

$$\textcircled{1} \quad \textcircled{a} \quad \text{Var}(M_n) = \frac{\text{Var}(X_1)}{n}$$

$$= \frac{1m}{n} \leq 10^{-2}m$$

$$\Rightarrow n \geq 100.$$

$$\textcircled{b} \quad P(|M_n - h| < 0.05) \geq 0.99.$$

$$\text{i.e., } P(|M_n - h| > 0.05) \leq 0.01.$$

From Chebychev inequality

$$P(|M_n - h| > 0.05) \leq \frac{\text{Var}(M_n)}{(0.05)^2} = \frac{400}{n}.$$

$$\text{Enough to have } \frac{400}{n} \leq 0.01 \Rightarrow n \geq 40,000.$$

$$\textcircled{1} \quad P(|M_n - h| > 0.05) \leq \frac{\text{Var}(M_n)}{(0.05)^2} = \frac{\text{Var}(X_1)}{n(0.05)^2}$$

$$\text{Using } \text{Var}(X) \leq \frac{(b-a)^2}{4} \leq \frac{(2-1.4)^2}{n(0.05)^2} = \frac{(0.6)^2}{(0.05)^2 \times 4n}.$$

if  $X \in [a, b]$  w.p 1

$$\text{Enough to have } \frac{0.36 \times \frac{100}{400}}{n} \leq 0.01.$$

$$\underline{n \geq 3,600}.$$

      

\textcircled{2}  $l(x)$  is the tangent of  $f(x)$  at  $x = E[x]$ .

$l(x) \leq f(x) \forall x$ . (see the end)

$$\Rightarrow E[l(x)] \leq E[f(x)]$$

Let  $l(x) = ax + b$ . { can check that  $a = f'(E[x])$ ,

$$b = f(E[x]) - f'(E[x])E[x]$$

$$l(x) = f'(E[x])(x - E[x]) + f(E[x]).$$

$$a \underbrace{E[x] + b}_{\text{by defn.}} \leq E[f(x)].$$

$\ell(E[x]) = f(E[x])$ . as  $\ell(x)$  is defined as tangent at  $E[x]$

$$\Rightarrow f(E[x]) \leq E[f(x)].$$

Let  $x_2 = E[x]$  be the point where tangent passes through  $f(x)$  and let  $x_1$  be a closest point to  $x_2$  st  $\ell(x) > f(x)$ .

$$\begin{aligned} \text{then } \ell(\lambda x_1 + (1-\lambda)x_2) &= \lambda \ell(x_1) + (1-\lambda) \ell(x_2). \text{ for any } \\ &> \lambda f(x_1) + (1-\lambda) f(x_2) \quad \lambda \in [0,1] \\ &= \lambda f(x_1) + (1-\lambda) f(x_2) \\ &\geq f(\lambda x_1 + (1-\lambda)x_2). \end{aligned}$$

Let  $x^* = \lambda x_1 + (1-\lambda)x_2$ . Then

$x^*$  is closer to  $x_2$  than  $x_1$ , but

$\ell(x^*) > f(x^*)$  contradicts our assumption

$$\textcircled{3} \quad E[(f(x) - f(Y))(g(x) - g(Y))] \geq 0$$

as  $f$  and  $g$  are both monotonic

either  $f(x) - f(Y) \geq 0$  &  $g(x) - g(Y) \geq 0$

or  $f(x) - f(Y) < 0$  &  $g(x) - g(Y) < 0$ .

$$E[f(x)g(x) + f(Y)g(Y) - f(Y)g(x) - g(Y)f(x)]$$

$$= E[f(x)g(x)] + E[f(Y)g(Y)] - E[f(Y)g(x)] - E[g(Y)f(x)].$$

$$= 2E[f(x)g(x)] - 2E[g(Y)f(x)].$$

as  $X, Y$  are identical

$X$  and  $Y$  are independent & identical.

$$= 2[E[f(x)g(x)] - E[g(x)]E[f(x)]].$$

$\geq 0$

$$\Rightarrow E[f(x)g(x)] = E[f(x)]E[g(x)]$$

$$④ P(X > a) = P(X + b > a + b).$$

$$\leq P(|X + b| > a + b). \\ \leq E\left[\frac{(X + b)^2}{(a + b)^2}\right].$$

$$= E\left[\frac{X^2 + 2Xb + b^2}{(a + b)^2}\right] = \frac{\sigma^2 + b^2}{(a + b)^2}.$$

$$P(X > a) \leq \min_b \underbrace{\frac{\sigma^2 + b^2}{(a + b)^2}}_{f(b)}$$

$$f'(b) = \frac{2b}{(a + b)^2} - \frac{2(\sigma^2 + b^2)}{(a + b)^3} \\ = 0.$$

$$\Rightarrow 2b(a + b) - 2(\sigma^2 + b^2) = 0 \\ b = \sigma^2/a$$

$$\Rightarrow P(X > a) \leq \frac{\sigma^2 \left(1 + \frac{\sigma^2}{a^2}\right)}{\left(a + \frac{\sigma^2}{a}\right)^2} = \frac{\sigma^2}{\sigma^2 + a^2}.$$

