

---

## PARALLEL CELLULAR ALGORITHM (PCA)

---

### PSEUDOCODE

---

Initialize grid\_rows, grid\_cols, neighborhood,  $\alpha$ , max\_iter  
Initialize population  $X[r][c]$  with random values  
Compute fitness  $F[r][c] = f(X[r][c])$

$t = 0$   
while  $t < \text{max\_iter}$ :  
    for each cell  $(r, c)$ :  
         $N = \text{neighbors of } (r, c)$   
        best = neighbor with best (lowest) fitness  
         $X_{\text{new}}[r][c] = X[r][c] + \alpha * (X[\text{best}] - X[r][c])$   
        # (or use average of neighbors / direct best replacement)

Replace  $X$  with  $X_{\text{new}}$  (synchronous update)

Recompute all fitness values  $F[r][c]$

Track and store global best

$t = t + 1$

Return global best solution found

### ADVANTAGES

---

- Highly parallel — all cells update simultaneously.
- Very scalable for large optimization problems.
- Works naturally on grid-structured or spatial data.
- Good balance of local and global search via neighborhoods.
- Robust on noisy, multimodal, complex landscapes.
- Flexible update rules; easy to customize.
- Avoids premature convergence better than some algorithms.

### DISADVANTAGES

---

- Convergence is slower (local neighborhood updates).
- Performance depends heavily on chosen neighborhood size.
- Not suitable for very high-dimensional vector problems.
- Requires more memory (entire grid stored).
- Can stagnate if neighborhood is too small.
- More complex tuning compared to PSO/CSA.

### WHERE WE USE PCA

---

- Image processing (denoising, edge detection, segmentation)
- Grid-based optimization problems
- Large-scale spatial models
- Routing, scheduling, resource allocation
- Distributed computing environments
- Any scenario where parallel hardware (GPU/multicore) is available

- Problems benefiting from local-neighbor interactions

## WHERE WE DO NOT USE PCA

---

- Simple convex or differentiable functions (GD is faster)
  - Extremely high-dimensional optimization (1000+ vars)
  - When very fast convergence is required
  - Problems with no natural neighborhood or grid structure
  - When memory is limited (large grids = heavy memory use)
  - Real-time tasks needing instant optimization
  - When each evaluation is expensive (many evaluations per iteration)
- 

## GREY WOLF OPTIMIZER (GWO)

---

### PSEUDOCODE

---

Initialize number of wolves, search space bounds, max\_iter  
 Randomly initialize wolf positions  $X_i$  ( $i = 1$  to  $n$ )  
 Evaluate fitness  $f(X_i)$

Identify alpha (best), beta (2nd best), delta (3rd best)

$t = 0$

while  $t < \text{max\_iter}$ :

    Compute coefficient 'a' decreasing from  $2 \rightarrow 0$

    For each wolf  $i$ :

        Generate random vectors  $r_1, r_2$  in  $[0, 1]$

        Compute  $A = 2*a*r_1 - a$

        Compute  $C = 2*r_2$

    Compute distances:

$D_{\text{alpha}} = |C * X_{\text{alpha}} - X_i|$

$D_{\text{beta}} = |C * X_{\text{beta}} - X_i|$

$D_{\text{delta}} = |C * X_{\text{delta}} - X_i|$

    Compute candidate positions:

$X_1 = X_{\text{alpha}} - A * D_{\text{alpha}}$

$X_2 = X_{\text{beta}} - A * D_{\text{beta}}$

$X_3 = X_{\text{delta}} - A * D_{\text{delta}}$

    Update wolf position:

$X_i \text{ new} = (X_1 + X_2 + X_3) / 3$

    Apply boundary limits

    Recompute all fitness values

    Update alpha, beta, delta

$t = t + 1$

Return alpha wolf (best solution found)

## ADVANTAGES

---

- Simple, easy-to-implement algorithm.
- Very few parameters → fast tuning.
- Strong balance between exploration ( $A > 1$ ) and exploitation ( $A < 1$ ).
- Good at escaping local minima.
- Works well on continuous, non-linear, multimodal problems.
- Computationally lightweight.
- Converges smoothly due to averaging of alpha/beta/delta guidance.

## DISADVANTAGES

---

- May converge prematurely if diversity reduces too quickly.
- Not ideal for highly constrained optimization problems.
- Exploration decreases linearly → may get stuck late in search.
- Dependent on random coefficients (results vary run-to-run).
- Not suitable for extremely high-dimensional problems.

## WHERE WE USE GWO

---

- Engineering optimization (mechanical, structural, electrical).
- ML model training (weight tuning, hyperparameter selection).
- Feature selection and dimensionality reduction.
- Image processing (clustering, segmentation, enhancement).
- Scheduling, routing, resource allocation.
- Non-linear, multi-modal optimization problems.
- Any scenario needing fast, derivative-free global optimization.

