

Machine Learning 2 - Homework 1

Pascal M. Esser / 11642858

April 10, 2018

Collaborators: Sindy Loewe, Alex Geenen, Gabriele Ce, Linda Petrini, Davide Belli

1

Let $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^n, \mathbf{z} \in \mathbb{R}^n$. This gives us:

$$p(\mathbf{x}) = \mathcal{N}(\mathbf{x}|\boldsymbol{\mu}_x, \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_x) \quad (1)$$

$$= (2\pi)^{-n/2} |\boldsymbol{\Sigma}_x|^{-1/2} \exp \left[\frac{1}{2} (\mathbf{x} - \boldsymbol{\mu}_x)^\top \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_x^{-1} (\mathbf{x} - \boldsymbol{\mu}_x) \right] \quad (2)$$

$$p(\mathbf{z}) = \mathcal{N}(\mathbf{z}|\boldsymbol{\mu}_z, \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_z) \quad (3)$$

$$= (2\pi)^{-n/2} |\boldsymbol{\Sigma}_z|^{-1/2} \exp \left[\frac{1}{2} (\mathbf{z} - \boldsymbol{\mu}_z)^\top \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_z^{-1} (\mathbf{z} - \boldsymbol{\mu}_z) \right] \quad (4)$$

Now we have: $\mathbf{y} = \mathbf{x} + \mathbf{z}$.

Mean

$$\mathbb{E}[\mathbf{y}] = \mathbb{E}[\mathbf{x} + \mathbf{z}] \quad (5)$$

$$= \mathbb{E}[\mathbf{x}] + \mathbb{E}[\mathbf{z}] \quad (6)$$

$$= \boldsymbol{\mu}_x + \boldsymbol{\mu}_z \quad (7)$$

Cov

$$\boldsymbol{\Sigma}_y = \mathbb{E}[(\mathbf{y} - \mathbb{E}[\mathbf{y}])^2] \quad (8)$$

$$= \mathbb{E}[(\mathbf{x} + \mathbf{z} - \mathbb{E}[\mathbf{x} + \mathbf{z}])^2] \quad (9)$$

$$= \mathbb{E}[(\mathbf{x} + \mathbf{z} - \boldsymbol{\mu}_x + \boldsymbol{\mu}_z)^2] \quad (10)$$

$$= \mathbb{E}[\mathbf{x}^2 + \mathbf{z}^2 + 2\mathbf{x}\mathbf{z} - 2\mathbf{x}\boldsymbol{\mu}_x - 2\mathbf{z}\boldsymbol{\mu}_x - 2\mathbf{z}\boldsymbol{\mu}_z + \boldsymbol{\mu}_x^2 + \boldsymbol{\mu}_z^2 + 2\boldsymbol{\mu}_x\boldsymbol{\mu}_z] \quad (11)$$

$$= \mathbb{E}[(\mathbf{x} - \boldsymbol{\mu}_x)^2(\mathbf{z} - \boldsymbol{\mu}_z)^2 + 2(\mathbf{z} - \boldsymbol{\mu}_z)(\mathbf{x} - \boldsymbol{\mu}_x)] \quad (12)$$

$$= \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_x + \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_z + 2\mathbb{E}[(\mathbf{z} - \boldsymbol{\mu}_z)(\mathbf{x} - \boldsymbol{\mu}_x)] \quad (13)$$

$$= \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_x + \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_z + 2Cov[\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{z}] \quad (14)$$

assuming $\mathbf{x} \perp \mathbf{z}$ it follows that $Cov[\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{z}] = 0$ and therefore $\boldsymbol{\Sigma}_y = \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_x + \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_z$.