Using it for any  $X$ . (one's without zero mean).

$$P(X - \mu > a) \leq \frac{\sigma^2}{\sigma^2 + a^2}.$$

$$P(X - \mu < -a) \leq \frac{\sigma^2}{\sigma^2 + a^2}.$$

(5)

$$P(X \geq i) = P(e^{Xt} \geq e^{ti}).$$

$$\leq \frac{E[e^{Xt}]}{e^{ti}} = M_X(t) e^{-ti}$$

$$P(X \geq i) \leq \inf_{t > 0} M_X(t) e^{-ti}$$

$$\begin{aligned} M_X(t) &= E[e^{Xt}] = \sum_{x=0}^{\infty} e^{-\lambda} \frac{\lambda^x}{x!} e^{xt} \\ &= \sum_{x=0}^{\infty} e^{-\lambda} \frac{(\lambda e^t)^x}{x!} \\ &= e^{-\lambda} e^{\lambda e^t} = e^{\lambda(e^t - 1)} \end{aligned}$$

$$P(X \geq i) \leq \inf_{t > 0} e^{\lambda(e^t - 1)} e^{-ti}$$

$$= e^{-\sup_{t > 0} \{ti - \lambda(e^t - 1)\}} \underbrace{f_i(t)}$$

$$\frac{\partial f_i(t)}{\partial t} = i - \lambda e^t.$$

$$\stackrel{=} 0 \Rightarrow i = \lambda e^t.$$

$$\begin{aligned} P(X \geq i) &\leq \inf_{t > 0} e^{-ti} e^{\lambda(e^t - 1)} \\ &= \left(\frac{\lambda}{i}\right)^i e^{(i-\lambda)}. \end{aligned}$$

⑥  $X_i = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if the } i\text{-th women is paired with a man-} \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$

$$X = \sum_{i=1}^{100} X_i$$

We want to find  $P(X \geq 30)$ .

$$E[X] = 100 E[X_1]$$

$$P(X_1 = 1) = \frac{100}{199} = 1 - P(X_1 = 0).$$

$$\Rightarrow E[X] = \frac{100^2}{199}, \quad ; \quad E[X_1^2] = \frac{100}{199}.$$

$$E[X^2] = E\left[\left(\sum_{i=1}^{100} X_i\right)^2\right]$$

$$= E\left[\sum_{i=1}^{100} X_i^2 + 2 \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq 100} X_i X_j\right]$$

$$= 100 E[X_1^2] + 2 \times \binom{100}{2} E[X_1 X_2]$$

$$P(X_1 = 1, X_2 = 1) = P(X_1 = 1) \underbrace{P(X_2 = 1 | X_1 = 1)}_{\Rightarrow \text{women 1 is paired with a man}}$$

$$\Rightarrow P(X_2 = 1 | X_1 = 1) = \frac{100-1}{200-3} = \frac{99}{197}$$

so  $X_2$  can't be paired with woman 1 or the man that she's paired with

$$P(X_1 = 1) = \frac{100}{199}.$$

$$P(X_2 = 1, X_1 = 1) = \frac{100 \times 99}{199 \times 197}.$$

$$E[X^2] = 100 \times \frac{100}{99} + \frac{(100 \times 97)^2}{99 \times 97}.$$

$$\text{Var}(X) = E[X^2] - (E[X])^2.$$

$$P(X \geq 30) = P(X - E[X] \geq 30 - E[X]).$$

$$\leq \frac{\text{Var}(X)}{(30 - E[X])^2} \quad \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \text{using} \\ \text{chebyshev} \\ \text{inequality} \end{array} \right.$$

$$P(X \geq 30) \leq \frac{\text{Var}(X)}{\text{Var}(X) + (30 - E[X])^2}$$

↓  
one sided chebyshev.

$$\begin{aligned} ⑦ \quad P\left(\prod_{i=1}^{100} x_i \leq a^{100}\right) \\ &= P\left(\sum_{i=1}^{100} \log x_i \leq 100 \log a\right) \end{aligned}$$

$$\text{Let } Y_i = \log x_i$$

$$\mu_y = E[Y_i] = E[\log x_i]$$

$$= \sum_{i=1}^6 \frac{1}{6} \log i = \frac{1}{6} \left( \sum_{i=1}^6 \log i \right)$$

$$E[Y_i^2] = \frac{1}{6} \sum_{i=1}^6 (\log i)^2$$

$$\sigma_y^2 = E[Y_i^2] - (E[Y_i])^2$$

$$\Pr \left( \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{100} X_i - 100\mu_y}{\sqrt{100} \sigma_y} \leq \frac{100(\log a - \mu)}{\sqrt{100} \sigma_y} \right)$$

By Central Limit theorem  $\approx \Pr \left( \frac{\log a - \mu}{(\sigma_y / \sqrt{100})} \right)$ .

