FTPI-MINN-XX/XX, UMN-TH-XXXX/XX
August 18/2014/DRAFT

Low-Energy Effective Action of the Supersymmetric CP($N-1$) Model in the Large-N Limit

Pavel A. Bolokhov[a], **Mikhail Shifman**[b] **and Alexei Yung**[b,c]

[a] *Theoretical Physics Department, St.Petersburg State University, Ulyanovskaya 1, Peterhof, St.Petersburg, 198504, Russia*
[b] *William I. Fine Theoretical Physics Institute, University of Minnesota, Minneapolis, MN 55455, USA*
[c] *Petersburg Nuclear Physics Institute, Gatchina, St. Petersburg 188300, Russia*

Abstract

Motivated by an old would-be paradox we found a solution of supersymmetric CP($N-1$) models in superfields. Our main target is the Kähler potential, since the superpotential term was exactly known since early 1990s. To this end we used the large-N expansion to perform a supersymmetric calculation in the leading order in $1/N$. The models considered are $\mathcal{N}=(2,2)$ basic CP($N-1$) model and its nonminimal $\mathcal{N}=(0,2)$ deformation various aspects of which are being actively studied since 2007. Another extension is solving the above models in the presence of nonvanishing twisted masses.

1 Introduction

{sec1}

Supersymmetric and non-supersymmetric CP$(N-1)$ models in two dimensions are exactly solvable in the large-N limit [1, 2, 3]. Moreover, the superpotential part can be found exactly (for any N) [4, 5, 6] in terms of the so-called twisted superfield Σ (to be defined below) in the form

$$\mathcal{L}_{\rm sp} = {\rm const} \times \left[\int d\theta_R d\bar{\theta}_L \left(\sqrt{2}\Sigma \log \sqrt{2}\Sigma - \sqrt{2}\Sigma \right) + {\rm H.c} \right]. \qquad (1.1) \quad \{1\}$$

For brevity below we will sometimes refer to above expression as the Witten superpotential. Equation (1.1) encodes all information about the anomalies of the CP$(N-1)$ model. In this sense it is akin to the Veneziano–Yankielowicz superpotential [7] in $\mathcal{N}=1$ super-Yang-Mills theory in four dimensions. However, the Veneziano-Yankielowicz result admittedly presents an effective superpotential suitable only for determination of the vacuum structure while (1.1) presents the exact superpotential part of the solution of the CP$(N-1)$ model.

The scalar superpotential for the CP$(N-1)$ models in the large-N limit was found in [3, 8] (from a nonsupersymmetric calculation) to have the form

$$V = \frac{N}{4\pi} \left[\Lambda^2 + 2|\sigma|^2 \left(\log \frac{2|\sigma|^2}{\Lambda^2} - 1 \right) \right] \qquad (1.2) \quad \{2\}$$

where σ is the lowest component of Σ. In [8] it was checked that the critical pints of (1.1) coincide withy the minima of the scalar potential (1.2). Both expressions lead to zero-energy ground states, i.e. to supersymmetric vacua.

Rather often an apparent paradox is pointed out in comparing (1.1) and (1.2). Usually it is assumed that the Kähler term in the superfield formulation has the simplest possible form invariant under scale transformations (and those related to the scale transformations by supersymmetry), namely,

$$\mathcal{L}_{\rm K} = {\rm const} \times \int d^4\theta \, \log\sqrt{2}\Sigma \;\; \log\sqrt{2}\bar{\Sigma} \, . \qquad (1.3) \quad \{3\}$$

Then eliminating the D term (the last component of Σ) by using equations of motion for D, we would obviously arrive at

$$V = {\rm const} \times \left(2|\sigma|^2 \, \log 2|\sigma|^2 \right)^2 \, . \qquad (1.4)$$

It is clear that this expression, being proportional to the square of a logarithm, cannot coincide with (1.2).

A way out from this paradox was pointed out in [8]. There it was suggested that the minimal form of the Kähler term (1.3) is not complete. The Kähler term is in fact more complicated (although still compatible with scale invariance) – an extra contribution to $\mathcal{L}_{\rm K}$ having a special feature of vanishing at $D = 0$, i.e. in supersymmetric vacua. However, the result for the full $\mathcal{L}_{\rm K}$ was not derived. Here we close this gap carrying out a supersymmetric calculation of both $\mathcal{L}_{\rm K}$ and $\mathcal{L}_{\rm sp}$ to the leading order in $1/N$. While the latter coincides with (1.1), as was expected, the expression for $\mathcal{L}_{\rm K}$ in the large-N solution brings a surprise.[1] The Kähler potential obtained depends not only on Σ and $\overline{\Sigma}$, as is usually the case, but also on superderivatives of these superfields. This is the reason why sacle invariance apparent in (1.3) can be maintained in additional terms $\Delta\mathcal{L}_{\rm K}$.

