

FTPI-MINN-XX/XX, UMN-TH-XXXX/XX  
August 18/2014/DRAFT

## **Appendix only**

# A Notations

{app:notations}

## A.1 Twisted superfields

Twisted superfields exist in two dimensions and are defined by a “twisted” chirality condition

$$D_L \Sigma = \overline{D}_R \Sigma = 0. \quad (\text{A.1}) \quad \{\text{gentwist}\}$$

Analogously, for twisted anti-chiral superfields,

$$D_R \overline{\Sigma} = \overline{D}_L \overline{\Sigma} = 0. \quad (\text{A.2}) \quad \{\text{genantitwist}\}$$

The reason these conditions look so similar to those for chiral superfields is that there is no ideomatic difference between chiral and twisted-chiral superfields. To say more, they are interchanged by the action of mirror symmetry — the transposition of supercharges turns condition (A.1) into the one for a chiral superfield. This way, as in the case with the chiral superfields, the constraints (A.1) and (A.2) are solved by letting the superfields be arbitrary functions of “chiral” variables  $\tilde{y}^\mu$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \Sigma &= \Sigma(\tilde{y}^\mu) & \overline{\Sigma} &= \overline{\Sigma}(\tilde{y}^\mu) \\ \tilde{y}^0 &= x^0 + i(\overline{\theta}_R \theta_R - \overline{\theta}_L \theta_L) & \tilde{\overline{y}}^0 &= x^0 - i(\overline{\theta}_R \theta_R - \overline{\theta}_L \theta_L) \\ \tilde{y}^3 &= x^3 + (\overline{\theta}_R \theta_R + \overline{\theta}_L \theta_L) & \tilde{\overline{y}}^3 &= x^3 - (\overline{\theta}_R \theta_R + \overline{\theta}_L \theta_L), \end{aligned}$$

after which they will have the usual “chiral” component expansion

$$\begin{aligned} \Sigma(\tilde{y}) &= \sigma(\tilde{y}) - \sqrt{2} \theta_R \overline{\lambda}_L + \sqrt{2} \overline{\theta}_L \lambda_R + \sqrt{2} \theta_R \overline{\theta}_L \tilde{F} \\ \overline{\Sigma}(\tilde{\overline{y}}) &= \overline{\sigma}(\tilde{\overline{y}}) - \sqrt{2} \theta_L \overline{\lambda}_R + \sqrt{2} \overline{\theta}_R \lambda_L + \sqrt{2} \theta_L \overline{\theta}_R \tilde{\overline{F}}. \end{aligned}$$

Here we understand that each function on the right hand side depends on  $\tilde{y}^\mu$  and  $\tilde{\overline{y}}^\mu$ , correspondingly.

Exactly the same way as with chiral superfields, one constructs *twisted superpotentials*  $\widetilde{\mathcal{W}}(\Sigma)$ , just as functions that depend on  $\Sigma$  holomorphically. One then performs the twisted  $d^2\tilde{\theta}$  integration as,

$$\int d^2\tilde{\theta} \widetilde{\mathcal{W}}(\Sigma) = \frac{1}{2} \overline{D}_L D_R \widetilde{\mathcal{W}}(\Sigma) \Big|, \quad \int d^2\tilde{\theta} \overline{\widetilde{\mathcal{W}}}(\overline{\Sigma}) = \frac{1}{2} \overline{D}_R D_L \overline{\widetilde{\mathcal{W}}}(\overline{\Sigma}) \Big|. \quad (\text{A.3})$$

And, of course, one can perform the full superspace integration of twisted superfields, provided that this holomorphicity is broken (*e.g.* by putting both chiral and anti-chiral factors),

$$\int d^4\theta \bar{\Sigma} \Sigma, \quad (\text{A.4})$$

or the result will obviously be a total derivative.