(b) (a)  $Y_n = \bar{X}_n/n$

claim:  $Y_n \rightarrow 0$ .

Let  $\epsilon > 0$ .

$$\begin{aligned} \Pr(|Y_n| > \epsilon) &= \Pr(X_n > n\epsilon) + \Pr(X_n < -n\epsilon) \\ &= 2(1 - \min(n\epsilon, 1)). \end{aligned}$$

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \Pr(|Y_n| > \epsilon) = 0.$$

(b)  $Y_n = (X_n)^n$ : claim  $Y_n \rightarrow 0$  in probability

$$\Pr(|Y_n| > \epsilon) = \Pr(|X_n^n| > \epsilon).$$

$$= \Pr(X_n^n > \epsilon) + \Pr(X_n^n < -\epsilon).$$

using symmetry  
for  $X_n$  even  
this is a lower bound

$$\leq 2\Pr(X_n > \epsilon^{Y_n}) = 2(1 - \epsilon^{Y_n}).$$

$$\Rightarrow \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \Pr(|Y_n| > \epsilon) \leq \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} 2(1 - \epsilon^{Y_n}).$$

$$= 0$$

$$\Rightarrow \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} P(|Y_n| > \epsilon) = 0.$$

②  $Y_n = X_1 X_2 \dots X_n$

Claim:  $Y_n \rightarrow 0$  in probability.

$$P(|Y_n| > \epsilon) = P(|X_1| > \epsilon).$$

$$= (1 - \epsilon).$$

$$P(|Y_n| > \epsilon) = P(|X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n| > \epsilon)$$

$$\stackrel{\text{Markov inequality}}{\leq} E\left[\frac{\prod_{i=1}^n |X_i|}{\epsilon}\right]$$

Check that

$$|X_i| \sim \text{Uniform}[0, 1].$$

$$E[X_i] = \gamma_2.$$

$$P(|Y_n| > \epsilon) \leq \underbrace{\prod_{i=1}^n E[|X_i|]}_{\epsilon}$$

$$= \frac{1}{2^n \epsilon}.$$

$$\Rightarrow \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} P(|Y_n| > \epsilon) \leq \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{2^n \epsilon}$$

$$= 0.$$

$$\Rightarrow \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} P(|Y_n| > \epsilon) = 0.$$

③  $Y_n = \max(X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n).$

claim:  $Y_n \rightarrow 1$ .

$$P(|Y_n - 1| > \epsilon) = P(Y_n > 1 + \epsilon) \stackrel{\text{can't be}}{=} 0 + P(Y_n < 1 - \epsilon).$$

$$P(Y_n \leq y) = \prod_{i=1}^n P(X_i \leq y)$$

$$= \frac{(y+1)^n}{2^n} \cdot \text{ for } -1 \leq y \leq 1.$$

$$P(Y_n < 1-\epsilon) = \left(\frac{2-\epsilon}{2}\right)^n = \left(1-\frac{\epsilon}{2}\right)^n.$$

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} P(|Y_{n-1}| > \epsilon) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left(1-\frac{\epsilon}{2}\right)^n \\ = 0.$$

⑨  $E(X_n) = 5, \quad \text{Var}(X_n) = 9.$

$$P\left(\sum_{i=1}^{100} X_i \leq 440\right) = P\left(\frac{\sum_{i=1}^{100} (X_i - 5)}{10 \times 3} \leq \frac{-60}{10 \times 3}\right)$$

mean 0, variance 1.

by central limit theorem  $\approx \Phi(-2)$

⑩ need  $P(X_1 + \dots + X_n \geq 200 + 5n) \leq 0.05$

$$P\left(\frac{\sum_{i=1}^n (X_i - 5)}{\sqrt{n} \cdot 3} \geq \frac{200}{\sqrt{n} \cdot 3}\right) \approx 1 - \Phi\left(\frac{200}{\sqrt{n} \cdot 3}\right).$$

