

Homework Assignments Applied Logistic Regression

Week 2

Exercise 2:

Use the ICU study (icu.dta)

Using the results of the output from the logistic regression package used for exercise 2 part (D) of week one, assess the significance of the slope coefficient for AGE using the likelihood ratio test and the Wald test. What assumptions are needed for the p-values computed for each of these tests to be valid? Are the results of these tests consistent with one another? What is the value of the deviance for the fitted model?

```
. logit STA AGE
```

Iteration 0:	log likelihood = -100.08048	Likelihood of Constants only Model
Iteration 1:	log likelihood = -96.288372	
Iteration 2:	log likelihood = -96.153701	
Iteration 3:	log likelihood = -96.15319	Likelihood of Full Model

Logit estimates	Number of obs	=	200
	LR chi2(1)	=	7.85
	Prob > chi2	=	0.0051
Log likelihood = -96.15319	Pseudo R2	=	0.0392

STA	Coef.	Std. Err.	z	P> z	[95% Conf. Interval]
AGE	.0275426	.0105645	2.607	0.009	.0068366 .0482487
_cons	-3.058513	.6961091	-4.394	0.000	-4.422862 -1.694165

The Likelihood Ratio Test in STATA

```
. estimates store A This saves the test results for the 'full' model in A
```

```
. quietly logit STA This Estimates a simpler model without AGE
```

```
. lrtest A . LR test of the last model fit versus model A
```

likelihood-ratio test	LR chi2(1)	=	7.85
(Assumption: . nested in A)	Prob > chi2	=	0.0051


```
. logistic STA AGE
```

Logit estimates	Number of obs	=	200
	LR chi2(1)	=	7.85
	Prob > chi2	=	0.0051
Log likelihood = -96.15319	Pseudo R2	=	0.0392

STA	Odds Ratio	Std. Err.	z	P> z	[95% Conf. Interval]
AGE	1.027925	.0108595	2.607	0.009	1.00686 1.049432

Deviance:

$$D = -2 \ln \left[\frac{(\text{likelihood of the current model})}{(\text{likelihood of the saturated model})} \right]$$

$$D = -2(-96.15319)$$

$$D = 192.30638$$

Likelihood Ratio Test:

$$H_0 : \beta_1 = 0$$

$$H_A : \beta_1 \neq 0$$

$$G = D(\text{model without variable}) - D(\text{model with variable})$$

$$G = 200.16 - 192.31$$

$$G = 7.85$$

$$G \sim \chi^2(1)$$

$$p = 0.0051$$

\therefore reject H_0 , it is not consistent with the data that $\beta_1 = 0$; we conclude that AGE is a significant predictor of STA.

Assumption: the statistic G will follow a χ^2 distribution with 1 degree of freedom under the null hypothesis.

Wald Test:

$$H_0 : \beta_1 = 0$$

$$H_A : \beta_1 \neq 0$$

$$W = \frac{\hat{\beta}_1}{SE(\hat{\beta}_1)} = 2.607$$

$$W \sim N(0,1)$$

$$p = 0.009$$

\therefore reject H_0 , it is not consistent with the data that $\beta_1 = 0$; we conclude that AGE is a significant predictor of STA.

Assumption:

The Wald statistic will follow a normal distribution with mean 0 and variance 1.

The results of the likelihood ratio test and the Wald test are consistent. Each test indicates that the model is significant.

The value of the deviance for the fitted model is $D = 192.31$.