## WHERE WE DO NOT USE GWO

---

- Simple convex optimization (gradient methods work better).
- High-dimensional optimization (>500–1000 variables).
- Problems requiring strict, fast convergence guarantees.
- Real-time systems (stochastic updates may be unpredictable).
- Discrete or combinational problems unless modified.
- Expensive function evaluations (many wolves × many iterations).

---

## CUCKOO SEARCH ALGORITHM (CSA)

---

## PSEUDOCODE

---

Initialize n (number of nests), Pa (discovery probability),  
α (step size for Levy flight), and max\_iter  
Generate initial population  $X_i$  ( $i = 1$  to  $n$ )  
Evaluate fitness  $f(X_i)$

t = 0  
while t < max\_iter:

# Generate new cuckoo solution by Levy flight

For each cuckoo i:

Xi\_new = Xi + α \* Levy()

Evaluate f(Xi\_new)

Randomly choose a nest j

if f(Xi\_new) < f(Xj):

Replace Xj with Xi\_new

# Abandon worst nests (discovery probability Pa)

For each nest:

if rand() < Pa:

Replace nest with a new random solution

Keep the best nests (elitism)

t = t + 1

Return the best solution found

---

## ADVANTAGES

---

- Excellent global search ability due to Levy flights.
- Simple algorithm with very few parameters (n, Pa, α).
- Avoids premature convergence better than many optimizers.
- Efficient on multimodal and non-linear optimization problems.
- Strong exploration → capable of escaping local minima.
- Works well for continuous, real-valued optimization.

## DISADVANTAGES

---

- Convergence may be slow in fine-tuning (weak exploitation).
- Results vary due to random Levy flights.
- Sensitive to parameter Pa and step size α.
- Not ideal for very high-dimensional problems.
- Requires many fitness evaluations → expensive for slow functions.
- Default algorithm handles only continuous search spaces.

## WHERE WE USE CSA

---

- Optimization of continuous mathematical functions.
- Neural network training and hyperparameter tuning.
- Feature selection in machine learning.
- Scheduling & routing problems.
- Traveling Salesman Problem (with modifications).
- Engineering optimization (mechanical, electrical, structural).
- Solving knapsack and other metaheuristic problems.
- Situations where global search is more important than speed.

## WHERE WE DO NOT USE CSA

---

- Simple convex problems (gradient descent is faster).
  - Very high-dimensional optimization (>1000 variables).
  - When quick convergence is required.
  - When function evaluation is expensive (requires many iterations).
  - Exact / deterministic solutions needed (CSA is stochastic).
  - Discrete or combinational problems unless specially adapted.
- 

## ANT COLONY OPTIMIZATION (ACO)

---

### PSEUDOCODE (FOR TSP)

---

Initialize number of ants,  $\alpha$  (pheromone influence),  
 $\beta$  (heuristic influence),  $\rho$  (evaporation rate),  
max\_iter, and initial pheromone  $\tau(i,j)$  on all edges.

$t = 0$

while  $t < \text{max\_iter}$ :

For each ant  $k$ :

Start at a random city

Build a complete tour:

At each step choose next city  $j$  from  $i$  with probability:

$$P(i,j) = [\tau(i,j)]^\alpha * [\eta(i,j)]^\beta / \sum([\tau(i,u)]^\alpha * [\eta(i,u)]^\beta)$$

where  $\eta(i,j) = 1 / \text{distance}(i,j)$

Compute tour length  $L_k$

Update pheromones:

For all edges  $(i,j)$ :

$$\tau(i,j) = (1 - \rho) * \tau(i,j) \quad \# \text{ evaporation}$$

For each ant  $k$ :

For edges in ant  $k$ 's tour:

$$\tau(i,j) += Q / L_k \quad \# \text{ deposition (better tours deposit more)}$$

Keep track of the best tour found

$t = t + 1$

Return the best tour and its length

---

## ADVANTAGES

---

- Intuitive, nature-inspired algorithm.
- Excellent for combinatorial optimization problems (like TSP).
- Performs distributed parallel search.
- Balances exploration (pheromone evaporation) and exploitation (pheromone reinforcement).
- Adapts well to dynamic environments (changing distances / constraints).

- Can discover very high-quality solutions for large discrete problems.
- Works even when the search space is huge and complex.

## DISADVANTAGES

---

- Can be slow for very large problem sizes.
- May suffer from premature convergence (pheromone stagnation).
- Requires careful parameter tuning ( $\alpha$ ,  $\beta$ ,  $\rho$ , number of ants).
- Computationally expensive if many ants or iterations are used.
- Sensitive to initial pheromone settings.
- Not ideal for continuous optimization problems without modifications.

## WHERE WE USE ACO

---

- Traveling Salesman Problem (TSP)
- Vehicle routing and delivery planning
- Network routing in telecommunications & internet systems
- Scheduling tasks (manufacturing, cloud computing, CPU jobs)
- Robotics path planning
- Resource allocation and logistics optimization
- Assignment problems (matching, routing, load balancing)
- Any complex combinatorial optimization with discrete search space

## WHERE WE DO NOT USE ACO

---

- Simple continuous optimization problems
  - Small or trivial problems (ACO is overkill)
  - Real-time applications needing very fast responses
  - Extremely large-scale problems with limited computation power
  - Problems where gradient-based optimization works easily
  - Situations with extremely expensive objective evaluations
  - Tasks where premature convergence must be strictly avoided
- 

## PARTICLE SWARM OPTIMIZATION (PSO)

---

### PSEUDOCODE

---

Initialize number of particles, W (inertia), C1, C2,  
max\_iter, and random initial positions  $X_i$  and velocities  $V_i$ .

