

Network Working Group  
Request for Comments: 2310  
Category: Experimental

K. Holtman  
TUE  
April 1998

## The Safe Response Header Field

### Status of this Memo

This memo defines an Experimental Protocol for the Internet community. It does not specify an Internet standard of any kind. Discussion and suggestions for improvement are requested. Distribution of this memo is unlimited.

### Copyright Notice

Copyright (C) The Internet Society (1998). All Rights Reserved.

### Abstract

This document defines a HTTP response header field called Safe, which can be used to indicate that repeating a HTTP request is safe. Such an indication will allow user agents to handle retries of some safe requests, in particular safe POST requests, in a more user-friendly way.

### 1 Introduction

This document defines a HTTP response header field called Safe, which can be used to indicate that repeating a HTTP request is safe. Such an indication will allow user agents to handle retries of some safe requests, in particular safe POST requests, in a more user-friendly way.

### 2 Terminology and Notation

This document uses the HTTP terminology and BNF notation defined in [1]. It uses the key words in RFC 2119 for defining the significance of each particular requirement.

### 3 Rationale

According to Section 9.1.1 (Safe Methods) of the HTTP/1.1 specification [1], POST requests are assumed to be 'unsafe' by default. 'Unsafe' means 'causes side effects for which the user will be held accountable'.

It is sometimes necessary for a user agent to repeat a POST request. Examples of such cases are

- when retrying a POST request which gave an indeterminate error result in the previous attempt
- when the user presses the RELOAD button while a POST result is displayed
- when the history function is used to redisplay a POST result which is no longer in the history buffer.

If the POST request is unsafe, HTTP requires explicit user confirmation is before the request is repeated. The confirmation dialog often takes the form of a 'repost form data?' dialog box. This dialog is confusing to many users, and slows down navigation in any case.

If the repeated POST request is safe, the user-unfriendly confirmation dialog can be omitted. However plain HTTP/1.1 [1] has no mechanism by which agents can tell if POST requests are safe, and they must be assumed unsafe by default. This document adds a mechanism to HTTP, the Safe header field, for telling if a POST request is safe.

Using the Safe header field, web applications which require the use of a safe POST request, rather than a GET request, for the submission of web forms, can be made more user-friendly. The use of a POST request may be required for a number of reasons, including

- the contents of the form are potentially very large
- the form is used to upload a file (see [2])
- the application needs some internationalization features (see [3]) which are only available if the form contents are transmitted in a request body the information in the form cannot be encoded in a GET request URL because of security considerations.

#### 4 The Safe response header field

The Safe response header field is defined as an addition to the HTTP/1.x protocol suite.

The Safe response header field is used by origin servers to indicate whether repeating the received HTTP request is safe in the sense of Section 9.1.1 (Safe Methods) of the HTTP/1.1 specification [1]. For the purpose of this specification, a HTTP request is considered to be a repetition of a previous request if both requests

- are issued by the same user agent, and
- apply to the same resource, and
- have the same request method, and
- both have no request body, or both have request bodies which are byte-wise identical after decoding of any content and transfer codings.

The Safe header field has the following syntax.

```
Safe           = "Safe" ":" safe-nature
safe-nature    = "yes" | "no"
```

An example of the header field is:

```
Safe: yes
```

If a Safe header field is absent in the response, the corresponding request **MUST** be considered unsafe, unless it is a GET or HEAD request. As GET and HEAD requests are safe by definition, user agents **SHOULD** ignore a 'Safe: no' header field in GET and HEAD responses.

If, according to a received Safe header field, the repeating of a request is safe, the request **MAY** be repeated automatically without asking for user confirmation.

## 5 Security Considerations

For a discussion of the security considerations connected to HTTP form submission, see [1]. The Safe header field introduces no new security risks.

The use of GET requests for form submission has some security risks which are absent for submission with other HTTP methods. By taking away a counter-incentive to the use of GET requests for form submission, the Safe header field may improve overall security.

RFC 2310

The Safe Response Header Field

April 1998

## 6 References

[1] Fielding, R., Gettys, J., Mogul, J., Frystyk, H., and T. Berners-Lee, "Hypertext Transfer Protocol -- HTTP/1.1", RFC 2068, January 1997.

[2] Nebel, E., and L. Masinter, "Form-based File Upload in HTML", RFC 1867, November 1995.

[3] Yergeau, F., Nicol, G., Adams, G., and M. Duerst, "Internationalization of the Hypertext Markup Language", RFC 2070, January 1997.

## 7 Author's Address

Koen Holtman  
Technische Universiteit Eindhoven  
Postbus 513  
Kamer HG 6.57  
5600 MB Eindhoven (The Netherlands)

EMail: [koen@win.tue.nl](mailto:koen@win.tue.nl)

## 8. Full Copyright Statement

Copyright (C) The Internet Society (1998). All Rights Reserved.

This document and translations of it may be copied and furnished to others, and derivative works that comment on or otherwise explain it or assist in its implementation may be prepared, copied, published and distributed, in whole or in part, without restriction of any kind, provided that the above copyright notice and this paragraph are included on all such copies and derivative works. However, this document itself may not be modified in any way, such as by removing the copyright notice or references to the Internet Society or other Internet organizations, except as needed for the purpose of developing Internet standards in which case the procedures for copyrights defined in the Internet Standards process must be followed, or as required to translate it into languages other than English.

The limited permissions granted above are perpetual and will not be revoked by the Internet Society or its successors or assigns.

This document and the information contained herein is provided on an "AS IS" basis and THE INTERNET SOCIETY AND THE INTERNET ENGINEERING TASK FORCE DISCLAIMS ALL WARRANTIES, EXPRESS OR IMPLIED, INCLUDING BUT NOT LIMITED TO ANY WARRANTY THAT THE USE OF THE INFORMATION HEREIN WILL NOT INFRINGE ANY RIGHTS OR ANY IMPLIED WARRANTIES OF MERCHANTABILITY OR FITNESS FOR A PARTICULAR PURPOSE.

