

CSC-591: Foundations of Data Science T/Th. 12:50-2:05pm. EBI-1005.

Ranga **Raju** Vatsavai

Chancellors Faculty Excellence Associate Professor in Geospatial Analytics
Department of Computer Science, North Carolina State University (NCSU)
Associate Director, Center for Geospatial Analytics, NCSU
&
Joint Faculty, Oak Ridge National Laboratory (ORNL)

W14: 11/19/15

Today

- Bayesian Inference
- Conclusion of statistical inference

Bayesian Data Analysis

- Bayesian analysis is a statistical procedure which endeavors to estimate parameters of an underlying distribution based on the observed distribution. BDA can be idealized into 3 steps:
 - Setting up a full probability model – a joint probability distribution for all observable and unobservable quantities in a problem
 - Conditioning on observed data – calculating and interpreting appropriate posterior distribution
 - Evaluating the fit of the model

11/19/15

© Raju Vatsavai

CSC-591. 3

Bayesian Inference

- Bayes' rule plays central role
- $P(A|B) = P(B|A)P(A) / P(B)$
 - A (class); B(variables)
- $P(A|B)$ – posterior probability
- $P(A)$ – prior probability
- $P(B|A)$ – conditional (or class conditional) probability (likelihood)

11/19/15

© Raju Vatsavai

CSC-591. 4

Posterior Distribution

- Posterior distribution is the most important quantity in Bayesian inference.

$$f(\theta | x) = \frac{f(x | \theta)f(\theta)}{\int f(x | \theta)f(\theta) d\theta}$$

- Let $X=x$ denote the observed realization of a uni- or multivariate r.v. X with density function $f(x | \theta)$. Specifying a prior distribution $f(\theta)$ allows us to compute the density function $f(\theta | x)$ of the posterior distribution using Bayes' theorem.

Prior Distribution

- Bayesian inference allows the probabilistic specification of prior beliefs through a prior distribution.
- It is often useful and justified to restrict the **range of possible prior distributions** to a specific family with one or two parameters, say. The choice of this family can be based on the type of **likelihood function** encountered.

Conjugate Prior Distributions

- A pragmatic approach to choosing a prior distribution is to select a member of a specific family of distributions such that the posterior distribution belongs to the same family. This is called a *conjugate prior distribution*.
- Let $L(\theta) = f(x | \theta)$ denote a likelihood function based on the observation $X = x$. A class ζ of distributions is called *conjugate with respect to* $L(\theta)$ if the posterior distribution $f(\theta | x)$ is in ζ for all x whenever the prior distribution $f(\theta)$ is in ζ .

11/19/15

© Raju Vatsavai

CSC-591. 7

Conjugate Prior Distributions

Summary of conjugate prior distributions for different likelihood functions

Likelihood	Conjugate prior distribution	Posterior distribution
$X \pi \sim \text{Bin}(n, \pi)$	$\pi \sim \text{Be}(\alpha, \beta)$	$\pi x \sim \text{Be}(\alpha + x, \beta + n - x)$
$X \pi \sim \text{Geom}(\pi)$	$\pi \sim \text{Be}(\alpha, \beta)$	$\pi x \sim \text{Be}(\alpha + 1, \beta + x - 1)$
$X \lambda \sim \text{Po}(e \cdot \lambda)$	$\lambda \sim G(\alpha, \beta)$	$\lambda x \sim G(\alpha + x, \beta + e)$
$X \lambda \sim \text{Exp}(\lambda)$	$\lambda \sim G(\alpha, \beta)$	$\lambda x \sim G(\alpha + 1, \beta + x)$
$X \mu \sim N(\mu, \sigma^2 \text{ known})$	$\mu \sim N(\nu, \tau^2)$	$\mu x \sim N\left(\left(\frac{1}{\sigma^2} + \frac{1}{\tau^2}\right)^{-1} \cdot \left(\frac{x}{\sigma^2} + \frac{\nu}{\tau^2}\right), \left(\frac{1}{\sigma^2} + \frac{1}{\tau^2}\right)^{-1}\right)$
$X \sigma^2 \sim N(\mu \text{ known}, \sigma^2)$	$\sigma^2 \sim \text{IG}(\alpha, \beta)$	$\sigma^2 x \sim \text{IG}(\alpha + \frac{1}{2}, \beta + \frac{1}{2}(x - \mu)^2)$

11/19/15

© Raju Vatsavai

CSC-591. 8

Example

- Assume that the prior distribution for the proportion of defectives produced by a machine is

p	0.1	0.2
f(p)	0.6	0.4

- Let x be the number of defectives among a random sample of size 2.
- Find the posterior probability distribution of p , given that x is observed

