Homework 3

Spring 2023
(Due: Thursday, Mar 2, 2023, 4:59 pm Eastern Time)

Please submit your homework through **gradescope**. You can write, scan, type, etc. But for the convenience of grading, please merge everything into a **single PDF**.

Objective

There are three things you will learn in this homework:

(a) Understanding why the maximum-likelihood estimate of the covariance matrix is the sample covariance.

(b) Implement a Bayesian decision rule for an image segmentation problem.

(c) Analyze the ROC curve.

You will be asked some of these questions in Quiz 3.

Exercise 1

Suppose that we are given a dataset $\mathcal{D} \overset{\text{def}}{=} \{\boldsymbol{x}_n\}_{n=1}^N$, where each sample $\boldsymbol{x}_n \in \mathbb{R}^d$ is an iid copy of the random variable $\boldsymbol{X}$. For simplicity, we assume that the distribution of $\boldsymbol{X}$ is a multi-dimensional Gaussian of mean $\boldsymbol{\mu} \in \mathbb{R}^d$ and covariance $\boldsymbol{\Sigma} \in \mathbb{R}^{d\times d}$. We further assume that the mean vector $\boldsymbol{\mu}$ is known and is given. Therefore, the likelihood of observing a sample $\boldsymbol{x}_n$ is fully controlled by the covariance matrix, i.e.,

$$p(\boldsymbol{x}_n \,|\, \boldsymbol{\Sigma}) = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{d/2}|\boldsymbol{\Sigma}|^{1/2}} \exp\Big\{ -\frac{1}{2}(\boldsymbol{x}_n - \boldsymbol{\mu})^T \boldsymbol{\Sigma}^{-1}(\boldsymbol{x}_n - \boldsymbol{\mu})\Big\} \tag{1}$$

Taking into consideration of all the samples in the dataset $\mathcal{D}$, the likelihood of $\mathcal{D}$ is

$$p(\mathcal{D} \,|\, \boldsymbol{\Sigma}) = \prod_{n=1}^N \left\{ \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{d/2}|\boldsymbol{\Sigma}|^{1/2}} \exp\Big\{ -\frac{1}{2}(\boldsymbol{x}_n - \boldsymbol{\mu})^T \boldsymbol{\Sigma}^{-1}(\boldsymbol{x}_n - \boldsymbol{\mu})\Big\} \right\}. \tag{2}$$

The goal of this analytical exercise is to derive the maximum-likelihood estimate of $\boldsymbol{\Sigma}$:

$$\widehat{\boldsymbol{\Sigma}}_{\text{ML}} = \underset{\boldsymbol{\Sigma}}{\text{argmax}} \;\; p(\mathcal{D} \,|\, \boldsymbol{\Sigma}). \tag{3}$$

To make things simpler we assume that $\boldsymbol{\Sigma}$ and $\widetilde{\boldsymbol{\Sigma}} = \frac{1}{N}\sum_{n=1}^N (\boldsymbol{x}_n - \boldsymbol{\mu})(\boldsymbol{x}_n - \boldsymbol{\mu})^T$ are invertible in this exercise.

(a) Recall that the trace operator is defined as $\text{tr}[\boldsymbol{A}] = \sum_{i=1}^d [\boldsymbol{A}]_{i,i}$. Prove the matrix identity

$$\boldsymbol{x}^T \boldsymbol{A} \boldsymbol{x} = \text{tr}[\boldsymbol{A}\boldsymbol{x}\boldsymbol{x}^T], \tag{4}$$

where $\boldsymbol{A} \in \mathbb{R}^{d\times d}$.

(b) Show that the likelihood function in (3) can be written as:

$$p(\mathcal{D}|\boldsymbol{\Sigma}) = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{Nd/2}} |\boldsymbol{\Sigma}^{-1}|^{N/2} \exp\left\{ -\frac{1}{2}\text{tr}\left[\boldsymbol{\Sigma}^{-1}\sum_{n=1}^N (\boldsymbol{x}_n - \boldsymbol{\mu})(\boldsymbol{x}_n - \boldsymbol{\mu})^T\right]\right\}. \tag{5}$$