Combining our expression for the full Kähler potential with (1.1) we recover the scalar potential (1.2).

The outline of the paper is as follows. Section 2 is introductory. Here we introduce our basic notation, in particular, twisted superspace and twisted superfields,[2] formulate the problem in more detail, and briefly summarize the main steps of the large-N solution in terms of the superfields. Actual calculations are presented in Sec. **??**. At the end of this section we derive the scalar potential (1.2) from the superpotential (1.1) and the Kähler potential we found in our supersymmetric calculation based on the large-N expansion. In Sec. **??** we find the expansion of our solution in the powers of fields and momenta. Section **??** treats the nonminimal heterotic deformation of CP$(N-1)$ models worked out in [9, 10], see also [3, 8, 11], to the leading order in $1/N$. In Sec. **??** we repeat the procedure with non vanishing twisted masses introduced in a standard way. Finally, Section **??** summarizes our results and conclusions.

[1] Note that unlike $\mathcal{L}_{\rm sp}$ the Kähler potential cannot be exactly established on general grounds and requires an actual and rather cumbersome calculation which, fortunately, can be performed to the leading order in $1/N$.

[2] Further notation can be found in Appendix.

2 Supersymmetrization

{sec2}

Our potential is,

$$V_{\rm eff} \;=\; -\frac{N}{4\pi}\left\{\left(\left|\sqrt{2}\sigma\right|^2 + iD\right)\log\left(\left|\sqrt{2}\sigma\right|^2 + iD\right) - iD - \left|\sqrt{2}\sigma\right|^2\log\left|\sqrt{2}\sigma\right|^2\right\}. \quad (2.5) \quad \{\texttt{Veff}\}$$

It can be cast in integral forms which will prove useful below,

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{4\pi}{N}\,V_{\rm eff} \;&=\; -\int_0^{iD} dt\,\ln\left(\left|\sqrt{2}\sigma\right|^2 + t\right) \;= \\ &=\; -\left|\sqrt{2}\sigma\right|^2\left(\ln\left|\sqrt{2}\sigma\right|^2\cdot x \;+\; \int_0^x \ln\left(1+x\right)dx\right). \qquad (2.6)\end{aligned}$$

It can also be represented as a series,

$$\frac{4\pi}{N}\,V_{\rm eff} \;=\; -\,iD\,\ln\left|\sqrt{2}\sigma\right|^2 \;+\; \sum_{k\geq 1}\frac{(-1)^k}{k\,(k+1)}\,iD\left(\frac{iD}{\left|\sqrt{2}\sigma\right|^2}\right)^k. \qquad (2.7) \quad \{\texttt{Vser}\}$$

The first term comes from Witten's superpotential. The first term of the series (with $k\;=\;1$) is taken into account by the "superkinetic" term $|\ln\Sigma\,|^2$. Thus we can write our effective action as

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{4\pi}{N}\,\mathcal{L} \;&=\; -\int d^4\theta\,\frac{1}{2}\left|\ln\sqrt{2}\Sigma\right|^2 \;-\; i\int d^2\tilde\theta\,\left(\sqrt{2}\Sigma\,\ln\sqrt{2}\Sigma \;-\; \sqrt{2}\Sigma\right) \;+ \\ &+\; \frac{i}{2}\int d^2\tilde\theta\,S\sum_{k\geq 1}\frac{(-1)^k}{k\,(k+1)\,(k+2)}\left(\frac{S}{\sqrt{2}\Sigma}\right)^k \;+\; \text{h.c.}, \qquad (2.8) \quad \{\texttt{sseries}\}\end{aligned}$$

where

$$S \;=\; \frac{i}{2}\,\overline{D}_R D_L\ln\sqrt{2}\overline{\Sigma}\,, \qquad\qquad \overline{S} \;=\; \frac{i}{2}\,\overline{D}_L D_R\ln\sqrt{2}\Sigma\,. \qquad (2.9)$$

The series in the second line can be written as a D-term. We get

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{4\pi}{N}\,\mathcal{L} \;&=\; -\int d^4\theta\,\frac{1}{2}\left|\ln\sqrt{2}\Sigma\right|^2 \;-\; i\int d^2\tilde\theta\,\left(\sqrt{2}\Sigma\,\ln\sqrt{2}\Sigma \;-\; \sqrt{2}\Sigma\right) \;+ \\ &+\; \frac{1}{2}\int d^4\theta\,\ln\sqrt{2}\overline{\Sigma}\sum_{k\geq 1}\frac{(-1)^k}{k\,(k+1)\,(k+2)}\left(\frac{S}{\sqrt{2}\Sigma}\right)^k \;+\; \text{h.c.} \quad (2.10)\end{aligned}$$

Here we can see explicitly that the only F-term is the Witten's superpotential, while all other terms are D-terms.