Solution

p	0.1	0.2
f(p)	0.6	0.4

- Q: X follows which distribution?
- The random variable X follows a binomial distribution
- Compute **marginal distribution**
 - $- f(x|0.1)f(0.1)+f(x|0.2)f(0.2)$

Solution

p	0.1	0.2
f(p)	0.6	0.4

- Q: X follows which distribution?
- The random variable X follows a binomial distribution

$$f(x|p) = b(x; 2; p) = \binom{2}{x} p^x q^{2-x}, x = 0, 1, 2.$$

- Compute **marginal distribution**

$$= f(x|0.1)f(0.1) + f(x|0.2)f(0.2)$$

$$= \binom{2}{x} \left[(0.1)^x (0.9)^{2-x} (0.6) + (0.2)^x (0.8)^{2-x} (0.4) \right]$$

Solution

p	0.1	0.2
f(p)	0.6	0.4

- Hence, for $x = 0, 1, 2$, we obtain marginal probabilities as
- The **posterior probability** of $p = 0.1$ and 0.2 , given x , is

Solution

p	0.1	0.2
f(p)	0.6	0.4

- Hence, for $x = 0, 1, 2$, we obtain marginal probabilities as

x	0	1	2
F(x)	0.742	0.236	0.022

- The **posterior probability** of $p = 0.1$ and 0.2 , given x , is

$$f(0.1|x) = \frac{f(x|0.1)f(0.1)}{(0.1)^x(0.9)^{2-x}(0.6) + (0.2)^x(0.8)^{2-x}(0.4)}$$

$$f(0.2|x) = 1 - f(0.1|x)$$

11/19/15

© Raju Vatsavai

CSC-591. 13

Solution

y	0.1	0.2
p(y)	0.6	0.4

- Suppose that $x = 0$ is observed
- Then, posterior is computed as

x	0	1	2
F(x)	0.742	0.236	0.022

- Compute for other values of x

11/19/15

© Raju Vatsavai

CSC-591. 14

Solution

p	0.1	0.2
f(p)	0.6	0.4

- Suppose that $x = 0$ is observed
- Then

x	0	1	2
F(x)	0.742	0.236	0.022

$$\begin{aligned}
 f(0.1|0) &= \frac{f(0|0.1)f(0.1)}{(0.1)^0(0.9)^{2-0}(0.6) + (0.2)^0(0.8)^{2-0}(0.4)} \\
 &= \frac{(0.1)^0(0.9)^{2-0}(0.6)}{(0.1)^0(0.9)^{2-0}(0.6) + (0.2)^0(0.8)^{2-0}(0.4)} = 0.655 \\
 f(0.2|0) &= 1 - f(0.1|0) = 1 - 0.655 = 0.345
 \end{aligned}$$

- Compute for other values of x

11/19/15

© Raju Vatsavai

CSC-591. 15

Practice

- Previous example, prior distribution of p is discrete. Now assume that p is a uniform distribution and compute posterior distribution of p .
 - From the conjugate prior table, check if the posterior distribution is a beta distribution
 - What are the parameters of this beta distribution

11/19/15

© Raju Vatsavai

CSC-591. 16

Solution

- The random variable X follows a binomial distribution

$$f(x|p) = b(x; 2; p) = \binom{2}{x} p^x q^{2-x}, x = 0, 1, 2.$$

- Compute **marginal distribution**

Solution

- The random variable X follows a binomial distribution

$$f(x|p) = b(x; 2; p) = \binom{2}{x} p^x q^{2-x}, x = 0, 1, 2.$$

- Compute **marginal distribution**

$$\int_0^1 f(x|p) f(p) dp = \binom{2}{x} \int_0^1 p^x (1-p)^{2-x} dp$$

Solution

$$g(x) = \binom{2}{x} \int_0^1 p^x (1-p)^{2-x} dp$$

- We can directly evaluate $g(x)$ for each value of x
 $x=0, g(0)=$

- The posterior distribution of p , given x , is

$$f(p|x) = \frac{\binom{2}{x} \int_0^1 p^x (1-p)^{2-x} dp}{1/3} = 3 \binom{2}{x} p^x (1-p)^{2-x}, 0 < p < 1.$$

- Posterior is a beta distribution, with parameters $\alpha=x+1$ and $\beta=3-x$.
- So, if $x=0$ is observed, the posterior distribution of p is a beta distribution with parameters (1, 3)

Interval Estimation

- Similar to the classical CI, in Bayesian analysis we can calculate a $100(1-\alpha)\%$ Bayesian interval using the posterior distribution.