(c) Let $\widetilde{\boldsymbol{\Sigma}} = \frac{1}{N}\sum_{n=1}^{N}(\boldsymbol{x}_n - \boldsymbol{\mu})(\boldsymbol{x}_n - \boldsymbol{\mu})^T$, and let $\boldsymbol{A} = \boldsymbol{\Sigma}^{-1}\widetilde{\boldsymbol{\Sigma}}$, and $\lambda_1, ..., \lambda_d$ be the eigenvalues of $\boldsymbol{A}$. Show that the result from the previous part leads to:

$$p(\mathcal{D}|\boldsymbol{\Sigma}) = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{Nd/2}|\widetilde{\boldsymbol{\Sigma}}|^{N/2}} \left(\prod_{i=1}^{d}\lambda_i\right)^{N/2} \exp\left\{-\frac{N}{2}\sum_{i=1}^{d}\lambda_i\right\} \tag{6}$$

Hint: For matrix $\boldsymbol{A}$ with eigenvalues $\lambda_1, ..., \lambda_d$, $\mathrm{tr}[\boldsymbol{A}] = \sum_{i=1}^{d}\lambda_i$.

(d) Find $\lambda_1, \ldots, \lambda_d$ such that (6) is maximized.

(e) With the choice of λ_i given in (d), prove that the ML estimate $\widehat{\boldsymbol{\Sigma}}_{\mathrm{ML}}$ is

$$\widehat{\boldsymbol{\Sigma}}_{\mathrm{ML}} = \frac{1}{N}\sum_{n=1}^{N}(\boldsymbol{x}_n - \boldsymbol{\mu})(\boldsymbol{x}_n - \boldsymbol{\mu})^T. \tag{7}$$

(f) What would be the alternative way of finding $\widehat{\boldsymbol{\Sigma}}_{\mathrm{ML}}$? You do not need to prove. Just briefly mention the idea.

(g) If $\boldsymbol{\mu}$ is also estimated from the data so that it is $\widehat{\boldsymbol{\mu}} = \frac{1}{N}\sum_{n=1}^{N}\boldsymbol{x}_n$, the ML estimate $\widehat{\boldsymbol{\Sigma}}_{\mathrm{ML}} = (1/N)\sum_{n=1}^{N}(\boldsymbol{x}_n - \widehat{\boldsymbol{\mu}})(\boldsymbol{x}_n - \widehat{\boldsymbol{\mu}})^T$ will be a *biased* estimate of the covariance matrix because $\mathbb{E}[\widehat{\boldsymbol{\Sigma}}_{\mathrm{ML}}] \neq \boldsymbol{\Sigma}$. Can you suggest an unbiased estimate $\widehat{\boldsymbol{\Sigma}}_{\mathrm{unbias}}$ such that $\mathbb{E}[\widehat{\boldsymbol{\Sigma}}_{\mathrm{unbias}}] = \boldsymbol{\Sigma}$? No need to prove. Just state the result.

Exercise 2

In this exercise I want you to implement a Bayesian decision rule for a (super classical) problem of image segmentation. The image we work with consists of a cat and some grass [1]. The size of this image is 500×375 pixels. The left hand side of Figure 1 shows the image, and the right hand side of Figure 1 shows a manually labeled "ground truth". Your task is to do as much as you can to extract the cat from the grass, and compare your result with the "ground truth".

Figure 1: The "Cat and Grass" image.

Preparation Steps (No need to hand in)

First of all, go to the course website and download the data. Write the Python script to read the data and convert it into a data matrix.

```
train_cat   = np.matrix(np.loadtxt('train_cat.txt',   delimiter = ','))
train_grass = np.matrix(np.loadtxt('train_grass.txt', delimiter = ','))
```

[1] Image Source: `http://www.robots.ox.ac.uk/vgg/data/pets/`

The data matrices are $64 \times K_1$ and $64 \times K_0$, respectively, where K_1 is the number of training samples for Class 1 (cat), and K_0 is the number of training samples for Class 0 (grass).

Throughout this exercise, you need to read images and extract patches. To read an image, you can call `cv2` library or you can call `plt.imread`. For example, you can do

```
Y = plt.imread('cat_grass.jpg') / 255
```

The decision making of this problem is performed for every pixel. Therefore, you need to write a `for` loop to loop through all the pixels of the image. Moreover, you need to extract 8×8 neighbors surrounding each pixel. These can be done using the following commands:

```
M,N = Y.shape
for i in range(M-8):
    for j in range(N-8):
        block = Y[i:i+8, j:j+8] # This is a 8x8 block
        #
        # Something
        #
```

To make your life easier, it is okay to set the running index `i in range(M-8)` by neglecting the boundary pixels. In this case, the ground truth mask will have 8 rows and 8 columns less.

