

FIG. 4. 95% confidence limits for the production cross section of narrow mass pp states.

enhanced production expected in  $\pi^+p$  reactions. Figure 4 indicates the 95%-confidence-level cross-section limit over our mass range. <sup>11</sup>

In summary, we find no evidence for production of narrow  $\bar{p}p$  states produced in  $\pi^+p \to \Delta_f^{++}\bar{p}p$ . In particular, we are unable to confirm the existence of states at 2.02 and 2.20 GeV/ $c^2$  reported in  $\pi^-p \to \Delta_f^{~0}\bar{p}p$ . Those states would appear as >5-standard-deviation effects in our experiment.

The authors would like to thank the staffs of the Brookhaven National Laboratory alternating-gradient synchrotron, the MPS facility, On-Line Data Facility, Central Scientific Computing Facility, and the technical staff at Carnegie-Mellon University. In addition, we are grateful to G. Bunce and G. Guinther for their help during the data-taking phase of this experiment. This

work was supported in part by the U. S. Department of Energy and the National Science Foundation.

(a)Present address: Technion University, Haifa, Israel.

<sup>1</sup>P. Benkheiri *et al.*, Phys. Lett. <u>68B</u>, 483 (1977). <sup>2</sup>R. Jaffe, Phys. Rev. D <u>17</u>, 1444 (1978); I. S. Shapiro, Sov. Phys. Usp. <u>21</u>, 645 (1978) [Usp. Fiz. Nauk <u>125</u>, 577-630 (1978)].

<sup>3</sup>N. A. Stein *et al.*, Phys. Rev. Lett. <u>39</u>, 378 (1977). <sup>4</sup>E. D. Platner, IEEE Trans. Nucl. <u>Sci.</u> <u>24</u>, 225 (1977).

 $^5$ L. Montanet, in *Experimental Meson Spectroscopy* 1977, edited by E. Von Goeler and R. Weinstein (Northeastern University Press, Boston, Mass., 1977), p. 281, shows the  $p_{f\pi}$  mass spectrum observed by the authors of Ref. 1.

<sup>6</sup>K. J. Foley *et al.*, Phys. Rev. Lett. <u>11</u>, 503 (1963); O. Maddock *et al.*, Nuovo Cimento A5, 433 (1971).

<sup>7</sup>C. N. Kennedy et al., Phys. Rev. D <u>16</u>, 2083 (1977); A. W. Key et al., in Proceedings of the Fourth European Antiproton Symposium, edited by A. Fridman (Editions du CNRS, Strasbourg, 1978), Vol. I, p. 611.

<sup>8</sup>N. Sharfman, Ph.D. thesis, Carnegie-Mellon University, 1979 (unpublished).

<sup>9</sup>A. Ferrer et al., Nucl. Phys. B142, 251 (1978).

 $^{10}$ P. Benkheiri & al., Phys. Lett. 81B, 380 (1979); see also M. R. Pennington, CERN Report No. CERN/EP/PHYS 78-44 (unpublished).

 $^{11}$ C. Cline *et al.*, Phys. Rev. Lett. <u>43</u>, 1771 (1979), have established a 4-standard-deviation limit on the production of narrow  $\bar{p}p$  states in Reaction (1) at 11.46 GeV/c of 150 nb for  $\bar{p}p$  masses < 3 GeV/ $c^2$ .

## Neutrino Mass and Spontaneous Parity Nonconservation

Rabindra N. Mohapatra

Department of Physics, City College of New York, New York, New York, 10031

and

## Goran Senjanović

Department of Physics and Astronomy, University of Maryland, College Park, Maryland 20742 (Received 10 December 1979)

In weak-interaction models with spontaneous parity nonconservation, based on the gauge group  $\mathrm{SU}(2)_L \otimes \mathrm{SU}(2)_R \otimes \mathrm{U}(1)$ , we obtain the following formula for the neutrino mass:  $m_{\nu_e} \simeq m_e^{-2}/g m_{W_R}$ , where  $W_R$  is the gauge boson which mediates right-handed weak interactions. This formula, valid for each lepton generation, relates the maximality of observed parity nonconservation at low energies to the smallness of neutrino masses.

PACS numbers: 11.30.Er, 11.30.Ly, 12.30.Ez, 14.60.Gh.

