
PARALLEL CELLULAR ALGORITHM (PCA)

PSEUDOCODE

Initialize grid_rows, grid_cols, neighborhood, α , max_iter
Initialize population $X[r][c]$ with random values
Compute fitness $F[r][c] = f(X[r][c])$

$t = 0$
while $t < \text{max_iter}$:
 for each cell (r, c) :
 $N = \text{neighbors of } (r, c)$
 best = neighbor with best (lowest) fitness
 $X_{\text{new}}[r][c] = X[r][c] + \alpha * (X[\text{best}] - X[r][c])$
 # (or use average of neighbors / direct best replacement)

 Replace X with X_{new} (synchronous update)
 Recompute all fitness values $F[r][c]$
 Track and store global best
 $t = t + 1$

Return global best solution found

ADVANTAGES

-
- Highly parallel — all cells update simultaneously.
 - Very scalable for large optimization problems.
 - Works naturally on grid-structured or spatial data.
 - Good balance of local and global search via neighborhoods.
 - Robust on noisy, multimodal, complex landscapes.
 - Flexible update rules; easy to customize.
 - Avoids premature convergence better than some algorithms.

DISADVANTAGES

-
- Convergence is slower (local neighborhood updates).
 - Performance depends heavily on chosen neighborhood size.
 - Not suitable for very high-dimensional vector problems.
 - Requires more memory (entire grid stored).
 - Can stagnate if neighborhood is too small.
 - More complex tuning compared to PSO/CSA.

WHERE WE USE PCA

-
- Image processing (denoising, edge detection, segmentation)
 - Grid-based optimization problems
 - Large-scale spatial models
 - Routing, scheduling, resource allocation
 - Distributed computing environments
 - Any scenario where parallel hardware (GPU/multicore) is available

- Problems benefiting from local-neighbor interactions

WHERE WE DO NOT USE PCA

- Simple convex or differentiable functions (GD is faster)
- Extremely high-dimensional optimization (1000+ vars)
- When very fast convergence is required
- Problems with no natural neighborhood or grid structure
- When memory is limited (large grids = heavy memory use)
- Real-time tasks needing instant optimization
- When each evaluation is expensive (many evaluations per iteration)

GREY WOLF OPTIMIZER (GWO)

PSEUDOCODE

Initialize number of wolves, search space bounds, max_iter
 Randomly initialize wolf positions X_i ($i = 1$ to n)
 Evaluate fitness $f(X_i)$

Identify alpha (best), beta (2nd best), delta (3rd best)

$t = 0$

while $t < \text{max_iter}$:

 Compute coefficient 'a' decreasing from $2 \rightarrow 0$

 For each wolf i:

 Generate random vectors r_1, r_2 in $[0,1]$

 Compute $A = 2 \cdot a \cdot r_1 - a$

 Compute $C = 2 \cdot r_2$

 Compute distances:

$D_{\alpha} = |C \cdot X_{\alpha} - X_i|$

$D_{\beta} = |C \cdot X_{\beta} - X_i|$

$D_{\delta} = |C \cdot X_{\delta} - X_i|$

 Compute candidate positions:

$X_1 = X_{\alpha} - A \cdot D_{\alpha}$

$X_2 = X_{\beta} - A \cdot D_{\beta}$

$X_3 = X_{\delta} - A \cdot D_{\delta}$

 Update wolf position:

$X_{i_new} = (X_1 + X_2 + X_3) / 3$

 Apply boundary limits

 Recompute all fitness values

 Update alpha, beta, delta

$t = t + 1$

Return alpha wolf (best solution found)

ADVANTAGES

- Simple, easy-to-implement algorithm.
- Very few parameters → fast tuning.
- Strong balance between exploration ($A > 1$) and exploitation ($A < 1$).
- Good at escaping local minima.
- Works well on continuous, non-linear, multimodal problems.
- Computationally lightweight.
- Converges smoothly due to averaging of alpha/beta/delta guidance.

DISADVANTAGES

- May converge prematurely if diversity reduces too quickly.
- Not ideal for highly constrained optimization problems.
- Exploration decreases linearly → may get stuck late in search.
- Dependent on random coefficients (results vary run-to-run).
- Not suitable for extremely high-dimensional problems.

WHERE WE USE GWO

- Engineering optimization (mechanical, structural, electrical).
- ML model training (weight tuning, hyperparameter selection).
- Feature selection and dimensionality reduction.
- Image processing (clustering, segmentation, enhancement).
- Scheduling, routing, resource allocation.
- Non-linear, multi-modal optimization problems.
- Any scenario needing fast, derivative-free global optimization.