The last term can also be re-written as an analytic expression,

$$\frac{4\pi}{N}\,\mathcal{L} \;=\; -\int d^4\theta\,\frac{1}{2}\Big|\ln\sqrt{2}\Sigma\Big|^2 \;-\; i\int d^2\tilde{\theta}\,\Big(\sqrt{2}\Sigma\,\ln\sqrt{2}\Sigma \;-\; \sqrt{2}\Sigma\Big) \;-$$
$$-\;\frac{i}{4}\int d^2\tilde{\theta}\,\left(\frac{\big(S\,+\,\sqrt{2}\Sigma\big)^2}{S}\,\ln\Big(1\,+\,\frac{S}{\sqrt{2}\Sigma}\Big)\;-\;\sqrt{2}\Sigma\right)\;+\;\text{h.c.} \tag{2.11}$$ {Lsuper}

For compactness, we still wrote the expression containing the logarithm as a (twisted) superpotential, but it can be easily put into the D-term form.

Before proceeding with finding the component version of the above expressions, let us first write out the component expansion of the "known" part of the action — that is, of the Witten's superpotential and the "superkinetic" term,

$$\frac{4\pi}{N}\,\mathcal{L}_{(0)} \;=\; -\int d^4\theta\,\Bigg(\frac{1}{2}\Big|\ln\sqrt{2}\Sigma\Big|^2 \;+$$
$$+\;i\,d^2\tilde{\theta}\,\Big(\sqrt{2}\Sigma\,\ln\sqrt{2}\Sigma\;-\;\sqrt{2}\Sigma\Big)\;+\;i\,d^2\bar{\tilde{\theta}}\,\Big(\sqrt{2}\overline{\Sigma}\,\ln\sqrt{2}\overline{\Sigma}\;-\;\sqrt{2}\overline{\Sigma}\Big)\Bigg)\;=$$
$$=\;-\,iD\,\log|\sqrt{2}\sigma|^2\;-\;\frac{1}{2}\,\frac{\big(iD\big)^2}{\big|\sqrt{2}\sigma\big|^2}\;-\;F_{03}\,\log\frac{\sqrt{2}\sigma}{\sqrt{2}\overline{\sigma}}\;+$$
$$+\;\frac{\big|\partial_\mu\sigma\big|^2\;+\;\frac{1}{2}\,F_{03}^2\;+\;\frac{1}{2}\Big(\,\overline{\lambda}_R\,i\overleftrightarrow{\mathcal{D}}_L\lambda_R\;+\;\overline{\lambda}_L\,i\overleftrightarrow{\mathcal{D}}_R\lambda_L\Big)}{\big|\sqrt{2}\sigma\big|^2}\;- \tag{2.12}$$ {L0}
$$-\;2\,\frac{i\sqrt{2}\sigma\overline{\lambda}_R\lambda_L\;+\;i\sqrt{2}\,\overline{\sigma\lambda}_L\lambda_R}{\big|\sqrt{2}\sigma\big|^2}\;+\;2\,\frac{\overline{\lambda}_R\lambda_L\overline{\lambda}_L\lambda_R}{\big|\sqrt{2}\sigma\big|^4}\;+$$
$$+\;\frac{(iD+F_{03})\,i\sqrt{2}\sigma\overline{\lambda}_R\lambda_L\;+\;(iD-F_{03})\,i\sqrt{2}\,\overline{\sigma\lambda}_L\lambda_R}{\big|\sqrt{2}\sigma\big|^4}\,.$$

The long derivatives here are *(not sure why they have different signs, shouldn't)*

$$\mathcal{D}_L \;=\; \partial_L\;-\;\partial_L\ln\sqrt{2}\sigma$$
$$\mathcal{D}_R \;=\; \partial_R\;+\;\partial_R\ln\sqrt{2}\sigma\,, \tag{2.13}$$

with conjugate expressions for the left-ward derivatives $\overleftarrow{\mathcal{D}}_L$ and $\overleftarrow{\mathcal{D}}_R$. These derivatives include the Christoffel symbol $1/\sigma$ which arises from the fact that we have a

Kähler potential $\left|\log \Sigma\right|^2$. This potential is singular at zero, as the n^l fields of the gauge formulation of the sigma model (which had been integrated out in [3] to find (2.5)) become massless at $\sigma = 0$. In coordinates Σ, $\overline{\Sigma}$ the Kähler potential generates a metric $1/|\sigma|^2$ which is seen in the denominators in the expressions above. However, the Riemann tensor is zero for this metric, reflecting the observation that the target space is in fact flat — if one adopts $\log \Sigma$ and $\log \overline{\Sigma}$ as the coordinates, the metric disappears completely. This also explains why the terms in Eq. (2.12) that come from the superkinetic term are scale invariant — the logarithmic field $\log \Sigma$ depends on scale-invariant ratios $\overline{\lambda}_L/\sigma$, λ_R/σ *etc.* Still, we prefer to work with the coordinates Σ and $\overline{\Sigma}$.

