```
cv8.R
Marek
2023-04-06
 library('latexpdf')
Neparametricke metody
neparametricke metody pouzivame tam, kde data nie su normalne rozdelene, je ich malo, su nestandardne. Neparametricke testy vyzaduju
podmienku, ze data su z nejakeho spojiteho rozdelenia. Su menej presne a menej citlive.
Hypoteza H0 je v tvare H_0 data su nahodne H_1 nie su nahodne Test serii (Wald Wofovitzov test), testujeme nahodnost dat. Test je citlivy
na trend, kniznica randtests
 library('randtests')
Linka MHD v rannej spicke prejde trasu priemernou rychlostou 8 km/h. Bola navrhnuta mala zmena trasy s cielom zrychlit dopravu. Pocas 10 dni
sme namerali udaje o priemernej rychlosti datovy subor-v. Na hladine vyznamnosti lpha=0.05 testujte hypotezu o nahodnosti dat. Testujte
nahodnost merani.
 v<- c(7.7, 7.8, 8.5, 7.8, 7.9, 9, 7.5, 8.2, 9.3, 8.1)
 runs.test(v, plot=T)
      0.0
                                                   0
                                                                                  0
      8
0.0
                                           0
                                   0
      7.5
                    2
                                                   6
                                                                                 10
 ##
 ## Runs Test
 ## data: v
 ## statistic = 0, runs = 6, n1 = 5, n2 = 5, n = 10, p-value = 1
 ## alternative hypothesis: nonrandomness
Phodnota > 0.05, data su nahodne
 runs.test(v, alternative = 'left.sided')
 ##
 ## Runs Test
 ##
 ## data: v
 ## statistic = 0, runs = 6, n1 = 5, n2 = 5, n = 10, p-value = 0.5
 ## alternative hypothesis: trend
 runs.test(v, alternative = 'right.sided')
 ##
 ## Runs Test
 ##
 ## data: v
 ## statistic = 0, runs = 6, n1 = 5, n2 = 5, n = 10, p-value = 0.5
 ## alternative hypothesis: first-order negative autocorrelation
Test kritickych bodov, bodov obratu (turning point test) odhaluje periodicitu v datach
 turning.point.test(v)
 ##
 ## Turning Point Test
 ##
 ## data: v
 ## statistic = -0.27629, n = 10, p-value = 0.7823
 ## alternative hypothesis: non randomness
P hodnota > 0.05, nezamietam hypotezu o nahodnosti dat
 turning.point.test(v, alternative = 'left.sided')
 ## Turning Point Test
 ## data: v
 ## statistic = -0.27629, n = 10, p-value = 0.3912
 ## alternative hypothesis: positive serial correlation
Neparametricke testy o polohe (mediane). Parametrickymi
testami
sme testovali tvrdenia o strednej hodnote, boli jednovyberove t.testy a dvojvyberove t.testy - parove testy a neparove # Znamienkovy test (sign
test) testujeme H_0 \mod x_0 H_1 \mod x_0 Jedina podmienka kladena na data je, aby boli vyberom zo spojiteho rozdelenia. Test
ma malu silu, t.j. chyba druheho druhu je velka (nezamietame H0 a pritom H0 neplati). Testujte, ze data z predosleho prikladu maju median = 8,
teda ze priemerna rychlost ani po uprave sa nezmenila.
 boxplot(v, horizontal = T)
           7.5
                              8.0
                                                  8.5
                                                                     9.0
 hist(v)
                                      Histogram of v
      4
Frequency
      0
           7.5
                            8.0
                                              8.5
                                                               9.0
                                                                                 9.5