It is attractive to suppose that observed parity nonconservation in weak interactions is only a low-energy phenomenon, which ought to disappear at high energies. This idea has been implemented in unified gauge theories of electroweak interactions based on the gauge group  $SU(2)_L \otimes SU(2)_R$ 

 $\otimes$  U(1), where parity nonconservation arises from the spontaneous breaking of the gauge symmetry. The suppression of the right-handed weak currents in this model owes its origin to the large mass of the right-handed gauge bosons. As far as the structure of the neutrino neutral-current interactions<sup>2</sup> and the parity-nonconserving electron-hadron weak interactions<sup>3</sup> are concerned, this model is indistinguishable from the standard  $SU(2)_L \otimes U(1)$  model<sup>4</sup> at the present level of experimental accuracy. There exists, however, a fundamental distinction between the left-rightsymmetric models and the pure left-handed  $SU(2)_L \otimes U(1)$  models: In the former the neutrino has an arbitrary but finite mass, whereas in the latter it is massless. It is therefore important to understand the smallness of the neutrino mass in left-right symmetric models. Furthermore, it is very suggestive in the context of these models that there may be a connection between the smallness of the neutrino mass and the suppression of the right-handed weak interactions. In this Letter, we propose a model of spontaneous parity nonconservation based on the  $SU(2)_L \otimes SU(2)_R$  $\otimes$  U(1) gauge group, where this connection is brought out explicitly. We obtain the following estimate that relates the neutrino mass to the mass of the right-handed gauge bosons (see below for the detailed nature of the approximations):

$$m_{\nu_e} \simeq m_e^2 / g m_{\mathbf{W}_P}. \tag{1}$$

A similar formula holds for leptons in each generation. This formula is very illuminating in the sense that, in the limit of  $m_{W_R} \rightarrow \infty$ , the neutrino mass goes to zero and we have at the same time a pure V-A theory of weak interactions.

We now proceed to derive Eq. (1) for one generation of leptons and repeat the same procedure for each generation. The main new ingredient of our proposal is that we start with two Majorana<sup>5,6</sup> neutrinos  $\nu$  and N and choose the left- and right-handed lepton multiplets prior to spontaneous breakdown to be

$$\psi_L = \begin{pmatrix} \nu_L \\ e_L \end{pmatrix}, \quad \psi_R = \begin{pmatrix} N_R \\ e_R \end{pmatrix}, \tag{2}$$

with  $\mathrm{SU(2)}_L\otimes\mathrm{SU(2)}_R\otimes\mathrm{U(1)}$  representation numbers  $(\frac{1}{2},0,-1)$  and  $(0,\frac{1}{2},-1)$ , respectively. The quarks are assigned to left-right doublets as before. We impose the left-right symmetry on the Lagrangian; under this symmetry  $\psi_L \to \psi_R$  and this demands that at the tree level,  $g_L = g_R$ . We now introduce the Higgs multiplets to break the gauge symmetry down to  $\mathrm{U(1)}_{\mathrm{em}}$ :  $\varphi$  transforms

as the  $(\frac{1}{2},\frac{1}{2},0)$  representation of the gauge group;  $\Delta_L \equiv (1,0,2)$  and  $\Delta_R \equiv (0,1,2)$ . Under left-right discrete symmetry  $\varphi \mapsto \varphi^{\dagger}$  and  $\Delta_L \mapsto \Delta_R$ . We have already shown<sup>8</sup> earlier that, starting with a left-right-symmetric potential, it is possible to find a domain of coupling parameters in the theory for which we have

$$\langle \varphi \rangle = \begin{pmatrix} \kappa & 0 \\ 0 & \kappa' \end{pmatrix}, \quad \langle \Delta_L \rangle = 0, \quad \langle \Delta_R \rangle = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ v \end{pmatrix}.$$
 (3)

It is easy to see that for  $v\gg\kappa'$ ,  $\kappa$ , after the first stage of the symmetry breakdown, the local symmetry group is reduced to  $\mathrm{SU}(2)_L\otimes\mathrm{U}(1)$ , where  $\mathrm{U}(1)$  corresponds to  $T_{3R}+Y$ , which is finally broken down to  $\mathrm{U}(1)_{\mathrm{em}}$  by  $\langle\varphi\rangle\neq0$ .