The first two terms in the component expansion in (2.12) form the leading part of the effective potential (2.7). The third term is the anomaly*, may need more discussion.* The terms on the fourth line in (2.12) are the kinetic terms, while the terms on the rest of the lines are Yukawa-like and quartic couplings.

We now calculate the component expansion of the series term in (2.8) in the two–derivative approximation. That is, we only retain terms with no more than two spacetime derivatives for bosons, and terms with no more than one spacetime derivative for fermions. We do so by performing the superspace integration on each of the terms in the series and then assembling the terms into logarithms. Rather than listing the resulting expression for the series by itself, we present the component expression for the whole Lagragian (2.8), which appears more compact:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{L}_{\text{two deriv}} \;=\;& \frac{\left|\partial_\mu \sigma\right|^2}{\left|\sqrt{2}\sigma\right|^2} \;-\; F_{03}\,\log\frac{\sqrt{2}\sigma}{\sqrt{2}\overline{\sigma}} \;+\; \frac{4\pi}{N}V_{\text{eff}} \;- \\
-\;& \frac{F_{03}^2}{\left|\sqrt{2}\sigma\right|^2}\left(2\,\frac{\ln(1+x)\,-\,x}{x^2} \;+\; \frac{1}{2}\,\frac{1}{1\,+\,x}\right) \;- \\
-\;& \frac{\overline{\lambda}_R\, i\overleftrightarrow{\not{\mathcal{D}}}_L\lambda_R \;+\; \overline{\lambda}_L\, i\overleftrightarrow{\not{\mathcal{D}}}_R\lambda_L}{\left|\sqrt{2}\sigma\right|^2}\,\frac{\ln(1+x)\,-\,x}{x^2} \;- \\
-\;& 2\,\frac{i\sqrt{2}\sigma\overline{\lambda}_R\lambda_L \;+\; i\sqrt{2}\,\overline{\sigma}\,\overline{\lambda}_L\lambda_R}{\left|\sqrt{2}\sigma\right|^2}\,\frac{\ln(1+x)}{x} \;+ \\
+\;& 4\,\frac{\overline{\lambda}_R\lambda_L\overline{\lambda}_L\lambda_R}{\left|\sqrt{2}\sigma\right|^4}\left(\frac{\ln(1+x)\,-\,x}{x^2} \;+\; \frac{1}{1\,+\,x}\right) \;+ \\
+\;& \frac{1}{4}\,\Box\,\log|\sqrt{2}\sigma|^2\cdot\frac{(1-x^2)\ln(1+x)\,-\,x}{x^2} \;- \\
-\;& 2\,\frac{F_{03}\big(i\sqrt{2}\sigma\overline{\lambda}_R\lambda_L \;-\; i\sqrt{2}\,\overline{\sigma}\,\overline{\lambda}_L\lambda_R\big)}{\left|\sqrt{2}\sigma\right|^4}\,\frac{\ln(1+x)\,-\,x}{x^2}\,.
\end{aligned}
\tag{2.14}
$$

{L2d}

Here we have introduced a shorthand for the series expansion quantity

$$
x \;=\; \frac{iD}{\left|\sqrt{2}\sigma\right|^2}\,. \tag{2.15}
$$

We notice that the effect of adding the series to Eq. (2.12) is the multiplication of all terms by certain functions of x. In fact, the whole expression (2.12) is the leading order approximation (or subleading for some of the terms) in x of the two-derivative Langrangian (2.14).

Now we exclude the auxiliary field D to obtain the effective action as a function of σ. Due to the very complicated dependence of the action (2.14) on D, we can only do so with the accuracy of keeping up to two space-time derivatives. In order to make the procedure of resolution of D easier, we switch to using the variable x. The Lagrangian (2.14) can be split into three pieces:

$$
\mathcal{L} \;=\; \mathcal{L}_{(0)}(x) \;+\; \mathcal{L}_{(1)}(x) \;+\; \mathcal{L}_{(2)}(x)\,, \tag{2.16}
$$

{Lpert}

each explicitly containing, correspondingly, none, one and two space-time derivatives. In particular,

$$\frac{4\pi}{N}\,\mathcal{L}_{(0)}(x) \;=\; \frac{\left|\partial_\mu\sigma\right|^2}{\left|\sqrt{2}\sigma\right|^2} \;-\; F_{03}\,\log\frac{\sqrt{2}\sigma}{\sqrt{2}\overline{\sigma}} \;+\; \frac{4\pi}{N}\,V_{\rm eff}(x)\,. \tag{2.17}$$

