

Machine Learning 1 - Homework 3

Pascal Mattia Esser

September 27th, 2017

1 Naive Bayes Spam Classification

1.1

data likelihood, for the general three class

$$p(\mathbf{T}, \mathbf{X} | \boldsymbol{\Theta}) = \prod_{n=1}^N p(\mathbf{x}_n, C_1)^{\mathbb{I}(t_n=1)} \prod_{n=1}^N p(\mathbf{x}_n, C_2)^{\mathbb{I}(t_n=2)} \prod_{n=1}^N p(\mathbf{x}_n, C_3)^{\mathbb{I}(t_n=3)} \quad (1)$$

with

$$p(\mathbf{x} | C_k) = \prod_{d=1}^D p(x_d | C_k) \quad (2)$$

and

$$p(\mathbf{x}_n, C_i) = p(C_i) p(\mathbf{x} | C_i) \quad (3)$$

we can rewrite $p(\mathbf{T}, \mathbf{X} | \boldsymbol{\Theta})$ as:

$$p(\mathbf{T}, \mathbf{X} | \boldsymbol{\Theta}) = \prod_{k=1}^K \prod_{n=1}^N \left(p(C_k) \prod_{d=1}^D p(x_{nd} | C_k, \Theta_{dk}) \right)^{\mathbb{I}(t_n=k)} \quad (4)$$

1.2

data likelihood for the Poisson model

$$p(\mathbf{T}, \mathbf{X} | \boldsymbol{\Gamma}) = \prod_{k=1}^K \prod_{n=1}^N \left(p(C_k) \prod_{d=1}^D \left(\frac{\lambda_{dk}^{x_{nd}}}{x_{nd}!} \exp(-\lambda_{dk}) \right) \right)^{\mathbb{I}(t_n=k)} \quad (5)$$

1.3

log-likelihood for the Poisson model write

$$p(C_k) = \pi_k \quad (6)$$

and use this in the calculation for the log:

$$\ln p(\mathbf{T}, \mathbf{X}|\mathbf{\Gamma}) = \sum_{k=1}^K \sum_{n=1}^N \mathbb{I}(t_n = k) \left(\ln \pi_k + \sum_{d=1}^D x_{nd} \ln \lambda_{dk} - \ln(x_{nd}!) - \lambda_{dk} \right) \quad (7)$$

1.4

Solve for the MLE estimators

$$\frac{\partial \ln p(\mathbf{T}, \mathbf{X}|\mathbf{\Gamma})}{\partial \lambda_{dk}} = \frac{\partial}{\partial \lambda_{dk}} \sum_{k=1}^K \sum_{n=1}^N \mathbb{I}(t_n = k) \sum_{d=1}^D x_{nd} \ln \lambda_{dk} - \lambda_{dk} \quad (8)$$

$$= \sum_{k=1}^K \sum_{n=1}^N \mathbb{I}(t_n = k) \sum_{d=1}^D \frac{x_{nd}}{\lambda_{dk}} - 1 \quad (9)$$

solving for λ_{dk} :

$$\lambda_{dk} = \frac{1}{N_k} \sum_{n=1}^N \mathbb{I}(t_n = k) x_{nd} \quad (10)$$

1.5

Write $p(C_1|\mathbf{x})$ for the general three class naive Bayes classifier

$$p(C_1|\mathbf{x}) = \frac{p(C_1)p(\mathbf{x}|C_1)}{\sum_{i=1}^3 p(C_i)p(\mathbf{x}|C_i)} \quad (11)$$

1.6

Write $p(C_1|\mathbf{x})$ for the Poisson model

$$p(C_1|\mathbf{x}) = \frac{\pi_1 \prod_{d=1}^D \left(\frac{\lambda_{d1}^{x_{nd}}}{x_{nd}!} \exp(-\lambda_{d1}) \right)}{\sum_{k=1}^3 \pi_k \prod_{d=1}^D \left(\frac{\lambda_{dk}^{x_{nd}}}{x_{nd}!} \exp(-\lambda_{dk}) \right)} \quad (12)$$

1.7

express the conditions(inequalities) of the region where \mathbf{x} is predicted to be in C_1

The prove for \mathbf{x} belonged to C_1 and not to $C_k, k \neq 1$ follows without loss of generality from the prove below for C_1 and C_2 .