WHERE WE DO NOT USE GWO

- Simple convex optimization (gradient methods work better).
 - High-dimensional optimization (>500 – 1000 variables).
 - Problems requiring strict, fast convergence guarantees.
 - Real-time systems (stochastic updates may be unpredictable).
 - Discrete or combinatorial problems unless modified.
 - Expensive function evaluations (many wolves \times many iterations).
-

CUCKOO SEARCH ALGORITHM (CSA)

PSEUDOCODE

Initialize n (number of nests), P_a (discovery probability),
 α (step size for Levy flight), and \max_iter
Generate initial population X_i ($i = 1$ to n)
Evaluate fitness $f(X_i)$

```

t = 0
while t < max_iter:

    # Generate new cuckoo solution by Levy flight
    For each cuckoo i:
         $X_{i\_new} = X_i + \alpha * Levy()$ 

        Evaluate  $f(X_{i\_new})$ 

        Randomly choose a nest j
        if  $f(X_{i\_new}) < f(X_j)$ :
            Replace  $X_j$  with  $X_{i\_new}$ 

    # Abandon worst nests (discovery probability  $P_a$ )
    For each nest:
        if  $rand() < P_a$ :
            Replace nest with a new random solution

    Keep the best nests (elitism)
     $t = t + 1$ 

```

Return the best solution found

ADVANTAGES

- Excellent global search ability due to Levy flights.
- Simple algorithm with very few parameters (n , P_a , α).
- Avoids premature convergence better than many optimizers.
- Efficient on multimodal and non-linear optimization problems.
- Strong exploration → capable of escaping local minima.
- Works well for continuous, real-valued optimization.

DISADVANTAGES

- Convergence may be slow in fine-tuning (weak exploitation).
- Results vary due to random Levy flights.
- Sensitive to parameter P_a and step size α .
- Not ideal for very high-dimensional problems.
- Requires many fitness evaluations → expensive for slow functions.
- Default algorithm handles only continuous search spaces.

WHERE WE USE CSA

- Optimization of continuous mathematical functions.
- Neural network training and hyperparameter tuning.
- Feature selection in machine learning.
- Scheduling & routing problems.
- Traveling Salesman Problem (with modifications).
- Engineering optimization (mechanical, electrical, structural).
- Solving knapsack and other metaheuristic problems.
- Situations where global search is more important than speed.

WHERE WE DO NOT USE CSA

- Simple convex problems (gradient descent is faster).
- Very high-dimensional optimization (>1000 variables).
- When quick convergence is required.
- When function evaluation is expensive (requires many iterations).
- Exact / deterministic solutions needed (CSA is stochastic).
- Discrete or combinatorial problems unless specially adapted.

ANT COLONY OPTIMIZATION (ACO)

PSEUDOCODE (FOR TSP)

Initialize number of ants, α (pheromone influence),
 β (heuristic influence), ρ (evaporation rate),
 max_iter , and initial pheromone $\tau(i,j)$ on all edges.

$t = 0$

while $t < \text{max_iter}$:

For each ant k :

Start at a random city

Build a complete tour:

At each step choose next city j from i with probability:

$$P(i,j) = [\tau(i,j)]^\alpha * [\eta(i,j)]^\beta / \sum ([\tau(i,u)]^\alpha * [\eta(i,u)]^\beta)$$

where $\eta(i,j) = 1 / \text{distance}(i,j)$

Compute tour length L_k

Update pheromones:

For all edges (i,j) :

$$\tau(i,j) = (1 - \rho) * \tau(i,j) \quad \# \text{ evaporation}$$

For each ant k :

For edges in ant k 's tour:

$$\tau(i,j) += Q / L_k \quad \# \text{ deposition (better tours deposit more)}$$

Keep track of the best tour found

$t = t + 1$

Return the best tour and its length

ADVANTAGES

- Intuitive, nature-inspired algorithm.
- Excellent for combinatorial optimization problems (like TSP).
- Performs distributed parallel search.
- Balances exploration (pheromone evaporation) and exploitation (pheromone reinforcement).
- Adapts well to dynamic environments (changing distances / constraints).

- Can discover very high-quality solutions for large discrete problems.
- Works even when the search space is huge and complex.

DISADVANTAGES

- Can be slow for very large problem sizes.
- May suffer from premature convergence (pheromone stagnation).
- Requires careful parameter tuning (α , β , ρ , number of ants).
- Computationally expensive if many ants or iterations are used.
- Sensitive to initial pheromone settings.
- Not ideal for continuous optimization problems without modifications.

WHERE WE USE ACO

- Traveling Salesman Problem (TSP)
- Vehicle routing and delivery planning
- Network routing in telecommunications & internet systems
- Scheduling tasks (manufacturing, cloud computing, CPU jobs)
- Robotics path planning
- Resource allocation and logistics optimization
- Assignment problems (matching, routing, load balancing)
- Any complex combinatorial optimization with discrete search space

WHERE WE DO NOT USE ACO

- Simple continuous optimization problems
 - Small or trivial problems (ACO is overkill)
 - Real-time applications needing very fast responses
 - Extremely large-scale problems with limited computation power
 - Problems where gradient-based optimization works easily
 - Situations with extremely expensive objective evaluations
 - Tasks where premature convergence must be strictly avoided
-

PARTICLE SWARM OPTIMIZATION (PSO)

PSEUDOCODE

Initialize number of particles, W (inertia), $C1$, $C2$,
 max_iter , and random initial positions X_i and velocities V_i .