Although this expression actually does contain space-time derivatives in the kinetic term for σ and in the anomaly term, the latter terms are independent of x and are unaltered during the course of resolution of x. The first-order part $\mathcal{L}_{(1)}(x)$ only includes the term on the fourth line in (2.14), *i.e.* the Yukawa-like coupling. Each part in the expansion (2.16), besides the explicit derivatives, will also contain derivatives implictly, via x. More specifically, we split the solution (to be found) of the equations of motion as,

$$x \;=\; x_0 \;+\; x_1 \;+\; \ldots\,. \tag{2.18}$$

Expanding the Lagrangian perturbatively in the number of space-time derivatives, and using the equation of motion for x, one can show that to the second order in space-time derivatives the Lagrangian is

$$\mathcal{L}_{\rm two\;deriv}(\sigma) \;=\; \mathcal{L}_{(0)}(x_0) \;+\; \mathcal{L}_{(1)}(x_0) \;+\; \mathcal{L}_{(2)}(x_0) \;-\; \frac{1}{2}\,\frac{\partial^2\mathcal{L}_{(0)}}{\partial x^2}\bigg|_{x_0}\cdot x_1^2\,. \tag{2.19}$$ {Lx}

Here x_0 is the minimum of the potential $V_{\rm eff}(x)$,

$$x_0 \;=\; \frac{1\,-\,\left|\sqrt{2}\sigma\right|^2}{\left|\sqrt{2}\sigma\right|^2}\,, \tag{2.20}$$

while x_1 is

$$x_1 \;=\; -\,\frac{\partial\mathcal{L}_{(1)}}{\partial x}(x_0)\,\left(\frac{\partial^2\mathcal{L}_{(0)}}{\partial x^2}(x_0)\right)^{-1}\,. \tag{2.21}$$

Higher terms in x are not needed for our purposes. It is only due to the presence of the Yukawa-like coupling in Eq. (2.14) — which effectively is a one-derivative term — that the expression (2.19) includes the last term depending on x_1^2. That term, however, is important as it modifies the coefficient of the quartic fermionic coupling in Eq. (2.14).

It is now straightforward to calculate expression (2.19). For the sake of presenting the results, it is helpful to introduce a variable r,

$$r \;=\; \left|\sqrt{2}\sigma\right|^2 \tag{2.22}$$

and a function $v_{\rm eff}(r)$,

$$v_{\rm eff}(r) \;=\; \frac{4\pi}{N}\,V_{\rm eff}(\sigma) \;=\; r\,\ln r \;+\; 1 \;-\; r\,. \tag{2.23}$$

The result for Eq. (2.19) can now be given as,

$$\begin{aligned}
&\frac{4\pi}{N}\,\mathcal{L}_{\text{two deriv}}(\sigma, A_\mu, \lambda) \;= \\
&= \;\frac{\left|\partial_\mu\sigma\right|^2}{r} \;-\; F_{03}\,\log\frac{\sqrt{2}\sigma}{\sqrt{2}\overline{\sigma}} \;+\; v_{\rm eff}(r) \;- \\
&+\; 2\,F_{03}^2\left(\frac{v_{\rm eff}(r)}{(1-r)^2} \;-\; \frac{r}{4}\right) \;+\; \left(\overline{\lambda}_R\,i\overleftrightarrow{\mathcal{D}_L}\lambda_R \;+\; \overline{\lambda}_L\,i\overleftrightarrow{\mathcal{D}_R}\lambda_L\right)\frac{v_{\rm eff}(r)}{(1-r)^2} \;+ \\
&+\; 2\left(i\sqrt{2}\sigma\overline{\lambda}_R\lambda_L \;+\; i\sqrt{2}\,\overline{\sigma\lambda}_L\lambda_R\right)\frac{1-r}{r}\,\ln r \;- \\
&-\; 4\,\overline{\lambda}_R\lambda_L\overline{\lambda}_L\lambda_R\left(\frac{v_{\rm eff}(r)}{r\,(1-r)^2} \;-\; \frac{1}{r} \;+\; \frac{r\left(1 \,-\, r \,-\, \ln r\right)^2}{(1-r)^4}\right) \;- \\
&-\; \frac{1}{4}\,\Box\,\ln r\left(\frac{r\,v_{\rm eff}(r)}{(1-r)^2} \;-\; \ln r\right) \;+ \\
&+\; 2\,F_{03}\left(i\sqrt{2}\sigma\overline{\lambda}_R\lambda_L \;-\; i\sqrt{2}\,\overline{\sigma\lambda}_L\lambda_R\right)\frac{v_{\rm eff}(r)}{r\,(1-r)^2}\,.
\end{aligned} \tag{2.24}$$

{Lsigma}

Interestingly, most of the "coefficients" of the terms in the Lagrangian (2.24) contain the potential $v_{\rm eff}(r)$ in this or that form.

