2D-4D Correspondence: Towers of Kinks Versus Towers of Monopoles in $\mathcal{N}=2$ Theories

Pavel A. Bolokhov^{a,b}, Mikhail Shifman^a and Alexei Yung ^{a,c}

 William I. Fine Theoretical Physics Institute, University of Minnesota, Minneapolis, MN 55455, USA
 Theoretical Physics Department, St.Petersburg State University, Ulyanovskaya 1, Peterhof, St.Petersburg, 198504, Russia
 Petersburg Nuclear Physics Institute, Gatchina, St. Petersburg 188300, Russia

Abstract

1 Introduction

Recently we revisited the problem of the BPS kink spectrum in the supersymmetric CP(N-1) l model with \mathcal{Z}_N -symmetric twisted masses [6] in connection with the studies of the curves of marginal stability. We derived the BPS spectrum by combining three requirements: (i) at small values of the mass terms, i.e. at strong coupling, the solution implied by the mirror representation [1, 2], in the first order in the twisted masses [3]; (ii) consistency in the Argyres–Douglas points, and (iii) quasiclassical limit which had been analyzed previously in [8]. Our analysis is based on the superpotential of the Veneziano–Yankielowicz type that is exact in the BPS sector. This potential is presented by a multibranch (and, hence, multivalued) function. Therefore, a disambiguation is necessary. The combination of the above three requirements led us to an unambiguous prediction. A a surprising finding is: in the $\mathcal{N} = 2 \, CP(N-1)$ model with \mathcal{Z}_N -symmetric twisted masses there are N-1 towers of BPS saturated kinks. The previous studies in the literature mention a single tower. Only this single tower is seen in the quasiclassical analysis in [8].

Since the CP(N-1) model with \mathcal{Z}_N -symmetric twisted masses appears as a low-energy theory on the world sheet of non-Abelian strings [9] supported in certain four-dimensional $\mathcal{N}=2$ gauge theories with $N=N_f$, the prediction for the BPS spectrum in two dimensions can be elevated to four dimensions. Thus, our formula simultaneously describes confined monopoles in the Higgs phase of the four-dimensional gauge theory, as explained e.g. in the review paper [10]. Thus, we predict that at large values of the mass differences of the (s)quark fields (which translate into the twisted masses in 2D) these monopoles appear in the spectrum in the form of the same N-1 towers.

In this paper we will discuss the origin and the physical meaning of the phenomenon of N-1 towers for kinks in 2D/monopoles in 4D. To avoid bulky notation and excessive technicalities we will mostly focus on the simplest nontrivial example, that of CP(2). Generalization to CP(N-1) is conceptually straightforward. We will briefly discuss it at the end.

For arbitrary N the Z_N symmetric twisted mass parameters are defined as

$$m_k = m_0 \cdot e^{2\pi i k/N}, \qquad k = 0, 1, ..., N - 1;$$
 (1.1)

the set of the mass parameters depends on a single complex parameter m_0 . In what follows we will assume m_0 to be real. For N=3 we have three mass parameters,

and two masses in the geometric formulation (they can be viewed as mass terms of the elementary fermion excitations), namely

$$m_0, m_1 = m_0 e^{2\pi i/3}, m_2 = m_0 e^{-2\pi i/3};$$

 $M_1 = m_1 - m_0, M_2 = m_2 - m_0.$ (1.2)

The master formula to be used below takes the form

$$M_{\text{kink}} = U_0(m_0) + i \vec{N} \cdot \vec{m},$$

$$U_0(m_0) = -\frac{1}{2\pi} \left(e^{2\pi i/3} - 1 \right)$$

$$\times \left\{ 3 \sqrt[3]{m_0^3 + \Lambda^3} + \sum_{j=0}^2 m_j \ln \frac{\sqrt[3]{m_0^3 + \Lambda^3} - m_j}{\Lambda} \right\}, \qquad (1.3)$$

where

$$\vec{m} = \{ m_0, \dots, m_{N-1} \} , \qquad (1.4)$$

and \vec{N} is an integer-valued vector determined in [6]. In fact, there are two such vectors (hence, two towers mentioned above),

$$\vec{N}_1 = \{-n+1, n, 0\},$$

$$\vec{N}_2 = \{-n, n, 1\},$$
(1.5)

where n is an integer parameter.