One famous example of a twisted superfield is the *fieldstrength* of a  $\mathcal{N} = (2, 2)$  gauge supermultiplet  $V$ ,

$$\Sigma = \frac{i}{\sqrt{2}} D_L \bar{D}_R V, \quad \bar{\Sigma} = \frac{i}{\sqrt{2}} D_R \bar{D}_L V. \quad (\text{A.5})$$

In components it takes the form

$$\begin{aligned} \Sigma(\tilde{y}) &= \sigma(\tilde{y}) - \sqrt{2} \theta_R \bar{\lambda}_L + \sqrt{2} \bar{\theta}_L \lambda_R + \sqrt{2} \theta_R \bar{\theta}_L \left( D - i F_{03} \right) \\ \bar{\Sigma}(\tilde{y}) &= \bar{\sigma}(\tilde{y}) - \sqrt{2} \theta_L \bar{\lambda}_R + \sqrt{2} \bar{\theta}_R \lambda_L + \sqrt{2} \theta_L \bar{\theta}_R \left( D + i F_{03} \right). \end{aligned}$$

## A.2 $\mathcal{N} = (0, 2)$ superfields

We define  $\mathcal{N} = (0, 2)$  superspace via reduction of  $\mathcal{N} = (2, 2)$  superspace by putting

$$\theta_L = \bar{\theta}_L = 0. \quad (\text{A.6})$$

Each chiral and twisted-chiral  $\mathcal{N} = (2, 2)$  superfield this way splits into two  $\mathcal{N} = (0, 2)$  superfields. They still, however, retain their property of holomorphicity. While chiral superfields are usually described as being dependent on a “holomorphic” variable  $y^\mu$ ,

$$y^\mu = x^\mu + i \bar{\theta} \sigma_\mu \theta, \quad (\text{A.7})$$

and twisted-chiral as dependent on a twisted variable  $\tilde{y}^\mu$ ,

$$\tilde{y}^\mu = x^\mu + i \bar{\theta} \tilde{\sigma}_\mu \theta, \quad (\text{A.8})$$

the distinction between the two variables vanishes upon reduction to  $\mathcal{N} = (0, 2)$  superspace. As a result,  $\mathcal{N} = (0, 2)$  superspace defines only one kind of holomorphic

superfields — chiral  $\mathcal{N} = (0, 2)$  superfields, which depend upon the reduced variables  $v^\mu$

$$\begin{aligned} v^0 &= x^0 + i\bar{\theta}_R\theta_R \\ v^3 &= x^3 + i\bar{\theta}_R\theta_R. \end{aligned} \tag{A.9}$$

To make a distinction with  $\mathcal{N} = (2, 2)$  superfields, we denote the  $\mathcal{N} = (0, 2)$  superfields by symbols with a hat on top —  $\hat{\sigma}$ ,  $\hat{\xi}$ , *etc.*

Chiral superfields  $\Phi(y)$  split into  $\mathcal{N} = (0, 2)$  superfields  $\hat{\phi}(v)$  and  $\hat{\xi}(v)$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi(y) &\longrightarrow \hat{\phi}(v) + \sqrt{2}\theta_L\hat{\xi}(v), \\ \bar{\Phi}(\bar{y}) &\longrightarrow \hat{\bar{\phi}}(\bar{v}) - \sqrt{2}\bar{\theta}_L\hat{\bar{\xi}}(\bar{v}), \end{aligned} \tag{A.10} \quad \{\text{Phisplit}\}$$

while twisted-chiral superfields  $\Sigma(\tilde{y})$  split into  $\hat{\sigma}(v)$  and  $\hat{\lambda}(v)$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \Sigma(\tilde{y}) &\longrightarrow \hat{\sigma}(v) + \sqrt{2}\bar{\theta}_L\hat{\lambda}(v), \\ \bar{\Sigma}(\bar{\tilde{y}}) &\longrightarrow \hat{\bar{\sigma}}(\bar{v}) - \sqrt{2}\theta_L\hat{\bar{\lambda}}(v). \end{aligned} \tag{A.11} \quad \{\text{Sigmasplit}\}$$

We alert that relations (A.10) and (A.11) have only symbolical meaning demonstrating the effect of splitting, while there is no equality: the right-hand sides have incomplete dependence on  $\theta_L$ ,  $\bar{\theta}_L$ .