2

2.1

$$p(\mathbf{x}|\boldsymbol{\mu}, \boldsymbol{\Sigma}) = \prod_{n=1}^N \mathcal{N}(\mathbf{x}_n|\boldsymbol{\mu}, \boldsymbol{\Sigma}) = \prod_{n=1}^N (2\pi)^{-D/2} |\boldsymbol{\Sigma}|^{-1/2} \exp \left[-\frac{1}{2} (\mathbf{x}_n - \boldsymbol{\mu})^\top \boldsymbol{\Sigma}^{-1} (\mathbf{x}_n - \boldsymbol{\mu}) \right] \quad (15)$$

2.2

$$p(\boldsymbol{\mu}|\boldsymbol{\chi}, \boldsymbol{\Sigma}, \boldsymbol{\mu}_0, \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_0) = \frac{p(\boldsymbol{\chi}|\boldsymbol{\mu}, \boldsymbol{\Sigma})p(\boldsymbol{\mu}|\boldsymbol{\mu}_0, \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_0)}{p(\boldsymbol{\chi}|\boldsymbol{\Sigma}, \boldsymbol{\mu}_0, \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_0)} \quad (16)$$

$$= \frac{p(\boldsymbol{\chi}|\boldsymbol{\mu}, \boldsymbol{\Sigma})p(\boldsymbol{\mu}|\boldsymbol{\mu}_0, \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_0)}{\int p(\boldsymbol{\chi}|\boldsymbol{\mu}', \boldsymbol{\Sigma})p(\boldsymbol{\mu}'|\boldsymbol{\mu}_0, \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_0)d\boldsymbol{\mu}'} \quad (17)$$

$$\text{where } \int p(\boldsymbol{\chi}|\boldsymbol{\mu}', \boldsymbol{\Sigma})p(\boldsymbol{\mu}'|\boldsymbol{\mu}_0, \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_0)d\boldsymbol{\mu}' \text{ is independent of } \boldsymbol{\mu} \quad (18)$$

$$\propto p(\boldsymbol{\chi}|\boldsymbol{\mu}, \boldsymbol{\Sigma})p(\boldsymbol{\mu}|\boldsymbol{\mu}_0, \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_0) \quad (19)$$

$$= \prod_{n=1}^N (2\pi)^{-D/2} |\boldsymbol{\Sigma}|^{-1/2} \exp \left[-\frac{1}{2} (\mathbf{x}_n - \boldsymbol{\mu})^\top \boldsymbol{\Sigma}^{-1} (\mathbf{x}_n - \boldsymbol{\mu}) \right] \quad (20)$$

$$* (2\pi)^{-D/2} |\boldsymbol{\Sigma}|^{-1/2} \exp \left[-\frac{1}{2} (\boldsymbol{\mu} - \boldsymbol{\mu}_0)^\top \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_0^{-1} (\boldsymbol{\mu} - \boldsymbol{\mu}_0) \right] \quad (21)$$

2.3

starting from the results from 2.2:

$$p(\boldsymbol{\mu}|\boldsymbol{\chi}, \boldsymbol{\Sigma}, \boldsymbol{\mu}_0, \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_0) = \prod_{n=1}^N (2\pi)^{-D/2} |\boldsymbol{\Sigma}|^{-1/2} \exp \left[-\frac{1}{2} (\mathbf{x}_n - \boldsymbol{\mu})^\top \boldsymbol{\Sigma}^{-1} (\mathbf{x}_n - \boldsymbol{\mu}) \right] \quad (22)$$

$$* (2\pi)^{-D/2} |\boldsymbol{\Sigma}|^{-1/2} \exp \left[-\frac{1}{2} (\boldsymbol{\mu} - \boldsymbol{\mu}_0)^\top \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_0^{-1} (\boldsymbol{\mu} - \boldsymbol{\mu}_0) \right] \quad (23)$$

$$= (2\pi)^{-(ND-D)/2} * |\boldsymbol{\Sigma}^N \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_0|^{-1/2} \exp \left[-\frac{1}{2} ((\boldsymbol{\mu} - \boldsymbol{\mu}_0)^\top \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_0^{-1} (\boldsymbol{\mu} - \boldsymbol{\mu}_0) + (\mathbf{x}_n - \boldsymbol{\mu})^\top \boldsymbol{\Sigma}^{-1} (\mathbf{x}_n - \boldsymbol{\mu})) \right] \quad (24)$$