\mathbf{x} belonged to C_1 and not to C_2 iff:

$$p(C_1|\mathbf{x}) > p(C_2|\mathbf{x}) \quad (13)$$

which means:

$$p(\mathbf{x}|C_1)p(C_1) > p(\mathbf{x}|C_2)p(C_2) \quad (14)$$

$$p(\mathbf{x}|C_1) > p(\mathbf{x}|C_2) \frac{p(C_2)}{p(C_1)} \quad (15)$$

$$\prod_{d=1}^D \frac{\lambda_{d1}^{x_{nd}}}{x_{nd}!} \exp(-\lambda_{d1}) > \prod_{d=1}^D \frac{\lambda_{d2}^{x_{nd}}}{x_{nd}!} \exp(-\lambda_{d2}) \frac{\pi_2}{\pi_1} \quad (16)$$

$$\prod_{d=1}^D \left(\frac{\lambda_{d1}}{\lambda_{d2}} \right)^{x_d} > \frac{\pi_2}{\pi_1} \prod_{d=1}^D \exp(\lambda_{d1} - \lambda_{d2}) \quad (17)$$

$$\sum_{d=1}^D \ln \frac{\lambda_{d1}}{\lambda_{d2}} > \ln \left(\frac{\pi_2}{\pi_1} \right) \sum_{d=1}^D (\lambda_{d1} - \lambda_{d2}) \quad (18)$$

now the term

$$\ln \left(\frac{\pi_2}{\pi_1} \right) \sum_{d=1}^D (\lambda_{d1} - \lambda_{d2}) \quad (19)$$

does not depend on directly on x , so we set

$$c_{1,2} = \ln \left(\frac{\pi_2}{\pi_1} \right) \sum_{d=1}^D (\lambda_{d1} - \lambda_{d2}) \quad (20)$$

and we get for

$$a_{1,2k} = \ln \frac{\lambda_{d1}}{\lambda_{d2}} \quad (21)$$

write as a matrix

$$\mathbf{a}_{12} = \begin{bmatrix} \ln \frac{\lambda_{11}}{\lambda_{12}} \\ \ln \frac{\lambda_{21}}{\lambda_{22}} \\ \vdots \\ \ln \frac{\lambda_{d1}}{\lambda_{d2}} \end{bmatrix} \quad (22)$$

so we can write the in equation

$$\mathbf{x}^T \mathbf{a}_{12} > c_{12} \quad (23)$$

as

$$\mathbf{x}^T \begin{bmatrix} \ln \frac{\lambda_{11}}{\lambda_{12}} \\ \ln \frac{\lambda_{21}}{\lambda_{22}} \\ \vdots \\ \ln \frac{\lambda_{d1}}{\lambda_{d2}} \end{bmatrix} > n \left(\frac{\pi_2}{\pi_1} \right) \sum_{d=1}^D (\lambda_{d1} - \lambda_{d2}) \quad (24)$$

1.8

Is the region where \mathbf{x} is predicted to be in C_1 convex? because we showed in the previous task, that

$$\mathbf{x}^T \mathbf{a} > c \quad (25)$$

is only linear in \mathbf{x} , we can show convexity as follows:

$$\hat{\mathbf{x}} = \lambda x_1 + (1 - \lambda) x_2 \quad (26)$$

with $\lambda \in [0, 1]$

$$p(x_1|C_1)p(C_1) > p(x_1|C_k)p(C_k) \quad (27)$$

$$p(x_2|C_1)p(C_1) > p(x_2|C_k)p(C_k) \quad (28)$$

$$x_1^T a_{1k} = (\lambda x_1 + (1 - \lambda)x_2)^T a_{1k} \quad (29)$$

$$= \lambda x_1^T a_{1k} + (1 - \lambda)x_2^T a_{1k} \quad (30)$$

$$> \lambda c_{1k} + (1 - \lambda)c_{1k} \quad (31)$$

$$= c_{1k} \quad (32)$$

giving us:

$$x_1^T a_{1k} > c_{1k} \quad (33)$$

which shows, that the region is convex, because for an arbitrary point \hat{x} , it can be shown, that it is on the line between x_1 and x_2 .

1.9

Give a concrete example with a specific application where it is helpful to make algorithms ask humans' help for ambiguous predictions.

medical decisions: if the algorithm diagnoses something it would be good to have a doctor double check the results. this is especially important, if the results are with a low certainty. Also the fact, that the misclassification of an algorithm is somethings very different form the on of a human makes it likely, that a human, can spot an error, that the machine would not.

2 Multi-class Logistic Regression

2.1

Derive after w start with

$$y_k(\phi) = p(C_k|\phi) = \frac{\exp(a_k)}{\sum \exp(a_i)} \quad (34)$$

and use quotient rule to derive:

$$\frac{\partial y_k}{\partial \mathbf{w}_j} = \frac{\exp(a_k) \frac{\partial a_k}{\partial \mathbf{w}_j} (\sum \exp(a_i)) - \exp(a_k) \exp(a_j) \frac{\partial a_j}{\partial \mathbf{w}_j}}{(\sum \exp(a_i))^2} \quad (35)$$