For each particle:

 Evaluate fitness $f(X_i)$

 Set personal best $\text{pbest}_i = X_i$

Set global best $\text{gbest} = \text{best pbest}$

$t = 0$

while $t < \text{max_iter}$:

 For each particle i :

Generate random r_1, r_2 in $[0, 1]$

Velocity update

$V_i = W \cdot V_i + C_1 \cdot r_1 \cdot (pbest_i - X_i) + C_2 \cdot r_2 \cdot (gbest - X_i)$

Position update

$X_i = X_i + V_i$

Evaluate fitness $f(X_i)$

Update personal best

if $f(X_i) < f(pbest_i)$:

$pbest_i = X_i$

Update global best

$gbest = \text{best } pbest \text{ among all particles}$

$t = t + 1$

Return $gbest$ as best solution

ADVANTAGES

- Very simple and easy to implement.
- Few parameters to tune (W, C_1, C_2).
- Works well for continuous optimization problems.
- Does not require gradient information (derivative-free).
- Fast global search ability in early iterations.
- Computationally efficient; parallelizable.
- Good at exploring complex multimodal landscapes.

DISADVANTAGES

- Slow convergence in fine-tuning stage (weak local search).
- May get stuck in local optima if diversity decreases.
- Sensitive to parameter settings (W, C_1, C_2 must be balanced).
- Performance degrades in very high-dimensional search spaces.
- No guarantee of exact global optimum (stochastic algorithm).
- Velocity explosion may occur if not clamped or controlled.

WHERE WE USE PSO

- Continuous mathematical optimization problems.
- Machine learning (weight tuning, hyperparameter optimization).
- Neural network training.
- Engineering design problems (mechanical, structural, electrical).
- Robotics path planning.
- Clustering, feature selection.
- Benchmark functions (Rastrigin, Rosenbrock, Sphere, etc.).
- Any black-box problem with unknown or non-differentiable objective.

WHERE WE DO NOT USE PSO

- Simple convex or smooth problems (gradient descent is faster).
- Extremely high-dimensional problems (>1000 variables).
- Problems requiring highly accurate local convergence.
- Discrete or combinatorial optimization (unless modified PSO is used).
- Real-time systems needing strict deterministic behavior.
- Expensive objective functions (requires many evaluations).

GENETIC ALGORITHM (GA)

PSEUDOCODE

Initialize population size, encoding scheme, crossover rate, mutation rate, and max_generations.
Generate initial population of chromosomes.

For each chromosome:
 Evaluate fitness using fitness function.

t = 0

while t < max_generations:

 # Selection

 Select parent chromosomes using
 (Tournament Selection / Roulette Wheel).

 # Crossover

 With probability P_c :
 Apply crossover (Single-point / Two-point / Uniform)
 to generate offspring.

 # Mutation

 With probability P_m :
 Apply mutation (Bit Flip / Swap / Gaussian)
 to maintain diversity.

 # Form new population

 Evaluate fitness of new offspring.
 Replace old population with new one (elitism optional).

 Update best chromosome found.

 t = t + 1

Return best chromosome and its fitness.

ADVANTAGES

- Excellent global search capability.
- Works well for complex, non-linear & multi-objective problems.

- Does not require gradient or derivative information.
- Handles discrete, continuous, and mixed decision variables.
- Very flexible (supports many crossover/mutation types).
- Good at avoiding local minima due to mutation + crossover.
- Naturally parallel — population-based.

DISADVANTAGES

- Computationally expensive (many evaluations needed).
- Convergence speed can be slow.
- Sensitive to parameter tuning (P_c , P_m , population size).
- May produce infeasible solutions in constrained problems.
- No guaranteed optimal solution — stochastic behavior.
- Poor fine-tuning ability compared to gradient techniques.

WHERE WE USE GA

- Traveling Salesman Problem (TSP)
- Scheduling & resource allocation
- Feature selection & dimensionality reduction
- Neural network training & hyperparameter tuning
- Engineering design optimization (mechanical, structural, electrical)
- Circuit design & component placement
- Manufacturing optimization
- Multi-objective optimization problems
- Search problems where solution space is huge or discontinuous

WHERE WE DO NOT USE GA

- Simple convex problems (gradient descent is faster).
- Problems requiring guaranteed exact optimum.
- Very high-dimensional continuous problems (slow convergence).
- Real-time or low-latency applications.
- Optimization tasks where function evaluation is expensive.
- Situations with strict constraints (requires specialized GA variants).