# A proof of Peirce's Law equivalent to Law of Excluded Middle

### Pasberth

# January 28, 2014

# Contents

| 1 | Deduction   | 1             |
|---|---|---------------|
|   | 1.1 Shorthands                                    | 1             |
|   | 1.2 Rules of Inference                            | 1             |
| 2 | De Morgan's Laws 2.1 Conjunction of Negations     | <b>2</b><br>2 |
| 3 | Peirce's Law equivalent to Law of Excluded Middle | 3             |
|   | 3.1 Negation of Excluded Middle is False          | 3             |
|   | 3.2 Law of Excluded Middle implies Peirce's Law   | 4             |
|   | 3.3 Peirce's Law implies Law of Excluded Middle   | 5             |
|   |   |               |

# 1 Deduction

# 1.1 Shorthands

- negation  $\neg \alpha$  is a shorthand for  $\alpha \to \bot$
- equivalent  $\alpha \rightleftharpoons \beta$  is a shorthand for  $(\alpha \to \beta) \land (\beta \to \alpha)$

# 1.2 Rules of Inference

First, I prepare the following rules of inference, for the proof.

$$\frac{\Gamma, \alpha \vdash \beta}{\Gamma \vdash \alpha \to \beta} \tag{Arr-Intro}$$

$$\frac{\Gamma_1 \vdash \alpha \qquad \Gamma_2 \vdash \alpha \to \beta}{\Gamma_1, \Gamma_2 \vdash \beta}$$
 (ARR-ELIM)

$$\frac{\Gamma_1 \vdash \alpha \qquad \Gamma_2 \vdash \beta}{\Gamma_1, \Gamma_2 \vdash \alpha \land \beta}$$
 (Conj-Intro)

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash \alpha \land \beta}{\Gamma \vdash \alpha} \tag{Conj-Elim-L}$$

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash \alpha \land \beta}{\Gamma \vdash \beta}$$
 (Conj-Elim-R)

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash \alpha}{\Gamma \vdash \alpha \vee \beta} \tag{Disj-Intro-L}$$

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash \beta}{\Gamma \vdash \alpha \lor \beta} \tag{Disj-Intro-R}$$

$$\frac{\Gamma_1 \vdash \alpha \lor \beta \qquad \Gamma_2, \alpha \vdash \gamma \qquad \Gamma_3, \beta \vdash \gamma}{\Gamma_1, \Gamma_2, \Gamma_3 \vdash \gamma} \tag{Disj-Elim}$$

$$\frac{\Gamma, \alpha \vdash \bot}{\Gamma \vdash \neg \alpha}$$
 (Neg-Intro)

$$\frac{\Gamma_1 \vdash \alpha \qquad \Gamma_2 \vdash \neg \alpha}{\Gamma_1, \Gamma_2 \vdash \bot}$$
 (Neg-Elim)

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash \bot}{\Gamma \vdash \alpha} \tag{Bot-Elim}$$

#### De Morgan's Laws $\mathbf{2}$

#### Conjunction of Negations 2.1

Lemma.

$$\vdash \neg \alpha \land \neg \beta \rightarrow \neg (\alpha \lor \beta)$$

Proof.

Proof. 
$$\frac{\neg \alpha \land \neg \beta \vdash \neg \alpha \land \neg \beta}{\neg \alpha \land \neg \beta \vdash \neg \alpha} \quad \frac{\neg \alpha \land \neg \beta \vdash \neg \alpha \land \neg \beta}{\neg \alpha \land \neg \beta \vdash \neg \alpha} \\ \frac{\alpha \lor \beta \vdash \alpha \lor \beta}{\neg \alpha \land \neg \beta \vdash \bot} \quad \frac{\neg \alpha \land \neg \beta \vdash \neg \alpha \land \neg \beta}{\neg \alpha \land \neg \beta \vdash \bot} \\ \frac{\alpha \lor \beta, \neg \alpha \land \neg \beta \vdash \bot}{\neg \alpha \land \neg \beta \vdash \neg (\alpha \lor \beta)} \\ \vdash \neg \alpha \land \neg \beta \rightarrow \neg (\alpha \lor \beta)$$

Qed.

Lemma.

$$\frac{Proof.}{\frac{\alpha \vdash \alpha}{\alpha \vdash \alpha \lor \beta} \neg (\alpha \lor \beta) \vdash \neg (\alpha \lor \beta)} \frac{\frac{\beta \vdash \beta}{\beta \vdash \alpha \lor \beta} \neg (\alpha \lor \beta) \vdash \neg (\alpha \lor \beta)}{\frac{\beta, \neg (\alpha \lor \beta) \vdash \bot}{\neg (\alpha \lor \beta) \vdash \neg \alpha}} \frac{\frac{\beta, \neg (\alpha \lor \beta) \vdash \neg (\alpha \lor \beta)}{\beta \vdash \alpha \lor \beta}}{\frac{\neg (\alpha \lor \beta) \vdash \neg \alpha \land \neg \beta}{\neg (\alpha \lor \beta) \vdash \neg \alpha}}$$

 $\vdash \neg(\alpha \lor \beta) \to \neg\alpha \land \neg\beta$ 

Qed.

Theorem.

$$\vdash \neg \alpha \land \neg \beta \rightleftharpoons \neg (\alpha \lor \beta)$$

 $\vdash \neg(\alpha \lor \beta) \to \neg\alpha \land \neg\beta$ 

Proof.

$$\frac{\text{from above}}{\vdash \neg \alpha \land \neg \beta \to \neg (\alpha \lor \beta)} \quad \frac{\text{from above}}{\vdash \neg (\alpha \lor \beta) \to \vdash \neg \alpha \land \neg \beta}$$
$$\vdash \neg \alpha \land \neg \beta \rightleftarrows \neg (\alpha \lor \beta)$$

Qed.

