

AI4010: Online Learning

Second Midterm Exam

Oct 2025

Instructions:

- The total number of marks is 20.
 - The total duration of the exam is 90 minutes. No electronic aids are allowed. You can keep a maximum of one sheet of paper with formulas/notes.
 - All questions are mandatory. A yes/no answer without proper proof or justification will be given zero marks even if it is correct.
 - Any plagiarism case, if detected, will attract F grade in the course irrespective of overall performance.
 - Use $0 \log(0) = 0$ wherever required.
-

Problem 0.1 (2 marks). Consider that the loss functions are bounded in range $[0, 1]$. Show that the regret of FTL algorithm is upper bounded by the number of times the leader¹ is changed during the sequence of plays.

Solution. From FTL-BTL lemma we have,

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{R}_T(\text{FTL}) &\leq \sum_{t=1}^T (f_t(x_t) - f_t(x_{t+1})) = \sum_{t:x_t \neq x_{t+1}} (f_t(x_t) - f_t(x_{t+1})) \\ &\leq \sum_{t:x_t \neq x_{t+1}} 1 = \# \text{ times the leader changes}\end{aligned}$$

The last inequality follows from the fact that loss function is bounded $[0, 1]$. ■

Problem 0.2 (3 marks). (*Batch vs Online convex optimization*) Consider a standard batch convex optimization problem: you want to find a minimum of the convex function $f : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+ \setminus \{\infty\}$ over a bounded convex set $\mathcal{K} \subset \mathbb{R}$ to within an accuracy $\varepsilon > 0$. In other words, you must output $x \in \mathcal{K}$ satisfying $f(x) \leq \min_{y \in \mathcal{K}} f(y) + \varepsilon$.

¹The leader at time t is a choice x_{t+1} i.e. optimal choice of the next round.

You are given an online algorithm ALG with the following property. For any number of rounds $t \geq 1$ and any sequence of non-negative loss functions $\{f_s\}_{s=1}^t$ from a family of convex functions \mathcal{F} , the algorithm's regret over ² a convex set \mathcal{K} is at t^α for some $\alpha \in [0, 1]$; i.e. ALG satisfies sublinear regret guarantee. How will you accomplish batch optimization objective using ALG? Show your work.

Hint: Use Jensen's inequality.

Solution.

We know from the regret guarantee of ALG that the below equation holds for every $t \geq 1$

$$\sum_{s=1}^t f_s(w_s) - \sum_{s=1}^t f_s(w^*) \leq t^\alpha$$

Here $w^* = \arg \min_{w \in \mathcal{K}} \sum_{s=1}^t f_s(w)$.

Now feed the convex optimization algorithm ALG, $f_s = f$ for all s and observe the sequence of choices of ALG, $\{w_s\}_{s \geq 1}$. The regret guarantee then becomes

$$\sum_{s=1}^t f(w_s) - t \cdot f(w^*) \leq t^\alpha$$

Let $w^t := \frac{1}{t} \sum_{s=1}^t w_s$ and notice that since \mathcal{K} is convex, $w^t \in \mathcal{K}$. Furthermore we have from Jense's inequality that $f(w^t) = f(\frac{1}{t} \sum_{s=1}^t w_s) \leq \frac{1}{t} \sum_{s=1}^t f(w_s)$. Hence for any t

$$f(w^t) \leq f(w^*) + t^{\alpha-1}$$

Notice that the above inequality holds for any $t \geq 1$. Set the stopping time $T = \lceil (1/\varepsilon)^{1-\alpha} \rceil$ and output the solution $x = w^T = \frac{1}{T} \sum_{s=1}^T w_s$. ■

Problem 0.3 (2+2+2 = 6 marks). Compute the Bregman Divergence of

1. $R(x) = \frac{1}{2} \|x\|_2^2$ for $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$
2. $R(x) = -2 \sum_{i=1}^d \sqrt{x_i}$ for $x \in (0, +\infty)^d$

²The regret is computed with respect to any single point in \mathcal{K} .

3. $R(x) = \sum_{i=1}^d x_i(\log(x_i) - 1)$ for $x \in \Delta_d$.

Solution.