3 Heterotic Deformation

The heterotic deformation is introduced using $\mathcal{N} = (0,2)$ superfields and essentially follows the guidelines of [9]. Before describing this deformation in detail we need to introduce the necessary superfield machinery consistent with our notations.

3.1 $\mathcal{N} = (0,2)$ superfields

We define $\mathcal{N} = (0,2)$ superspace via reduction of $\mathcal{N} = (2,2)$ superspace by putting

$$\theta_L \;=\; \overline{\theta}_L \;=\; 0\,. \tag{3.25}$$

Each chiral and twisted-chiral $\mathcal{N} = (2,2)$ superfield this way splits into two $\mathcal{N} = (0,2)$ superfields. While chiral superfields are usually described as being dependent on a "holomorphic" variable y^μ,

$$y^\mu \;=\; x^\mu \;+\; i\,\overline{\theta\sigma}_\mu\theta\,, \tag{3.26}$$

and twisted-chiral as dependent on a twisted variable $\widetilde{y}^\mu$,

$$\widetilde{y}^\mu \;=\; x^\mu \;+\; i\,\overline{\theta\widetilde{\sigma}}_\mu\theta\,, \tag{3.27}$$

(see Appendix A for details and notations), the distinction between the two variables vanishes upon reduction to $\mathcal{N} = (0,2)$ superspace. As a result, $\mathcal{N} = (0,2)$ superspace defines only one kind of holomorphic superfields — chiral $\mathcal{N} = (0,2)$ superfields, which depend upon the reduced variables υ^μ

$$\begin{aligned} \upsilon^0 &= x^0 \;+\; i\,\overline{\theta}_R\theta_R \\ \upsilon^3 &= x^3 \;+\; i\,\overline{\theta}_R\theta_R\,. \end{aligned} \tag{3.28}$$

To make a distinction with $\mathcal{N} = (2,2)$ superfields, we denote the $\mathcal{N} = (0,2)$ superfields by symbols with a hat on top — $\hat{\sigma}$, $\hat{\xi}$, *etc.* Of immediate interest to us is the *positional* superfield $Z(y)$ which splits into two $\mathcal{N} = (0,2)$ superfields — $\hat{z}(\upsilon)$ and $\hat{\zeta}(\upsilon)$,

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{z}(\upsilon) &= z \;-\; \sqrt{2}\,\theta_R\,\zeta_L\,, & \hat{\zeta}(\upsilon) &= \zeta_R \;+\; \sqrt{2}\,\theta_R\,\mathcal{F}\,, \\ \hat{\overline{z}}(\overline{\upsilon}) &= \overline{z} \;+\; \sqrt{2}\,\overline{\theta_L\zeta_L}\,, & \hat{\overline{\zeta}}(\overline{\upsilon}) &= \overline{\psi}_R \;+\; \sqrt{2}\,\overline{\theta_R\mathcal{F}}\,, \end{aligned} \tag{3.29}$$

and the twisted chiral superfield $\Sigma(\widetilde{y})$, which splits into $\hat{\sigma}(\upsilon)$ and $\hat{\lambda}(\upsilon)$,

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{\sigma}(\upsilon) &= \sigma \;-\; \sqrt{2}\,\theta_R\,\overline{\lambda}_L & \hat{\lambda}(\upsilon) &= \lambda_R \;+\; i\,\theta_R\,(iD \;+\; F_{03}) \\ \hat{\overline{\sigma}}(\overline{\upsilon}) &= \overline{\sigma} \;+\; \sqrt{2}\,\overline{\theta}_R\,\lambda_L & \hat{\overline{\lambda}}(\overline{\upsilon}) &= \overline{\lambda}_R \;+\; i\,\overline{\theta}_R\,(iD \;-\; F_{03})\,. \end{aligned} \tag{3.30}$$

3.2 Construction of the deformation

The heterotic deformation is introduced using the fermionic translational degree of freedom ζ_R, which, as we just described, sits in the supermultiplet $\hat{\zeta}$. The first thing this new degree of freedom needs is the kinetic term

$$\int d^2\theta_R\;\hat{\zeta}_R\,\hat{\overline{\zeta}}_R \;=\; \overline{\zeta}_R\,i\partial_L\zeta_R \;+\; \overline{\mathcal{F}}\,\mathcal{F}\,. \tag{3.31}$$

In the $\mathcal{N} = (0,2)$ space, a superpotential, as a holomorphic function $J(\hat{\sigma})$ is constructed using an arbitrary fermionic multiplet. It is obvious that for our purposes $\hat{\zeta}$ is the right fermionic superfield, giving rise to terms like

$$\int d\theta_R\, \hat{\zeta}\, J(\hat{\sigma})\,. \tag{3.32}$$

As for the superpotential function $J(\hat{\sigma})$ itself, it comes from the four-dimensional deformation superpotential — if applicable — taken as a function of $\hat{\sigma}$,

$$J(\hat{\sigma}) \quad = \quad \frac{\partial\, \mathcal{W}_{\text{4-d}}(\hat{\sigma})}{\partial \hat{\sigma}}\,. \tag{3.33}$$

Remind, that originally $\mathcal{W}_{\text{4-d}}$ is a function of $\mathcal{A}$. We stick to the quadratic deformation, generically without making references to the four-dimensional bulk theory, in which case

$$J(\sqrt{2}\hat{\sigma}) \quad = \quad \delta \cdot \sqrt{2}\hat{\sigma}\,, \tag{3.34}$$

where δ is the parameter of deformation.