# Peirce's Law equivalent to Law of Excluded Middle

# Negation of Excluded Middle is False

Lemma.

$$\vdash \neg(\alpha \land \neg\alpha)$$

Proof.

$$\frac{\alpha \wedge \neg \alpha \vdash \alpha \wedge \neg \alpha}{\alpha \wedge \neg \alpha \vdash \alpha} \quad \frac{\alpha \wedge \neg \alpha \vdash \alpha \wedge \neg \alpha}{\alpha \wedge \neg \alpha \vdash \neg \alpha}$$

$$\frac{\alpha \wedge \neg \alpha \vdash \alpha}{\vdash \neg (\alpha \wedge \neg \alpha)}$$

Qed.

Theorem.

$$\vdash \neg \neg (\alpha \lor \neg \alpha)$$

Proof.

Qed.

### Law of Excluded Middle implies Peirce's Law

Lemma.

$$\vdash \neg \alpha \lor \beta \to \alpha \to \beta$$

Proof.

Proof. 
$$\frac{\alpha \vdash \alpha \quad \neg \alpha \vdash \neg \alpha}{\underbrace{\alpha, \neg \alpha \vdash \bot}_{\alpha, \neg \alpha \vdash \beta}}$$

$$\frac{\neg \alpha \lor \beta \vdash \neg \alpha \lor \beta}{\neg \alpha \vdash \alpha \to \beta} \frac{\alpha \vdash \alpha \quad \beta \vdash \beta}{\beta \vdash \alpha \to \beta}$$

$$\frac{\neg \alpha \lor \beta \vdash \alpha \to \beta}{\vdash \neg \alpha \lor \beta \to \alpha \to \beta}$$

Qed.

Lemma.

$$\vdash \alpha \to \beta \to \alpha$$

Proof.

Froof.
$$\frac{\alpha \vdash \alpha}{\vdash \neg \beta \lor \alpha \to \beta \to \alpha} \xrightarrow{\alpha \vdash \alpha} \frac{\alpha \vdash \alpha}{\alpha \vdash \neg \beta \lor \alpha}$$

$$\frac{\alpha \vdash \beta \to \alpha}{\vdash \alpha \to \beta \to \alpha}$$
Oed

Qed.

Lemma.

$$\vdash \neg \alpha \to \alpha \to \beta$$

Proof.

Proof.
$$\frac{\neg \alpha \lor \beta \to \alpha \to \beta}{\neg \alpha \vdash \neg \alpha \lor \beta}$$

$$\frac{\neg \alpha \vdash \alpha \lor \beta}{\neg \alpha \vdash \alpha \to \beta}$$

$$\vdash \neg \alpha \to \alpha \to \beta$$
Oed.

Qed.

Theorem.

$$(\alpha \vee \neg \alpha) \vdash ((\alpha \to \beta) \to \alpha) \to \alpha$$

Proof.

Proof.
$$\frac{(\alpha \to \beta) \to \alpha \vdash (\alpha \to \beta) \to \alpha}{(\alpha \to \beta) \to \alpha \vdash (\alpha \to \beta) \to \alpha \vdash \alpha} \xrightarrow{\neg \alpha \vdash \alpha \to \beta} \frac{\neg \alpha, (\alpha \to \beta) \to \alpha \vdash \alpha}{\neg \alpha \vdash ((\alpha \to \beta) \to \alpha) \to \alpha}$$

$$\frac{\alpha \vee \neg \alpha \vdash \alpha \vee \neg \alpha}{\alpha \vee \neg \alpha \vdash \alpha \vee \neg \alpha} \quad \frac{\vdash \alpha \to ((\alpha \to \beta) \to \alpha) \to \alpha \quad \alpha \vdash \alpha}{\alpha \vdash ((\alpha \to \beta) \to \alpha) \to \alpha} \quad \frac{\text{from above}}{\neg \alpha \vdash ((\alpha \to \beta) \to \alpha) \to \alpha} \\ \alpha \vee \neg \alpha \vdash ((\alpha \to \beta) \to \alpha) \to \alpha$$

Qed.

# 3.3 Peirce's Law implies Law of Excluded Middle

Theorem.

$$\vdash \alpha \lor \neg \alpha$$

Proof.

$$\frac{-(\alpha \vee \neg \alpha) \vdash \neg(\alpha \vee \neg \alpha)}{\frac{\neg(\alpha \vee \neg \alpha) \vdash \neg(\alpha \vee \neg \alpha)}{\frac{\neg(\alpha \vee \neg \alpha) \vdash \bot}{\neg(\alpha \vee \neg \alpha) \vdash \alpha \vee \neg \alpha}}}
\frac{+(((\alpha \vee \neg \alpha) \rightarrow \bot) \rightarrow \alpha \vee \neg \alpha)}{\frac{\neg(\alpha \vee \neg \alpha) \vdash \alpha \vee \neg \alpha}{\vdash \neg(\alpha \vee \neg \alpha) \rightarrow \alpha \vee \neg \alpha}}
\frac{\neg(\alpha \vee \neg \alpha) \vdash \neg(\alpha \vee \neg \alpha)}{\neg(\alpha \vee \neg \alpha) \vdash \alpha \vee \neg \alpha}$$

Qed.

The proof ends here. Now, the law of excluded middle implies the Peirce's law and the Peirce's law implies the law of excluded middle so both proofs are equivalent.