APPM 5720 Homework 2

Wil Boshell, Fortino Garcia, Alex Rybchuk, Parth Thakkar January 31, 2018

Newton's Method 1

For this assignment, a basic perl script, newtonS.p, was modified by the group to take in newtonS.f90.Template and perform Newton's method on the set of sample functions

Template and perform Newton's method on the set of
$$f(x) = e^x - 1, \quad g(x) = x^2,$$

$$h(x) = \sin(x), \quad r(x) = e^{-1/x}$$
 and punchasion rules.

where each function has a root at x = 0, save for r(x) which is undefined at 0 though

$$\lim_{x \to 0^+} r(x) = \lim_{x \to 0^+} e^{-1/x} = 0$$

We recall that Newton's method is quadratically convergent to a root under the assumption that the root is simple and the derivative is itself not 0 at the root of interest (and the second derivative is bounded). Let us examine each function individually.

NOTE: For cases where Newton's method required a significant number of iterations, only the first 15 iterations are used in the data tables that follow for

1.1 $e^x - 1$ The locality and seuluses. This function meets the above assumptions for quadratic convergence. As such, it is observed that E_{n+1}/E_n^2 is approximately constant and Names.

converges after seven iterations.

Iteration		
	E_{n+1}/E_n	E_{n+1}/E_n^2
01	0.6321205588285577E+00	0.6321205588285577E+00
02	0.4869314375964384E+00	0.7703141921199606E+00
03	0.1894444060136254E+00	0.6154801567949590E+00
04	0.3031399383262888E-01	0.5198686660785536E+00
05	0.8848598680903540E-03	0.5005896024729687E+00
06	0.7820703579018673E-06	0.5000099574951582E+00
07	0.00000000000000000000000000000000000	0.00000000000000000E+00

1.2
$$f(x) = x^2$$
 Same as also.

We can see that this function has a double root at x=0 and that the derivative of f(x) is 0 at x=0. Thus, using Newton's method we would expect linear convergence instead of quadratic convergence. Implementation of Newton's method shows that the ratio of errors from adjacent time steps, i.e. E_{n+1}/E_n , is a constant implying linear convergence.

Iteration		
	E_{n+1}/E_n	E_{n+1}/E_n^2
01	0.5000000000000000E+00	0.500000000000000000000000000000000000
02	0.500000000000000000000E+00	0.1000000000000000000E+01
03	0.500000000000000E+00	0.2000000000000000000E+01
04	0.500000000000000000000E+000	0.4000000000000000000E+01
05	0.500000000000000000000E+000	0.80000000000000000000E+01
06	0.500000000000000E+00	0.16000000000000000000E+02
07	0.500000000000000000000E+000	0.32000000000000000000E+02
08	0.500000000000000E+00	0.64000000000000000000E+02
09	0.500000000000000000000E+000	0.12800000000000000E+03
10	0.500000000000000000000E+000	0.2560000000000000000E+03
11	0.500000000000000000000E+000	0.51200000000000000E+03
12	0.500000000000000E+00	0.10240000000000000E+04
13	0.500000000000000E+00	0.20480000000000000E+04
14	0.500000000000000E+00	0.40960000000000000E+04
15	0.500000000000000E+00	0.81920000000000000E + 04

Newton's method calculates the root after the $(k+1)^{th}$ iteration by the iterative formula

$$x_{n+1} = x_n - \frac{f(x_n)}{f'(x_n)} \bullet$$



Since we know that the root is a double root, we try modifying the formula by multiplying a factor of 2 to the 2nd term, i.e.

$$x_{n+1} = x_n - 2\frac{f(x_n)}{f'(x_n)}$$

This iterative formula ends up converging to the root x = 0 immediately on the first iteration irrespective of our initial guess! Say our initial guess is $x = x_0$. Then the first iteration would result in

$$x_{1} = x_{0} - 2\frac{f(x_{0})}{f'(x_{0})}$$

$$= x_{0} - 2\frac{x_{0}^{2}}{2x_{0}}$$

$$= 0$$

as demonstrated by the code which stopped after a single iteration.

Iteration		
	E_{n+1}/E_n	E_{n+1}/E_n^2
01	0.10000000000000000000E+01	0.1000000000000000E+01

1.3 $\sin(x)$

Because $\sin(x)$ only has single roots, one would expect Newton's method to converge quadratically as usual. When the code was run, it produced:

Iteration		
	E_{n+1}/E_n	E_{n+1}/E_n^2
01	0.1523676741017902E+01	0.1523676741017902E+01
02	0.3877900367215199E+00	0.2545093892175937E+00
03	0.9689555030589873E-01	0.1639888607225156E+00
04	0.1090466714959705E-02	0.1904667166963419E-01
05	0.1299242582583448E-08	0.2081059578567191E-04
06	0.000000000000000000E+00	0.00000000000000000000000000000000000

Neither ratio converges to a constant. However, when we check for cubic convergence, we get the following result:

Iteration		
	E_{n+1}/E_n	E_{n+1}/E_n^3
	10,12, 10	1212, 10
01	0.1523676741017902E+01	0.1523676741017902E+01
02	0.3877900367215199E+00	0.1670363420049105E+00
03	0.9689555030589873E-01	0.2775395398051782E+00
04	0.1090466714959705E-02	0.3326792984270507E+00
05	0.1299242582583448E-08	0.33333333611137316E+00
06	0.000000000000000000E+00	0.000000000000000000000E+00

As we can see, E_{n+1}/E_n^3 converges quickly to a constant. This means that Newton's method converges cubically for $f(x) = \sin(x)$. This might be because the sine function is almost linear near roots $(f''(x) = -\sin(x) = -f(x) = 0)$ when f(x) = 0, so this should help speed up the convergence rate.

1.4 $e^{-1/x}$

We note that the derivative of $f(x) = e^{-1/x}$ is $f'(x) = \frac{e^{-1/x}}{x^2}$, which is undefined at 0. From this we can see that every derivative of $f(x) = e^{-1/x}$ is an exponential multiplied by a rational function with a singularity at 0 so that every derivative is undefined at the root. We then expect Newton's method to have extraordinarily slow convergence. A table containing the error ratios between successive iterations is below:

Iteration		
	E_{n+1}/E_n	E_{n+1}/E_n^2
01	0.100000000000000E-03	0.100000000000000E-03
02	0.9801000000000002E+00	0.9801000000000002E+04
03	0.980298009999998E+00	0.1000202030405060E+05
04	0.9804920990079601E+00	0.1020506060403061E + 05
05	0.9806823827241055E+00	0.1041012070006161E+05
06	0.9808689723299778E+00	0.1061720039624038E+05
07	0.9810519747073182E+00	0.1082629950052112E + 05
08	0.9812314926443280E+00	0.1103741782460229E+05
09	0.9814076250301539E+00	0.1125055518381782E+05
10	0.9815804670383682E+00	0.1146571139703251E+05
11	0.9817501103001732E+00	0.1168288628654150E + 05
12	0.9819166430679892E+00	0.1190207967797336E+05
13	0.9820801503700450E+00	0.1212329140019697E+05
14	0.9822407141565502E+00	0.1234652128523173E+05
15	0.9823984134379694E+00	0.1257176916816113E+05

We see that that the ratio of E_{n+1}/E_n is fairly constant and close to 1, which indicates very slow linear convergence as expected.