$$\text{set } C_1 \equiv (2\pi)^{-(ND-D)/2} \quad (25)$$

$$\text{set } \mathbf{K}_1 \equiv -\frac{1}{2} ((\boldsymbol{\mu} - \boldsymbol{\mu}_0)^\top \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_0^{-1} (\boldsymbol{\mu} - \boldsymbol{\mu}_0) + (\mathbf{x}_n - \boldsymbol{\mu})^\top \boldsymbol{\Sigma}^{-1} (\mathbf{x}_n - \boldsymbol{\mu})) \quad (26)$$

$$p(\boldsymbol{\mu}|\boldsymbol{\chi}, \boldsymbol{\Sigma}, \boldsymbol{\mu}_0, \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_0) = C_1 |\boldsymbol{\Sigma}^N \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_0|^{-1/2} \exp [\mathbf{K}_1] \quad (27)$$

$$(28)$$

We can now expand and rewrite \mathbf{K}_1 under the use of $\mathbf{a}_1^\top \Sigma \mathbf{a}_2 = \mathbf{a}_2^\top \Sigma \mathbf{a}_1$ as Σ is symmetric.

$$\mathbf{K}_1 = -\frac{1}{2} ((\boldsymbol{\mu} - \boldsymbol{\mu}_0)^\top \Sigma_0^{-1} (\boldsymbol{\mu} - \boldsymbol{\mu}_0) + (\mathbf{x}_n - \boldsymbol{\mu})^\top \Sigma^{-1} (\mathbf{x}_n - \boldsymbol{\mu})) \quad (29)$$

$$= -\frac{1}{2} \left(\boldsymbol{\mu}^\top \Sigma_0^{-1} \boldsymbol{\mu} - \boldsymbol{\mu}^\top \Sigma_0^{-1} \boldsymbol{\mu}_0 - \boldsymbol{\mu}_0^\top \Sigma_0^{-1} \boldsymbol{\mu} + \boldsymbol{\mu}_0^\top \Sigma_0^{-1} \boldsymbol{\mu}_0 + \sum_{n=1}^N (\mathbf{x}_n^\top \Sigma^{-1} \mathbf{x}_n - \mathbf{x}_n^\top \Sigma^{-1} \boldsymbol{\mu} - \boldsymbol{\mu}^\top \Sigma^{-1} \mathbf{x}_n + \boldsymbol{\mu}^\top \Sigma^{-1} \boldsymbol{\mu}) \right) \quad (30)$$

$$= -\frac{1}{2} \left(\boldsymbol{\mu}^\top \Sigma_0^{-1} \boldsymbol{\mu} - 2\boldsymbol{\mu}^\top \Sigma_0^{-1} \boldsymbol{\mu}_0 + \boldsymbol{\mu}_0^\top \Sigma_0^{-1} \boldsymbol{\mu}_0 + \sum_{n=1}^N (\mathbf{x}_n^\top \Sigma^{-1} \mathbf{x}_n - 2\mathbf{x}_n^\top \Sigma^{-1} \boldsymbol{\mu} + \boldsymbol{\mu}^\top \Sigma^{-1} \boldsymbol{\mu}) \right) \quad (31)$$

$$= -\frac{1}{2} \left(\boldsymbol{\mu}^\top \Sigma_0^{-1} \boldsymbol{\mu} - 2\boldsymbol{\mu}^\top \Sigma_0^{-1} \boldsymbol{\mu}_0 + \boldsymbol{\mu}_0^\top \Sigma_0^{-1} \boldsymbol{\mu}_0 + \sum_{n=1}^N \mathbf{x}_n^\top \Sigma^{-1} \mathbf{x}_n - 2 \sum_{n=1}^N \mathbf{x}_n^\top \Sigma^{-1} \boldsymbol{\mu} + \boldsymbol{\mu}^\top \Sigma^{-1} \boldsymbol{\mu} \right) \quad (32)$$

