CNS LAB

NAME: Parshwa Herwade

PRN: 22510064

BATCH: B1

Experiment No. 08

Title – Implement the Diffie-Hellman Key Exchange algorithm for a given

problem.

Objectives:

To implement the **Diffie-Hellman Key Exchange Algorithm** to enable two parties to securely share a secret key over an insecure communication channel. This shared key can then be used for symmetric encryption or decryption in secure communication.

Problem Statement:

In secure communications, two parties (commonly referred to as Alice and Bob) need to agree on a secret key that can be used for encrypting and decrypting messages. However, they must do this over a public channel where an attacker (Eve) might be listening.

Implement the **Diffie-Hellman Key Exchange Algorithm**, which allows Alice and Bob to securely compute a shared secret key without directly transmitting it over the insecure channel. The algorithm should:

- 1. Accept a large prime number p and a primitive root modulo p, g.
- 2. Allow each party to select a private key (a for Alice, b for Bob).
- 3. Compute the corresponding public keys:

```
o Alice computes A = g^a mod p
o Bob computes B = g^b mod p
```

- 4. Exchange public keys between Alice and Bob.
- 5. Compute the shared secret key:

```
o Alice computes K = B^a mod p
o Bob computes K = A^b mod p
```

6. Validate that both computed secret keys are equal, i.e., K_Alice == K_Bob.

Additionally, demonstrate the correctness of the algorithm with an example and optionally simulate an attacker attempting to derive the secret key without access to the private keys.

Equipment / Tools

- Java Development Kit (JDK) 8 or later (for BigInteger and SecureRandom).
- A code editor (VS Code, IntelliJ, Notepad++) or terminal editor (vim, nano).
- Terminal / Command Prompt to compile and run Java.
- (Optional) Internet to look up recommended prime sizes (e.g., 2048-bit) or libraries for production usage.

Theory (Detailed)

Basic idea

Diffie-Hellman allows two parties to agree on a shared secret K using public values and their private secrets, without sending K over the channel.

Public parameters:

- p a large prime
- g a generator (primitive root) modulo p (i.e., g generates a large subgroup mod p)

Private keys:

- Alice chooses a secret a (private)
- Bob chooses a secret b (private)

Public keys:

- Alice computes $A = g^a \mod p$ and sends A to Bob.
- Bob computes $B = g^b \mod p$ and sends B to Alice.

Shared secret:

- Alice computes $K_A = B^a \mod p = (g^b)^a \mod p = g^(ab) \mod p$
- Bob computes K $B = A^b \mod p = (g^a)^b \mod p = g^(ab) \mod p$

Thus
$$K_A == K_B == g^(ab) \mod p$$
.

Why it is secure (informal)

- Given g, p, A=g^a mod p, recovering a is the **discrete logarithm problem (DLP)**, believed to be hard for appropriately large p and generator g.
- An eavesdropper who sees g, p, A, B would need to solve DLP to learn a or b and then compute g^(ab). For properly chosen parameters (e.g., 2048-bit p or elliptic curve variants), this is computationally infeasible.

Practical considerations

- **Prime choice**: Use safe primes or standardized parameters (RFCs). For production, use 2048-bit or larger prime groups or elliptic-curve Diffie–Hellman (ECDH).
- **Authentication**: DH by itself does not authenticate peers vulnerable to man-in-the-middle (MitM). Use digital signatures, certificates, or authenticated variants (e.g., TLS) to prevent MitM.
- **Ephemeral DH**: Use ephemeral keys (generate fresh a, b per session) for forward secrecy.
- **Modular exponentiation**: Implemented efficiently via square-and-multiply; BigInteger.modPow in Java does that.

Procedure (Step-by-step)

- 1. Choose a large prime p and a generator g modulo p. (Publicly known.)
- 2. Alice chooses a private random integer a such that $1 \le a \le p-2$.
- 3. Bob chooses a private random integer b such that $1 \le b \le p-2$.
- 4. Alice computes public $A = g^a \mod p$ and sends A to Bob over the insecure channel.
- 5. Bob computes public $B = g^b \mod p$ and sends B to Alice.
- 6. Alice computes shared secret $K_A = B^a \mod p$.
- 7. Bob computes shared secret $K_B = A^b \mod p$.
- 8. Verify $K_A == K_B$. Use the resulting K (or a key derived from it

via a key-derivation function) as the symmetric encryption key.