We now proceed to discuss the main result of our paper, i.e., calculation of the neutrino masses. For simplicity, we also assume that  $\kappa' \ll \kappa$ . The gauge-invariant Yukawa couplings can be written as

$$\mathcal{L}_{Y} = h_{1} \overline{\psi}_{L} \varphi \psi_{R} + h_{2} \psi_{L} \widetilde{\varphi} \psi_{R}$$

$$+ h_{3} (\psi_{L}^{T} C_{i} \tau_{2} \Delta_{L} \psi_{L} + \psi_{R}^{T} C_{i} \tau_{2} \Delta_{R} \psi_{R}) + \text{H.c.} \qquad (4)$$

where  $\vec{\varphi} \equiv \tau_2 \varphi^* \tau_2$  and

$$\Delta_{L,R} = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \delta^+ & \delta^{++} \\ \delta^0 & -\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \delta^+ \end{pmatrix}_{L,R},$$

and C is the Dirac charge-conjugation matrix. From (3) and (4) we find for the electron mass

$$m_e \simeq h_2 \kappa$$
 (5)

and the mass matrix<sup>9</sup> for the  $\nu$ -N sector is

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
\overline{\nu} & \overline{N} \\
\nu & \begin{pmatrix} 0 & h_1 \kappa \\ h_1 \kappa & h_2 \nu \end{pmatrix},
\end{array} (6)$$

where we have used the property of Majorana particles  $\nu^c = \nu$ ,  $N^c = N$  in showing that  $N_R^T C N_R$  and  $\nu_L^T C \nu_L$  are mass terms. We further assume that Yukawa couplings  $h_1$  and  $h_2$  are of the same order of magnitude, i.e.,  $h_1 \simeq h_2$ . It then follows from (6) that  $m_N \simeq h_3 \nu$  and

$$m_{\nu} = (h_1 \kappa)^2 / m_N = g m_e^2 / h_3 m_{W_D}.$$
 (7)

This is the main result of our paper. Choosing a reasonable value for  $h_3$ , e.g.,  $h_3 \simeq g^2$ , we obtain (1). For the second and third generations of leptons, the corresponding formulas are

$$m_{\nu_{\parallel}} \simeq m_{\mu}^2 / g m_{\Psi_R}$$
 and  $m_{\nu_{\tau}} = m_{\tau}^2 / g m_{\Psi_R}$ , (8)

where we assume the heavy Majorana mass to be generation independent and we ignore generation mixings. This admittedly arbitrary assumption is taken only for illustrative purposes; therefore the values for  $m_{\nu_{\mu}}$  and  $m_{\nu_{\tau}}$  should be taken with this in mind.

To point out that these formulas lead to quite reasonable upper limits on the neutrino masses, we note that the analysis of charged- $^{10}$  and neutral-current² phenomena puts a lower bound on the right-handed W-boson mass, i.e.,  $m_{W_R} \gtrsim 3m_{W_L}$  (or  $m_{W_R} \gtrsim 250-300$  GeV). If we choose  $m_{W_R} \gtrsim 300$  GeV, Eqs. (1) and (8) yield  $m_{\nu_e} \lesssim 1.5$  eV,  $m_{\nu_\mu} < 56$  keV, and  $m_{\nu_\tau} \lesssim 18$  MeV, in accord with the present laboratory experiments.  $^{11}$ 

We now comment on the quark sector of the model. As noted earlier, if we restrict ourselves to one generation, the left and right doublets are  $(u_L,d_L)\equiv(\frac{1}{2},0,\frac{1}{3})$  and  $(u_R,d_R)\equiv(0,\frac{1}{2},\frac{1}{3})$ . They obtain their mass through coupling to the Higgs multiplet  $\varphi$ . However, because the U(1) quantum number for quarks is  $\frac{1}{3}$ ,  $\Delta_{L,R}$  do not couple to quarks.

We now wish to remark on the following aspects of the model:

(a) At low energies, our model is indistinguishable from the standard model, since  $\langle \Delta_R \rangle \neq 0$  still keeps  $Y' \equiv T_{3R} + Y$  and  $\overrightarrow{T}_L$  unbroken; that is,  $\mathrm{SU}(2)_L \otimes \mathrm{U}(1)$  is unbroken after the first stage of symmetry breaking. We obtain the following mass relations between the neutral and charged gauge mesons (in the limit  $v^2 \gg \kappa^2 + {\kappa'}^2$ ):

$$m_{W_L}^2 \simeq m_{Z_L}^2 \cos^2 \theta_W,$$
 (9)  
 $m_{W_R}^2 \simeq m_{Z_D}^2 (2 \cos 2\theta_W / \cos^2 \theta_W).$ 

Note the factor 2 in (9), which is characteristic of the triplet Higgs boson  $\Delta_R$  which breaks  $SU(2)_R$ .