pre symetricke data je sikmost nulova, spocitame este sikmost
 library('moments')
 skewness(v)
 ## [1] 0.8311761
 library('BSDA')
 ## Warning: package 'BSDA' was built under R version 4.2.3
 ## Loading required package: lattice
 ## Attaching package: 'BSDA'
 ## The following object is masked from 'package:datasets':
       Orange
 SIGN.test(v, md=8)
 ##
 ## One-sample Sign-Test
 ##
 ## data: v
 ## s = 5, p-value = 1
 \#\# alternative hypothesis: true median is not equal to 8
 ## 95 percent confidence interval:
 ## 7.732444 8.837778
 ## sample estimates:
 ## median of x
 ## Achieved and Interpolated Confidence Intervals:
 ##
                    Conf.Level L.E.pt U.E.pt
 ## Lower Achieved CI 0.8906 7.8000 8.5000
 ## Interpolated CI 0.9500 7.7324 8.8378
 ## Upper Achieved CI 0.9785 7.7000 9.0000
P hodnota > 0.05 nezamietame hypotezu o tom, ze priemerna rychlost sa nezmenila. Tento test mozeme pouzit aj ako dvojvyberovy parovy.
Testujeme, ze median rozdielov je rovny nejakemu cislu, pouzijeme ho tam, kde je asymetria dat. Ak pridame predpoklad, ze data su symetricke
okolo medianu, tak radsej pouzijem jednovyberovy Wilcoxonov test -signed rank test. Otestujeme nase data, ci su symetricke a ak ano testujeme
tymto testom.
 library('lawstat')
 ## Warning: package 'lawstat' was built under R version 4.2.3
 ## Attaching package: 'lawstat'
 ## The following object is masked from 'package:randtests':
 ##
        runs.test
 symmetry.test(v)
 ## m-out-of-n bootstrap symmetry test by Miao, Gel, and Gastwirth (2006)
 ##
 ## data: v
 ## Test statistic = 1.3662, p-value = 0.188
 ## alternative hypothesis: the distribution is asymmetric.
 ## sample estimates:
 ## bootstrap optimal m
p hodnota >0.05, radsej Wilcoxonov test
 wilcox.test(v, mu=8)
 ## Warning in wilcox.test.default(v, mu = 8): cannot compute exact p-value with
 ## ties
 ## Wilcoxon signed rank test with continuity correction
 ## data: v
 ## V = 31, p-value = 0.7593
 ## alternative hypothesis: true location is not equal to 8
P hodnota >0.05, nezamietam H0, priemerna rychlost sa nezmenila Pri tradicnom opracovani suciastok sa dosahovali priemerne hodnoty
kvalitativnej vlastnosti 4.4, pokusne sa zavadza nova jednoduchsia metoda opracovania suciastok. Hodnoty kvalitativnej vlastnosti su v datovom
subore x. Testujte hypotezu, ze kvalitativna vlastnost aj pri novej metode zostala rovnaka.
 x<-c(4.5, 4.3, 4.1, 4.9, 4.6, 3.6, 4.7, 5.1, 4.8, 4, 3.7, 4.4,
     4.9, 4.9, 5.2, 5.1, 4.7, 4.9, 4.6, 4.8)
 boxplot(x)
      5.0
      4.5
      4.0
 symmetry.test(x)
 ##
 ## m-out-of-n bootstrap symmetry test by Miao, Gel, and Gastwirth (2006)
 ## data: x
 ## Test statistic = -1.528, p-value = 0.108
 ## alternative hypothesis: the distribution is asymmetric.
 ## sample estimates:
 ## bootstrap optimal m
 ##
                      14
Data su symetricke, teda jednoznacne WT.
 wilcox.test(x, mu=4.4)
 ## Warning in wilcox.test.default(x, mu = 4.4): cannot compute exact p-value with
 ## ties
 \#\# Warning in wilcox.test.default(x, mu = 4.4): cannot compute exact p-value with
 ## zeroes
 ## Wilcoxon signed rank test with continuity correction
 ## data: x
 ## V = 135, p-value = 0.1114
 ## alternative hypothesis: true location is not equal to 4.4
P hodnota>0.05, nezamietam hypotezu, ze novou metodou opracovane suciastky maju rovnaku kvalitativnu vlastnost Prakticky by sme mali na