The interval $a < \theta < b$ will be called a $100(1-\alpha)\%$ **Bayesian interval** for θ if

$$\int_{-\infty}^a \pi(\theta|x) d\theta = \int_b^{\infty} \pi(\theta|x) d\theta = \frac{\alpha}{2}.$$

Interval Estimation

- In classical approach, 95% CI means that if an experiment is repeated again and again, the probability that the intervals calculated according to the rule will cover the true parameter is 95%.
- However, in Bayesian interval interpretation, say for a 95% interval, we can state that the probability of the unknown parameter falling into the calculated interval is 95%.

Example

- Suppose that $X \sim \text{Be}(x;n,p)$, with known $n=2$, and the prior distribution of p is uniform $f(p) = 1$, for $0 < p < 1$, find 95% Bayesian interval for p .

Solution

- From our previous example, $x = 0$, the posterior distribution is a beta distribution with parameters 1 and 3), i.e., $f(p|0) = 3(1-p)^2$, for $0 < p < 1$. Thus we need to solve for a and b using Bayesian interval formulae, which yields the following

$$0.025 = \int_0^a 3(1-p)^2 dp = 1 - (1-a)^3$$

and

$$0.025 = \int_b^1 3(1-p)^2 dp = (1-b)^3$$

Solution

- Solving these equations result in $a = 0.0084$ and $b = 0.7076$. Therefore, the probability that p falls in $(0.0084, 0.7076)$ is 95%.

Contingency Tables

- Data about two variables (bivariate) can be represented as contingency table which is useful estimating joint and marginal probabilities

		Rank				
		Full professor R_1	Associate professor R_2	Assistant professor R_3	Instructor R_4	Total
Age (yr)	Under 30 A_1	2	3	57	6	68
	30–39 A_2	52	170	163	17	402
	40–49 A_3	156	125	61	6	348
	50–59 A_4	145	68	36	4	253
	60 & over A_5	75	15	3	0	93
	Total	430	381	320	33	1164

11/19/15

Venn Diagram for Contingency Tables

		Rank				
		Full professor R_1	Associate professor R_2	Assistant professor R_3	Instructor R_4	Total
Age (yr)	Under 30 A_1	2	3	57	6	68
	30–39 A_2	52	170	163	17	402
	40–49 A_3	156	125	61	6	348
	50–59 A_4	145	68	36	4	253
	60 & over A_5	75	15	3	0	93
	Total	430	381	320	33	1164

	R_1	R_2	R_3	R_4
A_1	$(A_1 \ \& \ R_1)$	$(A_1 \ \& \ R_2)$	$(A_1 \ \& \ R_3)$	$(A_1 \ \& \ R_4)$
A_2	$(A_2 \ \& \ R_1)$	$(A_2 \ \& \ R_2)$	$(A_2 \ \& \ R_3)$	$(A_2 \ \& \ R_4)$
A_3	$(A_3 \ \& \ R_1)$	$(A_3 \ \& \ R_2)$	$(A_3 \ \& \ R_3)$	$(A_3 \ \& \ R_4)$
A_4	$(A_4 \ \& \ R_1)$	$(A_4 \ \& \ R_2)$	$(A_4 \ \& \ R_3)$	$(A_4 \ \& \ R_4)$
A_5	$(A_5 \ \& \ R_1)$	$(A_5 \ \& \ R_2)$	$(A_5 \ \& \ R_3)$	$(A_5 \ \& \ R_4)$

$$P(A_1) = ?$$

$$P(R_2) = ?$$

$$P(A_1 \& R_2) = ?$$

11/19/15

© Raju Vatsavai

CSC-591. 26

Joint Probabilities from CT

		Rank				
		Full professor R_1	Associate professor R_2	Assistant professor R_3	Instructor R_4	$P(A_i)$
Age (yr)	Under 30 A_1	0.002	0.003	0.049	0.005	0.058
	30–39 A_2	0.045	0.146	0.140	0.015	0.345
	40–49 A_3	0.134	0.107	0.052	0.005	0.299
	50–59 A_4	0.125	0.058	0.031	0.003	0.217
	60 & over A_5	0.064	0.013	0.003	0.000	0.080
$P(R_j)$		0.369	0.327	0.275	0.028	1.000

Joint probabilities are displayed in the cell and marginal distributions in the margin.

Acknowledgements

- Weiss, et. al. Walpole, et. al.