

Dear Editor,

Thank you for the quick first review of our paper. We have performed the required changes, as follows:

*1) please use a mathematically correct notation for the equations and avoid variable names such as CRPS which means C times R times .... use subscripts instead*

Equations were corrected (and one was removed), and the variable names were changed in the equations, tables, figures, and text.

*2) the structure is very confusing. Please separate methods, results and discussion so that it is easier to see for your readers what you actually did.*

An effort was made to make the structure more readable. However, we do not see how the whole structure can be totally rearranged, as this study is composed of multiple analyses of 1 main change to the analogue method (the moving temporal window). So there is globally 1 method (Sect. 2), which is the MTW, and the analyses of different aspects of its impacts (Sect. 3 - where the analyses and the discussions are packed together, as the user would get lost to discuss them altogether at the end). Finally, Sect. 4 is a supplementary analysis in order to apply the MTW to the whole archive.

We hope you'll be satisfied by our changes.

Best regards,

Pascal Horton