$$\text{set: } \mathbf{C}_2 = \sum_{n=1}^N \mathbf{x}_n^\top \Sigma^{-1} \mathbf{x}_n + \boldsymbol{\mu}_0^\top \Sigma_0^{-1} \boldsymbol{\mu}_0 \quad (33)$$

$$= -\frac{1}{2} \left(\mathbf{C}_2 - 2\boldsymbol{\mu}^\top \left(\Sigma^{-1} \sum_{n=1}^N \mathbf{x}_n + \Sigma_0^{-1} \boldsymbol{\mu}_0 \right) + \boldsymbol{\mu}^\top (N\Sigma^{-1} + \Sigma_0^{-1}) \boldsymbol{\mu} \right) \quad (34)$$

With this we can now write:

$$p(\boldsymbol{\mu} | \boldsymbol{\chi}, \Sigma, \boldsymbol{\mu}_0, \Sigma_0) = C_1 |\Sigma^N \Sigma_0|^{-1/2} \exp \left[-\frac{1}{2} \left(\mathbf{C}_2 - 2\boldsymbol{\mu}^\top \left(\Sigma^{-1} \sum_{n=1}^N \mathbf{x}_n + \Sigma_0^{-1} \boldsymbol{\mu}_0 \right) + \boldsymbol{\mu}^\top (N\Sigma^{-1} + \Sigma_0^{-1}) \boldsymbol{\mu} \right) \right] \quad (35)$$

$$\propto |\Sigma^N \Sigma_0|^{-1/2} \exp [-2\boldsymbol{\mu}^\top \mathbf{K}_2 + \boldsymbol{\mu}^\top \mathbf{K}_3 \boldsymbol{\mu}] \quad (36)$$

$$\text{with } \mathbf{K}_2 = \Sigma^{-1} \sum_{n=1}^N \mathbf{x}_n + \Sigma_0^{-1} \boldsymbol{\mu}_0 \quad (37)$$

$$\text{with } \mathbf{K}_3 = N\Sigma^{-1} + \Sigma_0^{-1} \quad (38)$$

This gives us the proof that $p(\boldsymbol{\mu} | \boldsymbol{\chi}, \Sigma, \boldsymbol{\mu}_0, \Sigma_0)$ is in a Gaussian as the form displayed above consists of a constant term (C_1) a variance term ($|\Sigma^N \Sigma_0|^{-1/2}$) and in the exponent again a constant term in addition to a term linear in $\boldsymbol{\mu}$: $\Sigma^{-1} \sum_{n=1}^N \mathbf{x}_n + \Sigma_0^{-1} \boldsymbol{\mu}_0$ and a term quadratic in $\boldsymbol{\mu}$: $N\Sigma^{-1} + \Sigma_0^{-1}$.

In the next step we calculate mean and variance. Let $K_4 = -2\mu^\top K_2 + \mu^\top K_3 \mu$

$$K_4 = -2\mu^\top K_2 + \mu^\top K_3 \mu \quad (39)$$

$$= -\mu^\top K_2 - \mu^\top K_2 + \mu^\top K_3 \mu \quad (40)$$

$$= -\mu^\top K_3(\mu - K_3^{-1}K_2) - \mu \quad (41)$$

$$= \mu^\top K_3(\mu - K_3^{-1}K_2) - \mu^\top K_2 \quad (42)$$

$$= \mu^\top K_3(\mu - K_3^{-1}K_2) - K_2^\top \mu \quad (43)$$

$$\text{add } -K_2^\top K_3^{-1}K_2 + K_2^\top K_3^{-1}K_2 \quad (44)$$

$$= \mu^\top K_3(\mu - K_3^{-1}K_2) - K_2^\top K_3^{-1}K_2 + K_2^\top K_3^{-1}K_2 - K_2^\top \mu \quad (45)$$

$$= \mu^\top K_3(\mu - K_3^{-1}K_2) - K_2^\top K_3^{-1}K_2 - K_2^\top (K_3^{-1}K_2 - \mu) \quad (46)$$