zaciatku overit normalitu dat a ak su normalne rozdelene, tak t.test
 library('nortest')
 shapiro.test(x) #normalita
 ## Shapiro-Wilk normality test
 ##
 ## data: x
 \#\# W = 0.91818, p-value = 0.09142
 t.test(x, mu=4.4)
 ##
 ## One Sample t-test
 ## data: x
 ## t = 1.8808, df = 19, p-value = 0.07542
 ## alternative hypothesis: true mean is not equal to 4.4
 ## 95 percent confidence interval:
 ## 4.37856 4.80144
 ## sample estimates:
 ## mean of x
 ## 4.59
Aj parametrickym testom nam vyslo, ze kvalitativna vlastnost ostala rovnaka. Priklad pouzitia, ako parovy test. Su dane casy v sekundach, pocas
ktorych vyriesili kontrolne ulohy ziaci pred a po specialnych cviceniach z pamatoveho pocitania. Zlepsili cvicenia schopnost ziakov rychlejsie riesit
ulohy? Ak sa pytame, ci zlepsili, pred - po >= 0, teda negacia je <0 alternativa bude less. Testy vyberam podla toho, ci rozdiely su alebo nie su
symetricke.
 pred <- c(87,61,98,90,93,74,83,72,81,75,83)
 po <- c(50,45,79,90,88,65,52,79,84,61,52)
 rozdiel = pred - po
 rozdiel
 ## [1] 37 16 19 0 5 9 31 -7 -3 14 31
 boxplot(rozdiel, horizontal = T)
                       0
                                       10
                                                                      30
 skewness(rozdiel)
 ## [1] 0.1819471
 symmetry.test(rozdiel) #symetria dat
 ##
 ## m-out-of-n bootstrap symmetry test by Miao, Gel, and Gastwirth (2006)
 ## data: rozdiel
 ## Test statistic = -0.053887, p-value = 0.908
 ## alternative hypothesis: the distribution is asymmetric.
 ## sample estimates:
 ## bootstrap optimal m
 wilcox.test(rozdiel, alternative = 'less')
 ## Warning in wilcox.test.default(rozdiel, alternative = "less"): cannot compute
 ## exact p-value with ties
 ## Warning in wilcox.test.default(rozdiel, alternative = "less"): cannot compute
 ## exact p-value with zeroes
 ##
 ## Wilcoxon signed rank test with continuity correction
 ## data: rozdiel
 ## V = 51, p-value = 0.9928
 ## alternative hypothesis: true location is less than 0
p hodnota>0.05, nezamietame H0, ziaci sa zlepsili. Dvojvyberovy neparovy test, neparametricky, dvojvyberovy Wilcoxonov test (Mann Whitney U
test). Nulova hypoteza je H_0 F_X=F_Y . Pred testom treba overit, ci sa rozdelenia aspon priblizne rovnaju a tiez ci maju rovnaku disperziu. Ak
su velke rozdiely, tak dvojvyberovy Kolmogorov Smirnov test. Neparametricky test pre rovnost disperzii Levene test. Z produkcie dvoch firiem bolo
nahodne vybratych n=10 a m=8 vyrobkov. Nezavisli experti hodnotili ich kvalitu pridelenim bodov. Datovy subor x, y. Testujte hypotezu, ze kvalita
vyrobkov dvoch firiem je rovnaka.
 x<-c(420,560,600,490,550,570,340,480,510,460)
 y<-c(400,420,580,470,470,500,520,530)
 boxplot(x, y, col = c('blue', 'red'))
      900
     550
      500
      450
      400
      350
                                                                2
 par(mfrow=c(1,2))
 hist(x, breaks = 5)
 hist(y, breaks = 5)
               Histogram of x
                                                             Histogram of y
      က
                                                   က
      7
Frequency
                                              Frequency
                   400
                            500
                                                                    500 550
         300