With our parameter values $U_0(m_0)$ is an explicit single-valued function. The multivaluedness resides in (1.5). Indeed,

$$\vec{m} \, \vec{N}_1 = n M_1 + m_0 = n' \, M_1 + m_1 \,,$$

$$\vec{m} \, \vec{N}_2 = n \, M_1 + m_2 \,,$$

$$n' = n - 1 \,. \tag{1.6}$$

2 Formulation

The classical expression for the central charge has two contributions [4]: the Noether and the topological terms,

$$\mathcal{Z} = i M_a q^a + \int dz \, \partial_z O, \qquad a = 1, ... N - 1.$$
 (2.1)

where M^a are the twisted masses (in the geometric formulation),

$$M_a = m^a - m^0, (2.2)$$

 m^a (a=1,2, ..., N) are the masses in the gauge formulation, and the operator O consists of two parts, canonical and anomalous,

$$O = O_{\text{canon}} + O_{\text{anom}}, \tag{2.3}$$

$$O_{\text{canon}} = \sum_{a=1}^{N-1} M_a D^a, \qquad (2.4)$$

$$O_{\text{anom}} = -\frac{N g_0^2}{4\pi} \left(\sum_{a=1}^{N-1} M_a D^a + g_{i\bar{j}} \, \bar{\psi}^{\bar{j}} \, \frac{1-\gamma_5}{2} \, \psi^i \right). \tag{2.5}$$

Moreover, the Noether charges q^a can be obtained from N-1 U(1) currents J^a_μ defined as ¹

$$J_{RL}^{a} = g_{i\bar{j}} \overline{\phi^{\bar{j}}} (T^{a})^{i\bar{j}} i \overleftrightarrow{\partial}_{RL} \phi^{i}$$

$$+ \frac{1}{2} g_{i\bar{j}} \overline{\psi}_{LR}^{\bar{m}} \left((T^{a})_{\bar{m}}^{\bar{p}} \delta_{\bar{p}}^{\bar{j}} + \overline{\phi}^{\bar{r}} (T^{a})_{\bar{r}}^{\bar{k}} \Gamma_{\bar{k}\bar{m}}^{\bar{j}} \right) \psi_{LR}^{i}$$

$$+ \frac{1}{2} g_{i\bar{j}} \overline{\psi}_{LR}^{\bar{j}} \left(\delta_{p}^{i} (T^{a})_{m}^{p} + \Gamma_{mk}^{i} (T^{a})_{r}^{k} \phi^{r} \right) \psi_{LR}^{m}$$

$$(2.6)$$

in the geometric representation, and

$$J_{RL}^{a} = i \,\overline{n}_{a} \, \overleftrightarrow{\partial}_{RL} n^{a} - |n^{a}|^{2} \cdot i \, (\overline{n} \, \overleftrightarrow{\partial} \, n)$$

$$+ \overline{\xi}_{LR}^{a} \, \xi_{LR}^{a} - |n^{a}|^{2} \cdot (\overline{\xi}_{LR} \, \xi_{LR})$$

$$(2.7)$$

¹There is a typo in the definition of these currents in [4].

in the gauged formulation. Here

$$(T^a)^i_k = \delta^i_a \delta^a_k$$
, (no summation over $a!$) (2.8)

and a similar expression for the overbarred indices. Finally, D^a are the Killing potentials,

$$D^{a} = r_{0} \frac{\overline{\phi} T^{a} \phi}{1 + |\phi|^{2}} = r_{0} \frac{\overline{\phi}^{a} \phi^{a}}{1 + |\phi|^{2}}. \tag{2.9}$$

The generators T^a always pick up the a-th component. In this expression,

$$r_0 = \frac{2}{g_0^2} \tag{2.10}$$

is a popular alternative notation for the sigma model coupling.

