\_then\_else}(\texttt{or}\ (\texttt{iszero}\ n)(\texttt{iszero}\ (\texttt{pred}\ n)))(\overline{1})$
$(\texttt{add}(f\ \texttt{pred}\ n)(f\ \texttt{pred}\ (\texttt{pred}\ n)))$

$$\overline{\texttt{fib}}\,\overline{n} \to_* (\texttt{plain\_fib}\,\overline{\texttt{fib}})\overline{n} \tag{21}$$

$$\to_* \texttt{if\_then\_else}(\texttt{or}\ (\texttt{iszero}\ \overline{n})(\texttt{iszero}\ (\texttt{pred}\ \overline{n}))) \tag{22}$$

$$(\overline{1})(\texttt{add}(\overline{\texttt{fib}}\ \texttt{pred}\ \overline{n})(\overline{\texttt{fib}}\ \texttt{pred}\ (\texttt{pred}\ \overline{n})))$$

Therefore,

$$\overline{\texttt{fib}}\,\overline{n} = \texttt{if\_then\_else}(\texttt{or}\ (\texttt{iszero}\ \overline{n})(\texttt{iszero}\ (\texttt{pred}\ \overline{n})))(\overline{1})(\texttt{add}(\overline{\texttt{fib}}\ \texttt{pred}\ \overline{n})(\overline{\texttt{fib}}\ \texttt{pred}\ (\texttt{pred}\ \overline{n})))$$

9: Power Of 2

Implement a λ-term for the $pow(n) = 2^n$

Solution: $\overline{pow}\ \overline{n} = (pow\ \overline{n})\overline{2} = ((\lambda pq.pq)\overline{n})\overline{2}$

Substitute all church numerals, we get $\overline{pow}\ \overline{n} =$

$$((\lambda pq.pq)\lambda fx.f^n x))\lambda fx.f(fx)$$