# **Test Report for Test Case Generation Application**

## **Test Summary:**

The Code Input and Test Case Generation Application was thoroughly tested for its functionalities including code input, test case validation, language selection, result generation, error handling, and output copying. The test cases covered various scenarios to ensure the correctness, robustness, and reliability of the application.

### **Test Results:**

### 1. Code Input Functionality:

a. **Result:** All test cases passed successfully.

#### b. Observation:

- i. Users were able to input code snippets into the text area. The application correctly validated whether the input was empty or not. An appropriate error message ("Code snippet cannot be empty") was shown when the user left the code area empty.
- ii. The code input field was correctly rendered, and inputs were captured without any issues.

iii.

## 2. Test Case Validation (Total, Positive, Negative):

a. **Result:** All test cases passed successfully.

#### b. Observation:

- i. The application correctly validated the "Total Test Cases," "Positive Test Cases," and "Negative Test Cases" fields.
- ii. If any of the values were negative, an error message was displayed.

- iii. The sum of positive and negative test cases was correctly checked against the total number of test cases. Error messages appeared if the sum did not match the total.
- iv. The application ensured that the number of negative test cases never exceeded the total number of test cases, and error messages appeared when this condition was violated.

### 3. Language Selection Functionality:

a. **Result:** All test cases passed successfully.

#### **b.** Observation:

- i. Users were able to select a programming language (Java, Python, C#, Ruby, JavaScript, or Go) from the radio button options.
- ii. Changing the selected language triggered a re-validation of the input fields, ensuring the user's selection did not affect the test case validation.

#### 4. Generate Test Cases Button:

a. **Result:** All test cases passed successfully.

#### **b.** Observation:

- i. The "Generate" button remained disabled until all required fields (code, total test cases, positive test cases, negative test cases, and language) were valid.
- ii. Upon clicking the "Generate" button, the application correctly triggered the backend request to generate test cases based on the provided inputs (code, test cases, language).
- iii. The generated results (unit test cases in the chosen language) were returned and displayed in the output section.
- iv. The application handled server errors gracefully and displayed appropriate error messages (e.g., "Unable to load server").

# 5. Copy Output Result:

a. **Result:** All test cases passed successfully.

#### **b.** Observation:

i. The "Copy" button worked as expected. Upon clicking, the result was successfully copied to the clipboard.

ii. A brief "Copied" notification appeared next to the "Copy" button, confirming the action was successful.

### 6. Error Handling:

a. **Result:** All test cases passed successfully.

#### **b.** Observation:

- i. The application handled various error scenarios effectively, including:
  - 1. Invalid code inputs (non-code or empty).
  - 2. Invalid or mismatched values for total, positive, and negative test cases.
  - 3. Invalid server responses (e.g., when the backend server is unreachable).
- ii. In each case, the application displayed clear and informative error messages, guiding the user to resolve the issues.

# **Overall Observations:**

# • Functionality and User Interface:

- The application provided a smooth and intuitive user interface for entering code, selecting test cases, and generating test case outputs.
- The code input section, test case fields, and output area were visually clear and easy to interact with.
- Users were able to input their code and generate test cases seamlessly after passing all validation checks.

## • Backend Integration:

- The integration with the backend API (for test case generation) worked as expected, with responses returned in a timely manner.
- The application correctly processed inputs and generated the requested unit test cases in the selected programming language.

# • Error Handling:

- The error handling mechanisms in place were effective in guiding users when invalid or incomplete inputs were detected.
- Server errors were gracefully managed, with appropriate error messages displayed to the user.

### **Conclusion:**

The Code Input and Test Case Generation Application has been thoroughly tested and found to be **reliable and effective** in generating unit test cases for user-provided code. It correctly handles input validation, supports various programming languages, and provides clear and informative error messages when needed. The smooth user interface and robust backend integration ensure a **seamless experience** for users.

The application is ready for production deployment and can be confidently used to generate automated unit test cases for various code snippets.