Need  $1 - \Phi\left(\frac{200}{\sqrt{n} \cdot 3}\right) \leq 0.05$

$$\Phi\left(\frac{200}{\sqrt{n} \cdot 3}\right) \geq 0.95$$

$$\frac{200}{\sqrt{n} \cdot 3} \geq \Phi^{-1}(0.95)$$

$$n \leq \frac{200}{2 \Phi^{-1}(0.95)}$$

$$\textcircled{c} \quad N = \min \left\{ n : \sum_{i=1}^n x_i \geq 1000 \right\}.$$

$$P(N \geq 220) = P\left(\sum_{i=1}^{219} x_i < 1000\right).$$

$$= P\left(\frac{\sum_{i=1}^{219}(x_i - 5)}{\sqrt{219} \times 3} < \frac{1000 - 219 \times 5}{\sqrt{219} \times 3}\right)$$

$$= \Phi\left(\frac{-95}{3\sqrt{219}}\right).$$

\textcircled{d}

$$\Omega = [0, 1]$$

$$X_n(\omega) = \begin{cases} 1 & \omega \in [0, \frac{1}{n}] \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

Claim:  $X_n \rightarrow 0$  in almost sure sense.

Can see that  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} X_n(\omega) = 0 \quad \forall \omega \in (0, 1]$ .

For any  $\omega \in (0, 1]$ . let  $N^* = \lceil \frac{1}{\omega} \rceil$

$$X_n(\omega) = 0, \quad \forall n \geq N^*.$$

$(X_n(\omega))$  sequence will look like the following

1 1 1 1 ... 1 0 0 0 0 ...

Also converges  
in mean-squared sense

$$E[(X_n - 0)^2] = 1 \cdot \frac{1}{n}$$

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} E[X_n^2] = 0$$

$$P\left(\left\{\omega : \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} X_n(\omega) = 0\right\}\right) \geq P([0, 1]) = 1.$$

$$\Rightarrow P\left(\left\{\omega : \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} X_n(\omega) = 0\right\}\right) = 1.$$

as probability is also upper bounded by 1.

It also follows that  $X_n \rightarrow X$  in probability &

$X_n \rightarrow X$  in distribution.

$$\textcircled{1} \quad X_n \sim N(0, \frac{1}{n}) -$$

$$P(|X_n| > \epsilon) \leq \frac{\text{Var}(X_n)}{\epsilon^2} = \frac{1}{n\epsilon^2}.$$

$$\Rightarrow \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} P(|X_n| > \epsilon) \leq \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n\epsilon^2} = 0.$$

$\Rightarrow X_n \rightarrow 0$  in probability.

Does it also converge in a.s sense? (It does)

$$P(|X_n| > \epsilon) \xrightarrow{\text{Using symmetry of normal distribution}} 2P(X_n > \epsilon).$$

$$\begin{aligned} &\xleftarrow{\text{using Chernoff}} \leq 2 \inf_{t>0} E[e^{X_n t}] e^{-\epsilon t} \\ &= 2 e^{-[\sup_{t>0} \epsilon t - \frac{t^2}{n^2}]} \end{aligned}$$

$$\leq 2 e^{-\frac{n^2 \epsilon^2}{4}}.$$

$$\frac{n^2 \epsilon^2}{2} - \left(\frac{n^2 \epsilon}{2}\right)^2 \frac{1}{n^2}.$$

$$e^{-\frac{n^2 \epsilon^2}{4}}.$$

$$P(|X_n| > \epsilon) \leq \frac{2}{e^{n^2 \epsilon^2/4}}.$$

$$\sum_n P(|X_n| > \epsilon) \leq \sum \frac{2}{e^{n^2 \epsilon^2/4}}.$$

From Borel-Cantelli

Lemma (Yet to be covered in class)

Sequence that converges

We know  $\sum \frac{1}{n^2}$  does therefore this should

$$< \infty$$

$$\Rightarrow P(|X_n| > \epsilon \text{ i.o.}) = 0.$$

$$\Rightarrow X_n \rightarrow 0 \text{ a.s.}$$

Mean square sense

$$E[(X_n - 0)^2] = \text{Var}(X_n) = \frac{1}{n}$$

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} E[|X_n|^2] = 0. \Rightarrow X_n \rightarrow 0 \text{ in mean square sense}$$

$$\textcircled{12} \quad P(X_n = 1) = \frac{1}{n^2} = 1 - P(X_n = 0)$$

$$P(|X_n| > \epsilon) \leq \frac{1}{n^2}.$$

$$\Rightarrow \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} P(|X_n| > \epsilon) \leq \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n^2} < \infty$$

From Borel Cantelli Lemma

$$\Rightarrow P(|X_n| > \epsilon \text{ i.o.}) = 0.$$

$\Rightarrow X_n \rightarrow 0$  a.s.

$$E[|X_n - 0|^2] = E[X_n^2] = 1^2 \cdot \frac{1}{n^2}.$$

$$\Rightarrow \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} E[|X_n|^2] = 0. \Rightarrow X_n \rightarrow 0 \text{ in m.s. sense}$$