$$\text{add } K_3 K_3^{-1} \text{ and omit } -K_2^\top K_3^{-1}K_2 \text{ as a constant} \quad (47)$$

$$\propto \mu^\top K_3(\mu - K_3^{-1}K_2) - K_2^\top K_3 K_3^{-1} (K_3^{-1}K_2 - \mu) \quad (48)$$

$$= \mu^\top K_3 \mu - \mu^\top K_3 K_3^{-1}K_2 - K_2^\top K_3^{-1}K_3 K_3^{-1} + K_2^\top K_3^{-1}K_3 \mu \quad (49)$$

$$= (\mu^\top - K_2^\top K_3^{-1}) K_3 (\mu^\top - K_3^{-1}K_2) \quad (50)$$

$$= (\mu^\top - K_3^{-1}K_2) K_3 (\mu^\top - K_3^{-1}K_2) \quad (51)$$

which gives us by substituting back:

$$\Sigma_N = K_3^{-1} = (N\Sigma^{-1} + \Sigma_0^{-1})^{-1} \quad (52)$$

$$\mu_N = K_3^{-1}K_2 = \Sigma_N(\Sigma^{-1} \sum_{n=1}^N x_n + \Sigma_0^{-1}\mu_0) \quad (53)$$

2.4

$$\log p(\mu|\chi, \Sigma, \mu_0, \Sigma_0) \propto \log \exp \left[-\frac{1}{2}(\mu - \mu_N)^\top \Sigma_N^{-1}(\mu - \mu_N) \right] \quad (54)$$

$$\propto \mu^\top \Sigma_N^{-1} \mu - \mu^\top \Sigma_N^{-1} \mu_N + \mu_N^\top \Sigma_N^{-1} \mu_N \quad (55)$$

$$\frac{\partial \log p(\mu|\chi, \Sigma, \mu_0, \Sigma_0)}{\partial \mu} = \Sigma_N^{-1} \mu - \Sigma_N^{-1} \mu_N \quad (56)$$

$$= 0 \quad (57)$$

$$\Rightarrow \Sigma_N^{-1} \mu = \Sigma_N^{-1} \mu_N \quad (58)$$

$$\Rightarrow \mu = \mu_N \quad (59)$$

3

3.1

Setting:

$$m = \sum_{n=1}^N x_n \quad (60)$$

$$l = \sum_{n=1}^N 1 - x_n \quad (61)$$

$$\chi = (x_1, x_2, x_3)^\top \quad (62)$$

Calculating the MLE for Bernoulli:

$$\mathcal{L}(\chi|\mu, m, l) = \prod_{n=1}^N \mu^{x_n} (1 - x_n)^{1-x_n} \quad (63)$$

$$\log(\mathcal{L}(\chi|\mu, m, l)) = \sum_{n=1}^N x_n \log \mu - (1 - x_n) \log(1 - \mu) \quad (64)$$

$$\frac{\partial \log(\mathcal{L}(\chi|\mu, m, l))}{\partial \mu} = \frac{1}{\mu} \sum_{n=1}^N x_n - \frac{1}{1 - \mu} = 0 \quad (65)$$

$$\Rightarrow \frac{m}{\mu} = \frac{N - m}{1 - \mu} \quad (66)$$

$$\Rightarrow \mu_{MLE} = \frac{m}{m + l} = \frac{1}{n} \sum x_n \quad (67)$$

for the given coin toss setting this give $\mu_{MLE} = 3/(3 + 0) = 1$.