Note that Eq. (2.9) contains the bare coupling. It is clear that the one-loop correction must (and will) convert the bare coupling into the renormalized coupling. The anomalous part O_{anom} is obtained at one loop. Therefore, in the one-loop approximation for the central charge it is sufficient to treat O_{anom} in the lowest order. Moreover, the bifermion term in O_{anom} plays a role only in the two-loop approximation. As a result, to calculate the central charge at one loop it is sufficient to analyze the one-loop correction to O_{canon} . The latter is determined by the tadpole graphs in Fig. XXX. As usual, the simplest way to perform the calculation is the background field method. The part of the central charge under consideration is determined by the value of the fields at the spatial infinities. In the CP(2) model to be considered below there are three vacua and three possible ways of interpolation between them. All kinks are equivalent. We will choose a particular kink corresponding to the following boundary conditions:

$$\phi^{1}(z = -\infty) = 0, \qquad \phi^{1}(z = +\infty) = \infty, \qquad \phi^{2} = 0.$$
 (2.11)

We split the field ϕ into two parts,

$$\phi = \phi_{\rm b} + \phi_{\rm qu} \,, \tag{2.12}$$

and expand D^a in ϕ_{qu} keeping terms quadratic in ϕ_{qu} .

A digression is in order regarding the one-loop central charge in the gauged formulation. D^a receives a one-loop contribution, due to r, as is most easily seen in the gauge representation of this operator,

$$D^{a} = r \cdot \overline{n}_{a} n^{a}, \qquad |n_{l}|^{2} = 1. \tag{2.13}$$

The renormalized operator contains the running coupling

$$r = r_0 - \frac{N}{2\pi} \ln \frac{M_{\rm UV}}{|M^a|}.$$
 (2.14)

In general, it is some typical mass scale that appears in the denominator of the logarithm, but, e.g. in CP(2) with \mathcal{Z}_3 twisted mass terms all masses and all mass differences have equal magnitude. The UV cut-off $M_{\rm UV}$ and bare constant r_0 can be turned into the strong coupling scale Λ :

$$r = \frac{N}{2\pi} \ln \frac{|M^a|}{\Lambda}. \tag{2.15}$$

We will be looking for the semi-classical expression for the central charge in the presence of the soliton interpolating between vacua (0) and (1)

$$\phi^{1}(z) = e^{|M^{1}|z}, \qquad \phi^{2}(z) = \phi^{3}(z) = \dots = 0.$$
 (2.16)

That this is the right kink can be seen in the gauged formulation,

$$n^{0} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{1 + e^{2|M^{1}|z}}},$$

$$n^{1} = \frac{e^{|M^{1}|z}}{\sqrt{1 + e^{2|M^{1}|z}}},$$

$$n^{2} = 0,$$

$$\vdots$$

$$n^{k} = 0,$$

$$\vdots$$

$$n^{N-1} = 0.$$
(2.17)

In this background, D^a taken at the edges of the worldsheet yields just the coupling constant:

$$D^a \Big|_{-\infty}^{+\infty} = r. (2.18)$$

Therefore, the topological contribution to the central charge of the kink is

$$\mathcal{Z} \supset \frac{N}{2\pi} M^1 \ln \frac{|M^a|}{\Lambda}.$$
 (2.19)

As for the Noether contribution, the quantization of the "angle" coordinate of the kink gives

$$i n M^1, (2.20)$$

with $q^1 = n$ an integer number. As for the other q^k , the kink does not have fermionic zero-modes of ψ^k with k = 2, 3, ... N - 1. However, we will argue that there is a *non*-zero mode relevant to the problem of multiple towers that we consider (in fact, the existence of this nonzero mode was noted by Dorey *et al.* [5]). This mode describes a bound state of the kink and a fermion ψ^k .