For each particle:

    Evaluate fitness  $f(X_i)$

    Set personal best  $pbest\_i = X_i$

Set global best  $gbest = best\ pbest$

$t = 0$

while  $t < max\_iter$ :

    For each particle i:

Generate random r1, r2 in [0, 1]

# Velocity update

$Vi = W*Vi + C1*r1*(pbest_i - Xi) + C2*r2*(gbest - Xi)$

# Position update

$Xi = Xi + Vi$

Evaluate fitness  $f(Xi)$

# Update personal best

if  $f(Xi) < f(pbest_i)$ :

$pbest_i = Xi$

# Update global best

$gbest = \text{best } pbest \text{ among all particles}$

$t = t + 1$

Return  $gbest$  as best solution

---

## ADVANTAGES

---

- Very simple and easy to implement.
- Few parameters to tune ( $W, C1, C2$ ).
- Works well for continuous optimization problems.
- Does not require gradient information (derivative-free).
- Fast global search ability in early iterations.
- Computationally efficient; parallelizable.
- Good at exploring complex multimodal landscapes.

## DISADVANTAGES

---

- Slow convergence in fine-tuning stage (weak local search).
- May get stuck in local optima if diversity decreases.
- Sensitive to parameter settings ( $W, C1, C2$  must be balanced).
- Performance degrades in very high-dimensional search spaces.
- No guarantee of exact global optimum (stochastic algorithm).
- Velocity explosion may occur if not clamped or controlled.

## WHERE WE USE PSO

---

- Continuous mathematical optimization problems.
- Machine learning (weight tuning, hyperparameter optimization).
- Neural network training.
- Engineering design problems (mechanical, structural, electrical).
- Robotics path planning.
- Clustering, feature selection.
- Benchmark functions (Rastrigin, Rosenbrock, Sphere, etc.).
- Any black-box problem with unknown or non-differentiable objective.

## WHERE WE DO NOT USE PSO

---

- Simple convex or smooth problems (gradient descent is faster).
  - Extremely high-dimensional problems (>1000 variables).
  - Problems requiring highly accurate local convergence.
  - Discrete or combinatorial optimization (unless modified PSO is used).
  - Real-time systems needing strict deterministic behavior.
  - Expensive objective functions (requires many evaluations).
- 

## GENETIC ALGORITHM (GA)

---

### PSEUDOCODE

---

Initialize population size, encoding scheme, crossover rate, mutation rate, and max\_generations.  
Generate initial population of chromosomes.

For each chromosome:  
    Evaluate fitness using fitness function.

t = 0  
while t < max\_generations:

# Selection  
Select parent chromosomes using  
(Tournament Selection / Roulette Wheel).

# Crossover  
With probability Pc:  
    Apply crossover (Single-point / Two-point / Uniform)  
    to generate offspring.

# Mutation  
With probability Pm:  
    Apply mutation (Bit Flip / Swap / Gaussian)  
    to maintain diversity.

# Form new population  
Evaluate fitness of new offspring.  
Replace old population with new one (elitism optional).

Update best chromosome found.  
t = t + 1

Return best chromosome and its fitness.

---

### ADVANTAGES

---

- Excellent global search capability.
- Works well for complex, non-linear & multi-objective problems.

- Does not require gradient or derivative information.
- Handles discrete, continuous, and mixed decision variables.
- Very flexible (supports many crossover/mutation types).
- Good at avoiding local minima due to mutation + crossover.
- Naturally parallel — population-based.

## DISADVANTAGES

---

- Computationally expensive (many evaluations needed).
- Convergence speed can be slow.
- Sensitive to parameter tuning ( $P_c$ ,  $P_m$ , population size).
- May produce infeasible solutions in constrained problems.
- No guaranteed optimal solution — stochastic behavior.
- Poor fine-tuning ability compared to gradient techniques.

## WHERE WE USE GA

---

- Traveling Salesman Problem (TSP)
- Scheduling & resource allocation
- Feature selection & dimensionality reduction
- Neural network training & hyperparameter tuning
- Engineering design optimization (mechanical, structural, electrical)
- Circuit design & component placement
- Manufacturing optimization
- Multi-objective optimization problems
- Search problems where solution space is huge or discontinuous

## WHERE WE DO NOT USE GA

---

- Simple convex problems (gradient descent is faster).
  - Problems requiring guaranteed exact optimum.
  - Very high-dimensional continuous problems (slow convergence).
  - Real-time or low-latency applications.
  - Optimization tasks where function evaluation is expensive.
  - Situations with strict constraints (requires specialized GA variants).
-