                                                             450
                        X
 par(mfrow = c(1,1))
Uprava dat pre test
 data <- data.frame('body' = c(x, y), 'firma' = rep(c(1,2), times = c(10,8)))
 ## body firma
 ## 1 420
 ## 2 560
 ## 3 600
 ## 4 490
 ## 5 550
 ## 6 570
 ## 7 340
 ## 8 480
 ## 9 510
 ## 10 460
 ## 11 400
 ## 12 420
 ## 13 580
 ## 14 470
 ## 15 470
 ## 16 500
 ## 17 520
 ## 18 530
 levene.test(data$body, data$firma)
 ##
 ## Modified robust Brown-Forsythe Levene-type test based on the absolute
 ## deviations from the median
 ##
 ## data: data$body
 ## Test Statistic = 0.50758, p-value = 0.4865
Mozeme pouzit dvojvyberovy WT
 wilcox.test(x,y)
 ## Warning in wilcox.test.default(x, y): cannot compute exact p-value with ties
 ## Wilcoxon rank sum test with continuity correction
 ##
 ## data: x and y
 ## W = 45.5, p-value = 0.6565
 \ensuremath{\#\#} alternative hypothesis: true location shift is not equal to 0
P hodnota > 0.05, nezamietame hypotezu o rovnosti DF, teda aj medianov, kvalita je rovnaka, este KS test
 ks.test(x, y)
 ## Exact two-sample Kolmogorov-Smirnov test
 ##
 ## data: x and y
 ## D = 0.275, p-value = 0.7935
 ## alternative hypothesis: two-sided
plati tvrdenie hore # Kruskal Wallisov test, neparametricky ekvivalent k ANOVA. ANOVA mala silne podmienky, normalita dat v triedach, rovnost
disperzii. Ak to nie je splnene, tak KW test. Pri ANOVE sme testovali, ze stredne hodnoty sa rovnaju, tu testujeme, ze distribucne funkcie sa
rovnaju (a teda aj mediany). Zaznamenali sme vykony strojov troch znaciek. Na hladine vyznamnosti lpha=0.05 testujte hypotezu vykony strojov
su rovnake.
 data<-data.frame("vykon"=c(53,47,46,61,55,52,58,54,51,51,49,54),
                   "stroj"=c(rep(1,3),rep(2,5),rep(3,4)))
 boxplot(data$vykon~data$stroj, col=c('red', 'green', 'blue'))
      9
data$vykon
                                               2
                                                                      3
                                           data$stroj
Faktorizujeme
 data$stroj <- factor(data$stroj)</pre>
 data$stroj
 ## [1] 1 1 1 2 2 2 2 2 3 3 3 3
 ## Levels: 1 2 3
 kruskal.test(data$vykon, data$stroj)
 ##
 ## Kruskal-Wallis rank sum test
 ## data: data$vykon and data$stroj
 ## Kruskal-Wallis chi-squared = 6.4021, df = 2, p-value = 0.04072
P hodnota <0.05, zamietame hypotezu o rovnakej vykonnosti Nasleduju post testy. ANOVA (Tukey, Scheffe), tu pouzijeme dunn.test (aj kniznica sa
tak vola)
 library('dunn.test')
 dunn.test(data$vykon, data$stroj)
      Kruskal-Wallis rank sum test
 ## data: x and group
 ## Kruskal-Wallis chi-squared = 6.4021, df = 2, p-value = 0.04
 ##
                                Comparison of x by group
 ##
 ## Col Mean-|
```

-----2 | -2.350191 ## | 0.0188* ## 3 | -0.652906 1.815197 0.5138 0.0695 ## List of pairwise comparisons: Z statistic (p-value) ## -----## 1 - 2 : -2.350191 (0.0188)* ## 1 - 3 : -0.652906 (0.5138) ## 2 - 3 : 1.815197 (0.0695) ## alpha = 0.05 ## Reject Ho if p <= alpha lisi sa prva druha trieda

Row Mean |

alpha = 0.05

data: x and group

Col Mean-|

##

##

3 | -0.652906 1.815197

0.2569 0.0347

dunn.test(data\$vykon, data\$stroj, altp = T, list = T)

Kruskal-Wallis chi-squared = 6.4021, df = 2, p-value = 0.04

Comparison of x by group (No adjustment)

2 | -2.350191 0.0094*

Reject Ho if p <= alpha/2

Kruskal-Wallis rank sum test

Row Mean | 1 2