3 Semiclassical calculation of the central charge in CP(2)

If the twisted masses M_a satisfy the condition

$$|M_a| \gg \Lambda \,, \tag{3.21}$$

then we find ourselves at weak coupling where the one-loop calculation of the central charges will be sufficient for our purposes. This calculation can be carried out in a straightforward manner for all CP(N-1) models, but for the sake if simplicity we will limit ourselves to CP(2). Generalization to larger N is quite obvious.

In CP(2) there are two twisted mass parameters, M_1 and M_2 , as shown in Fig. XXX. Accordingly, there are two U(1) charges, see Eq. (2.6). The Noether charges are not renormalized; therefore we will focus on the topological part represented by the Killing potentials, which are renormalized.

3.1 Topological contribution

One-loop calculations are most easily performed using the background field method. For what follows it is important that $\phi_b^2 \equiv 0$ for the kink under consideration. If so, all off-diagonal elements of the metric $g_{i\bar{j}}$ vanish, while the diagonal elements take the form

$$g_{1\bar{1}}^{b} \equiv g_{1\bar{1}} \Big|_{\phi_{b}} = \frac{2}{g_{0}^{2}} \frac{1}{\chi^{2}},$$

$$g_{2\bar{2}}^{b} \equiv g_{2\bar{2}} \Big|_{\phi_{b}} = \frac{2}{g_{0}^{2}} \frac{1}{\chi},$$
(3.22)

where

$$\chi = 1 + \left| \phi_{\mathbf{b}}^1 \right|^2 \tag{3.23}$$

At the boundaries $\phi_b^{1,2}$ take their (vacuum) coordinate-independent values; therefore, the Lagrangian for the quantum fields can be written as

$$\mathcal{L} = g_{1\bar{1}}^{b} \left| \partial^{\mu} \phi_{qu}^{1} \right|^{2} + g_{2\bar{2}}^{b} \left| \partial^{\mu} \phi_{qu}^{2} \right|^{2} + \dots$$
 (3.24)

where the ellipses stand for the terms irrelevant for our calculation.

The Killing potentials can be expanded in the same way. Under the condition $\phi_{\rm b}^2 \equiv 0$ we arrive at

$$D^{1} = D^{1} \Big|_{\phi_{b}} + \frac{2}{g_{0}^{2}} \frac{1 - |\phi_{b}^{1}|^{2}}{\chi^{3}} |\phi_{qu}^{1}|^{2} - \frac{2}{g_{0}^{2}} \frac{|\phi_{b}^{1}|^{2}}{\chi^{2}} |\phi_{qu}^{2}|^{2} + \dots,$$

$$D^{2} = 0.$$
(3.25)

Equation (3.24) implies that the Green's functions of the quantum fields are

$$\langle \phi_{\text{qu}}^1, \phi_{\text{qu}}^1 \rangle = \frac{g_0^2 \chi^2}{2} \frac{i}{k^2 - |M|^2}, \qquad \langle \phi_{\text{qu}}^2, \phi_{\text{qu}}^2 \rangle = \frac{g_0^2 \chi}{2} \frac{i}{k^2 - |M|^2}.$$
 (3.26)

where

$$|M| \equiv |M_1| \equiv |M_2| . \tag{3.27}$$

Now, combining (3.25) and (3.26) to evaluate the tadpoles graphs of Fig. XXX with