Altogether, the part of the Lagrangian involving the supertranslational sector is

$$\mathcal{L}_{\text{het}} \quad = \quad \int d^2\theta_R\, \hat{\zeta}_R \,\hat{\overline{\zeta}}_R \quad - \quad i \int d\theta_R\, \hat{\zeta}\cdot J(\sqrt{2}\hat{\sigma}) \quad - \quad i \int d\overline{\theta}_R\, \hat{\overline{\zeta}}\cdot \overline{J}(\sqrt{2}\hat{\overline{\sigma}})\,. \tag{3.35}$$

In components, this is

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{L}_{\text{het}} \quad = \quad & \overline{\zeta}_R\, i\partial_L \zeta_R \quad + \quad \overline{\mathcal{F}}\,\mathcal{F} \quad - \quad i\,\sqrt{2}\delta\,\mathcal{F}\cdot\sqrt{2}\sigma \quad - \quad i\,\sqrt{2}\overline{\delta}\,\overline{\mathcal{F}}\cdot\sqrt{2}\overline{\sigma} \quad + \\ & + \quad i\,\sqrt{2}\delta\cdot\sqrt{2}\overline{\lambda}_L\zeta_R \quad + \quad i\,\sqrt{2}\overline{\delta}\cdot\sqrt{2}\overline{\zeta}_R\lambda_L\,.\end{aligned} \tag{3.36}$$

With the auxiliary field $\mathcal{F}$ excluded, this produces a quadratic potential for σ,

$$\mathcal{L}_{\text{het}} \quad = \quad \overline{\zeta}_R\, i\partial_L\zeta_R \; + \; |\sqrt{2}\delta|^2 \left|\sqrt{2}\sigma\right|^2 \; + \; i\,\sqrt{2}\delta\cdot\sqrt{2}\overline{\lambda}_L\zeta_R \; + \; i\,\sqrt{2}\overline{\delta}\cdot\sqrt{2}\overline{\zeta}_R\lambda_L\,. \tag{3.37}$$

Since the introduction of this deformation did not involve the variables n^l or ξ^l of the original CP^{N-1} theory, the above terms are just added on top of (2.24) (paying due respect to the factor $N/4\pi$ in the latter equation) to produce the solution of the deformed theory.

4 Twisted Masses

Generalization to the theory with twisted masses is quite trivial. In essense, every instance of σ in the Lagrangian is replaced with the difference $\sigma - m_k$. Since all masses are generically different, the overall factor $N/4\pi$ is replaced by $1/4\pi$ and a sum over k.

It is straightforward to write a superfield generalization of this. In Eq. (2.11), every occurence of superfield Σ should be replaced with $\Sigma - m_k$, and, in addition, we have to introduce N fields S_k. It is of absolutely no effort to also include the heterotic deformation (for which, however, replacement $\sigma \to \sigma - m_k$ is not done). The overall supersymmetric form of the effective Lagrangian with twisted masses and heterotic deformation is,

$$\begin{aligned} 4\pi\,\mathcal{L} \;=\; & -\sum_k \Bigg(\bigg(\int d^4\theta\, \frac{1}{2} \Big| \ln\big(\sqrt{2}\Sigma - m_k\big) \Big|^2 \;+ \\ & +\; i \int d^2\tilde{\theta}\, \Big(\big(\sqrt{2}\Sigma - m_k\big) \ln\big(\sqrt{2}\Sigma - m_k\big) - \big(\sqrt{2}\Sigma - m_k\big) \Big) \;+ \\ & +\; \frac{i}{4} \int d^2\tilde{\theta}\, \left(\frac{\big(S_k + \sqrt{2}\Sigma - m_k\big)^2}{S_k} \ln\left(1 + \frac{S_k}{\sqrt{2}\Sigma - m_k}\right) - \big(\sqrt{2}\Sigma - m_k\big) \right) \bigg) \Bigg) \\ & +\; 4\pi \int d^2\theta_R\, \hat{\zeta}_R \bar{\hat{\zeta}}_R \;-\; 4\pi i \int d\theta_R\, \hat{\zeta} \cdot J(\sqrt{2}\hat{\sigma}) \;+\; \text{h.c.} \end{aligned} \tag{4.38}$$

{hetmass}

Hermitean conjugate is understood here to be added to those terms that require it (in particular, the first term in Eq. (4.38) does not need a conjugate). We have introduced the obvious notation,

$$S_k = \frac{i}{2}\,\overline{D}_R D_L \ln\big(\sqrt{2}\overline{\Sigma} - \overline{m}_k\big)\,, \qquad \overline{S}_k = \frac{i}{2}\,\overline{D}_L D_R \ln\big(\sqrt{2}\Sigma - m_k\big)\,. \tag{4.39}$$

- Confirm the form of the long derivatives

5 Conclusions

Acknowledgments

We are grateful to Sergey Gukov for stimulating questions which motivated us to carry out this work.

