

# Approximate Distance Preservers

Parv Mor, 160479  
CS648 — Randomized Algorithms

November 4, 2018

## 1 Aim

Given an undirected graph  $G = (V_G, E_G)$  where each edge has positive weight find a sparse graph  $H$  of  $G$  that preserves distance by a given stretch  $t = 2k - 1$  for all pair of nodes. Number of edges in the sparse graph  $H$  should be  $\mathcal{O}(n^{1+\frac{1}{k}})$  and expected running time to compute  $H$  must be  $\mathcal{O}(km)$ .

## 2 Certain Insights

- Let us denote distance between two vertex,  $u$  and  $v$  in graph  $G$  by,  $\delta_G(u, v)$ . Also let weight of an edge  $(u, v) \in E_G$  be defined as  $w_G(u, v)$ . If  $H$  is a sparse graph of  $G$  with stretch  $t$  then the following property must hold:

$$\forall u, v \in V_H, \delta_G(u, v) \leq \delta_H(u, v) \leq t \times \delta_G(u, v) \quad (1)$$

- We always ensure that any edge in  $H$  is also present in  $G$ . Adding new edges does not make sense. Hence,  $E_H \subseteq E_G$  and  $V_H = V_G = V$ .
- Since  $E_H \subseteq E_G$ , we have already ensured the lower bound of (1).
- For any edge, say  $(a, b) \in E_G \setminus E_H$ , we should always try to ensure that there is a path between  $a$  and  $b$  in  $H$  such that its weight is  $\leq t \times w_G(a, b)$ . Since we want to avoid computing distance between nodes in  $H$  due to required linear time, we can ensure a simple and much more stronger condition on the required path. We should try to ensure that there is a path in  $H$  between  $a$  and  $b$  such that its length is  $\leq t$  and weight of any edge in this path is atmost  $w_G(a, b)$ .
- See that any path between  $a$  and  $b$  in  $G$  can be reduced to a walk in  $H$  if above condition is ensured. Also the weight of this walk is  $\leq t \times \delta_G(a, b)$ . Moreover, this walk can be reduced to a path in  $H$  and hence, the upper bound of (1) will also be satisfied.

### 3 A Stretch of 3

We require a sparse graph  $H$ , such that  $|E_H| = \mathcal{O}(n^{1.5})$  and cost of its computation given  $G$  is  $\mathcal{O}(|E_G|)$  on expectation. We will be doing some kind of random sampling. If we try to randomly sample edges of  $G$ , we have an issue of maintaining connectivity. This seems to be hard. So instead let us randomly sample vertices with some probability  $p$ . Let the random sample be denoted by  $S$ .  $\mathbb{E}[|S|] = np$ .

For each node  $v$  in  $S$ , let  $S_v = \{v\}$ . For each node in  $V \setminus S$  we would try to add edges incident on it into  $E_H$  using the following method:

- Let  $X = (V \setminus S) \cap (V \setminus N(S))$ . For each node in  $X$  add all edges incident on it into  $E_H$ . Consider any such node  $v$  in  $X$  with degree  $d$ . Expected number of edges that are added into  $E_H$  by such a node is  $d(1-p)^d$ .
- Let  $Y = (V \setminus S) \cap N(S)$ . Consider any such node  $u$  in  $Y$  with degree  $d$ . Find a  $v \in S$  such that  $w_G(u, v)$  is least. Update  $S_v$  to  $S_v \cup \{u\}$ . Add all edges incident on  $u$  in  $E_H$  such that their weight is less than  $w_G(u, v)$ . Also add  $(u, v)$  to  $E_H$ . Expected number of edges added by such a node is  $\sum_{j=0}^{d-1} (j+1)(1-p)^j p$ .

Hence,

$$\mathbb{E}[|E_H|] = \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{n}{p}\right) \quad \text{Using the infinite A.G.P summation}$$

But note that we still haven't guaranteed connectivity in  $H$ . Each node either belongs to a set  $S_v$  or it is connected via a path in  $H$  to a set  $S_v$ . All nodes in a set  $S_v$  are connected. The edges not present in  $E_H$  are always connected by two members from two sets. Hence, we only need to connect these sets somehow without blowing up the size and maintain a stretch of 3.