 $\phi_{\rm qu}^1$ and $\phi_{\rm qu}^2$ running inside we arrive at

$$D_{\text{one-loop}}^{1} = \frac{1}{4\pi} \ln \frac{|M_{\text{uv}}|^{2}}{|M|^{2}}$$

$$\times \left(\frac{1 - |\phi_{\text{b}}^{1}|^{2}}{\chi} - \frac{|\phi_{\text{b}}^{1}|^{2}}{\chi}\right)^{\phi_{\text{b}}^{1} = 0}$$

$$= \frac{1}{4\pi} \ln \frac{|M_{\text{uv}}|^{2}}{|M|^{2}} (2 + 1). \tag{3.28}$$

where $M_{\rm uv}$ is an ultraviolet cut-off (e.g. the Pauli-Villars regulator mass). The first and second terms in the parentheses come from the $\phi_{\rm qu}^1$ and $\phi_{\rm qu}^2$ loops, respectively. In the general case of the ${\rm CP}(N-1)$ model one must replace 2+1 by $2+1\times(N-2)=N$.

This information allows us to obtain the contribution of the Killing potential to the central charge at one loop, namely,

$$\Delta_{K} \mathcal{Z} = -2M_{1} \left[\frac{1}{g_{0}^{2}} - \frac{3}{4\pi} \left(\ln \left| \frac{M_{uv}}{M} \right| + 1 \right) \right]$$
(3.29)

Note that the renormalized coupling in the case at hand is [7]

$$\frac{1}{g^2} = \frac{1}{g_0^2} - \frac{3}{4\pi} \ln \left| \frac{M_{\rm uv}}{M} \right| . \tag{3.30}$$

For the generic CP(N-1) model the coefficient 3 in front of the logarithm in (3.30) is repalced by N.

3.2 Contribution of the Noether charges

.

This is not the end of the story, however. We must add to $\Delta_K Z$ a part of the central charge associated with the Noether terms in (2.1), which accounts for the quantziation of the fermion zero modes as well as effects of the θ term.

3.3 Weak-coupling expansion

We can start from the known superpotential of the Veneziano-Yankielowicz type, and the spectrum that it generates. It gives the exact solution of the CP(N-1)

model with twisted masses in the BPS sector. In our case of \mathcal{Z}_N symmetric twisted masses this superpotential is given in [6].

Here we narrow down to the case of CP(2), with masses that are \mathcal{Z}_3 symmetric. The central charge determining the BPS spectrum is given by the difference of the values of the superpotential in two vacua. The general formula adjusted for CP(2) is [6] as follows:

$$\mathcal{Z}\Big|_{-\infty}^{+\infty} = U_0(m_0) + i n M_1 + i \begin{cases} m_0 \\ m_2 \end{cases} \\
\xrightarrow{|m_0| \to \infty} -\frac{3}{2\pi} M^1 \left\{ \ln \frac{|M_1|}{\Lambda} - 1 \right\} + \frac{1}{4\sqrt{3}} M_1 + i n M_1 + i \begin{cases} m_0 \\ m_2 \end{cases} + \dots$$
(3.31)

where the ellipsis represents suppressed terms dying off as inverse powers of the large mass parameter. As was mentioned, we assume in Eq. (3.31) the parameter m^0 to be real and positive. (This assumption is inessential and can be easily lifted but we will not do it in this paper.)

It is not difficult to rearrange the last double-valued term presenting it as a linear combination of $m_0 + m_2$ and M_2 . Then Equation (3.31) takes the form

$$\mathcal{Z} = -\frac{3}{2\pi} M^1 \left\{ \ln \frac{|M_1|}{\Lambda} - 1 \right\} + i n M_1 - \frac{i}{4} M_1 \mp \frac{i}{2} M_2.$$
 (3.32)