(b) In order to suppress lepton-number-changing processes such as  $\mu \rightarrow e \gamma$  and  $\mu \rightarrow 3e$ , it turns out to be desirable to make the mixings of electron generation with  $\mu$  and  $\tau$  generation as small as possible. We make it zero naturally by imposing the following discrete symmetry on the Lagrangian

$$\psi_{1L} \rightarrow -\psi_{1L}, \quad \psi_{1R} \rightarrow -\psi_{1R},$$

$$\psi_{2L} \rightarrow \psi_{2L}, \quad \psi_{2R} \rightarrow \psi_{2R},$$

$$\psi_{3L} \rightarrow \psi_{3L}, \quad \psi_{3R} \rightarrow \psi_{3R},$$

$$\varphi \rightarrow \varphi, \quad \Delta_L \rightarrow \Delta_L, \quad \Delta_R \rightarrow \Delta_R,$$

$$(10)$$

where i = 1, 2, 3 counts the electron, the muon,

and the  $\tau$  generation, respectively, i.e.,

$$\psi_{1L} = \begin{pmatrix} \nu_e \\ e \end{pmatrix}_L, \quad \psi_{2L} = \begin{pmatrix} \nu_\mu \\ \mu \end{pmatrix}_L,$$

etc. This symmetry obviously forbids  $e-\mu$  and  $e-\tau$  mixings and, since it is unbroken, that will be true to all orders in perturbation theory. Therefore, in this model  $\mu-e\gamma$  and  $\mu-3e$  are forbidden processes. By the same token, neutrino oscillations  $\nu_e \leftarrow \nu_\mu$ ,  $\nu_e \leftarrow \nu_\tau$  are absent in this model (but not  $\nu_\mu \leftarrow \nu_\tau$ ). The interesting physical consequences when the above symmetry is relaxed will be dealt with elsewhere.

- (c) A further characteristic of our model is the existence of doubly charged Higgs bosons  $\delta_L^{++}$  and  $\delta_R^{++}$ . However, it is easily seen that their masses are of order  $m_{W_R}$  and therefore they are not expected to play an important role at low energies.
- (d) The presence of Majorana neutrinos will allow for neutrinoless double  $\beta$  decay. 12,13 The contribution coming from the exchanges of  $W_L$  involves the light Majorana neutrino  $\nu_e$  and is known<sup>13</sup> to be a few orders of magnitude below the experimentally allowed value. However, it is possible to exchange  $\boldsymbol{W}_{R}$ 's in which case the process goes through the heavy Majorana particle N as an internal state. We just mention that in this case one obtains the limit on N using the analysis of Ref. 13:  $m_N \gtrsim (m_{W_L}/m_{W_R})^4 \times 10^4 \text{ GeV}$  $\gtrsim 10^2$  GeV, which is definitely satisfied, since  $m_N \simeq m_{W_R} \simeq 300$  GeV. It should be emphasized, though, that more precise measurements of double  $\beta$  decay could in principle provide a more stringent lower bound on  $m_N$ , or in turn on  $m_{W_R}$ .

In summary, we have constructed a realistic and simple model with spontaneous parity non-conservation, where the suppression of V+A currents is proportional to neutrino mass. The model provides, therefore, an understanding 14 of a tiny neutrino mass. We believe that it makes the search for the effects due to finite  $m_{W_R}$  even more warranted than before.

We thank N. P. Chang, R. E. Marshak, and E. Witten for useful discussions. This work was supported in part by the National Science Foundation, Grants No. PHY-78-24888 and PHY-76-16562 A02, and in part by CUNY-PSC-BHE, research award No. RF-13096.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>J. C. Pati and A. Salam, Phys. Rev. D 10, 275 (1974);

R. N. Mohapatra and J. C. Pati, Phys. Rev. D 11, 566, 2558 (1975); G. Senjanović and R. N. Mohapatra, Phys. Rev. D 12, 1502 (1975). For a detailed discussion of these models, see G. Senjanović, Nucl. Phys. B153, 334 (1979). For a review and extensive list of references see, R. N. Mohapatra, in New Frontiers in High Energy Physics, edited by A. Perlmutter and L. F. Scott (Plenum, New York, 1978), p. 337.