Also see that any edge between nodes in the same set that is not present in  $E_H$  can be replaced by a path of  $H$ . Consider any two such nodes  $a$  and  $b$ . Let them belong to the set  $S_v$ . Consider the path  $a \rightarrow v \rightarrow b$ . We already have  $w_G(a, v) \leq w_G(a, b)$  and  $w_G(v, b) \leq w_G(a, b)$ . Hence,  $a \rightarrow v \rightarrow b$  is a 2 stretch path in  $H$  of  $G$ .

So consider all the edges that  $\notin E_H$  and have their endpoints in different sets. For any such endpoint, say  $a$ , find all sets that  $a$  is connected to. For each such set (say  $S_u$ ) add the edge with minimum weight (say  $(a, b)$ ) into  $E_H$ . Reason for this is as follows:

Consider a edge  $(a, c)$ ,  $c \in S_u$  not added into  $E_H$ . The path  $a \rightarrow b \rightarrow u \rightarrow c$  is of stretch 3 in  $H$  of  $G$  as  $w_G(a, b) \leq w_G(a, c)$  and  $w_G(u, c) \leq w_G(a, c)$  and  $w_G(b, u) \leq w_G(b, a) \leq w_G(a, c)$ .

By this step,

$$\mathbb{E}[|E_H|] = \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{n}{p}\right) + \mathcal{O}(n\mathbb{E}[|S|]) = \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{n}{p} + n^2 p\right)$$

This expression will be minimized when  $p = n^{-0.5}$ . Hence,

$$\mathbb{E}[|E_H|] = \mathcal{O}(n^{1.5})$$

Note that the size is expected not worst case. But the time complexity is deterministic. Any given step above requires only constant number of traversal through the adjacency list of  $G$ .

Hence, time complexity is  $\mathcal{O}(|E_G|)$ . To achieve the aim we need to invert this. This can be done by the following observation:

$$P(|E_H| > 2\mathbb{E}[|E_H|]) \leq \frac{1}{2} \quad \text{By Markov's Inequality}$$

Hence, repeat until size is what we desire. The size for  $H$  is now  $\mathcal{O}(n^{1.5})$  in worst case. But the time complexity on expectation is  $\mathcal{O}(|E_G|)$ .

## 4 A stretch in general

Now we want to find out a sparse graph  $H$  of  $G$  with a stretch  $t = 2k - 1$ .

If we want to adopt our 3 stretch approach we would require that  $n^2 p \approx n^{1+\frac{1}{k}}$ . This is due to connectivity of sets step. Therefore,  $p = \frac{1}{n^{1-\frac{1}{k}}}$ . This means that  $\mathbb{E}[|S|] = n^{\frac{1}{k}}$ . But building of sets step would give us  $\mathcal{O}(n^{2-\frac{1}{k}})$  edges with this  $p$ . To counter this we could try running the same for say  $t$  iterations. But since we are sampling nodes instead of edges we do not have a dependence of edges in sparse graph on edges in original graph.

Instead suppose we use  $p$  from building of sets step. This would give us  $\frac{n}{p} = n^{1+\frac{1}{k}}$ .  $\implies p = n^{-\frac{1}{k}}$ . But then connecting of sets would contribute  $\mathcal{O}(n^{2-\frac{1}{k}})$  edges in  $E_H$ . However this we can counter by running the same for  $k - 2$  more times decreasing the contribution to  $\mathcal{O}(n^{1+\frac{1}{k}})$ . But note that this increases the contribution of building of sets step by a factor of  $k$  because in each iteration we will be adding  $\mathcal{O}(n^{1+\frac{1}{k}})$  edges.

Let the randomly sampled set at end of  $(i - 1)^{th}$  iteration be  $S_{i-1}$ . We are going to create  $S_i$  by randomly sampling sets from  $S_{i-1}$  each with probability  $n^{-\frac{1}{k}}$ . All nodes present in  $S_{i-1}$  and not present in a sampled set are processed in a similar manner as in stretch of 3.

This gives us a sparse graph of size  $\mathcal{O}(kn^{1+\frac{1}{k}})$  with computation time  $\mathcal{O}(km)$  on expectation.

But somehow we want to get rid of the  $k$  factor in size. One way to do this would be to find out probability of deviation of mean of  $|E_H|$  w.r.t desired value. But this would drastically increase the runtime of algorithm.