Theoretically it is possible to redefine Λ by switching on the θ term, which can be introduced as a phase of Λ , namely $\Lambda \to \Lambda e^{-i\theta/3}$. The Veneziano–Yankielowicz superpotential is defined with a "non-perturbative" $\Lambda_{\rm np}$, which may be related to the perturbative $\Lambda_{\rm pt}$ as

$$\Lambda_{\rm np}^3 = -i\,\Lambda_{\rm pt}^3\,. \tag{3.33}$$

4 Bound States

To find the non-zero mode, we write out the linearized Dirac equations in the background of the ϕ^1 kink. For convenience, we rescale the variable z into a dimensionless variable s:

$$s = 2|M^1|z. (4.1)$$

Then the kink takes the form

$$\phi^{1}(s) = e^{s}, \quad \text{and} \quad \phi^{k}(s) = 0 \quad \text{for } k > 1,$$
 (4.2)

or

$$n^{0} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{1 + e^{s}}},$$

$$n^{1} = \frac{e^{s/2}}{\sqrt{1 + e^{s}}},$$

$$n^{2} = 0,$$

$$\vdots$$

$$n^{k} = 0,$$

$$\vdots$$

$$n^{N-1} = 0.$$
(4.3)

The masses will also turn dimensionless by the same factor,

$$\mu^l = \frac{m^l}{2|M^1|}, \quad \text{and} \quad \mu_G^a = \frac{M^a}{2|M^1|}, \quad (4.4)$$

written both for geometric and gauge formulations.

The linearized Dirac equations for the fermion ψ^k with k>1 then look like

$$\left\{ \partial_{s} - \left| \mu_{G}^{1} \right| f(s) \right\} \psi_{R}^{k} + i \left(\mu_{G}^{1} f(s) - \mu_{G}^{k} \right) \cdot \psi_{L}^{k} = i \lambda \psi_{L}^{k}
\left\{ \partial_{s} - \left| \mu_{G}^{1} \right| f(s) \right\} \psi_{L}^{k} - i \left(\overline{\mu}_{G}^{1} f(s) - \overline{\mu}_{G}^{k} \right) \cdot \psi_{R}^{k} = -i \overline{\lambda} \psi_{R}^{k}.$$
(4.5)

Here f(s) is a real function

$$f(s) = \frac{e^s}{1 + e^s}. (4.6)$$

Eigenvalue λ is zero for zero-modes, or gives the energy for non-zero modes. If one starts from the gauged formulation, one arrives at a simpler system, which can be obtained from the above one by redefinition of the functions. That is, the conversion

between the geometric and gauge formulations is precisely such as to remove the inhomogeneous term from the figure brackets,

$$\partial_{s} \xi_{R}^{k} + i \left(\mu_{G}^{1} f(s) - \mu_{G}^{k} \right) \cdot \xi_{L}^{k} = i \lambda \xi_{L}^{k}$$

$$\partial_{s} \xi_{L}^{k} - i \left(\overline{\mu}_{G}^{1} f(s) - \overline{\mu}_{G}^{k} \right) \cdot \xi_{R}^{k} = -i \overline{\lambda} \xi_{R}^{k}. \tag{4.7}$$

This system does not allow normalizable zero modes. However, there is a normalizable non-zero mode with the energy given by the absolute value of

$$\lambda = -\mu_G^k + \alpha \mu_G^1. \tag{4.8}$$

The mode is

$$\xi_R^k = \left(\frac{e^{\alpha s}}{1 + e^s}\right)^{|\mu_G^1|}$$

$$\xi_L^k = -i\frac{\overline{\mu}_G^1}{|\mu_G^1|} \cdot \xi_R^k. \tag{4.9}$$

It is normalizable as long as

$$0 < \alpha < 1, \tag{4.10}$$

and it is BPS if α takes the special value (returning to the dimensionful masses)

$$\alpha = \frac{|M^k|}{|M^1|} \cos \operatorname{Arg} \frac{M^k}{M^1}. \tag{4.11}$$

In this case the energy of the mode equals

$$|\lambda| = -|M^k| \sin \operatorname{Arg} \frac{M^k}{M^1}. \tag{4.12}$$

That it is BPS can be seen from the expansion of the central charge

$$|r \cdot M^1 + i M^k| = r \cdot |M^1| - |M^k| \cdot \sin \operatorname{Arg} \frac{M^k}{M^1} + \dots,$$
 (4.13)

in the large coupling constant r. This is the central charge of the bound state of a fermion and the kink as discovered by Dorey $et\ al.\ [5]$, written semi-classically.