3.2

$$p(\mu|\chi, a, b) = \frac{p(\chi|\mu)p(\mu|a, b)}{\int p(\chi|\mu')p(\mu'|a, b)d\mu'} \quad (68)$$

$$\propto p(\chi|\mu)p(\mu|a, b) \quad (69)$$

$$\propto \mu^{m+a-1}(1 - \mu)^{l+b-1} \quad (70)$$

$$\log p(\mu|\chi, a, b) = (m + a - 1) \log \mu - (l + b - 1) \log(1 - \mu) \quad (71)$$

$$\frac{\partial \log p(\mu|\chi, a, b)}{\partial \mu} = \frac{m + a - 1}{\mu} - \frac{l + b - 1}{1 - \mu} \quad (72)$$

$$= 0 \quad (73)$$

$$\Rightarrow \mu_{MAP} = \frac{m + a - 1}{m + l + a + b - 2} \quad (74)$$

$$p(x = 1|\chi) = \int_0^1 p(x = 1|\mu)p(\mu|\chi)d\mu \quad (75)$$

$$= \mathbb{E}[\mu|\chi] \quad (76)$$

$$\text{with } \mathbb{E}[\mu] = \frac{a}{a + b} \quad (77)$$

$$p(x = 1|\chi) = \frac{m + a}{m + a + l + b} \quad (78)$$

for the given coin toss setting this give $p(x = 1|\chi) = \frac{3+a}{3+a+b}$

3.3

As a starting point we use the results from 3.1 and 3.2. We can now rewrite $p(x = 1|\chi)$ as

$$p(x = 1|\chi) = \frac{m + a}{m + a + l + b} \quad (79)$$

$$= \frac{m}{m + a + l + b} + \frac{a}{m + a + l + b} \quad (80)$$

$$= \frac{m + l}{m + a + l + b} \left(\frac{m}{m + l} \right) + \frac{a + b}{m + a + l + b} \left(\frac{a}{a + b} \right) \quad (81)$$

$$(82)$$

with $\frac{m+l}{m+a+l+b} \equiv 1 - \lambda$ and $\frac{a+b}{m+a+l+b} \equiv (\lambda)$ we get the decomposition we wanted to proof: $\lambda \mathbb{E}[\mu|\chi] + (1 - \lambda)\mu_{MLE} = p(x = 1|\chi)$. (with $\lambda \in [0, 1]$)

4

4.1

$$Pois(k|\lambda) = \frac{\lambda^k e^{-\lambda}}{k!} \quad (83)$$

$$= \frac{1}{k!} \exp[k \log \lambda - \lambda] \quad (84)$$

$$h(k) = \frac{1}{k!} \quad (85)$$

$$\eta = \log \lambda \quad (86)$$

$$g(\eta) = \exp(-e^\eta) \quad (87)$$

$$u(K) = k \quad (88)$$

$$Gam(\tau|a, b) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(a)} b^a \tau^{a-1} e^{-b\tau} \quad (89)$$

$$= \frac{b^a}{\Gamma(a)} \tau^{-1} \exp[a \log \tau - b\tau] \quad (90)$$

$$h(\tau) = \frac{1}{\tau} \quad (91)$$

$$\eta = (a, b)^\top \quad (92)$$

$$g(\eta) = \frac{\eta_2^{\eta_1}}{\Gamma(\eta_1)} \quad (93)$$

$$u(\eta) = (\log \tau, -\tau)^\top \quad (94)$$

The Cauchy distribution can not be but into an exponential family form as the sum $(1 + \left(\frac{x-\mu}{\gamma}\right)^2)$ cannot be factorized as it would be necessary.

$$vonMise(x|k, \mu) = \frac{1}{2\pi I_0(k)} e^{k \cos(x-\mu)} \quad (95)$$

$$= \frac{1}{2\pi I_0(k)} e^{k(\cos x \cos \mu + \sin x \sin \mu)} \quad (96)$$

$$= \frac{1}{2\pi I_0(k)} e^{(\cos x, \sin x)(k \cos \mu, k \sin \mu)^\top} \quad (97)$$

$$h(x) = 1 \quad (98)$$

$$\eta = (k \cos \mu, k \sin \mu)^\top \quad (99)$$

$$\Rightarrow k = \sqrt{\eta_1^2 + \eta_2^2} \quad (100)$$

$$g(\eta) = \frac{1}{2\pi I_0(\sqrt{\eta_1^2 + \eta_2^2})} \quad (101)$$

4.2

For the first momentum of the Poisson we get

$$\mathbb{E}[k] = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} k e^{-\lambda} \frac{\lambda^k}{k!} \quad (102)$$

