Computational MRI


Partial Fourier Imaging (and constrained reconstruction)






K-space

10min scantime (assumed)



K-space

5min scantime (assumed)



Paul Margosian, Franz Schmitt

MR Development Group, STEM 51, Siemens AG UB Med Henkestraße 127, 8520 Erlangen West Germany

Abstract

Two different types of methods for acquiring MR data more quickly have been explored here. The first set of methods uses half the normal number of measurements and is capable of shortening acquisition times by a factor of two. The second type of method uses measurements from multiple echoes to synthesize a 'conventional' spin-warp raw data set. This second method is capable of shortening data acquisition times by factors of four to eight.

Three types of 'half the data' methods have been tested. The first uses the central half of the phase encoding steps, and reconstructs on the usual 256 x 256 matrix using a sinc interpolation; with this first method, signal to noise ratio is improved, but spatial resolution in the phase encoding direction is reduced. The second method uses all the upper (or lower) half of the normal phase encoding steps, places them in an otherwise empty 256 x 256 matrix, reconstructs, makes a phase correction, takes the real part. This 'half Fourier' method uses the phase information to retain full resolution with only half the data (really 53%) but at the cost of a reduction of signal to noise ratio. for the third method, every second phase encoding measurement is taken, along with eight extras in the center of the data space, empty data lines are filled by cubic interpolation, and a FFT recontruction is done. For this method, spatial resolution and signal to noise ratio are maintained, but two 'ghost' images (low amplitude-occur because of the inherent undersampling of this method.

The 'multiple echo' method for faster data acquisition makes use of a sequence that acquires different phase encoding steps in the data from different echoes. the best strategy is to take the central (low frequency) measurements from the lowest numbered echoes, and the higher frequency measurements from the later echoes. The practical details of this method include correcting position, phase, and amplitude of measurements from different echoes. It is also important to use data acquisition sequences that are very well adjusted refered to stimulated echoes and eddy currents. The result is that data can be acquired four to eight times faster than normal for spin-warp imaging, almost full resolution is retained, and signal to noise ratio is reduced somewhat.

Half Data Methods

The simplest way to reduce MR imaging time is to use methods that require only half (or approximately half) the normal data acquisition. Three methods have been tested here:

- (1) Use the central 128 (half) of the data, reconstruct. This is the classic 'sinc interpolation' method.
- (2) Use only the upper half (or lower half) of the normal phase encoding lines, make a phase correction, reconstruct; the real part is the desired (full resolution) image.
- (3) Use every other data line of the normal phase encoding set; do special processing to minimize the 'ghost' images that come from the inherent undersampling of this method.

These three data acquisition strategies are illustrated by the following sketches (vertical direction is phase encoding direction, horizontal direction is readout direction, shaded areas indicate which measurements are actually taken, all else is set to zero):

Fourier transform properties

- Linearity: $F\{as_1(r) + bs_2(r)\} = aS_1(k) + bS_2(k)$
- Shifting: $F\{s(r-r_0)\} = e^{-i2\pi k r_0} S(k)$


• Modulation: $F\{e^{i2\pi k_0 r}s(r)\} = S(k-k_0)$

• Conjugate symmetry: $s(r)real \Rightarrow S(-k) = S^*(k)$


• Scaling: $F\{s(ar)\} = \frac{1}{|a|}S(\frac{k}{a})$

Hermitian symmetry

- Real-valued objects
- $\Rightarrow S(-k)=S*(k)$
- k-space is redundant




In theory: imaging with half the acquisition time



Acquired k-space

Synthesized k-space

Hermitian symmetry reconstruction




Practical considerations

- In practice, objects are not truly real-valued (phase)
 - Magnetic field inhomogeneities
 - Object motion

- Solution: measure more k-space samples, estimate the phase and reconstruct the magnitude image
 - Phase estimation
 - Low resolution image
 - Small symmetric region at the k-space center


Asymmetric region in k-space



Half k-space
Phase reference
Extra lines

Partial Fourier Reconstruction

Phase estimation



- Phase-constrained reconstruction
 - One-step phase correction
 Margosian method (Margosian P et al. 1984)
 Homodyne method (Doll DC et al. 1991)
 - Iterative estimation of missing k-space data
 POCS method (Cuppen and Van Est 1987)


Margosian method

Phase-corrected image


$$I(r) = Re \left\{ I_0(r)e^{-i\angle(I_c(r))} \right\}$$

• $I_0(r)$: zero-filled FT reconstruction of the filtered k-space data

$$I_0(r) = \mathcal{F}^{-1} \{ S_0(k) W(k) \}$$




Algorithm 1: Margosian (homodyne) method




Margosian method example (PF=9/16)

• 512x512 image, 288 PE lines



Margosian method example (PF=5/8)

• 512x512 image, 320 PE lines




POCS method

Partial Fourier reconstruction formulated as a constrained optimization problem

- Projection onto convex sets (POCS)
 - General tool to solve constrained optimization problems
 - Each constraint is defined as a convex set

 Ω is convex if for $x \in \Omega$ and $y \in \Omega$: $\lambda x + (1 - \lambda)y \in \Omega$



POCS method

- Alternating projection algorithm
 - A point in the intersection of two convex sets can be found iteratively by alternating projections on each set

$$\Omega_1$$
 and Ω_2 are convex sets Goal is to find $x \in \Omega_1 \cap \Omega_2$

- Start with any x_0
- Alternatel y project onto Ω_1 and Ω_2

$$y_l = P_{\Omega_2}(x_l)$$
$$x_{l+1} = P_{\Omega_1}(y_l)$$

 If constraints are well defined, the algorithm converges in a few iterations

POCS method for partial Fourier reconstruction

Constraints

 $\Omega_1: \varphi(r) = \angle(I_c(r))$ – Phase:

- Data consistency: $\Omega_2 : \mathcal{F}(I(r)) = S_0(k)$

Algorithm

Initialization $I_0(r) = \mathcal{F}^{-1}(S_0(k))$

Iteration


Phase:

$$I_{n+1}(r)=|I_n(r)|\,e^{i\angle(I_c(r))}$$


$$S_{n+1}(k) = \mathcal{F}(I_{n+1}(r))$$

Data consistency: $\begin{cases} S_{n+1}(k) = \mathcal{F}(I_{n+1}(r)) \\ S_{n+1}(k) = S_0(k) \end{cases}$ if k was sampled

Algorithm 2: POCS



Partial Fourier MRI (PF=9/16)



SNR for partial Fourier MRI

SNR loss due to reduced number of k-space samples

$$SNR \propto \frac{1}{\sqrt{R}}$$
, where R is the reduction factor

For partial Fourier MRI

$$R = \frac{N}{N_h}$$

N: reconstructed image size

 N_h : number of k – space samples

Summary

- Partial Fourier MRI
 - Hermitian symmetry
 - Reduce the number of samples to almost a half
- Practical methods
 - Phase-correction
 - Margosian method (one-step)
 - POCS methods (iterative)
- SNR loss close to square root of 2
- Applications
 - Reduce the number of phase-encoding steps
 - Reduce the readout duration (fractional/asymmetric readout)