<sup>2</sup>J. C. Pati, S. Rajpoot, and A. Salam, Phys. Rev. D

17, 131 (1978). See also, Senjanović, Ref. 1.  $^3$ A. Janah, to be published; A. Costa, M. D'Anna, and P. Marcolungo, to be published; J. C. Pati and S. Rajpoot, to be published; Senjanović, Ref. 1.

<sup>4</sup>S. Weinberg, Phys. Rev. Lett. 19, 1264 (1967); A. Salam, in Elementary Particle Theory: Relativistic Groups and Analyticity, edited by N. Svartholm (Wiley, New York, 1969). See also S. L. Glashow, Nucl. Phys. 22, 579 (1961).

<sup>5</sup>For a thorough discussion of the properties of Majorana theory of massive fermions, see K. M. Case, Phys. Rev. 107, 307 (1957). See also R. E. Marshak, Riazuddin, and C. P. Ryan: Theory of Weak Interactions in Particle Physics (Wiley, New York, 1969).

<sup>6</sup>For earlier suggestions that neutrinos may be Majorana particles in the context of gauge theories, see H. Fritzsch, M. Gell-Mann, and P. Minkowski, Phys. Lett. 59B, 256 (1975); T. P. Cheng, Phys. Rev. D 14, 1367 (1976). Recently, this idea has been put forward in the context of O(10) grand unified theory by M. Gell-Mann, P. Ramond, and R. Slansky, unpublished; E. Witten, unpublished.

<sup>7</sup>The Higgs multiplets we have introduced can be bound states of the fundamental fermion fields of our model, e.g.,  $\phi = \overline{\psi}_L \psi_R$  and  $\Delta_L = \psi_L^T C^{-1} \psi_L$  and  $\Delta_R = \psi_R^T C^{-1} \psi_R$ . The symmetry breakdown in our model could therefore be entirely dynamical in origin.

<sup>8</sup>Senjanović and Mohapatra, Ref. 1.

<sup>9</sup>Similar mass matrices for Majorana neutrinos have

been considered before in the context of other gauge models by the authors of Ref. 6 Ifor a review see F. Wilczek, in Proceedings of the Lepton-Photon Conference, Fermilab, 1979 (unpublished)]. After completing this work we became aware of the fact that if the  $\mathrm{SU}(2)_L \otimes \mathrm{SU}(2)_R \otimes \mathrm{U}(1)$  electroweak model is embedded in O(10) grand unified theory, the corresponding Higgs system would involve only superheavy 126 and light 10 (126 is used by Gell-Mann et al., Ref. 6). We point out that 10 leads to unsatisfactory mass relations, namely,  $m_e = m_d$  and  $m_{\mu} = m_s$ . We emphasize again that the philosophy of our work is to illustrate the connection between neutrino mass and the maximality of parity nonconservation in weak interactions, by paying the minimal price in enlarging the standard  $\mathrm{SU}(2)_L \otimes \mathrm{U}(1)$  gauge

<sup>10</sup>M. A. Bég, R. V. Budny, R. N. Mohapatra, and A. Sirlin, Phys. Rev. Lett. 38, 1252 (1977), and 39, 54(E) (1977).

<sup>11</sup>If we accept the astrophysical upper limit of  $m_{\nu} \le 10$ eV for all species of neutrinos [see K. Cowsik and J. McClelland, Phys. Rev. Lett. 29, 669 (1972)], this imposes a much more stringent lower bound on  $m_{W_R}$ :  $m_{W_R} \ge 10^7 - 10^8$  GeV. We note that such large values of  $m_{W_R}$  arise in the context of SO(10) grand unified theory; see Q. Shafi and C. Wetterich, to be published; T. Goldman and D. A. Ross, to be published; R. N. Mohapatra and G. Senjanović, to be published.

<sup>12</sup>See, for example, H. Primakoff and S. P. Rosen, Phys. Rev. D 184, 1925 (1969).

<sup>13</sup>A. Halprin, P. Minkowski, H. Primakoff, and S. P. Rosen, Phys. Rev. D 13, 2567 (1976).

<sup>14</sup>An attempt to understand small or vanishing neutrino mass in left-right-symmetric gauge models with neutrinos as Dirac particles was made by G. C. Branco and G. Senjanović, Phys. Rev. D 18, 1621 (1978); P. Ramond and D. Reiss, to be published; T. P. Cheng and L.-F. Li, Phys. Rev. D 17, 2375 (1978).