9. (Optional demonstrate attacker) Attempt to compute a from A by brute force for small prime p to show infeasibility for large p.

```
CODE:
import java.math.BigInteger;
import java.security.SecureRandom;
import java.util.Scanner;
/**
 * Diffie-Hellman demonstration in Java using BigInteger.
 * Features:
 * - Interactive: accepts p, g, and private keys (or 'r' for random).
 * - Uses BigInteger.modPow for modular exponentiation.
 * - Optional brute-force discrete-log solver for small p (to simulate Eve).
 * Note: For production use, use standardized primes and authenticated DH
public class DiffieHellman {
   private static final SecureRandom random = new SecureRandom();
   public static void main(String[] args) {
       Scanner sc = new Scanner(System.in);
       System.out.println("Diffie-Hellman Key Exchange Demo (Java)");
       System.out.println("-----
");
       System.out.print("Enter prime p (decimal): ");
       BigInteger p = new BigInteger(sc.next());
       if (!p.isProbablePrime(20)) {
           System.out.println("Warning: p is not strongly prime according to
quick test (probablePrime).");
       System.out.print("Enter generator g (decimal): ");
       BigInteger g = new BigInteger(sc.next());
       BigInteger a = readPrivate("Alice", sc, p);
        BigInteger b = readPrivate("Bob", sc, p);
```

```
// Compute public keys
        BigInteger A = g.modPow(a, p); // Alice's public
        BigInteger B = g.modPow(b, p); // Bob's public
        System.out.println("\nPublic values:");
        System.out.println("A (Alice's public key) = g^a mod p = " + A);
        System.out.println("B (Bob's public key) = g^b mod p = " + B);
        // Compute shared secrets
        BigInteger K_A = B.modPow(a, p);
        BigInteger K_B = A.modPow(b, p);
        System.out.println("\nShared secret computed by Alice: " + K_A);
        System.out.println("Shared secret computed by Bob: " + K_B);
        System.out.println("Shared keys equal? " + K_A.equals(K_B));
        // Optional: attempt brute force discrete log for small p
        if (p.bitLength() <= 20) { // small p -> feasible to brute force
            System.out.println("\nEve (attacker) simulation: trying to recover
Alice's private key a by brute force...");
            BigInteger found = bruteForcePrivateKey(A, g, p);
            if (found != null) {
                System.out.println("Eve found a = " + found + " (verifies: g^a
mod p = " + g.modPow(found, p) + ")");
                System.out.println("Eve can compute shared secret K = g^(ab)
mod p = " + g.modPow(found.multiply(b), p).mod(p));
            } else {
                System.out.println("Eve failed to find a (unexpected).");
        } else {
            System.out.println("\nEve simulation skipped: p is too large for
brute-force in this demo.");
            System.out.println("For real-world p (e.g., 2048-bit), discrete
log brute force is infeasible.");
        sc.close();
   private static BigInteger readPrivate(String who, Scanner sc, BigInteger
p) {
        while (true) {
            System.out.print("Enter " + who + "'s private key (decimal) or 'r'
for random: ");
            String s = sc.next();
            if (s.equalsIgnoreCase("r")) {
                // generate random 1 <= x <= p-2</pre>
                BigInteger max = p.subtract(BigInteger.valueOf(2));
```

```
BigInteger x;
                do {
                    x = new BigInteger(max.bitLength(), random);
                } while (x.compareTo(BigInteger.ONE) < 0 || x.compareTo(max) >
0);
                System.out.println(who + " private key (randomly chosen): " +
x);
                return x;
            } else {
                try {
                    BigInteger x = new BigInteger(s);
                    if (x.compareTo(BigInteger.ONE) < 0 ||</pre>
x.compareTo(p.subtract(BigInteger.ONE)) > 0) {
                        System.out.println("Private key must satisfy 1 <= key</pre>
<= p-2. Try again.");
                        continue;
                    return x;
                } catch (NumberFormatException ex) {
                    System.out.println("Invalid number. Try again.");
    // Brute force discrete log: find x such that g^x = publicKey.
    // Only feasible for small p; used for demonstration of insecurity with
small primes.
    private static BigInteger bruteForcePrivateKey(BigInteger publicKey,
BigInteger g, BigInteger p) {
        BigInteger x = BigInteger.ZERO;
        BigInteger limit = p; // search 0..p-1
        while (x.compareTo(limit) < 0) {</pre>
            if (g.modPow(x, p).equals(publicKey)) {
                return x;
            x = x.add(BigInteger.ONE);
        return null;
```

RESULTS:

Steps (what you will show in assignment)

- 1. State public parameters p and g.
- 2. Show chosen private keys a and b (or show they are randomly generated).
- 3. Show public keys $A = g^a \mod p$ and $B = g^b \mod p$.
- 4. Show Alice's computation $K_A = B^a \mod p$.
- 5. Show Bob's computation $K_B = A^b \mod p$.
- 6. Verify $K_A = K_B$. Print the value of K.
- 7. Optionally, run Eve's brute-force search (only for small p) and show she recovers a and reconstructs K.

Observations and Conclusion

Observations

• For the example (p=23, g=5, a=6, b=15) both parties computed the

- same shared secret K = 2 using the exchanged public keys which demonstrates correctness.
- The computation uses modular exponentiation and relies on properties of exponents: $(g^a)^b = g^(ab) = (g^b)^a$.
- When p is small, a brute-force attacker can recover private keys quickly demonstration shows this.
- For large primes (p with hundreds or thousands of bits) and good generators, discrete log algorithms become infeasible, so DH is secure in practice (assuming proper parameter choice).
- DH does **not** provide authentication: an active MitM attacker who can intercept and substitute public keys can perform two separate DH exchanges and decrypt communications unless authentication is added (e.g., signatures, certificates, pre-shared keys).

Conclusion

- The implemented Diffie—Hellman algorithm correctly allows two parties to compute a shared secret without sending the secret directly.
- Security depends entirely on parameter choice (large safe primes / standardized groups) and on protecting against active MitM attackers via authentication.
- For production systems, prefer using standardized groups, ephemeral keys for forward secrecy, and authenticated key exchange protocols (e.g., TLS/ECDHE).
- The brute-force demonstration shows why small primes are insecure
 — always use large primes or switch to elliptic-curve DH (ECDH)
 for better performance/security.