5 Matching the Central Charges

The following central charges need to meet the correspondence.

• The 4-dimensional central charge (at the root of the baryonic Higgs branch),

$$\mathcal{Z} = i \vec{n}_m \cdot \vec{a}_D + i \vec{n}_e \cdot \vec{a} + i m^a \cdot S^a + i m^k \vec{w}^k \tag{5.1}$$

• For magnetic charge one, and electric charge $\vec{\alpha}_1$ this gives, up to normalization,

$$\mathcal{Z} = i a_D(m_0) + i (m^1 - m^0) n + i (m^k - m^0).$$
 (5.2)

• Our 2-d expression for the central charge gives

$$\mathcal{Z} = U_0(m_0) + i(m^1 - m^0)n + im^k. (5.3)$$

It could be that the four-dimensional Λ differs from the two-dimensional one, although then one of them would have to depend on the masses.

• The above two-dimensional charge, when expanded, gives

$$\mathcal{Z} = \frac{3}{2\pi} (m^1 - m^0) \left\{ \ln \frac{|m^1 - m^0|}{\Lambda} - 3 \right\} + i m^k - \frac{1}{4\sqrt{3}} (m^1 - m^0) + \dots$$
(5.4)

• The perturbative result gives

$$\mathcal{Z} = \frac{3}{2\pi} (m^1 - m^0) \left\{ \ln \frac{|m^1 - m^0|}{\Lambda} - 3 \right\} + i(m^k - m^0) \quad (5.5)$$

• The original classical expression is

$$\mathcal{Z} = i(m^k - m^0) q^k + (m^k - m^0) \cdot D^k \Big|_{-\infty}^{+\infty}$$
 (5.6)

All these expressions must agree with each other

6 Conclusion

Acknowledgments

The work of PAB and MS was supported by the DOE grant DE-FG02-94ER40823. The work of AY was supported by FTPI, University of Minnesota, by the RFBR Grant No. 09-02-00457a and by the Russian State Grant for Scientific Schools RSGSS-65751.2010.2.

References

- [1] K. Hori and C. Vafa, Mirror symmetry, arXiv:hep-th/0002222.
- [2] E. Frenkel and A. Losev, Commun. Math. Phys. 269, 39 (2006) [arXiv:hep-th/0505131].
- [3] M. Shifman, A. Yung, Phys. Rev. **D81**, 085009 (2010). [arXiv:1002.0322 [hep-th]].
- [4] M. Shifman, A. Vainshtein, R. Zwicky, J. Phys. A A39, 13005-13024 (2006). [hep-th/0602004].
- [5] N. Dorey, T. J. Hollowood, D. Tong, JHEP **9905**, 006 (1999). [hep-th/9902134].
- [6] P. A. Bolokhov, M. Shifman, A. Yung, BPS Spectrum of Supersymmetric CP(N-1) Theory with Z_N Twisted Masses, [arXiv:1104.5241 [hep-th]].
- [7] V.A. Novikov, M.A. Shifman, A.I. Vainshtein, and V.I. Zakharov, Two-Dimensional Sigma Models: Modeling Nonperturbative Effects of Quantum Chromodynamics, Phys. Rept. 116, 103 (1984); A.Y. Morozov, A.M. Perelomov, and M.A. Shifman, Nucl. Phys. B248, 279 (1984).
- [8] N. Dorey, JHEP **9811**, 005 (1998) [hep-th/9806056].
- [9] M. Shifman, A. Yung, Phys. Rev. **D70**, 045004 (2004). [hep-th/0403149].
- [10] M. Shifman, A. Yung, Supersymmetric Solitons and How They Help Us Understand Non-Abelian Gauge Theories, Rev. Mod. Phys. 79, 1139 (2007). [hep-th/0703267].