## Solution references for homework 4

MATH 4665/4875/7140/7300, Q. SHENG, HKBU, FALL 2019

Problem 1: Our extended Averaging Formula based on (2.17) should be

$$u(t+h) = u(t) + \frac{h}{2}[f(t, u(t)) + f(t+h, u(t+h))] + \mathcal{O}(h^3), \quad t, t+h \in [0, T].$$

Remove the truncation error  $\mathcal{O}(h^3)$ . Let  $u_i$ ,  $u_{i+1}$  be numerical approximations of u(t), u(t+h), we obtain readily that

$$u_{i+1} = u_i + \frac{h}{2}[f(t_i, u_i) + f(t_{i+1}, u_{i+1})), \quad i = 0, 1, \dots, N.$$

Over a mesh

$$\mathcal{D}_N = \{t_0, t_1, \dots, t_i, t_{i+1}, \dots, t_N, t_{N+1}\}.$$

The above is identical to the set of equations given in the problem, where  $\phi$  is the initial value.

<u>Problem 2</u>: the truncation error is given as  $\mathcal{O}(h^3)$ . To see the actual order of the truncation error, we may rewrite our formula as

$$\frac{u(t+h)-u(t)}{h} = \frac{1}{2}[f(t,u(t)) + f(t+h,u(t+h))] + \mathcal{O}(h^2), \quad t,t+h \in [0,T]. \quad (1)$$

 $\implies$  But, how can the above be true? Let's go ahead to play with it. To this end, we replace (1) by

$$\frac{u(t+h) - u(t)}{h} = \frac{1}{2} [f(t, u(t)) + f(t+h, u(t+h))] + \mathcal{E}, \quad t, t+h \in [0, T], \quad (2)$$

where  $\mathcal{E}$  is yet to be determined.

1. We first observe that the left-hand-side of (1) is a forward finite difference approximation of the derivative u'(t). In other words, we have

$$\frac{u(t+h) - u(t)}{h} = u'(t) + \mathcal{O}(h), \quad t, t+h \in [0, T].$$

On the other hand, the averaging value on the right-hand-side of (1) can be replaced by the following via a Taylor expansion:

$$\frac{1}{2}[f(t, u(t)) + f(t+h, u(t+h))] = \frac{1}{2}[f(t, u(t)) + f(t, u(t)) + \mathcal{O}(h)]$$
$$= f(t, u(t)) + \mathcal{O}(h), \quad t, t+h \in [0, T].$$

Combining the above two estimates, unfortunately, we can only say that

$$\mathcal{E} = \mathcal{O}(h)$$
.

2. Well, we also see that the left-hand-side of (1) is a backward finite difference approximation of the derivative u'(t+h) in the sense that

$$\frac{u(t+h) - u(t)}{h} = u'(t+h) + \mathcal{O}(h), \quad t, t+h \in [0, T].$$

Simultaneously,

$$\frac{1}{2}[f(t, u(t)) + f(t+h, u(t+h))] = \frac{1}{2}[f(t+h, u(t+h)) + f(t+h, u(t+h)) + \mathcal{O}(h)] 
= f(t+h, u(t+h)) + \mathcal{O}(h), \quad t, t+h \in [0, T].$$

The two estimates suggest that

$$\mathcal{E} = \mathcal{O}(h)$$
.

3. Finally, let us consider the left-hand-side of (1) to be a central finite difference approximation of the derivative u'(t + h/2). Therefore,

$$\frac{u(t+h) - u(t)}{h} = u'(t+h/2) + \mathcal{O}(h^2), \quad t, t+h \in [0, T].$$

Without loss of generality, we may denote f(t, u(t)) = g(t). It is readily to see that

$$\frac{1}{2}[g(t) + g(t+h)] = g(t+h/2) + \mathcal{O}(h^2) 
= f(t+h/2, u(t+h/2)) + \mathcal{O}(h^2), \quad t, t+h \in [0, T].$$

Hence,

$$\mathcal{E} = \mathcal{O}(h^2) + \mathcal{O}(h^2) = \mathcal{O}(h^2).$$

In other words, the averaging formula provides a second order approximation to the differential equation

$$u'(t+h/2) = f(t+h/2, u(t+h/2)), \quad t, t+h \in [0, T].$$
Isn't it interesting?

<u>Problem 3</u>: Since  $c = \max\{c_1, c_2\}$ , we may replace both  $c_1$  and  $c_2$  in the inequalities by c. (think again, why?)

Now, substitute the second inequality into the first inequality, we acquire that

$$\epsilon_{i+1} \leq (1+h\lambda)\epsilon_i + ch^2$$

$$\leq (1+h\lambda)\frac{c}{\lambda}h\left[(1+h\lambda)^i - 1\right] + ch^2$$

$$= \frac{c}{\lambda}h\left[(1+h\lambda)^{i+1} - 1 - h\lambda\right] + \frac{ch^2\lambda}{\lambda}$$

$$= \frac{c}{\lambda}h\left[(1+h\lambda)^{i+1} - 1 - h\lambda + h\lambda\right]$$

$$= \frac{c}{\lambda}h\left[(1+h\lambda)^{i+1} - 1\right], \quad i = 0, 1, 2, \dots, M.$$

Needless to say, the above ensures our result.