The work of M.S. is supported in part by DOE grant DE-SC0011842. The work of A.Y. was supported by FTPI, University of Minnesota, by RFBR Grant No. 13-02-00042a and by Russian State Grant for Scientific Schools RSGSS-657512010.2.

A Notations

{app:notations

Chiral superfields $\Phi(y)$ split into $\mathcal{N}=(0,2)$ superfields $\hat\phi(\upsilon)$ and $\hat\xi(\upsilon)$,

$$\begin{aligned}\Phi(y) \quad &\longrightarrow \quad \hat\phi(\upsilon) \quad + \quad \sqrt{2}\,\theta_L\,\hat\xi(\upsilon)\,,\\ \overline{\Phi}(\overline{y}) \quad &\longrightarrow \quad \overline{\hat\phi}(\overline{\upsilon}) \quad - \quad \sqrt{2}\,\overline{\theta}_L\,\overline{\hat\xi}(\overline{\upsilon})\,,\end{aligned} \tag{A.40}$$

while twisted-chiral superfields $\Sigma(\widetilde{y})$ split into $\hat\sigma(\upsilon)$ and $\hat\lambda(\upsilon)$,

$$\begin{aligned}\Sigma(\widetilde{y}) \quad &\longrightarrow \quad \hat\sigma(\upsilon) \quad + \quad \sqrt{2}\,\overline{\theta}_L\,\hat\lambda(\upsilon)\,,\\ \overline{\Sigma}(\overline{\widetilde{y}}) \quad &\longrightarrow \quad \overline{\hat\sigma}(\overline{\upsilon}) \quad - \quad \sqrt{2}\,\theta_L\,\overline{\hat\lambda}(\upsilon)\,.\end{aligned} \tag{A.41}$$

References

[1] E. Witten, *Instantons, the Quark Model, and the 1/n Expansion,* Nucl. Phys. B **149**, 285 (1979).

[2] A. D'Adda, P. Di Vecchia and M. Luscher, *Confinement and Chiral Symmetry Breaking in CP(N-1) Models with Quarks,* Nucl. Phys. B **152**, 125 (1979).

[3] M. Shifman and A. Yung, *Large-N Solution of the Heterotic N=(0,2) Two-Dimensional CP(N-1) Model,* Phys. Rev. D **77**, 125017 (2008) [arXiv:0803.0698 [hep-th]].

[4] A. D'Adda, A. C. Davis, P. Di Vecchia and P. Salomonson, *An Effective Action for the Supersymmetric $CP(N-1)$ Model,* Nucl. Phys. B **222**, 45 (1983).

[5] S. Cecotti and C. Vafa, *On classification of $\mathcal{N}=2$ supersymmetric theories,* Commun. Math. Phys. **158**, 569 (1993) [hep-th/9211097].

[6] E. Witten, *Phases of $\mathcal{N}=2$ theories in two-dimensions,* Nucl. Phys. B **403**, 159 (1993) [hep-th/9301042].

[7] G. Veneziano and S. Yankielowicz, *An Effective Lagrangian for the Pure $\mathcal{N}=1$ Supersymmetric Yang-Mills Theory,* Phys. Lett. B **113** (1982) 231.

[8] P. A. Bolokhov, M. Shifman and A. Yung, *Large-N Solution of the Heterotic CP(N-1) Model with Twisted Masses,* Phys. Rev. D **82**, 025011 (2010) [arXiv:1001.1757 [hep-th]].

[9] M. Edalati and D. Tong, *Heterotic vortex strings,* JHEP **0705**, 005 (2007) [arXiv:hep-th/0703045].

[10] M. Shifman and A. Yung, *Heterotic Flux Tubes in* $\mathcal{N}=2$ *SQCD with* $\mathcal{N}=1$ *Preserving Deformations,* Phys. Rev. D **77**, 125016 (2008) [arXiv:0803.0158 [hep-th]].

[11] P. A. Bolokhov, M. Shifman and A. Yung, *Description of the Heterotic String Solutions in U(N) SQCD,* Phys. Rev. D **79**, 085015 (2009) (Erratum: Phys. Rev. D 80, 049902 (2009)) [arXiv:0901.4603 [hep-th]].