Your Tasks (Please hand in)

The Bayesian decision rule we are going to implement is based on the posterior distribution. We define the likelihood functions:

$$p_{\boldsymbol{X}|Y}(\boldsymbol{x}|C_1) = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{d/2}|\boldsymbol{\Sigma}_1|^{1/2}} \exp\Big\{ -\frac{1}{2}(\boldsymbol{x}-\boldsymbol{\mu}_1)^T \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_1^{-1}(\boldsymbol{x}-\boldsymbol{\mu}_1)\Big\},$$
$$p_{\boldsymbol{X}|Y}(\boldsymbol{x}|C_0) = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{d/2}|\boldsymbol{\Sigma}_0|^{1/2}} \exp\Big\{ -\frac{1}{2}(\boldsymbol{x}-\boldsymbol{\mu}_0)^T \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_0^{-1}(\boldsymbol{x}-\boldsymbol{\mu}_0)\Big\}, \tag{8}$$

and also the prior distributions $p_Y(C_1) = \pi_1$ and $p_Y(C_0) = \pi_0$. For simplicity, we assume that $\pi_1 = \frac{K_1}{K_1+K_0}$ and $\pi_0 = \frac{K_0}{K_1+K_0}$. The Bayesian decision rule says that

$$p_{Y|\boldsymbol{X}}(C_1|\boldsymbol{x}) \gtrless_{C_0}^{C_1} p_{Y|\boldsymbol{X}}(C_0|\boldsymbol{x}), \tag{9}$$

which is based on the **posterior** distribution.

(a) Substitute the multi-dimensional Gaussian likelihood (8) and the priors π_1 and π_0 into (9). Show that the decision rule is equivalent to

$$-\frac{1}{2}(\boldsymbol{x}-\boldsymbol{\mu}_1)^T \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_1^{-1}(\boldsymbol{x}-\boldsymbol{\mu}_1) + \log \pi_1 - \frac{1}{2}\log|\boldsymbol{\Sigma}_1| \gtrless_{C_0}^{C_1} -\frac{1}{2}(\boldsymbol{x}-\boldsymbol{\mu}_0)^T \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_0^{-1}(\boldsymbol{x}-\boldsymbol{\mu}_0) + \log \pi_0 - \frac{1}{2}\log|\boldsymbol{\Sigma}_0|.$$

(b) Estimate $\boldsymbol{\mu}_1$, $\boldsymbol{\mu}_0$, $\boldsymbol{\Sigma}_1$, $\boldsymbol{\Sigma}_0$, π_1 and π_0 in Python. Report:

(i) The first 2 entries of the vector $\boldsymbol{\mu}_1$ and the first 2 entries of the vector $\boldsymbol{\mu}_0$.

(ii) The first 2×2 entries of the matrix $\boldsymbol{\Sigma}_1$ and the first 2×2 entries of the matrix $\boldsymbol{\Sigma}_0$.

(iii) The values of π_1 and π_0.

(c) Write a double for loop to loop through the pixels of the testing image. At each pixel location, consider a 8×8 neighborhood. This will be the testing vector $\boldsymbol{x} \in \mathbb{R}^{64}$. Dump this testing vector $\boldsymbol{x}$ into the decision rule you proved in (a), and determine whether the testing vector belongs to Class 1 or Class 0. Repeat this for other pixel locations.

```
for i in range(M-8):
    for j in range(N-8):
        block = Y[i:i+8, j:j+8]
        #
        # Something
        #
        prediction[i,j] = # Something
```

If you do everything right, you will get a binary image. Submit this predicted binary image. Remark: My program runs for about 10-15 seconds. If your code takes forever to run, something is wrong.

(d) Consider the ground truth image `truth.png`. Report the mean absolute error (MAE) between your prediction and the ground truth:

$$\text{MAE} = \frac{1}{\#\text{ of pixels}} \sum_{i,j} \Big| \texttt{prediction}[i,j] - \texttt{truth}[i,j] \Big|.$$

Report your MAE. Remark: Because we are not dealing with the boundary pixels (which explains why I set `i in range(M-8)`), when computing the MAE you need to set the true mask to `truth[0:M-8, 0:N-8]`.