The individual  $\mathcal{N} = (0, 2)$  superfields have a quite straightforward component expansion,

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{\phi}(v) &= \phi - \sqrt{2}\theta_R\psi_L, & \hat{\xi}(v) &= \psi_R + \sqrt{2}\theta_RF, \\ \hat{\bar{\phi}}(\bar{v}) &= \bar{\phi} + \sqrt{2}\bar{\theta}_L\bar{\psi}_L, & \hat{\bar{\xi}}(\bar{v}) &= \bar{\psi}_R + \sqrt{2}\bar{\theta}_R\bar{F}, \end{aligned} \tag{A.12}$$

and similarly do the ones that arise from splitting of the the twisted chiral superfield  $\Sigma(\tilde{y})$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{\sigma}(v) &= \sigma - \sqrt{2}\theta_R\bar{\lambda}_L, & \hat{\lambda}(v) &= \lambda_R - \theta_R\widetilde{F}, \\ \hat{\bar{\sigma}}(\bar{v}) &= \bar{\sigma} + \sqrt{2}\bar{\theta}_R\lambda_L, & \hat{\bar{\lambda}}(\bar{v}) &= \bar{\lambda}_R - \bar{\theta}_R\widetilde{\bar{F}}. \end{aligned} \tag{A.13}$$

It is interesting to note that the simple structure of splitting shown in (A.10) and (A.11) makes fermionic superfields in  $\mathcal{N} = (0, 2)$  superspace much more ubiquitous

than in  $\mathcal{N} = (2, 2)$  superspace. And the first example to this is the  $\mathcal{N} = (0, 2)$  superpotential. It has to be fermionic because the integration over *half* of the  $\mathcal{N} = (0, 2)$  superspace  $d\theta_R$  is such. A superpotential can be constructed using an arbitrary holomorphic function — say  $J(\hat{\sigma})$ , and by multiplying it by an arbitrary (but still chiral) fermionic multiplet — say  $\hat{\rho}$ ,

$$\int d\theta_R \hat{\rho} J(\hat{\sigma}). \quad (\text{A.14})$$

“Full” superspace integrals can conventionally be built using both chiral and antichiral fields,

$$\int d^2\theta_R \hat{\xi} \hat{\bar{\xi}} = \bar{\psi}_R i\partial_L \psi_R + \bar{F} F. \quad (\text{A.15})$$

## B Component Expansion of the Effective Action

{app:expansion}

Here we give the complete component expansion of expression (??). Typically, one would be interested in a specific limit of this expression, such as the bosonic part of it, or the constant bosonic part, or an approximation in the certain number of space-time derivatives (some approximations, however, are easier to derive from the series representation (??)).

We make a remark that, according to [2], the whole fermionic part of the below effective Lagrangian can be obtained from its bosonic part simply via a replacement

$$\sqrt{2}\sigma \longrightarrow \sqrt{2}\sigma + 2 \frac{i \bar{\lambda}_L \lambda_R}{iD + F_{03}}. \quad (\text{B.16})$$

It is useful to know the lowest component of the superfield  $S$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} s &= S \Big| = \frac{\sqrt{2}\bar{\sigma}(iD - F_{03}) - 2i\bar{\lambda}_R\lambda_L}{(\sqrt{2}\bar{\sigma})^2}, \\ \bar{s} &= \bar{S} \Big| = \frac{\sqrt{2}\sigma(iD + F_{03}) - 2i\bar{\lambda}_L\lambda_R}{(\sqrt{2}\sigma)^2}. \end{aligned}$$

In the expression below, however, we extensively make use of the lowest component

of the ratio  $S/(\sqrt{2}\Sigma)$ , which we denote as  $p$ ,

$$p = \frac{S}{\sqrt{2}\Sigma} \Big| = \frac{1}{|\sqrt{2}\sigma|^2} \left( iD - F_{03} - \frac{2i\sqrt{2}\sigma\bar{\lambda}_R\lambda_L}{|\sqrt{2}\sigma|^2} \right),$$

$$\bar{p} = \frac{\bar{S}}{\sqrt{2}\bar{\Sigma}} \Big| = \frac{1}{|\sqrt{2}\sigma|^2} \left( iD + F_{03} - \frac{2i\sqrt{2}\sigma\bar{\lambda}_L\lambda_R}{|\sqrt{2}\sigma|^2} \right).$$