$$= \frac{\exp(a_k) \frac{\partial a_k}{\partial \mathbf{w}_j}}{\sum \exp(a_i)} - \frac{\exp(a_k) \exp(a_j) \frac{\partial a_j}{\partial \mathbf{w}_j}}{(\sum \exp(a_i))^2} \quad (36)$$

$$= \frac{\exp(a_k)}{\sum \exp(a_i)} \phi^{\mathbb{I}(i=k)} - \frac{\exp(a_k)}{\sum \exp(a_i)} \frac{\exp(a_j)}{\sum \exp(a_i)} \frac{\partial a_j}{\partial \mathbf{w}_j} \quad (37)$$

now with:

$$\frac{\exp(a_k)}{\sum \exp(a_i)} = y_k(\phi) \quad (38)$$

$$\frac{\exp(a_j)}{\sum \exp(a_i)} = y_j(\phi) \quad (39)$$

we get

$$\frac{\partial y_k}{\partial \mathbf{w}_j} = y_k(\phi) \phi^{\mathbb{I}(j=k)} - y_k(\phi) y_j(\phi) \phi \quad (40)$$

$$= y_k(\phi) (\mathbb{I}(j=k) - y_j(\phi)) \phi \quad (41)$$

2.2

likelihood and log-likelihood

$$p(\mathbf{T}|\mathbf{w}, \phi) = \prod_{n=1}^N \prod_{k=1}^K y_k(\phi_n)^{t_{nk}} \quad (42)$$

log likelihood

$$\ln p(\mathbf{T}|\mathbf{w}, \phi) = \sum_{n=1}^N \sum_{k=1}^K t_{nk} \ln y_k(\phi_n) \quad (43)$$

2.3

Derive the gradient with respect to \mathbf{w}_i

$$\nabla \ln p(\mathbf{T}|\mathbf{w}, \phi) = \sum_{n=1}^N \sum_{k=1}^K \frac{t_{nk}}{y_k(\phi_n)} \frac{\partial y_k}{\partial \mathbf{w}_i} \quad (44)$$

$$= \sum_{n=1}^N \sum_{k=1}^K \frac{t_{nk}}{y_k(\phi_n)} y_k(\phi) (\mathbb{I}(j=k) - y_{nj}(\phi)) \phi \quad (45)$$

$$= \sum_{n=1}^N \sum_{k=1}^K t_{nk} (\mathbb{I}(j=k) - y_{nj}(\phi)) \phi \quad (46)$$

$$= \sum_{n=1}^N (t_{nj} - y_{nj}(\phi)) \phi \quad (47)$$

2.4

What is the objective function we minimize that is equivalent to maximizing the log-likelihood?

The negative logarithm builds the cross-entropy error function as:

$$E(\mathbf{w}) = \sum_{n=1}^N \sum_{k=1}^K -\ln p(\mathbf{t}_n|\mathbf{w}, \phi) \quad (48)$$

$$E(\mathbf{w}) = \sum_{n=1}^N E_D(\mathbf{w}) \quad (49)$$

$$E_D(\mathbf{w}) = -\ln p(\mathbf{t}_n|\mathbf{w}, \phi) \quad (50)$$

This function is later used for the stochastic gradient algorithm as:

$$\nabla E_D(\mathbf{w}) = -(t_{nj} - y_n j(\phi))\phi \quad (51)$$

2.5

stochastic gradient algorithm for logistic regression using this objective function

Algorithm 1 stochastic gradient algorithm for logistic regression

```

1: initialize learning rate  $\eta$ 
2: initialize  $\mathbf{w}^{(0)}$ 
3:
4: for k in K do
5:   while  $\|\mathbf{w}_k^{(\tau-1)} - \mathbf{w}_k^{(\tau)}\| > \varepsilon$  do
6:     randomly select  $(\mathbf{x}_n, t)$ 
7:      $\mathbf{w}_k^{(\tau+1)} = \mathbf{w}_k^{(\tau)} + \eta(t_{nj} - y_j(\phi))\phi$ 

```

2.6

potential weakness of above algorithm and/or suggest a possible improvement upon it

if the given data is not linear separable, the algorithm will not converge. this could be solved by stopping after a given number of iterations I_{max}

Algorithm 2 stochastic gradient algorithm for logistic regression with iteration limits

```

1: initialize learning rate  $\eta$ 
2: initialize  $\mathbf{w}^{(0)}$ 
3: set the maximum number of Iterations to  $I_{max}$ 
4:
5: for k in K do
6:   while  $\|\mathbf{w}^{(\tau-1)} - \mathbf{w}^{(\tau)}\| > \varepsilon$  AND  $\tau < I_{max}$  do
7:     randomly select  $(\mathbf{x}_n, t)$ 
8:      $\mathbf{w}_k^{(\tau+1)} = \mathbf{w}_k^{(\tau)} + \eta(t_{nj} - y_j(\phi))\phi$ 

```