1.

$$\begin{aligned} B_R(x||y) &= \frac{1}{2} \|x\|_2^2 - \frac{1}{2} \|y\|_2^2 - \langle y, x - y \rangle \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \|x\|_2^2 + \frac{1}{2} \|y\|_2^2 - \langle y, x \rangle = \frac{1}{2} \|x - y\|_2^2 \end{aligned}$$

2.

$$\begin{aligned} B_R(x||y) &= -2 \sum_{i=1}^d \sqrt{x_i} + 2 \sum_{i=1}^d \sqrt{y_i} + \sum_{i=1}^d \frac{x_i - y_i}{\sqrt{y_i}} \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^d \frac{x_i + y_i - 2\sqrt{x_i y_i}}{\sqrt{y_i}} = \sum_{i=1}^d \frac{(\sqrt{x_i} - \sqrt{y_i})^2}{\sqrt{y_i}} \end{aligned}$$

3.

$$\begin{aligned} B_R(x||y) &= \sum_{i=1}^d x_i \log(x_i) - \sum_{i=1}^d x_i - \underbrace{\sum_{i=1}^d y_i \log(y_i)}_{i=1} + \sum_{i=1}^d y_i - \sum_{i=1}^d \log(y_i)(x_i - y_i) \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^d x_i \log(x_i) - \sum_{i=1}^d x_i \log(y_i) = \sum_{i=1}^d x_i \log\left(\frac{x_i}{y_i}\right) = KL(x||y). \end{aligned}$$

Problem 0.4 (Dual Function). In class we saw that the OMD algorithm³ performs the update in the dual space defined by the gradients. This can be interpreted (slightly more elaborately) as follows. OMD first maps the point x_t from primal space (i.e. x -space) to the dual space defined by $\nabla R(\cdot)$ i.e. computes $\theta_t = \nabla R(x_t)$, performs the (gradient) update in gradient space i.e. computes $\theta_{t+1} = \theta_t - \eta_t \nabla_t$, maps the updated point using $(\nabla R)^{-1}$ back to the original space i.e. computes y_{t+1} such that $\nabla R(y_{t+1}) = \theta_{t+1}$ i.e. $y_{t+1} = (\nabla R)^{-1}(\theta_{t+1})$ and then take the Bregman projection onto the convex set i.e. compute $x_{t+1} = \arg \min_{x \in \mathcal{K}} B_R(x||y_{t+1})$.

Define a function $R^*(\theta) = \sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}^d} (\langle x, \theta \rangle - R(x))$. The function $R^*(\cdot)$ is called as the Fenchel dual/conjugate of R . In this question we will show that $\nabla R^* = (\nabla R)^{-1}$.

³Here, we will consider all assumptions we made for OMD.

1. Write the Fenchel conjugates of below functions. [2+2 = 4 marks]

- (a) $R(x) = \log(\sum_{i=1}^d e^{x_i})$ (use $0 \log(0) = 0$)
- (b) $R(x) = \frac{1}{2}x^T Q x$ where $Q \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ is a positive definite matrix.

2. Show that the following two conditions are equivalent. [2 marks]

- (a) $R(u) + R^*(v) = \langle u, v \rangle$
- (b) $v = \nabla R(u)$

3. Finally, using the above result and also using the fact that $R^{**} = R$, show that $u = \nabla R^*(\nabla R(u))$ and $u = \nabla R(\nabla R^*(u))$. [3 marks]

Solution.

1. a First we determine the value of θ for which the supremum over x $\langle \theta, x \rangle - R(x)$ is attained. This gives

$$\theta_i = \frac{e^{x_i}}{\sum_j e^{x_j}} \quad (0.1)$$

The above equations are simultaneously solvable (for all i) for x iff $\theta_i \geq 0$ for all i and $\sum_i \theta_i = 1$. By substitution we obtain $R^*(\theta) = \sum_i y_i \log(y_i)$ (interpret $0 \log(0) = 0$)

b Notice that Q^{-1} exists since Q is positive definite. Observe that $\frac{d}{dx}(\langle x, \theta \rangle - \frac{1}{2}x^T Q x) = 0 \implies x^* = Q^{-1}\theta$. We obtain $R^*(\theta) = \frac{1}{2}\theta^T Q^{-1}\theta$.

2. Fix u, v and let $h(u) = \langle u, v \rangle - R(u)$. From condition 1 we have

$$R^*(v) = h(u) \quad (0.2)$$

and by definition, we have $R^*(v) = \sup_u h(u)$. From the fact that R is strongly convex we have that h is strictly concave. Hence, $\sup_u h(u)$ is unique and condition 1 holds iff $\nabla h(u) = 0$. This is equivalent to $v - \nabla R(u) = 0$ i.e. the second condition.

3. Let u and $u' = \nabla R(u)$. By the above result we have $R(u) + R^*(u') = \langle u, u' \rangle$. Since $R^{**} = R$, we have

$$R^{**}(u) + R^*(u') = \langle u, u' \rangle$$

Applying the previous lemma to R^* in place of R , we have

$$u = \nabla R^*(u')$$

This shows that $u = \nabla R^*(\nabla R(u))$. In other words, ∇R^* is the right inverse of ∇R . Since ∇R is a surjection, ∇R^* must be also its left inverse.