We have,

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{4\pi}{N} \mathcal{L} &= iD - F_{03} \log \frac{\sqrt{2}\sigma}{\sqrt{2}\bar{\sigma}} - i \frac{\sqrt{2}\sigma\bar{\lambda}_R\lambda_L + \sqrt{2}\sigma\bar{\lambda}_L\lambda_R}{|\sqrt{2}\sigma|^2} - \\ &- \frac{1}{4} \ln \sqrt{2}\sigma \square \ln \sqrt{2}\sigma - \frac{1}{4} \ln \sqrt{2}\bar{\sigma} \square \ln \sqrt{2}\bar{\sigma} - \\ &- \frac{1}{2} \left( iD + F_{03} + \frac{1}{2} \square \ln \sqrt{2}\bar{\sigma} \right) \ln \left( |\sqrt{2}\sigma|^2 + iD - F_{03} - 2i \frac{\bar{\lambda}_R\lambda_L}{\sqrt{2}\bar{\sigma}} \right) - \\ &- \frac{1}{2} \left( iD - F_{03} + \frac{1}{2} \square \ln \sqrt{2}\sigma \right) \ln \left( |\sqrt{2}\sigma|^2 + iD + F_{03} - 2i \frac{\bar{\lambda}_L\lambda_R}{\sqrt{2}\sigma} \right) \\ &- \bar{\lambda}_R i \overleftarrow{\mathcal{D}}_L \lambda_R + \bar{\lambda}_L i \mathcal{D}_R \lambda_L - \frac{1}{2} i \sqrt{2}\sigma \bar{\lambda}_L \lambda_R + \frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}\bar{\sigma}} i \bar{\lambda}_R \overleftarrow{\mathcal{D}}_L \mathcal{D}_R \lambda_L \\ &+ \frac{\bar{\lambda}_R i \overleftarrow{\mathcal{D}}_L \lambda_R + \bar{\lambda}_L i \mathcal{D}_R \lambda_L - \frac{1}{2} i \sqrt{2}\sigma \bar{\lambda}_L \lambda_R + \frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}\bar{\sigma}} i \bar{\lambda}_R \overleftarrow{\mathcal{D}}_L \mathcal{D}_R \lambda_L}{|\sqrt{2}\sigma|^2 + iD - F_{03} - 2i \frac{\bar{\lambda}_R\lambda_L}{\sqrt{2}\bar{\sigma}}} \\ &+ \frac{\bar{\lambda}_R i \mathcal{D}_L \lambda_R - \bar{\lambda}_L i \overleftarrow{\mathcal{D}}_R \lambda_L - \frac{1}{2} i \sqrt{2}\sigma \bar{\lambda}_R \lambda_L + \frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}\sigma} i \bar{\lambda}_L \overleftarrow{\mathcal{D}}_R \mathcal{D}_L \lambda_R}{|\sqrt{2}\sigma|^2 + iD + F_{03} - 2i \frac{\bar{\lambda}_L\lambda_R}{\sqrt{2}\sigma}} \\ &- \frac{1}{4} \frac{|\sqrt{2}\sigma|^2 \left( iD + F_{03} + \square \ln \sqrt{2}\bar{\sigma} \right)}{|\sqrt{2}\sigma|^2 + iD - F_{03} - 2i \frac{\bar{\lambda}_R\lambda_L}{\sqrt{2}\bar{\sigma}}} - \frac{1}{4} \frac{|\sqrt{2}\sigma|^2 \left( iD - F_{03} + \square \ln \sqrt{2}\sigma \right)}{|\sqrt{2}\sigma|^2 + iD + F_{03} - 2i \frac{\bar{\lambda}_L\lambda_R}{\sqrt{2}\sigma}} \\ &- \frac{1}{2} |\sqrt{2}\sigma|^2 \left( 1 + \frac{1}{p} \right) \frac{-\bar{\lambda}_R i \overleftarrow{\mathcal{D}}_L \lambda_R + \bar{\lambda}_L i \mathcal{D}_R \lambda_L + i \sqrt{2}\sigma \bar{\lambda}_L \lambda_R + \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}\bar{\sigma}} i \bar{\lambda}_R \overleftarrow{\mathcal{D}}_L \mathcal{D}_R \lambda_L}{\left( |\sqrt{2}\sigma|^2 + iD - F_{03} - 2i \frac{\bar{\lambda}_R\lambda_L}{\sqrt{2}\bar{\sigma}} \right)^2} \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& - \frac{1}{2} |\sqrt{2}\sigma|^2 \left( 1 + \frac{1}{\bar{p}} \right) \frac{\bar{\lambda}_R i \mathcal{D}_L \lambda_R - \bar{\lambda}_L i \overleftarrow{\mathcal{D}}_R \lambda_L + i \sqrt{2}\sigma \bar{\lambda}_R \lambda_L + \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}\sigma} i \bar{\lambda}_L \overleftarrow{\mathcal{D}}_R \mathcal{D}_L \lambda_R}{\left( |\sqrt{2}\sigma|^2 + iD + F_{03} - 2i \frac{\bar{\lambda}_L \lambda_R}{\sqrt{2}\sigma} \right)^2} \\
