

(1)

Exercise IV.13

"KUSSTEPP Lectures On Supersymmetry"
 José M. Figueroa-O'Farrill

Let $W(\Phi)$ be given by
 $W(\Phi) = \mu \Phi^2 + \nu \Phi^3$.

Determine μ and ν in such a way that the action obtained by adding to the action (59) the superpotential term

$$\int d^4\theta W(\Phi) + \int d^2\theta \overline{W}(\Phi)$$

and eliminating the auxiliary field via its equation of motion we recover the Wess-Zumino model, under the identification $\phi = \frac{1}{2}(S + iP)$ and $\psi^\alpha = [\chi^\alpha, \bar{\chi}_\alpha]$

Background

Massive interacting Wess-Zumino model:

$$\mathcal{L} = -\frac{1}{2}(\partial S)^2 - \frac{1}{2}(\partial P)^2 - \frac{1}{2}\bar{\Psi}\not{\partial}\Psi -$$

$$- \frac{1}{2}mS^2 - \frac{1}{2}mP^2 - \frac{1}{2}m\bar{\Psi}\Psi -$$

$$- \lambda \left[\bar{\Psi} [S - \not{\partial}\gamma^5]\Psi + \frac{1}{2}\lambda[S^2 + P^2]^2 + mS[S^2 + P^2] \right].$$

$$\int d^4x \int d^2\theta d^2\bar{\theta} \left\{ 2\bar{\Phi}\Phi \right\} \mapsto -2\bar{\chi}\not{\partial}\chi + 2FF + \frac{i}{2}[\chi\not{\partial}\bar{\chi} + \bar{\chi}\not{\partial}\chi] + \frac{1}{2}\lambda[\phi\not{\partial}\phi + \phi\not{\partial}\phi].$$

~~not relevant~~

(***)

So, starting from $\Phi = \phi(\gamma) + \theta \chi(\gamma) + \theta^2 F(\gamma)$ we calculate ⁽²⁾
 $\int d^2\theta \Phi^2$ and $\int d^2\theta \Phi^3$. Note, $\int d^2\theta \Phi^2(x) =$
 $= \int d^2\theta e^{-iU} (\phi + \theta \chi + \theta^2 F)^2$ or 3 since U contains both θ and $\bar{\theta}$,
 while $\int d^2\theta$ picks up the component of θ^2 , putting all other
 terms zero $= \int d^2\theta (\phi + \theta \chi + \theta^2 F)^2$ or 3 .

$$\begin{aligned}\Phi^2 &= (\phi(\gamma) + \theta \chi + \theta^2 F)(\phi + \theta \chi + \theta^2 F) = \\ &= \phi^2 + 2\phi \cdot \theta \chi + 2\theta^2 F \phi - \frac{1}{2} \theta^2 \chi^2;\end{aligned}$$

$$\int d^2\theta \Phi^2 = 2F\phi - \frac{1}{2}\chi^2;$$

$$\begin{aligned}\Phi^3 \Big|_{\theta^2} &= (\phi + \theta \chi + \theta^2 F) \left(\phi^2 + 2\phi \cdot \theta \chi + \theta^2 (2F\phi - \frac{1}{2}\chi^2) \right) \Big|_{\theta^2} \\ &= \theta^2 \left[2F\phi^2 - \frac{1}{2}\phi\chi^2 + F\phi^2 - \phi \cdot \chi^2 \right] = \theta^2 \cdot 3 \left[F\phi^2 - \frac{1}{2}\phi\chi^2 \right];\end{aligned}$$

So we get the following extra terms added to our Lagrangian:

$$\begin{aligned}&\mu \left[2F\phi - \frac{1}{2}\chi^2 \right] + 3v \left[F\phi^2 - \frac{1}{2}\phi\chi^2 \right] + \\ &+ \mu \left[2\bar{F}\bar{\phi} - \frac{1}{2}\bar{\chi}^2 \right] + 3v \left[\bar{F}\bar{\phi}^2 - \frac{1}{2}\bar{\phi}\bar{\chi}^2 \right] \quad \&\end{aligned}$$

Now we can instantly check the mass term for Majorana χ ,
 because χ is not restricted anyhow by the auxiliary field F :

$$\begin{aligned}-\frac{1}{2}m\bar{\chi}\chi &= -\frac{1}{2}m \left(\chi_\alpha, -\bar{\chi}^{\dot{\alpha}} \right) \left[\frac{\chi^\alpha}{\chi_{\dot{\alpha}}} \right] = -\frac{1}{2}m\chi_\alpha\chi^\alpha + \frac{1}{2}m\bar{\chi}^{\dot{\alpha}}\bar{\chi}_{\dot{\alpha}} = \\ &= \frac{1}{2}m\chi\chi + \frac{1}{2}m\bar{\chi}\bar{\chi}\end{aligned}$$

while we get $-\frac{1}{2}\mu\chi^2 - \frac{1}{2}\mu\bar{\chi}^2$; there is a problem
 here, because we'll later see that μ should actually be
 equal to m .