$$= \lambda e^{\lambda(e^0 - 1) + 0} \quad (103)$$

$$= \lambda \quad (104)$$

Calculating the second momentum:

$$\mathbb{E}[k^2] = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} 2k e^{-\lambda} \frac{\lambda^k}{k!} \quad (105)$$

$$= \lambda \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} (k) e^{-\lambda} \frac{\lambda^{k-1}}{(k-1)!} \quad (106)$$

$$= \lambda \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} (k+1) e^{-\lambda} \frac{\lambda^k}{(k)!} \quad (107)$$

$$= \mathbb{E}[k+1] \quad (108)$$

$$= \lambda(\mathbb{E}[k] + 1) \quad (109)$$

$$= \lambda^2 + \lambda \quad (110)$$

this gives us a mean of $\mathbb{E}[k] = \lambda$ and a variance of $\mathbb{E}[k^2] - [\mathbb{E}[k]]^2 = \lambda^2 + \lambda - \lambda^2 = \lambda$

First momentum of the gamma

$$\mathbb{E}[\tau] = \int_0^{\infty} \tau \frac{b^a}{\Gamma(a)} \tau^{a-1} e^{-b\tau} d\tau \quad (111)$$

$$= \frac{b^a}{\Gamma(a)} \int_0^{\infty} \tau^a e^{-b\tau} d\tau \quad (112)$$

$$= \frac{\Gamma(a+1)}{b\Gamma(a)} \int_0^{\infty} \frac{b^{a+1}}{\Gamma(a)} \tau^a e^{-b\tau} d\tau \quad (113)$$

$$= \frac{\Gamma(a+1)}{b\Gamma(a)} \quad (114)$$

$$= \frac{a\Gamma(a)}{b\Gamma(a)} \quad (115)$$

$$= \frac{a}{b} \quad (116)$$

Second momentum of the gamma:

$$\mathbb{E}[\tau^2] = \int_0^\infty \tau^2 \frac{b^a}{\Gamma(a)} \tau^{a-1} e^{-b\tau} d\tau \quad (117)$$

$$= \frac{b^a}{\Gamma(a)} \int_0^\infty \tau^{a+1} e^{-b\tau} d\tau \quad (118)$$

$$= \frac{\Gamma(a+2)}{b^2 \Gamma(a)} \int_0^\infty \frac{b^{a+1}}{\Gamma(a+1)} \tau^{a+1} e^{-b\tau} d\tau \quad (119)$$

$$= \frac{\Gamma(a+2)}{b^2 \Gamma(a)} \quad (120)$$

$$= \frac{(a+1)\Gamma(a+1)}{b\Gamma(a)} \quad (121)$$

$$= \frac{(a+1)!}{b^2(a-1)!} \quad (122)$$

$$= \frac{(a+1)a}{b^2} \quad (123)$$

this gives us a mean of $\mathbb{E}[\tau] = \frac{a}{b}$ and a variance of $\mathbb{E}[\tau^2] - [\mathbb{E}[\tau]]^2 = \frac{(a+1)a}{b^2} - \left(\frac{a}{b}\right)^2 = \frac{a}{b^2}$

4.3

we start from the exponential family form of the Poisson distribution:

$$p(k|\lambda) = \exp[-\lambda] \frac{1}{k!} \exp[k \log \lambda] \quad (124)$$

this has the prior form (equation taken from the lecture):

$$p(\lambda) \propto \exp[-\lambda]^a \exp[b \log \lambda] \quad (125)$$

$$\propto \lambda^b e^{-a\lambda} \quad (126)$$

This gives us the conjugate prior and we see it matches the core of the Gamma exponential family. More specifically: $\lambda \sim \text{Gam}(\alpha, \beta)$ with $\alpha = b + 1, \beta = a$.