& + \frac{1}{2p^3} \left( -p^2 (iD + F_{03}) + \frac{1}{2} p \square \ln \sqrt{2}\bar{\sigma} - 2p^2 i \frac{\bar{\lambda}_L \lambda_R}{\sqrt{2}\sigma} + \right. \quad (B.17) \\
& \quad \left. + 2i \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}\sigma} \frac{\bar{\lambda}_R \overleftarrow{\mathcal{D}}_L \mathcal{D}_R \lambda_L}{(\sqrt{2}\bar{\sigma})^2} - 2p \frac{\bar{\lambda}_L i \mathcal{D}_R \lambda_L - \bar{\lambda}_R i \overleftarrow{\mathcal{D}}_L \lambda_R}{|\sqrt{2}\sigma|^2} \right) \cdot \ln(1 + p) \\
& + \frac{1}{2\bar{p}^3} \left( -\bar{p}^2 (iD - F_{03}) + \frac{1}{2} \bar{p} \square \ln \sqrt{2}\sigma - 2\bar{p}^2 i \frac{\bar{\lambda}_R \lambda_L}{\sqrt{2}\bar{\sigma}} + \right. \\
& \quad \left. + 2i \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}\bar{\sigma}} \frac{\bar{\lambda}_L \overleftarrow{\mathcal{D}}_R \mathcal{D}_L \lambda_L}{(\sqrt{2}\sigma)^2} - 2\bar{p} \frac{\bar{\lambda}_R i \mathcal{D}_L \lambda_R - \bar{\lambda}_L i \overleftarrow{\mathcal{D}}_R \lambda_L}{|\sqrt{2}\sigma|^2} \right) \cdot \ln(1 + \bar{p}) \\
& + \frac{1}{2p^2} i \left( \frac{-\sqrt{2}\sigma \bar{\lambda}_L - \bar{\lambda}_R \overleftarrow{\mathcal{D}}_L}{|\sqrt{2}\sigma|^2 + iD - F_{03} - 2i \frac{\bar{\lambda}_R \lambda_L}{\sqrt{2}\bar{\sigma}}} + \frac{\bar{\lambda}_L}{\sqrt{2}\sigma} \right) \left( 2p \lambda_R + \frac{\mathcal{D}_R \lambda_L}{\sqrt{2}\bar{\sigma}} \right) \\
& + \frac{1}{2\bar{p}^2} i \left( \frac{-\sqrt{2}\sigma \bar{\lambda}_R - \bar{\lambda}_L \overleftarrow{\mathcal{D}}_R}{|\sqrt{2}\sigma|^2 + iD + F_{03} - 2i \frac{\bar{\lambda}_L \lambda_R}{\sqrt{2}\sigma}} + \frac{\bar{\lambda}_R}{\sqrt{2}\bar{\sigma}} \right) \left( 2\bar{p} \lambda_L + \frac{\mathcal{D}_L \lambda_R}{\sqrt{2}\sigma} \right) \\
& + \frac{1}{2p^2} i \left( -2p \bar{\lambda}_L + \frac{\bar{\lambda}_R \overleftarrow{\mathcal{D}}_L}{\sqrt{2}\bar{\sigma}} \right) \left( \frac{\sqrt{2}\bar{\sigma} \lambda_R - \mathcal{D}_R \lambda_L}{|\sqrt{2}\sigma|^2 + iD - F_{03} - 2i \frac{\bar{\lambda}_R \lambda_L}{\sqrt{2}\bar{\sigma}}} - \frac{\lambda_R}{\sqrt{2}\bar{\sigma}} \right) \\
& + \frac{1}{2\bar{p}^2} i \left( -2\bar{p} \bar{\lambda}_R + \frac{\bar{\lambda}_L \overleftarrow{\mathcal{D}}_R}{\sqrt{2}\sigma} \right) \left( \frac{\sqrt{2}\sigma \lambda_L - \mathcal{D}_L \lambda_R}{|\sqrt{2}\sigma|^2 + iD + F_{03} - 2i \frac{\bar{\lambda}_L \lambda_R}{\sqrt{2}\sigma}} - \frac{\lambda_L}{\sqrt{2}\sigma} \right) \\
& - \frac{1}{4p} |\sqrt{2}\sigma|^2 \frac{iD + F_{03} + \square \ln \sqrt{2}\bar{\sigma}}{|\sqrt{2}\sigma|^2 + iD - F_{03} - 2i \frac{\bar{\lambda}_R \lambda_L}{\sqrt{2}\bar{\sigma}}} + \frac{1}{4} |\sqrt{2}\sigma|^2 \frac{\bar{p}}{p}
\end{aligned}$$

