## Lecture 15 Direct products

Diamend Tromorphism. If  $A \leq G$ ,  $N \triangleleft G$ , then  $AN \leq G$  and  $AN/N \cong A/ANN$ 

Example  $A = \langle 4 \rangle$   $N = \langle 10 \rangle$  in  $G = \mathbb{Z}$   $(A+N)/N = (\langle 4 \rangle + \langle 10 \rangle)/\langle 10 \rangle$  is isomorphic to A/AnN, and  $AnN = \langle 4 \rangle n \langle 10 \rangle = \langle 20 \rangle$  so  $(A+N)/N \cong \langle 4 \rangle/\langle 20 \rangle$  which is ayelic of order  $5 \cong \mathbb{Z}_5$ .

Check  $A+N = (\gcd(4,10))^2 \langle 2 \rangle$  and  $\langle 2 \rangle/\langle 10 \rangle \cong \mathbb{Z}_5$ .

Direct product: Given two groups A and B, we can make  $A \times B = \{(a,b) \mid a \in A, b \in B\}$  into a group: define (a,b)(a',b') = (aa',bb'), where  $aa' \in A$  is defined using the given group operation on A, and like vise  $bb \in B$ . It is straightforward to cheek this is an associative operation of  $A \times B$ , that  $(e_A, e_B)$  is an identity element if  $e_A \in A$ ,  $e_B \in B$  are identity elements, and that the innerse  $a \in A$  is  $a \in A$ ,  $a \in B$  are identity elements, and that the innerse  $a \in A$  is  $a \in A$ .

To be clear,  $A \times B$  is different from  $AB = \{ab \mid a \in A, b \in B\}$  where  $A \leq G$   $B \leq G$  are subgroups of the same group G.

However, it is possible that AB and  $A \times B$  may be isomorphic.

Eq. In  $G = \mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_3$ , let  $A = \{(a,0) \mid a \in \mathbb{Z}_2\}$   $B = \{(0,b) \mid b \in \mathbb{Z}_3\}$ then AB = G and  $A \cong \mathbb{Z}_2$   $B \cong \mathbb{Z}_3$  so  $AB \cong A \times B$ . But in  $S_3$ ,  $A = \{e(12)\}$   $B = \{e(123)(132)\}$  (normal) thu  $A \cong \mathbb{Z}_2$ ,  $B \cong \mathbb{Z}_3$ ,  $AB = S_3$  but  $S_3$  is not isomorphic to  $\mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_3$  (since  $S_3$  is not abelian).

Proposition 3.1.5 Suppose ADG, BDG, AnB= {e}.
Then ABDG and

(1) for all a & A and b & B, ab = be.

(2)  $\varphi: A \times B \rightarrow AB$ ,  $(\varphi(a,b)=ab$ , is an isomorphism.

Proof let  $ab \in AB$ ,  $g \in G$ . thu  $g(ab)g^{-1} = (gag^{-1}(gbg^{-1}) \in AB. \text{ Thus } AB \triangleleft G$   $\in A \in B$ 

Suce A Since B normal nurmal

(1) Observe  $ab = ba \iff aba^{-1}b^{-1} = e$ . Now  $aba^{-1}b^{-1} = a(ba^{-1}b^{-1}) \in A$ 

> EA since A normal

(aba-1)b-1∈B

EBsince Bnormal, so  $aba^{-1}b^{-1} \in A \cap B = ieig$ and  $aba^{-1}b^{-1} = e$ .

(2) Define φ: AXB → AB by φ(a,b) =ab.

φ is surjective by construction.

 $\varphi$  is a homomorphism by (1):  $\varphi((a,b)(a',b')) = \varphi(aa',bb') = a$ 

 $(\phi((a,b)(a',b'))= (\phi(aa',bb')=aa'bb'=aba'b'=\phi(a,b)\phi(a',b')$ Kernel?  $(\phi(a,b)=e \Rightarrow a=b')$  $\Rightarrow a \in A \cap B$  and  $b \in A \cap B$  so a=e, b=e.

Kernel is trivial, so () is injective 12

Example  $G = \mathbb{C}^{k} = \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}$  with multiplication.  $A = \mathbb{R}_{+} = \{r \in \mathbb{R} \mid r > 0\}$  $B = U = \{e^{i\theta} \mid \theta \in \mathbb{R}\}$ 

then ADG, BDG since G is abelian.

Also AnB = 213.

Also  $AB = R + U = C^*$ , because every complex number has a polar form  $z = re^{i\theta}$ .

So by the proposition,  $\varphi: \mathbb{R}_+ \times \mathbb{U} \longrightarrow \mathbb{C}^*$   $\varphi(r, e^{i\theta}) = re^{i\theta}$ 

is an isomorphism.

We can also think about the direct product of more than two groups. If A, A2, ..., An one groups then

 $A_1 \times A_2 \times \cdots \times A_n = \{(a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n) \mid a_i \in A_i \}$ is a group with operation.  $(a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n)(a_1', a_2', \dots, a_n) = (a_1a_1', a_2a_2', \dots, a_na_n')$ (check it!)

As with the case of two groups, there is a proposition that helps us recognize when a group is isomorphic to a direct product:

Proposition 3.1.12: Suppose N, Nz, ..., Nr & G are normal subgroups and that for all i, 15 isr,

 $N_i \cap (N_1 N_2 \cdots N_{i-1} N_{i+1} \cdots N_r) = \{e^{\xi}\}$ 

then  $N_1 N_2 \cdots N_r \triangleleft G$  and  $\varphi: N_1 \times N_2 \times \cdots \times N_r \rightarrow N_1 N_2 \cdots N_r$  $\varphi(n_1, n_2, ..., n_r) = n_1 n_2 \cdots n_r$ 

is an immorphism.

Proof see Goodman.