December 11, 2013

in CPN-1 of large N

1. The kinetic term (which countins 15/6/2) 20

observed as - & Salto lu E lu E

2. iO. lu 1612 is found from

[] 1° δ Σ(lu Σ - 1) + h.c.

* * *

These things we stresdy know. Remind, we need to get

46 heft = 1 1 2 Fro + 15 612 + [12 idel + 1 idel +

+ 4/6/(5-6). Foz + 2i(126/LL LR + 166 Le LL) +

+ (10+ 15612). ln (50+ 15612) + 50 + 15612 ln 15612

I will smit visious the and "i" here but ? do

track all of them in calculations.

3. In (1 & 19/6/2) is found as the lowest component of In [1 + En PuluE] or its hermiteau conjugate. r it's possible that both are needed in order to balance various roghts and left-handed dermions; if we include both that less and its h.c., we'll jet lu [1612 + iD + (10)3] in the result and that closs not yet even include Permoons and field derivatives so I don't know whether this is bod or good. Although if we enclude both In [... I and its hermiteen conjugate, they item "2." and "3." combine into SIPO E[h(X + On Duln E] - 1 (+ b.e. This expression I need to bully expand.

?

4. $|b|^2 \cdot \ln \left(1 + \frac{iP_{6}|^2}{F_{6}|^2}\right) \cdot \operatorname{con} be bound as$ $\int_{D_D} \frac{f^2}{D_D \ln E} \cdot \ln \left(1 + \frac{D_D D_D E}{E}\right)$

one & gives "D' (rue dou't need it),

Enother & -> 6, and lossvittem -> iD/2 in denominator in denom.

If the big ln(), as in them "3.", gives ln(1+ iD/10)?

cancellation of the un-needed "it" happens only if

we ignore far. In full expression, there will be

a lactor iD to Fo3

Again, I boût know If this is good enough "concellation"

- 5. The effective potential Veff con be written as
- a formal expression

this can be expanded as

and easily supersymmetrized using the log of them "3."

6. I have not obtained yet anything reasonable

Iron the supergraph approach, besides of "guessing"

In E. In E which we know survey

7. Expression of the logarithms in series, supersymmetrization, and then combining leach into logarithms, quite likely will give the same as idems "2." and "3."

This is not very disticult, and I have done this at the component level (without supersymmetrization).

By the way, the sign of $\frac{1}{2} \frac{D^2}{161^2}$ from the kinetic term

Loes not concel the sign of $\frac{1}{2} \frac{C_1D^2}{161^2}$ from the

series expansion (2000), I do track all factors of "i",

and confirm that they down cancel [. I don't know

if it would have been useful of they dod.

8. To compose the result with D'Alla et al. 15

Still 400 early. It is possible (hopefully) to do

that st the component level (as series expressions) — since

(And then supersymmetrized it)

they 600 first obtained a component expression. Unlike our

Veff, however, their result is unreadable even at the

component level.

One surely useful thing to horrow from their works is the charal versable DRD INT

[it's a bit hard to decrypt this, but this indeed is whit they use]

De OLÉ is more straighterward in giving in 1512,

IEI' lare shire. Plus, they say a lot of good words about transformation properties of De De In In I