(e) Go to the internet and download an image with similar content: an animal on grass or something like that. Apply your classifier to the image, and submit your resulting mask. You probably do not have the ground truth mask, so please just show the predicted mask. Does it perform well? If not, what could go wrong? Write one to two bullet points to explain your findings. Please be brief.

Exercise 3

The objective of this exercise is to plot the ROC curve. You may want to read Chapter 9.4 and Chapter 9.5 of Prof. Stanley Chan's book.

(a) The Bayesian decision rule you derived in Exercise 2 is actually equivalent to the likelihood ratio test:

$$\frac{p_{\boldsymbol{X}|Y}(\boldsymbol{x}|C_1)}{p_{\boldsymbol{X}|Y}(\boldsymbol{x}|C_0)} \underset{C_0}{\overset{C_1}{\gtrless}} \tau, \tag{10}$$

for some threshold constant τ. Determine τ that corresponds to the decision rule in Exercise 2.

(b) Implement this likelihood ratio test rule for different values of τ. For every τ, compute the number of true positives and the number of false positives. Then, we can define the probability of detection $p_D(\tau)$ and the probability of false alarm $p_F(\tau)$ as:

$$p_D(\tau) = \frac{\#\text{ true positives}}{\text{total }\#\text{ of positives in ground truth}}$$
$$p_F(\tau) = \frac{\#\text{ false positives}}{\text{total }\#\text{ of negatives in ground truth}}.$$

Plot the ROC curve. That is, plot $p_D(\tau)$ as a function of $p_F(\tau)$. Your ROC curve should cover the range $[0,1] \times [0,1]$. Remark: Generating this ROC curve will take a minute or two.

(c) On your ROC curve, mark a red dot to indicate the operating point of the Bayesian decision rule.

(d) Implement a linear regression classifier for this problem, and plot the ROC curve. The idea is to construct a matrix system:

$$\underbrace{\begin{bmatrix} \boldsymbol{X}_1 \\ \boldsymbol{X}_0 \end{bmatrix}}_{=\boldsymbol{A}} \boldsymbol{\theta} = \underbrace{\begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{1} \\ -\mathbf{1} \end{bmatrix}}_{=\boldsymbol{b}},$$

where $\boldsymbol{X}_1 \in \mathbb{R}^{K_1 \times d}$ and $\boldsymbol{X}_0 \in \mathbb{R}^{K_0 \times d}$ are the training data matrix of Class 1 and Class 0. Solve the regression problem

$$\widehat{\boldsymbol{\theta}} = \underset{\boldsymbol{\theta} \in \mathbb{R}^d}{\operatorname{argmin}} \|\boldsymbol{A}\boldsymbol{\theta} - \boldsymbol{b}\|^2.$$

During testing, write a double for loop to through all the 8×8 neighbors of the image pixels. The decision rule per neighbor is

$$\widehat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}^T \boldsymbol{x} \underset{C_0}{\overset{C_1}{\gtrless}} \tau, \tag{11}$$

where $\widehat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}$ is the trained model parameter, and $\boldsymbol{x}$ is the testing 8×8 neighbor. By varying the threshold τ, you can obtain another ROC curve. Plot it.

Exercise 4: Project Check Point

For this checkpoint, you need to play with the existing implementation that you identified in the previous checkpoint. First, please paste the paragraphs you wrote from Check Point 2 into your overleaf repository of the final project, if you haven't done so. Then, add a new section for this checkpoint. In the new section, write about the following things:

- What are the technical issues you encounter when you run the existing implementation?
- Have you overcome these issues? If so, what did you do that successfully removed the issue? If not, what have you tried so far?
- Generate some preliminary results, e.g., plots, numbers, etc. using the existing implementation. Present the preliminary results in the document and describe the results.

Please attach the PDF generated from the repoistory to the end of your homework. This checkpoint aims to motivate you to understand your paper in concrete details. Don't panic if you find it very hard to run the existing implementation, you can state what you have tried for this checkpoint and leverage the most of office hours to get your issue resolved.