Also, we can check for invariance of χ with ϕ (that is, ψ with S and P). Again, we'll leave it for later to show that v must be equal $\frac{4}{3}\lambda$.

$$-\frac{3}{2}v\phi\chi^2 = -2\lambda \cdot \frac{1}{2}[S+iP]\chi^2 = -\lambda[S+iP]\chi^2 =$$

Let's check the answer now. $\xrightarrow{\text{back}} = -2\lambda\phi\chi^2$

$$-\lambda \bar{\psi} [S - P\gamma^5] \psi = -\lambda \begin{pmatrix} \chi_1 & -\bar{\chi}_2 \end{pmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} S+iP & \\ & S-iP \end{bmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \chi_1 \\ \bar{\chi}_2 \end{pmatrix} =$$

$$\left| \gamma^5 = \begin{bmatrix} -i & \\ & i \end{bmatrix} \right|$$

$$= -\lambda \begin{pmatrix} \chi_1 & -\bar{\chi}_2 \end{pmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 2\phi & \\ & 2\bar{\phi} \end{bmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \chi_1 \\ \bar{\chi}_2 \end{pmatrix} = -2\lambda \begin{pmatrix} \phi\chi_1 & -\bar{\phi}\bar{\chi}_2 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \chi_1 \\ \bar{\chi}_2 \end{pmatrix} =$$

$$= 2\lambda\phi\chi^2 + 2\lambda\bar{\phi}\bar{\chi}^2;$$

Which differs by a sign with what we've got above.

(4)

Now we write all auxiliary terms, to resolve for F and \bar{F} :

$$\mu \cdot 2F\phi + 3\nu F\phi^2 + \mu \cdot 2\bar{F}\bar{\phi} + 3\nu \bar{F}\bar{\phi}^2 + 2\bar{F}F$$

From this we obtain

$$F = -\mu\bar{\phi} - \frac{3}{2}\nu\bar{\phi}^2$$

$$\bar{F} = -\mu\phi - \frac{3}{2}\nu\phi^2$$

Substituting it back, we notice that the whole expression must be equal $-2\bar{F}F$, that is

$$\begin{aligned} (*) \quad -2\bar{F}F &= -2\left[\mu^2\phi\bar{\phi} + \frac{3}{2}\mu\nu\phi\bar{\phi}^2 + \frac{3}{2}\mu\nu\bar{\phi}\phi^2 + \frac{9}{4}\nu^2\phi^2\bar{\phi}^2\right] = \\ &= -2\mu^2\phi\bar{\phi} - 3\mu\nu\phi\bar{\phi}(\phi + \bar{\phi}) - \frac{9}{2}\nu^2\phi^2\bar{\phi}^2; \end{aligned}$$

Now we check (*) term by term against (**):

$$-2\mu^2\phi\bar{\phi} = -2\mu^2\frac{1}{4}[S+iP][S-iP] = -\frac{1}{2}\mu^2S^2 - \frac{1}{2}\mu^2P^2$$

which shows that, indeed, $\mu = m$. Thus all ^{massive} terms have been accounted for. It's only left to check two terms:

$$-\frac{1}{2}\lambda^2[S^2 + P^2]^2 \quad \text{and} \quad -\lambda m S[S^2 + P^2]$$

Let's consider

(5)

$$-\frac{v^2}{2} \phi^2 \bar{\phi}^2 = -\frac{v^2}{2} (\phi \bar{\phi})^2 = -\frac{v^2}{2} \frac{1}{16} (S^2 + P^2)^2 \equiv -\frac{1}{2} \lambda^2 (S^2 + P^2)^2;$$

$$\text{or, } v^2 \cdot \frac{9}{16} = \lambda^2;$$

$$v = \frac{4}{3} \lambda$$

And, finally,

$$\begin{aligned} -3 \mu v \phi \bar{\phi} (\phi + \bar{\phi}) &= -3 \mu v \frac{1}{4} (S^2 + P^2) \frac{(S + iP + S - iP)}{2} = \\ &= -3 m \cdot \frac{4}{3} \lambda \cdot \frac{1}{4} \cdot S (S^2 + P^2) = -\lambda m S (S^2 + P^2). \end{aligned}$$

Thus, also adding results from the Exercise IV.10, we can accommodate for all terms in (***) except for

$$-\frac{1}{2} m \bar{\psi} \psi - \lambda \bar{\psi} (S - P \gamma^5) \psi.$$

Note that the kinetic term $-\frac{1}{2} \bar{\psi} \not{\partial} \psi$ is perfectly fitted:

$$\begin{aligned} -\frac{1}{2} \left(\chi_\alpha, -\bar{\chi}^{\dot{\alpha}} \right) \not{\partial} \begin{pmatrix} -i \sigma^{\mu \dot{\alpha} \alpha} \\ i \bar{\sigma}^{\mu \alpha \dot{\alpha}} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \chi_\alpha \\ \bar{\chi}_{\dot{\alpha}} \end{pmatrix} &= \\ = -\frac{1}{2} \left(-i \bar{\chi}^{\dot{\alpha}} \bar{\sigma}^{\mu \alpha \dot{\alpha}}, -i \chi_\alpha \sigma^{\mu \dot{\alpha} \alpha} \right) \not{\partial} \begin{pmatrix} \chi_\alpha \\ \bar{\chi}_{\dot{\alpha}} \end{pmatrix} &= \\ = \frac{1}{2} i \left(\bar{\chi} \not{\partial} \chi + \chi \not{\partial} \bar{\chi} \right), & \text{ which is in} \end{aligned}$$

perfect agreement with (***).