$$- \frac{1}{4\bar{p}} |\sqrt{2}\sigma|^2 \frac{iD - F_{03} + \square \ln \sqrt{2}\sigma}{|\sqrt{2}\sigma|^2 + iD + F_{03} - 2i \frac{\bar{\lambda}_L \lambda_R}{\sqrt{2}\sigma}} + \frac{1}{4} |\sqrt{2}\sigma|^2 \frac{p}{\bar{p}}.$$



# References

- [1] E. Witten, *Instantons, the Quark Model, and the  $1/n$  Expansion*, Nucl. Phys. B **149**, 285 (1979).
- [2] A. D’Adda, P. Di Vecchia and M. Luscher, *Confinement and Chiral Symmetry Breaking in  $CP(N-1)$  Models with Quarks*, Nucl. Phys. B **152**, 125 (1979).
- [3] M. Shifman and A. Yung, *Large- $N$  Solution of the Heterotic  $N=(0,2)$  Two-Dimensional  $CP(N-1)$  Model*, Phys. Rev. D **77**, 125017 (2008) [arXiv:0803.0698 [hep-th]].
- [4] A. D’Adda, A. C. Davis, P. Di Vecchia and P. Salomonson, *An Effective Action for the Supersymmetric  $CP(N-1)$  Model*, Nucl. Phys. B **222**, 45 (1983).
- [5] S. Cecotti and C. Vafa, *On classification of  $\mathcal{N} = 2$  supersymmetric theories*, Commun. Math. Phys. **158**, 569 (1993) [hep-th/9211097].
- [6] E. Witten, *Phases of  $\mathcal{N} = 2$  theories in two-dimensions*, Nucl. Phys. B **403**, 159 (1993) [hep-th/9301042].
- [7] G. Veneziano and S. Yankielowicz, *An Effective Lagrangian for the Pure  $\mathcal{N} = 1$  Supersymmetric Yang-Mills Theory*, Phys. Lett. B **113** (1982) 231.
- [8] P. A. Bolokhov, M. Shifman and A. Yung, *Large- $N$  Solution of the Heterotic  $CP(N-1)$  Model with Twisted Masses*, Phys. Rev. D **82**, 025011 (2010) [arXiv:1001.1757 [hep-th]].
- [9] M. Edalati and D. Tong, *Heterotic vortex strings*, JHEP **0705**, 005 (2007) [arXiv:hep-th/0703045].
- [10] M. Shifman and A. Yung, *Heterotic Flux Tubes in  $\mathcal{N} = 2$  SQCD with  $\mathcal{N} = 1$  Preserving Deformations*, Phys. Rev. D **77**, 125016 (2008) [arXiv:0803.0158 [hep-th]].
- [11] P. A. Bolokhov, M. Shifman and A. Yung, *Description of the Heterotic String Solutions in  $U(N)$  SQCD*, Phys. Rev. D **79**, 085015 (2009) (Erratum: Phys. Rev. D **80**, 049902 (2009)) [arXiv:0901.4603 [hep-th]].
- [12] P. A. Bolokhov, M. Shifman and A. Yung, Phys. Rev. D **81**, 065025 (2010) [arXiv:0907.2715 [hep-th]].