5

Starting from Bishop eq 2.161 we get the mean as:

$$St(\mathbf{x}|\boldsymbol{\mu}, \boldsymbol{\Lambda}, \mathbf{v}) = \int_0^\infty \mathcal{N}(\mathbf{x}|\boldsymbol{\mu}, (\eta\boldsymbol{\Lambda})^{-1}) \text{Gam}(\eta|v/2, v/2) d\eta \quad (127)$$

$$\mathbb{E}[x] = \int x \int_0^\infty \mathcal{N}(\mathbf{x}|\boldsymbol{\mu}, (\eta\boldsymbol{\Lambda})^{-1}) \text{Gam}(\eta|v/2, v/2) dx d\eta \quad (128)$$

$$= \int \int_0^\infty x \mathcal{N}(\mathbf{x}|\boldsymbol{\mu}, (\eta\boldsymbol{\Lambda})^{-1}) \text{Gam}(\eta|v/2, v/2) d\eta dx \quad (129)$$

$$= \int_0^\infty \boldsymbol{\mu} \text{Gam}(\eta|v/2, v/2) d\eta \quad (130)$$

$$= \boldsymbol{\mu} \int_0^\infty \text{Gam}(\eta|v/2, v/2) d\eta \quad (131)$$

$$= \boldsymbol{\mu} \quad (132)$$

for $v > 2$ we can calculate the covariance as:

$$\text{Cov}[x] = \mathbb{E}[(\mathbf{x} - \boldsymbol{\mu})(\mathbf{x} - \boldsymbol{\mu})^\top] \quad (133)$$

$$= \int (\mathbf{x} - \boldsymbol{\mu})(\mathbf{x} - \boldsymbol{\mu})^\top \int_0^\infty \mathcal{N}(\mathbf{x}|\boldsymbol{\mu}, (\eta\boldsymbol{\Lambda})^{-1}) \text{Gam}(\eta|v/2, v/2) d\eta dx \quad (134)$$

$$= \int \int_0^\infty (\mathbf{x} - \boldsymbol{\mu})(\mathbf{x} - \boldsymbol{\mu})^\top \mathcal{N}(\mathbf{x}|\boldsymbol{\mu}, (\eta\boldsymbol{\Lambda})^{-1}) \text{Gam}(\eta|v/2, v/2) dx d\eta \quad (135)$$

$$= \int_0^\infty (\eta\boldsymbol{\Lambda})^{-1} \text{Gam}(\eta|v/2, v/2) dx d\eta \quad (136)$$

$$= \Lambda^{-1} \int_0^\infty \frac{1}{\eta} \frac{(v/2)^{v/2}}{\Gamma(v/2)} \eta^{v/2-1} \exp[-(v/2)\eta] d\eta \quad (137)$$

$$= \Lambda^{-1} \frac{v/2}{v/2-1} \int_0^\infty \frac{(v/2)^{v/2-1}}{\Gamma(v/2-1)} \eta^{v/2-2} \exp[-(v/2)\eta] d\eta \quad (138)$$

$$= \Lambda^{-1} \frac{(v/2)}{v/2-1} \quad (139)$$

$$= \Lambda^{-1} \frac{v}{v-2} \quad (140)$$

$$(141)$$

For the mode we look at bishop 2.162

$$St(\mathbf{x}|\boldsymbol{\mu}, \boldsymbol{\Lambda}, \mathbf{v}) = \frac{\Gamma(D/2 + v/2)}{\Gamma(v/2)} \frac{|\Lambda|^{1/2}}{(\pi v)^{D/2}} \left[1 + \frac{\Delta^2}{v} \right]^{-D/2-v/2} \quad (142)$$

and as the probability density function is monotonically decreasing in Δ^2 , with $\Delta^2 = (\mathbf{x} - \boldsymbol{\mu})^\top \boldsymbol{\Lambda} (\mathbf{x} - \boldsymbol{\mu})$ we get $mode[x] = \boldsymbol{\mu}$ as the distance goes to zero.